

September 27, 2016

Permit Supervisor, Utah Coal Regulatory Program
Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining
1594 West North Temple, Suite 121
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5801


Re: Disturbed Area Boundary and Legal Description Change, Fossil Rock Resources, LLC, Permit Number C/015/0009, Task ID# 5161

Dear Sirs:

Please find enclosed with this letter an amendment to the Fossil Rock Permit to address a change to the disturbed area boundary. Approximately 2.86 acres have been added along the eastern edge of the current disturbed area. The amendment also address the deficiencies associated with revisions to the text and plates. Entire chapters have been printed for ease of review, even though only specified pages have been changed.

If you have questions or need addition information please contact Vicky Miller at (435)286-4481.

CANYON FUEL COMPANY, Fossil Rock Mine


for

Rick Parkins
General Manager

Encl.

cc: DOGM Correspondence File

RECEIVED

SEP 30 2016

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

APPLICATION FOR COAL PERMIT PROCESSING

Permit Change New Permit Renewal Exploration Bond Release Transfer

Permittee: Canyon Fuel Company, LLC

Mine: Fossil Rock Resources, LLC

Permit Number: C/015/0009

Title: Disturbed Area Boundary and Legal Description Text and Plate Changes, Task ID#5161

Description, Include reason for application and timing required to implement:

Instructions: If you answer yes to any of the first eight (gray) questions, this application may require Public Notice publication.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | 1. Change in the size of the Permit Area? Acres: 2.86 Disturbed Area: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> increase <input type="checkbox"/> decrease. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 2. Is the application submitted as a result of a Division Order? DO# _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 3. Does the application include operations outside a previously identified Cumulative Hydrologic Impact Area? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 4. Does the application include operations in hydrologic basins other than as currently approved? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 5. Does the application result from cancellation, reduction or increase of insurance or reclamation bond? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 6. Does the application require or include public notice publication? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | 7. Does the application require or include ownership, control, right-of-entry, or compliance information? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | 8. Is proposed activity within 100 feet of a public road or cemetery or 300 feet of an occupied dwelling? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 9. Is the application submitted as a result of a Violation? NOV # _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 10. Is the application submitted as a result of other laws or regulations or policies?
<i>Explain:</i> _____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | 11. Does the application affect the surface landowner or change the post mining land use? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 12. Does the application require or include underground design or mine sequence and timing? (Modification of R2P2) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 13. Does the application require or include collection and reporting of any baseline information? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 14. Could the application have any effect on wildlife or vegetation outside the current disturbed area? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 15. Does the application require or include soil removal, storage or placement? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 16. Does the application require or include vegetation monitoring, removal or revegetation activities? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 17. Does the application require or include construction, modification, or removal of surface facilities? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 18. Does the application require or include water monitoring, sediment or drainage control measures? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | 19. Does the application require or include certified designs, maps or calculation? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 20. Does the application require or include subsidence control or monitoring? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 21. Have reclamation costs for bonding been provided? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 22. Does the application involve a perennial stream, a stream buffer zone or discharges to a stream? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 23. Does the application affect permits issued by other agencies or permits issued to other entities? |

Please attach four (4) review copies of the application. If the mine is on or adjacent to Forest Service land please submit five (5) copies, thank you. (These numbers include a copy for the Price Field Office)

I hereby certify that I am a responsible official of the applicant and that the information contained in this application is true and correct to the best of my information and belief in all respects with the laws of Utah in reference to commitments, undertakings, and obligations, herein.

