0003

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor

Ted Stewart
Executive Director

James W. Carter
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-7223 (TDD)

INSPECTION REPORT

JK for Joe Helfrich

Partial: X Complete:__ Exploration:__
Inspection Date & Time: 10/29/97 1 to 3
Date of Last Inspection: 9/25/97

Mine Name: J.B. King County: Emery Permit Number: ACT/015/002
Permittee and/or Operator's Name: Western States Minerals Corp.
Business Address: Suite 130, 250 South Rock Blvd., Reno, Nevada 89502
Type of Mining Activity: Underground X Surface__ Prep. Plant__ Other__
State Officials(s): Susan White
Company Official(s):_____
Federal Official(s):_____
Weather Conditions: High clouds and cool
Existing Acreage: Permitted-480 Disturbed-30 Regraded-___ Seeded-30 Bonded-30
Increased/Decreased: Permitted-___ Disturbed-___ Regraded-___ Seeded-___ Bonded-___
Status: __Exploration/__Active/__Inactive/__Temporary Cessation/__Bond Forfeiture
Reclamation (__Phase I/__Phase II/__Final Bond Release/__Liability_____Year)

REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS & PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

Instructions
1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
   a. For complete inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check N/A.
   b. For partial inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by referencing the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments.

	EVALUATED	N/A	COMMENTS	NOV/ENF
1. PERMITS, CHANGE, TRANSFER, RENEWAL, SALE	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
2. SIGNS AND MARKERS	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
3. TOPSOIL	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE:				
a. DIVERSIONS	[X]	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
b. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS	[X]	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
c. OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
d. WATER MONITORING	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
e. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
5. EXPLOSIVES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
6. DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL/FILLS/BENCHES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
7. COAL MINE WASTE/REFUSE PILES/IMPOUNDMENTS	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
8. NONCOAL WASTE	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
9. PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
10. SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
11. CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
13. REVEGETATION	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL	[X]	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
15. CESSATION OF OPERATIONS	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
16. ROADS:				
a. CONSTRUCTION/MAINTENANCE/SURFACING	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
b. DRAINAGE CONTROLS	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
17. OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
18. SUPPORT FACILITIES/UTILITY INSTALLATIONS	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
19. AVS CHECK (4th Quarter-April, May, June)_____(date)	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
20. AIR QUALITY PERMIT	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
21. BONDING & INSURANCE	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]

INSPECTION REPORT

(Continuation sheet) Page 2 of 2

PERMIT NUMBER: ACT/015/002 DATE OF INSPECTION: 10/29/97

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

This inspection was conducted by walking on the rim rock above the mine site. The area of previous subsidence was also walked. A small amount of snow was visible on the north facing slope near the channels.

4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE:

The sediment pond contained water. No work had been done on the outlet.

Above the mine site several areas were observed to have had concentrated flows onto the mine site. Since no maintenance has been allowed on the rills and gullies for several years, these flow areas are becoming more defined. This inspector has requested a Division Hydrologist to look at these defined drainage areas.

14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL

Several of the filled subsidence cracks have settled and small voids are visible, others have rodent burrows. At this point these voids are insignificant and should not be filled. The Operator is requested not to fill these cracks so that an assessment can be made of the cracks after several years of no maintenance.

Copy of this Report:
Mailed to: OSM, E.M. Gerick (WSMC)
Given to: Joe Helfrich (DOGM)

Inspector's Signature: Susan M. White #35 Date: 11/6/97