STATE OF UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Division of Oil, Gas & Mining
3 Triad Center · Suite 350 · Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 · (801) 538-5340

0008



# inspection report

☑ Partial
☐ Complete
☐ Exploration

Inspection Date: Sept 6, 1995
Time: 8 : 00 ☐am ☐pm to 1 : 00 ☐am ☑pm
Date of Last Inspection: 8/10/95

Mine Name: Trail Mtn County: Emery Permit Number: Act 015/009
Permittee and/or Operator's Name: PacifiCorp / Energy West
Business Address: P.O. Box 1005, Huntington, Ut 84528
Type of Mining Activity: ☑ Underground ☐ Surface ☐ Prep. Plant ☐ Other
State Officials(s): Bill Malencik
Company Official(s): Karl Houskeeper
Federal Official(s): N/A
Weather Conditions: Clear
Existing Acreage: Permitted-1450 Disturbed-248 Regraded-____ Seeded-____ Bonded-248
Increased/Decreased: Permitted-____ Disturbed-____ Regraded-____ Seeded-____ Bonded-____
Status: ☐ Exploration / ☑ Active / ☐ Inactive / ☐ Temporary Cessation / ☐ Bond Forfeiture
☐ Reclamation (☐ Phase I / ☐ Phase II / ☐ Final Bond Release / ☐ Liability____Year)

## REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS & PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

Instructions
1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
   a. For complete inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check N/A.
   b. For partial inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by referencing the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments.

| | EVALUATED | N/A | COMMENTS | NOV/ENF |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. PERMITS, CHANGE, TRANSFER, RENEWAL, SALE | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. SIGNS AND MARKERS | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. TOPSOIL | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE: | | | | |
| a. DIVERSIONS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| b. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| c. OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES | ☑ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| d. WATER MONITORING | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| e. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 5. EXPLOSIVES | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 6. DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL/FILLS/BENCHES | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 7. COAL MINE WASTE/REFUSE PILES/IMPOUNDMENTS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 8. NONCOAL WASTE | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 9. PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 10. SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 11. CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 13. REVEGETATION | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 15. CESSATION OF OPERATIONS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 16. ROADS: | | | | |
| a. CONSTRUCTION/MAINTENANCE/SURFACING | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| b. DRAINAGE CONTROLS | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 17. OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 18. SUPPORT FACILITIES/UTILITY INSTALLATIONS | ☑ | ☐ | ☑ | ☐ |
| 19. AVS CHECK (4th Quarter-April, May, June)____(date) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 20. AIR QUALITY PERMIT | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 21. BONDING & INSURANCE | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining



## INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. Act 015/009

Inspection Date 9/6/95

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

General Comments: The mine is still undergoing improvements and construction associated with the conversion of underground mining to a longwall style of mining.

Diversions: Noted that the operator had installed a small pipe, 6" diameter, from the tipple to the concrete lined ditch. The pipe had recently been installed to control wash down water from the tipple.

It appears that the surface crew with the numerous changes taking place on the surface may have a difficult time keeping abreast of approved amendments, pending amendments, and other changes that have not been communicated to the company's permitting personnel. It is important for the surface crew to (1) know what has been approved and what has not been approved (2) to communicate changes that they believe are necessary to the company permitting staff.

Since the diversion change involved the installation of an unpermitted pipe, Mr. Housekeeper was provided two options:

(1) Take a violation or
(2) Remove pipe and allow the water to follow the approved route.

Copy of report mailed to

Copy of report given to

Inspector's signature _____ No. _____

WHITE—DOGM YELLOW—OSM PINK—PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD—NOV FILE

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining



## INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. Act 015/009

Inspection Date 9/6/95

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

Mr. Housekeeper discussed the matter with the surface foreman, He also discussed the matter with Morgan Moon who I assume is the surface foreman's supervisor.

After the close out, discussed this matter with Val Payne who is the person in charge of permitting and environmental compliance for the permittee. Suggested that he write a letter so the surface personnel would have a better understanding what they can and cannot do. On 9/12/95 such a letter was written by Val Payne to Morgan Moon.

Its my position that in light of the circumstances more could be accomplished with the removal, management attention, and followup than could be with a compliance action.

In conclusion the runoff from the pad wash down flowed to the same ditch and thence to the pond. However, the routing was changed and a pipe was installed. There is no question that the change in the diversion routing appears to be an improvement, however the operator needs to followup due process in getting such changes approved by the Division.

Copy of report mailed to

Copy of report given to

Inspector's signature No.

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

UTAH NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining



## INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. Act 015/009

Inspection Date 9/6/95

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

Support Facilities. The following observations were made relative to surface facilities:

(1) Chain link fence adjacent to Emery County road was reinstalled and

(2) The shop addition site preparation work was underway during the inspection and

(3) Womens bathouse (trailer) was in place.

Other

The operator has now received a new water monitoring instrument.

Copy of report mailed to P/C/Karl Houskeeper; OSM/Donna Griffin; DOGM/Joe Helfrich

Copy of report ~~given to~~ filed PFO

Inspector's signature Wm. J. Malencik No. 26

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

9/18/95

DOGM/IR-2

an equal opportunity employer

11/86 001049