

0011

Document Information Form

Mine Number: C/015/007

File Name: Incoming

To: DOGM

From:

Person N/A

Company United States Department of the Interior

Date Sent: May 04, 1989

Explanation:

Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report

cc:

File in: C/015/007/Incoming

Refer to:

- Confidential
- Shelf
- Expandable

Date _____ For additional information

File OIS 1007 #5B
C. W. Wainwright JB

United States Department of the
Office of Surface Mining
Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report

RECEIVED

MAY 04 1989

For Office Use Only

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS & MINING

Y Y M M

1b
Batch

1c
Report

2. Name of Permittee
California Portland

3. Street Address
995 RANCHO AVE

4. City
COLTON

5. State
Ca

6. Zip Code
92324

7. Area Code
714

8. Telephone Number
825-4260

9. MSHA Number
42-01407-

10. Date of Inspection
(Y Y M M D D)
890417

11. State Permit Number
015/007

12. Name of Mine
HIDDEN VALLEY

13. County Code
015

14. State Code
46

15. Strata

16. State Area Office
01

17. OSM Field Office No.
02

18. OSM Area Office No.

19. OSM Sample No.
0277

20. Type of Inspection (Code)
C

21. Joint Inspection
Yes No
X

22. Inspector's ID No.
107

23. Status

A 01 Type of Permit

B 1 Mine Status (Code)

C 20 Type of Facility (Code)

D 00760.0 Number of Permitted Acres

E 00007.0 Number of Disturbed Acres

24. Type of Activity (check applicable boxes).

A Steep Slope

B Mountain Top Removal

C Prime Farmlands

D Alluvial Valley Floors

E Anthracite

F Federal Lands

G Indian Lands

H Other

25. Performance Standards (Codes)

Instructions: Indicate compliance code. For any standard marked 2 or 3 provide narrative to support this determination.

- Standards That Limit the Effects to the Permit Area
- A 1 Distance Prohibitions
 - B 1 Mining Within Permit Boundaries
 - C 1 Signs and Markers
 - D 1 Sediment Control Measures
 - E 1 Design and Certification Requirements—Sediment Control
 - F 1 Effluent Limits
 - G 1 Surface Water Monitoring
 - H 1 Ground Water Monitoring
 - I 3 Blasting Procedures
 - J 1 Haul/Access Road Design and Maintenance
 - K 3 Refuse Impoundments
 - L Other: Specify _____

- Standards That Assure Reclamation Quality and Timeliness
- M 1 Topsoil Handling
 - N 1 Backfilling and Grading
 - O 1 Following Reclamation Schedule
 - P 1 Revegetation Requirements
 - Q 3 Disposal of Excess Spoil
 - R 1 Handling of Acid or Toxic Materials
 - S 1 Highwall Elimination
 - T 3 Downslope Spoil Disposal
 - U 1 Post Mining Land Use
 - V 3 Cessation of Operations: Temporary
 - W Other _____

California Portland Cement
695 Rancho Ave.
Colton, California 92324

Hidden Valley Mine
Utah Permit #015/007

Oversight Inspection
April 19, 1989

Participants:

Rade H. Orell, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSMRE), Bill Warmack and Daron Haddock Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (DOGGM).

Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report:

The Mine Site Evaluation Inspection Report form has been completed to reflect the oversight inspection. The inspection did not result in the issuance of a Ten-Day Notice by OSMRE or any enforcement action by DOGGM.

Introduction:

The weather was clear to cloudy and mild. Ground conditions were dry. A Pentax IQ Zoom camera was used to photograph areas of interest.

Inspection:

The inspection included field observations as well as a brief records review. Reclamation at the Hidden Valley Mine was completed in December 1986. DOGGM personnel recommended that the bond be reduced by 60 percent in June 1988. The records review was conducted in the offices of DOGGM in Salt Lake City.

Field Inspection - The inspection commenced the afternoon of April 19 and terminated later in the afternoon on the same day. We accessed the reclaimed bench areas via the reclaimed access road. The fact that the access road has been reclaimed necessitated walking into the site.

The access road was ripped and seeded, and mulched as part of the reclamation plan. A fabric netting was used to secure the mulch. We observed that the netting has not been successful. The soil is such that the wire staples used to anchor the netting are not secure when the wind blows. In addition, since the road has been roughened access to the reclaimed benches is restricted. Should the operator need to

perform substantial removal work the road will require grading.

The reclaimed benches include reduced highwalls, graded slopes, a rip-rapped ephemeral channel, and silt fences to control runoff. The sediment pond was removed as part of the final reclamation. The silt fences are parallel to the ephemeral channel on both sides of the structure. They were found to be intact and appeared to have been recently maintained. Silt fences are also located at the toe of the eastern bench and on the slope of the bench. The silt fences on the slope were installed to control erosion. They also appeared to have been recently installed.

The vegetated state of the reclaimed area is marginal. The predominant type of vegetation we observed was annual weeds which were just beginning to emerge at the time of the inspection. One of the DOGM representatives made reference to the weeds as Halogeton.

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT
RANDOM SAMPLE MEIR SUPPLEMENT

1. Permittee California Ported Cement
 2. Permit Number 015/007
 3. Joint Inspection Y Y/N 4. Date 4-19-89

5. Days since Last State Complete Inspection (LSCI) 2-1-89 77
 6. Block 25 Categories in NON-COMPLIANCE this RSI 0
 7. Total Violations this RSI 0

8. List (only once) all violations:
 1) where State enforcement was required and taken during the LSCI;
 2) recorded in the LSCI report but the State failed to take enforcement;
 3) observed during this RSI which clearly existed during the LSCI but the State failed to take enforcement; and;
 4) existing during this RSI which are not already listed under one of the categories above.

LAW /	A					B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
	SPECIFIC STATE REGULATION VIOLATED														
1.	___	___	___	___	___										
Description:															
2.	___	___	___	___	___										
Description:															
3.	___	___	___	___	___										
Description:															
4.	___	___	___	___	___										
Description:															
5.	___	___	___	___	___										
Description:															
6.	___	___	___	___	___										
Description:															
7.	___	___	___	___	___										
Description:															
8.	___	___	___	___	___										
Description:															
9.	___	___	___	___	___										
Description:															
10.	___	___	___	___	___										
Description:															

None

- | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| <p>STATE ACTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Existed on LSCI, cited 2) Existed on LSCI, not cited 3) Cited Prior to LSCI, Abatement Pending 4) Occurred since LSCI | <p>STATE'S REASON FOR NOT CITING VIOLATION (AFTER DISCUSSION WITH THE STATE)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Not a Violation 2) Precluded by State Policy 3) Not included under State Program 4) Warning given in lieu of a Citation 5) Violation not recognized (missed) 6) Practice allowed under approved Permit 7) Too minor to cite 8) Working with Operator to Correct 9) Other: | <p>CAUSES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Permit Defect 2) Unusual Weather Conditions 3) Unofficial Waiver 4) Operator Negligence 5) Other: | <p>PROBABILITY OF EVENT OCCURRENCE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) None or Unlikely 2) Likely 3) Occurred | <p>IMPACT</p> <p><u>Damage Remains Within the Permit Area</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) None or Minor 2) Moderate 3) Considerable <p><u>Damage Extends Beyond the Permit Area</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4) None or Minor 5) Moderate 6) Considerable <p><u>Obstruction to Enforcement</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7) None or Minor 8) Moderate 9) Considerable | <p>OSMRE ACTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Deferred to State Action 2) TDM issued 3) IN-CD issued 4) Previously Cited, Abatement Pending 5) Abated during or before OSMRE inspection |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|