



State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Kathleen Clarke
Executive Director
Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-7223 (TDD)

December 15, 2000

TO: [REDACTED]

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor *NOB 7A*

FROM: James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist *JPS*

RE: 2000 First Quarter Water Monitoring, Energy West Mining Company, Trail Mountain Mine, [REDACTED] WQ00-1

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [X] NO []

Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

SW-1 inaccessible for operational sample in March;
Trail Mountain springs are not monitored during the first quarter.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.

See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

Renewal submittal due 10/21/04, renewal due 02/21/05. Baseline analyses were performed in 1996 and will be repeated every 5 years, i.e., next baseline analyses will be in 2001.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

4. Were irregularities found in the data? YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

SW-2 (March): Cl outside two standard deviation range;
SW-3 (March): Cl, Na, TDS, total anions, total cations, conductivity outside two standard

deviation range and exceed maximum values recorded in the APPX database;
T-19 (March): Na and TDS outside two standard deviation range and Na exceeds
maximum values recorded in the APPX database.

5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?

1st month, YES [X] NO []

2nd month, YES [X] NO []

3rd month, YES [X] NO []

Identify sites and months not monitored:

6. Were all required DMR parameters reported?

YES [X] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data?

YES [] NO [X]

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

Several values were outside the two standard deviation range. Recommended action is to watch for trends in these parameters.

sm

O:\015009.TMT\Water Quality\WQ_00-1.wpd