Jacob D. Smith
Print Name

JDS, Engr. Mngn. 9/27/16
Sign Name, Position, Date

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27 day of September, 2016

Jacquelyn Nebeker
Notary Public
My commission expires: _____, 20____
Attest: State of _____ } ss:
County of _____



<p>For Office Use Only:</p>	<p>Assigned Tracking Number:</p>	<p>Received by Oil, Gas & Mining</p> <p style="text-align: center; color: blue; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">RECEIVED</p> <p style="text-align: center; color: red; font-weight: bold;">SEP 30 2016</p> <p style="text-align: center; color: blue; font-weight: bold;">DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING</p>
------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---

GENERAL CHAPTER ONE

TABLE 1-2
THREE YEAR VIOLATION HISTORY OF MINING AND RECLAMATION OPERATIONS

Facility	Permit Number	Date	Violation Description
Bowie Resources, LLC Mailing Address: PO Box 483 Paonia, CO 81428	C-1996-083	01/14/2013	NOV # CV-2013-001 Failure to obtain technical revision for a modification to the terms or requirement of the permit for design changes for a regulated structure. Failure to obtain final approval, including performance bond. Failure to save topsoil and subsoils. Terminated 2/27/13.
	C-1996-083	05/16/2013	NOV # CV-2013-004 Failure to transport and place refuse material in Gob Pile #2, failure to place refuse in a controlled manner, failure to consider steepness of slopes, seepage, and other visible factors which could indicate potential failure, failure to maintain a minimum static safety factory of 1.5, and failure to divert surface runoff from the fill surface on Gob Pile #2 and #4. Terminated 10/2/13

General Chapter One - Table 1-2
 May July 2016

Facility	Permit Number	Date	Violation Description
Gordon Creek 2, 7 & 8 Mines	C/007/0016	11/5/14	Citation #13154 Failure to maintain and update identification signs. Vacated 1-20-15
Skyline Mine	C/007/0005	1/08/15	Citation N#15149 Terminated 01/14/15 Vacated 3/10/15
		5/27/16	Citation #: 21182 Contributing suspended solids to stream
Sufco Mine Mailing Address: 597 South SR 24 Salina, UT 84654	C041/0002	10/1/14	Citation #: 13148 Failure to convey runoff from waste rock site to the sediment pond. Abatement Submitted 12/19/14 Vacated 1/12/15
	C041/0002	10/1/14	Citation #: 13149 Failure to protect topsoil during construction of the waste rock expansion site. Vacated 11/20/14
	C041/0002	10/1/14	Citation #: 13150 Failure to protect topsoil at the #1 topsoil storage area. Vacated 11/20/14
	C041/0002	10/1/14	Citation #: 13151 - Failure to conduct mining only as described in the approved M&RP. Modified 10/15/14 Abatement Submitted 6/27/14, 10/14, 12/9/14 Vacated 1/12/2015
	C041/0002	10/1/14	Citation #: 13153 - Failure to conduct mining only as described in the approved M&RP. Vacated 11/20/14
	C041/0002	11/12/14	Citation #:13156 MRP does not contain current information, General Chapter 1 - Resident Agent, Insurance Certificates Partial Termination 1/6/15 2 nd part of Abatement submitted 1/12/15 Vacated 1/20/15
	C041/0002	11/12/14	Citation #:13157 - Failure to control non-coal waste Terminated 12/24/14 Vacated 1/20/15
	C041/0002	11/12/14	Citation #: 13158 Failure to display identification sign. Vacated 12/17/14

General Chapter One - Table 1-2
May July 2016

Facility	Permit Number	Date	Violation Description
Fossil Rock Mine 225 North 5 th Street Grand Junction, CO 81501	C/015/0009	04/14/2016	Not properly maintained diversions at waste rock site as designed in M&RP. Termination Pending

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF PERMIT APPLICATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section No.	Description	Page No.
1.1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.2	SCOPE OF OPERATION.....	2
1.3	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.....	3

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
1-1	Location Map of the Mine (Refer to Plate 3-4)	

