

Document Information Form

Mine Number: CB15/007

File Name: Internal

To: DOGM

From:

Person N/A

Company HIDDEN VALLEY MINES

Date Sent: December 11, 1979

Explanation:

MEMO TO COAL FILE.

cc:

File in: CI 015 , 007 , Internal

Refer to:

- Confidential
- Shelf
- Expandable

Date _____ For additional information

December 11, 1979

Memo to Coal File:

RE: Soldier Creek Coal Company
Hidden Valley Mine
PRO/015/022
Emery County, Utah

Mr. Dave Spillman of Soldier Creek Coal Company's proposed Hidden Valley Mine called the Division on December 10, 1979 to discuss requirements regarding the stockpiling of topsoil and surficial material and the covering of refuse material.

Mr. Spillman had several questions:

1. Did they have to show the exact location of the topsoil (or surficial material) stockpiles on a map, since all their surface facility construction plans are not yet finalized and the location could change? He was advised to select a probable area and submit a map showing that location. If plans changed at some later date, then those changes could be addressed in an amendment to the plan.
2. Did they have to stockpile the topsoil (or surficial material) or could they use it as fill material during construction of their surface facilities, since they are limited in the amount of fill material available on-site? He was advised that they would be required to stockpile the material if sufficient quantities existed and it was capable of supporting vegetation. It was suggested that they utilize the material obtained from construction of the road for fill material instead of side-casting it. Importation of topsoil for reclamation purposes was also discussed.
3. Can they hold off on the details concerning the depth of surficial material to be removed prior to deposition of refuse material? At this time, they are not sure they will definitely have a wash plant and associated refuse. They also had a question concerning the requirement to cover the refuse with 4 feet of material. Mr. Spillman was informed that there was a possibility that less than 4 feet of cover would be required if tests showed that the material was non-toxic and non-combustible and support vegetation. He was told that they could probably hold off on the refuse area specifics as long as they committed to providing the required details for Division approval prior to implementing any surface disturbance.

File in:

- Confidential
- Shelf
- Expandable

Refer to Record No 0202 Date 12-11-79

In Cl 015, 007, Internal

For additional information