



INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT

(A) Event Violations (go to (B) if this is an obstruction to enforcement violation)

1. What harmful event was this regulation designed to prevent? (Insert the event listed on the Reference List and remember that the event is not the same as the violation.)

2. If the event has happened, describe it. If it has not happened, what would cause it to happen and how likely is that? (For example, if there is an area for which no sediment control is provided, has runoff from that area reached a stream? If it hasn't reached a stream, what would cause it to get there and how likely is that to happen?)

3. How much damage has already occurred as a result of the violation? Also, is the damage on the permit area or does it extend off the permit area?

4. How much damage might have occurred if the violation had not been discovered by an OSM inspector? Describe this potential damage. Would the damage extend off the permit area?

(B) Obstruction to Enforcement Violations (answer for obstruction violations only, such as violations concerning recordkeeping, monitoring, plans, and certifications)

5. Describe how violation of this regulation actually obstructed enforcement by OSM and/or the public.

AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION BLASTING RECORDS WERE NOT AVAILABLE SO THAT I COULD DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE BLASTING OPERATIONS HAD BEEN CONDUCTED ACCORDING TO THE REGULATIONS TWO WEEKS AFTER THE INSPECTION I RECEIVED A LETTER FROM THE OPERATOR EXPLAINING THAT RECORDS HAD BEEN KEPT AND THAT IF HE HAD BEEN PRESENT AT THE INSPECTION THAT THEY COULD HAVE BEEN MADE AVAILABLE. INCLUDED WITH THE LETTER WAS A COPY OF CURRENT BLASTING RECORDS HOWEVER THESE

II. Degree of Fault (only one question applies to each violation; first decide which question to answer).

6. If you think this violation was not the fault of the operator (perhaps due to vandalism or an act of God, for example), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the minesite.

7. If you think this violation was the result of not knowing about OSM regulations, indifference to OSM regulations, or the result of lack of reasonable care, explain.

NEXT
Pg.

8. If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited.

9. Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition? Did the operator receive prior warning of violation by the State or by OSM concerning this violation? If so, give the dates and the type of warning.

THE OPERATOR WAS TOLD DURING A PREVIOUS PARTIAL INSPECTION 7/12/83 TO MAKE SURE THAT HE HAD COMPLETE AND ACCURATE BLASTING RECORDS OF THE BLASTING OPERATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THEIR BORROW AREA AND UNDISTURBED DIVERSION PROJECT SO THAT I COULD REVIEW DURING THE ALMOST COMPLETE

III. Good Faith INSPECTION.

10. In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, an operator must have taken extraordinary measures to comply as rapidly as possible. The violation must have been abated before the time set for abatement. If you think this applies, describe how rapid the compliance was and what extraordinary measures the operator took.

9/9/83

Date

David [Signature]

Authorized Representative

(B) CONTINUED

*RECORDS WERE INCOMPLETE AND THEREFORE STILL IN VIOLATION.
SEE ATTACHED LETTER & BLASTING RECORDS*

Dave L

NATOMAS
TRAIL
MOUNTAIN
COAL
COMPANY

August 25, 1983

State of Utah
Division of Oil, Gas and Mining
4241 State Office Bldg.
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Attention: Dave Loft

Dear Dave,

On the last inspection of Trail Mountain Mine, I was not available to make the inspection rounds with you. During that inspection, blasting records were not made available for your inspection. Records of blasting activities have been kept at the mine site on all blasting occurrences.

Enclosed is a copy of the blasting records kept on the culvert extension blasting.

Sincerely,

Allen Childs / Chief Engineer



Enclosure

cc: Joe Fielder

WALCO
 WASH STATE
 WASHINGTON

DATE	LOCATION	TIME	WEATHER (TEMP, WIND)	TYPE OF MATERIAL	# OF HOLES	BURDEN (FEET)	DIA. & DEPTH	TYPE OF EXPLOSIVE	TOTAL WEIGHT OF EXPLOSIVE	IGNITION SYSTEM	LENGTH OF STEMMING	PROTECTION USED	T.V.S. # OF DELAYS USED	# OF PERM ON AREA
7/11/83	RAIL MINE SITE	4:00 PM	CLEAR WINDY 75°	SAND STONE	12	SURFACE ROCK	1 1/4" / 5'	FOAMS- BLEN	5-6 lbs	ELECTRIC	4'	BEATING	#1235	3 MEN
7/13/83	COLLECT AREA	8:00 AM 4:00 PM	CLEAR	[Wavy line]	14 / 12	NO BURDEN	1 1/4" / 4'6"6"	[Wavy line]	[Wavy line]	[Wavy line]	3-4'	[Wavy line]	#1	[Wavy line]
7/15/83	CREEK AREA	4:30 PM	DRY COOL		10	SURFACE ROCK	1 1/4" / 4'				3'		#1 1/2 2'	
7/16/83	MINE SITE	2	CLEAR		10	"	1 1/4" / 4-5'				3-4		#1 1/2 2'	
7/26/83	HIGH LAND AREA	4:00	RAIN 60°		12	"	2" / 4'6"				3-4		#1 1/2 2"	
7/27/83	"	5:00	RAIN COOL		10	2'	2" / 4-6"				"			
7/28/83	"	5:30	CLEAR COOL		8	NO BURDEN	2" / 6'				5'			
8/3/83	BURROW AREA	4:11	RAIN COOL		10	SURFACE ROCK	2" / 4-6"				3-4'			