0003

Document Information Form

Mine Number: C/015/002

File Name: Internal

To: DOGM

From:

Person N/A

Company N/A

Date Sent: N/A

Explanation:

NOTE TO ALL

cc:

File in:
C/ 015 , 002 , Internal
Refer to:
- ☐ **Confidential**
- ☐ **Shelf**
- ☐ **Expandable**

Date _____ For additional information

Note to All —
Lets get comments on 8½ X 11 paper so the scraps dont get lost.
— MT, —
On Dog Valley

1. MAW might have comments on reveg. scheme & temp reveg on soil pile
2. Has OSM been given a copy?
3. Who has primary review responsibility?

NO — No fed. Coal involved.

We do.

4/12 MAW - please compose a paragraph or 2 asking for these items. After field trip I'll send a letter concerning violation abatement. Show mike

Concerning reveg plan:

1. Are there presently areas which are disturbed but no longer required for mining? If so, describe or show on map & tell of plan (date) to reveg.
2. What is seed mix to be used? The mix proposed in the MR 2 form submitted on 6/27/77 is acceptable. What about the one submitted 3-8-79? No shrubs or forbs.
3. Will mulching be used? Test plots are recommend to be tried w/ seed mix & mulch vs. no mulch,
4. What are results of as mentioned will be done in MR-2. amendments needed?
5. What seed mix will be used in establishing a cover for topsoil stockpile?

MAW

File in:
- ☐ Confidential
- ☐ Shelf
- ☐ Expandable

Refer to Record No 0003 Date _____
In C/ 015, 002, Internal
For additional information

Note to All —
Lets get comments on 8½ X 11 paper so the scraps dont get lost.
— MT, —
On Dog Valley

1. MAW might have comments on reveg. scheme & temp reveg on soil pile.
2. Has OSM been given a copy?
3. Who has primary review responsibility?

NO — No fed. Coal involved.

We do.

4/12 MAW - please compose a paragraph or 2 asking for these items. After field trip Will send a letter concerning violation abatement. Short mine

Concerning reveg plan:

1. Are there presently areas which are disturbed but no longer needing for mining? If so, describe or show on map tell of plan (date) to reveg.
2. What is seed mix to be used? The mix proposed in the MR 2 form submitted on 6/27/77 is acceptable. What about the one submitted 3-8-79? No Shrubs or forbs.
3. Will mulching be used? Test plots are recommend to be tried w/ seed mix & mulch vs. no mulch,
4. What are results of soil nutrient tests as mentioned will be done in MR-2. Are soil nutrient amendments needed?
5. What seed mix will be used in establishing a cover for topsoil stockpile?

MAW

Comments 3/15/79
J. B. King

NO. of Violation

1. Don't KNOW

2. Sign being made to be posted soon

3.a. design received March 8.
Pond design capacity is adequate
Total area = 130.32 acres
Mine " = 18.96 acres ; CN = 90
Undisturbed " = 111.36 acres ; CN = 75
- from surface map of mine
10yr-24hr precip. = 1.8 in
- from Utah precip-frequency Atlas

Q for disturbed area = .9258 in.
" " undisturbed " = .2876 in.
- from SCS Runoff technique

disturbed area $= \frac{.9258 \text{ in} \times 18.96 \text{ ac}}{12 \text{ in/ft}}$

$Q_s = 1.463$ ac ft

Undisturbed $= \frac{.2876 \text{ in} \times 111.36 \text{ ac}}{12 \text{ in/ft}}$

$Q_s = 2.668$ ac-ft

$Q_T = 4.13$ ac-ft water storage $+ \left(0.1 \frac{\text{ac-ft}}{\text{ac}} \times 18.96 \text{ ac}\right) = \underline{6.026 \text{ ac ft}}$

3 b. Sampling is adequate - need to show sampling points.

3 c. Groundwater monitoring program not necessary as shown by exploritory wells - No water encountered.

3d. No problems, runoff from the entire area is collected and treated in the pond.

4. Soil stockpiling program is adequate.

5. New mining plan has been submitted suggest staff review.

→ ~~Check on the amount of disturbed area.~~

TJS