



inspection report

- Partial
- Complete
- Exploration

Inspection Date: September 10, 1998 # 4
 Time: 8:00 am pm to 1:00 am pm
 Date of Last Inspection: 8/17/98

Mine Name: Trail Mtn County: Emery Permit Number: Act 015/009
 Permittee and/or Operator's Name: Pacifi Corp
 Business Address: P.O. Box 1005, Huntington, UT 84528
 Type of Mining Activity: Underground Surface Prep. Plant Other
 State Official(s): Bill Malenick
 Company Official(s): Dennis Oakley
 Federal Official(s): N/A

Weather Conditions: Clear
 Existing Acreage: Permitted-3538 Disturbed-10⁷ Regraded- Seeded- Bonded-10⁷
 Increased/Decreased: Permitted- Disturbed- Regraded- Seeded- Bonded-
 Status: Exploration / Active / Inactive / Temporary Cessation / Bond Forfeiture
 Reclamation (Phase I / Phase II / Final Bond Release / Liability Year)

REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS & PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

Instructions

1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
 - a. For complete inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check N/A.
 - b. For partial inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by referencing the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments.

	<u>EVALUATED</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>COMMENTS</u>	<u>NOV/ENF</u>
1. PERMITS, CHANGE, TRANSFER, RENEWAL, SALE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. SIGNS AND MARKERS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. TOPSOIL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE:				
a. DIVERSIONS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. WATER MONITORING	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. EXPLOSIVES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL/FILLS/BENCHES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. COAL MINE WASTE/REFUSE PILES/IMPOUNDMENTS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. NONCOAL WASTE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. REVEGETATION	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. CESSATION OF OPERATIONS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16. ROADS:				
a. CONSTRUCTION/MAINTENANCE/SURFACING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. DRAINAGE CONTROLS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17. OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18. SUPPORT FACILITIES/UTILITY INSTALLATIONS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. AVS CHECK (4th Quarter-April, May, June) _____ (date)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20. AIR QUALITY PERMIT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21. BONDING & INSURANCE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. 9/10/98 #9/4/98

Inspection Date Act 015/009

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

General Comments, Walked over the mine site. The mine had received repetitive rain storms. However, the ditches roads were functional.

Diversions, Ditches, berms and culverts were observed and functioning. A drop drain below the trailer was recently cleaned. The material was stored adjacent to the drop drain. Suggested that the material be stored temporarily in a manner so as to preclude from deposition back into the drop drain. Drop drain/culvert on Snow County Road/Sed Pond Needs attention. Sediment Pond contained runoff and level was about one foot below the oil skimmer. The pond was not discharging.

Roads, Roads were in good shape and no runoff was observed nor erosion rills on the roads nor pads.

Underground Mine Meeting- 9/10/98. Received a call at home the evening of Sept 9. Daron Haddock requested I accompany BHM, USFS, and mine officials.

Copy of report mailed to _____

Copy of report given to _____

Inspector's signature _____ No. _____

WHITE - DOGM YELLOW - OSM PINK - PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD - NOV FILE

INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. 9/4/90/98

Inspection Date _____

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

to observe the successive cave-ins that
impacted the longwall See attached memo
to permit supervisor for additional details

Copy of report mailed to Chuck Seabowski/PC; OSM/Henry Austin; DOGM/Joe Helbrich

Copy of report given to Price Field Office

Inspector's signature Tom J. Chalucha No. 26

WHITE - DOGM YELLOW - OSM PINK - PERMITTEE OPERATOR GOLDENROD - NOV FILE



State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
(801) 538-5340
(801) 359-3940 (Fax)

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Ted Stewart
Executive Director
James W. Carter
Division Director

September 11, 1998

To: File

Thru: Joe Helfrich, Permit Supervisor, Compliance 

From: Wm. J. Malencik, Reclamation Specialist 

RE: Longwall Meeting, PacifiCorp, Trail Mountain Mine, ACT/015/009, Folder #2, Emery County, Utah; IE., Information Verbalized Over the Phone, 7:30 a.m. 9/11/98

Date of Meeting September 10, 1998

Length of Meeting 8 Hours (9:00a.m.-5:00p.m.)

Who Requested Meeting: PacifiCorp through BLM

Where Meeting Held: Trail Mountain Mine Site and USFS Office

People Present:

PacifiCorp:	Larry LaFrentz, Earl Snow, Karl Polastro
BLM:	Stan Perks, Barry Grossley
USFS:	Carter Reed
DOGM:	Bill Malencik

Goal of Meeting: Determine disposition of longwall in light of September 9, 1998 final 125 foot cave-in.

Conclusion of Meeting: No decision made on disposition of the longwall (see Follow-up).

PacifiCorp would provide additional documentation to BLM on the following:

- (1) Longwall components that would remain and what would be removed.
- (2) Fluid specifications.
- (3) Photos.
- (4) Letter from MSHA regarding hazard/safety.
- (5) Letter from MTA concerning prior salvage discussion.



Longwall Meeting
ACT/015/009
September 11, 1998
Page 2

Follow-up: Conference call among agencies 8:30 a.m., September 11, 1998 to decide on a course of action.

Observations:

- BLM has the delegated authority by virtue of lease stipulation to act on the matter.
- USFS has concerns on using mines for deposition of solid waste. USDA recent involvement was mentioned.
- MSHA verbal inspectors position as reduced to writing by a PacifiCorp employee looks like MSHA has safety concerns.
- Absent information to the contrary, I only expressed opinions and concerns on what I saw.
- A key questions what are the similarities and dissimilarities, Cyprus vs Trail, longwall problem.

After the smoke clears, it's my perception that:

- (1) Safety reasons will ultimately override environmental concern.
- (2) Agencies, it does not appear, collectively nor individually, have hard environmental data to support a BLM decision to remove the longwall.
- (3) It being understood, at this point in time, some items of concern can be removed so long as safety is not compromised. Further delays will perhaps bring on additional cave-ins and preclude the removal of some agreed-to items. Also, a BLM removal decision, if appealed, would result in delays.
- (4) It appears to me there was concensus among attendees that a quick, moderate-quality decision would ultimately be more fruitful than a high-quality decision made untimely.

sd
cc:

Barry Grossley, BLM, Price
Stan Perks, BLM, SLC
Carter Reed, USFS, Price
Karl Polastro, PacifiCorp, Huntington
Larry, LaFrentz, PacifiCorp, Huntington
Earl Snow, PacifiCorp, Huntington
Daron Haddock, DOGM, SLC