MRP REVISION/NOV TRACKING FORM
(Revised: 9/25/85)

Type of Proposal: X COAL ____ NONCOAL

____ Exploration
X NOV/CO Abatement, NOV # 85-8-15-1, Abatement Deadline ____
____ MRP Revision
____ MRP Amendment
____ MR-1 Application (non-coal) Issuing Inspector Tom Wright

Title of Proposal: Exploration. Road erosion & maintenance abatement plans Road Stabilization Plans

Company name: Beaver Creek Coal Nevada Electric Investment Co. Project/ Mine Name: Wildhorse Ridge Exp. Road

File # (PRO/ACT): (CEP/EXP/INA) 015/007 # New Acres (Fed/State/Fee): ___/___/___ Disturbed

Assigned Reviewers:		Review Time (hrs):	OTHER AGENCIES: (# of copies & date)
(Hydrology)	TJS/DWH		OSM
(Wildlife/Veg.)	SL		USFS
(Engineering)			BLM
(Soils)			Health
(Geology)			History
			H2O Rts
			Wildlife
			USFWS

DATES: (a) Initial Plan Received Oct 7/85
Tech Review Due Oct 21/85
Tech Review Complete
DOGM Response Sent

Nov 8th (field trip) to site
Nov 14th field memo to file.

Final draft from NEICO (draft) 12/2/85

(b) Operator Resubmission Dec 9th/85
Tech Review Due
Tech Review Complete
DOGM Response Sent Dec 18/85 from LPB to NEICO (Jerry Van.)

Dec 6/85 Permitting recommendations to LPB from staff

(3 copies) DWH received 5/21/86

(c) Operator Resubmission April 18/86 (road stabilization plans)
Tech Review Due
Tech Review Complete
DOGM Response Sent

Dec 17/85 LPB sends memo to Ken May w/staff recommendations on NEICO proposal.

* May 19/86 (mtg. w/BCCC & NEICO) Operator (BCCC) will resubmit revised 4/18/86 plans.

Jan 14, 1986 memo from Ken May to AG request opinion on class III road.

(d) Operator Resubmission June 16/86
Tech Review Due June 30/86
Tech Review Complete
DOGM Response Sent

(e) Optr. Resubmission
Tech Review Due
Tech Review Complete
DOGM Response Sent

(f) Optr. Resubmission
Tech Review Due
DOGM Response Sent

(g) Cond'l. Approval
Stipulations Due
Stips Received
Final Approval

(h) MR-9 Received
MR-9 Acknowledged

COMMENTS:

Feb 13th/86 DOGM receives memo from UDWR on their recommendations.

NOTE (INSPECTORS): Please attach a copy of the NOV issued to the abatement plan when received from the operator.

NOTE (REVIEWERS): Please prepare review comments in a format referencing the appropriate regulation or statute.

April 9th draft AG's opinion received

May 13th final AG draft of opinion

7566R