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Fossil Rock Mine is located in Cottonwood Canyon, 12 miles west of Orangeville, Utah (See Figure 1-1). A mining and reclamation permit, ACTC/015/009, which incorporates the Office of Surface Mining (OSM) permit UT-0017, was issued by the State of Utah, Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (DOG M) to Trail Mountain Coal Company on February 19, 1985. The subsequent permit, ACT-C/015/009-1, was modified to include the Tract II area on April 30, 1987. A permit transfer from Arch Minerals (Trail Mountain Coal Co.) to Beaver Creek Coal Company (Trail Mountain No. 9 Mine) was approved by DOGM on 11/23/87. A permit transfer from Beaver Creek Coal Company (Trail Mountain No. 9 Mine) to PacifiCorp (Mine) was approved on 11/13/92. A permit transfer from PacifiCorp (Trail Mountain Mine) to Fossil Rock Resources, LLC (Fossil Rock Mine) was approved on October 8, 2015.

PacifiCorp notified the Division of temporary cessation of coal mining operations at the Mine effective May 4, 2001. Coal mining at the Mine ceased as of March 15, 2001. In preparation of temporary cessation, all mining equipment including:” production (longwall and continuous miner), belt haulage and electrical were removed from the mine. Verification of equipment removal was conducted on April 6, 2001 with the Bureau of Land Management (Steve Falk) and Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (Pete Hess) participating in the review. A plan to construct permanent seals was submitted to and approved by Mine Safety Health Administration. Sealing of the mine portals was completed on May 1, 2001.

The following Permit Application will focus on the entire mine which from this time forth will be referred to as the Fossil Rock Mine.

The surface facilities are located in Sections 25, T.17S, R 6 E., SLB&M, in Emery County, twelve miles west of Orangeville, Utah.

Historically, the Trail Mountain Mine has been developed to operate with longwalls and continuous miners in the Hiawatha Coal Seam. The Hiawatha seam is the only minable seam in the Mine area. The historic Trail Mountain Mine operated approximately 220 days per year, three shifts per day, eight hours per shift with two production shifts and one maintenance shift. Annual production from the Mine has been approximately 3,500,000 to 5,000,000 tons. Annual production was dictated by the demand requirements of the Hunter Power Plant.

1.2 SCOPE OF OPERATION

The Mine is an underground coal operation. The Trail Mountain operations have historically extended throughout Sections 25, 26, 27, 34, 35, and 36 in Township 17 South, Range 6 East; and Sections 1, 2, and 3 in Township 18 South, Range 6 East; and Section 6 in Township 18 South, Range 7 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, Emery County, Utah. ~~The underground working covered an area of approximately 773.50 acres of Federal leases and fee coal lands.~~ For the legal and financial information for the Fossil Rock Mine, refer to the General Chapter 1 binder and Chapter 2. The waste rock site that serves the mine is located in Section 34, Township 17 South, Range 7 East.

Underground mining occurs in the Hiawatha seam of the Blackhawk Formation. Surface facilities, including the offices, bathhouse, shop, storage facilities and tipple are located at the

intersection of the coal seam and the canyon floor. ~~Surface facilities occupy approximately 40.39 acres. The waste rock site disturbance adds an addition 15.82 acres.~~

1.3 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Similar to many other coal operations along the east front of the Wasatch Plateau, the Mine is located in a steep walled canyon. Vegetation, soils, geology, hydrology, and wildlife of the mine plan area are typical of the general area.

As mining has been conducted in the area for over 50 years with rather minimal impact to the environmental resources, it is expected that continued operation of the Mine will also have minimal impact. Impacts are expected to be minimal due to implementation of mining practices which incorporate sound and practical engineering and environmental considerations in the mine planning process.

CHAPTER 2
LEGAL AND FINANCIAL

**FOSSIL ROCK MINE
LEGAL, FINANCIAL, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED INFORMATION**

This application for a mining and/or reclamation permit is submitted to the State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources, Division of Oil, Gas and Mining, in accordance with the Utah Coal Mining and Reclamation Act, Title 40, Chapter 10, U.C.A., 1953 (as amended); the applicable rules and regulations adopted thereunder; the Surface Mining Control & Reclamation Act of 1977, and applicable regulations adopted thereunder (30 CFR 770, et seq.), the Cooperative Agreement between the State of Utah and the United States Secretary of Interior, and other applicable laws and regulations.

