UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining
3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • (801) 538-5340

inspection report

Permit No. INA/015/004
Inspection Date 12/17-21-22/87

Permittee/Operator Name Beaver Creek Coal Co.
Business Address P.O. Box 1378
City Price State Utah Zip 84501
Mine Huntington Canyon #4 ☐ Surface ☒ Underground ☐ Other
County Emery State Ut
Company Official(s) Dan Guy
State Official(s) Bill Malencik
Time of Inspection See General Comments ___:___ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. to ___:___ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. ☐ Partial ☒ Complete
Date of last inspection 11/24/87 Weather conditions See General Comments
Acreage 2600 ☐ Permitted 12.5 ☐ Disturbed 12 ☐ Regraded 12 ☐ Seeded 12.5 ☐ Bonded
Enforcement Action None

Followup On 87 Water Monitoring On Next Inspection

COMPLIANCE WITH PERMITS AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

	YES	NO	N/A	COMMENTS
1. Permits	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. Signs and markers	☒	☐	☐	☒
3. Topsoil	☒	☐	☐	☐
4. Hydrologic balance				
— Stream channel diversions	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Diversions	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Sediment ponds and impoundments	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Other sediment control measures	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Surface and groundwater monitoring	☒	☐	☐	☒
— Effluent limitations	☒	☐	☐	☐
5. Explosives	☐	☐	☒	☐
6. Development waste and spoil disposal	☐	☐	☒	☐
7. Coal processing waste	☐	☐	☒	☐
8. Noncoal waste	☐	☐	☐	☐
9. Protection of fish, wildlife, and related environmental values	☒	☐	☐	☒
10. Slides and other damage	☒	☐	☐	☐
11. Contemporaneous reclamation	☐	☐	☒	☐
12. Backfilling and grading	☐	☐	☒	☐
13. Revegetation	☒	☐	☐	☐
14. Subsidence control	☒	☐	☐	☐
15. Cessation of operations	☐	☐	☒	☐
16. Roads				
— Construction	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Drainage controls	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Surfacing	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Maintenance	☒	☐	☐	☐
17. Other transportation facilities	☐	☐	☒	☐
18. Support facilities and utility installations	☐	☐	☒	☐

WHITE — DOGM YELLOW — OSM PINK — PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD — NOV FILE

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining

INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. INA/015/004

Inspection Date 12/17-21-22/87

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

General Comments. Went to the Beaver Creek Office 8a.m 12/17/87. DAN Guy was not working this day. I talked with Jay Marshall the manager. He said they were short handed and was very cooperative. Told him I would do the field work, come back and review the records, and would come back when Dan was on duty to finish up on the water monitoring record review & conclude with a closeout.

Field weather conditions on 12/17 (9a.m-1p.m) cloudy, 4" snow upper mine site, tops of mountains cloud covered.

Environmental control measures at the mine site were observed and in substance were found to be in compliance. Some snow melt and water runoff had taken place 3/4 mile below the mine site. It was observed adjacent to the road on a south slope and terminated in a ditch where it stopped & haze. No problem. This item is only mentioned to indicate snow was melting at the lower end of the mine site.

Field work started & completed on 12/17/87. Records portion

Copy of report mailed to _____

Copy of report given to _____

Inspector's signature _____ No. _____

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. INA/015/004

Inspection Date 12/17-21-22/87

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

of the inspection was conducted on 12/17, 1:30-2:30pm, 12/21, 9a.m-10:30a.m; and the undersigned inspector and Tom Munson were planning to do additional work on the water records on 12/22. However, even though this was the understanding reached with Dan Guy, weather conditions prevented Tom Munson regularly schedule trip to the Price area. Notified Dan Guy and advised him that the questions on water monitoring would be held over for resolution at the next inspection

Signs & Markers: Signs & markers were observed and found to be the same as cover in the previous reports. A question exists on the disturbed perimeter markers. Dan stated he has discussed this matter with John Whitehead. Further, he stated is awaiting additional information before taking any action on this item. The question concerns exterior perimeter markers associated with the approved highwalls. The physiographic features clearly delineate the disturbed area contiguous to the highwalls.

Copy of report mailed to _____

Copy of report given to _____

Inspector's signature _____ No. _____

WHITE—DOGM YELLOW—OSM PINK—PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD—NOV FILE

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining

INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. INA/015/004

Inspection Date 12/17-21-22/87

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

Water Monitoring. The water monitoring records were reviewed. The objective was to conduct a detail review of the 1987 water monitoring including the submission of required reports to DOGM, SLC. Several questions exist and still remain i.e., (1) Were all the parameters sampled? (2) How many times in 1987 was the plan amended and what was the effective date of each approval? and (3) Were all the required information and reports submitted by BCCC to DOGM? As explained in the General comments, this matter will be carried over to the next inspection

Wildlife. Several deer were observed on both the lower and upper seeded areas. A visual check of vegetal utilization showed that the primary use currently being made is on the browse species

Copy of report mailed to SLC. For Brian Smith, OSM; Joe Helfrich, DOGM

Copy of report given to Dan Guy - Beaver Creek Coal Company 12/23/87

Copy Filed --- PFO.

Inspector's signature Jim J. Smolenicki 12/28/87 No. 26

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE