0006

Document Information Form

Mine Number: C/015/004

File Name: Internal

To: DOGM

From:

Person N/A

Company Office of Surface Mining

Date Sent: June 17, 1983

Explanation:

Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report

cc:

File in:
C/ 015 , 004 , Internal
Refer to:
- ☐ Confidential
- ☐ Shelf
- ☐ Expandable

Date _____ For additional information

file ACT/015/004 FOLDER #7

Office of Surface Mining
MINE SITE EVALUATION INSPECTION REPORT

INSPECTOR NUMBER 242 INSPECTION DATE 05/26/83

I. MINE SITE

1. Permittee Beaver Creek Coal Co.

2. Permittee Address
P.O. Box AV
Price, UT 84501

3. Location of Mine
a. County EMERY
b. State UTAH

4. Name of Mine HUNTINGTON #4

5. Telephone

6. Date of Last State Inspection 05/26/83

7. Permit No. ACT/015/004

MSHA No.

OSM No. ACT/015/004

8. Status (check one)
a. *[X] Active
b. [ ] In reclamation
c. [ ] Inactive
d. [ ] Abandoned
*temporarily inactive

9. Type of Facility
a. [ ] Surface
b. [X] Underground
c. [ ] Other - Specify

10. Steep Slope
Yes
No X

11. Mountain Top Removal
Yes
No X

12. Prime Farm Land
Yes
No X

II. TYPE OF OSM INSPECTION

A. Complete Inspection: Check appropriate box

1. [X] Statistical Sample Inspection

2. [ ] Others (citizen complaint inspections or second phase/ assistance inspections - specify.)

B. Other-Than-Complete-Inspection: Check appropriate box and reason for inspection.

1. [ ] Statistical Sample Follow-up (date of Complete Inspection .)

RECEIVED
JUN 17 1983
DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS & MINING

File in:
- ☐ Confidential
- ☐ Shelf
- ☐ Expandable

Refer to Record No 0006 Date 6-17-83
In C/ 015 , 004 , Internal
For additional information

(a) [ ] 10-Day Notice follow-up (State failed to notify OSM or to take appropriate action).

(b) [ ] Federal NOV follow-up.

(c) [ ] Federal CO follow-up.

(d) [ ] Others - Specify _____

_____

2. [ ] Citizen Complaint Inspections

(a) [ ] Citizen's Complaint - iminent hazard or harm to public or to environment.

(b) [ ] Citizen's Complaint - 10-Day Notice follow-up (State failed to notify OSM or take appropriate action).

(c) [ ] Citizen's Complaint - 10-Day Notice follow-up (sample).

(d) [ ] Other - Specify _____

III. COMPLIANCE INFORMATION

Indicate the appropriate number for each performance standard (See instructions for clarification of the numbering system):

1. In compliance,
2. Not in compliance (State took action),
3. Not in compliance (State has not taken action),
4. Not in compliance (other),
5. Not applicable.

A. Performance standards that limit the effects of surface mining to the permit area:

1	1.	Run-off control	1	6.	Ground water monitoring
1	2.	Surface water monitoring	1	7.	Haul road maintenance
1	3.	Mining within permit boundaries	5	8.	Refuse impoundment
5	4.	Blasting procedures	1	9.	Signs and markers
1	5.	Effluent limits			

B. Performance standards that assure reclamation quality and timeliness:

1	1.	Topsoil handling	1	7.	Timing of revegetation
1	2.	Backfilling & grading	1	8.	Highwall elimination
1	3.	Timing of reclamation	5	9.	Downslope spoil disposal
1	4.	Success of revegetation	1	10.	Post mining land use
1	5.	Disposal of excess spoil			
5	6.	Handling of acid or toxic materials			

C. For each standard marked (2), what action(s) has the State taken to cause the violation to be corrected?

D. For each standard marked (3), indicate what action(s) the State should have taken.

E. For each standard marked (4), explain why it is unknown whether or not the State has failed to take appropriate action.

F. Does the mining and reclamation plan for the permit comply with the approved State Program? yes X no ____.

If no, explain _____

Do conditions exist that are not adequately addressed in the permit? yes ____ no X.

If yes, explain _____

G. Indicate State inspection frequency for this annual review period.

Number of completes 0
Number of partials 1

H. Comments and recommendations Two problems were noted during this inspection. The undisturbed drainage diversion on the upper pad was blocked in several places by slide material. Any drainage flowing onto the pad would be directed into the sediment pond, so uncontrolled runoff would not be a problem. However, a problem could develop if the sediment pond is not sized to receive this additional runoff. Waste from snow removal activities this winter has been placed along the haulroad in three locations. This material is not contained by a berm. A precipitation event would carry this material into a culvert which discharges into Mill Fork Creek. However, no evidence was found to indicate that any of this material had entered the creek. Therefore, a Ten-Day Notice was not issued. UDOGM inspector, John Whitehead, issued an NAOC requiring that the snow waste material be moved and that plans be submitted to determine how the company will handle future snow waste disposal.

IV. ENFORCEMENT INFORMATION - FEDERAL

1. 10-Day Notice Number ____
2. NOV Number ____
3. CO Number ____

V. VIOLATION CODES

ATO	SM	BG	HE	RG	IF	TH	SP	EL	WM	BZ	RD	DM	BL	RVG	SD	MWP	EP	DP	OV

VI. ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

8.5 1. Hours travel to and from site

2600 2. Acreage of permit

5 3. Inspection time (on site)

3 4. Permit review time - (The completeness checklist was lost in the Field Office. It cannot be redone without returning to the mine office. Several areas were pending completeness due to resubmissions.

2 5. Report-writing time

Jodie Merriman — 6-13-83
Signature — Date

Jodie L. Merriman
Print Name of Authorized Representative

[illegible] — 6-13-83
Reviewed By — Date