R645-301-100 GENERAL CONTENTS

Additional Legal and Financial Information pertaining to the Fossil Rock Mine is located in the Supplemental Volume entitled: GENERAL CHAPTER 1

Business Entity: Fossil Rock Resources, LLC is a Limited Liability Company organized under the laws of Delaware on August 29, 2014 and is owned 100% by Canyon Fuel Company, LLC. Canyon Fuel Company LLC a Limited Liability Company organized under the laws of Delaware in December 1996 which in turn is owned 100% by Bowie Resource Partners, LLC a Delaware Limited Liability Company. Refer to General Chapter 1 for addition organizational structure.

Applicant: Operations, Administration, Permit Revisions and Amendments
Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
225 North 5th Street, 9th Floor
Grand Junction, CO 81501
Telephone: (970)263-5130

Operator: Fossil Rock Resources, LLC (See information above)

Resident Agent: CSC Lawyers Incorporating Service Company
421 West Main
Frankfort, KY 40601
(800) 927-9800

Contact Person: Eugene E. DiClaudio
 Canyon Fuel Company, LLC
 225 North 5th Street, 9th Floor
 Grand Junction, CO 81501
 Telephone: (970) 263-5130

Person Who Will Pay Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fees:

Eugene E. DiClaudio
 Canyon Fuel Company, LLC
 225 North 5th Street, 9th Floor
 Grand Junction, CO 81501
 Telephone: (970) 263-5130

Employer ID#: 47-1742876

Right of Entry Information

See information below regarding surface and subsurface ownership and right of entry to underground coal mining operations. For surface and coal ownership, see Plate 4-1.

		Right of Entry Acres	Permit Acres
Fossil Rock	Federal Coal Leases		
	UTU-64375*	260	260
	UTU-49332*	380	380
	UTU-82996*	80	80
	UTU-65027**	25.87	25.85
	Private Coal Leases		
	Fee*	56.36	56.36
	Total	802.23	802.21

*Mine Plan Acreage: 776.36

**Waste Rock Lease Acreage: 25.87

Surface Right of Entry Information: The disturbed area at the Fossil Rock Mine is owned by Fossil Rock Resources, LLC. The disturbed area at the waste rock site is leased under Federal Lease UTU-65027.

Disturbed Area Acreage: In 2016 approximately 2.86 acres was added to the disturbed area acreage of 10.39, increasing the acreage to 13.25 acres. The additional acreage includes lands between the center line of Cottonwood Creek to the western edge of the county road and from the northern to the southern end of the disturbed area. Also see Section 3.2.11 in Chapter 3.

Mine Site Permit Area Legal Description – (776.36 Acres more or less)

Township 17 South, Range 6 East, SLM

Section 25: S1/2 NW1/4, SW1/4, Portions of the SW1/4 NE1/4, Portions of the NW1/4SE1/4, and Portions of the SW1/4SE1/4: (280 Acres)

Section 26: S1/2 SW1/4, SE1/4 NE1/4, E ½ SW1/4 NE ¼, E1/2 SE1/4, E1/2 SW1/4 NE 1/4, SW1/4 SE1/4: (280 Acres)

Section 27: S1/2 S1/2 (160 Acres)

Waste Rock Site Permit Area Legal Description – (25.85 Acres more or less)

Township 17 South, Range 7 East, SLM

Section 34: Beginning at point N82° 39' 28" W, 809.58 feet from the east 1/4 corner of Sec. 34; thence, S 74° 09' 46" W, 246.23 feet; thence, S 27° 14' 28" W, 647.59 feet; thence, S 46° 59' 05" W, 165.64 feet; thence, S 76° 41' 51" W, 264.72 feet; thence, N 72° 09' 12" W, 670.20 feet; thence, S 06° 10' 47" W, 105.57 feet; thence, S 23° 08' 12" W, 35.27 feet; thence, S 36° 59' 41" W, 71.59 feet; thence, S 40° 44' 45" W, 114.04 feet; thence, S 23° 37' 34" W, 93.77 feet; thence, S 60° 40' 32" W, 113.86 feet; thence, S 05° 17' 52" E, 108.19 feet; thence, S 23° 20' 37" E, 105.29 feet; thence, S 24° 38' 51" W, 61.70 feet; thence, S 31° 19' 19" E, 129.90 feet; thence, S 29° 19' 58" E, 80.45 feet; thence, S 24° 11' 44" E, 104.97 feet; thence, S 47° 47' 54" E, 168.95 feet; thence, S 40° 17' 54" E, 87.31 feet; thence, S 17° 50' 49" W, 43.32 feet; thence, S 72° 11' 49" E, 213.13 feet; thence, S 78° 08' 28" E, 287.64 feet; thence, N 11° 43' 23" E, 86.24 feet; thence, N 73° 40' 14" E, 120.87 feet; thence, N 17° 04' 33" E, 74.31 feet; thence, N 14° 20' 36"

W, 65.70 feet; thence, N 17° 05' 06" E, 75.21 feet; thence, N 09° 13' 24" W, 65.92 feet; thence, N 12° 54' 35" W, 99.73 feet; thence, N 02° 44' 30" W, 82.47 feet; thence, N 08° 32' 17" W, 85.51 feet; thence, N 01° 39' 36" W, 104.82 feet; thence, N 17° 50' 48" E, 218.03 feet; thence, N 76° 41' 51" E, 353.88 feet; thence, N 27° 14' 28" E, 629.52 feet; thence, N 50° 42' 06" E, 123.74 feet; thence, N 74° 09' 48" E, 113.70 feet; thence, N 15° 50' 13" W, 150.00 feet; to the point of beginning. Said parcel contains 25.85 acres more or less.

Mining Schedule

Refer to Sheet 1 (Appendix 2), for the location of mining within currently permitted leases and private fee coal. The Mine Plan covers three years (2018 – 2020) of proposed mining falling within the existing leases.

Reclamation

Reclamation is discussed in Chapter 3, Section 3.5.

APPENDIX 2

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND
WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO:

Stoel Rives, LLP
Attn: Richard R. Hall
201 S. Main St., Suite 1100
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

(Space Above For Recorder's Use)

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE
689406 CP

GRANT of TEMPORARY EASEMENT

Tax Parcel No. L3-0011-0003

PACIFICORP, an Oregon corporation, having a mailing address of 1407 West North Temple, Suite 310, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116, as "**Grantor**", for good and valuable consideration, receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged hereby GRANTS, BARGAINS, SELLS, and CONVEYS to FOSSIL ROCK RESOURCES, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, having a mailing address of 6100 Dutchmans Lane, 9th Floor, Louisville, Kentucky 40205, as "**Grantee**," a non-exclusive temporary easement and right of way for vehicular and pedestrian ingress and egress (the "**Temporary Easement**") over and across that certain parcel of real property owned by Grantor located in Emery County, State of Utah, together with any and all interests, rights and appurtenances thereto, as well as access to and the right to utilize any and all improvements thereon, as more particularly described in Exhibit A attached hereto (described hereinafter as the "**Real Property**").

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the Temporary Easement unto Grantee and its successors and assigns, until such time as Grantor amends its mine permit from the Utah Board of Oil, Gas and Mining for the Cottonwood Wilberg Mine (the "**Mine Permit**") and removes the Real Property from the Mine Permit.

Grantor covenants that as soon as practicable after amendment of the Mine Permit, Grantor will convey fee title for the Real Property to Grantee by Special Warranty Deed, and this Temporary Easement shall terminate.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Grantor has executed this Grant of Temporary Easement as of this 5th day of June, 2015.

PACIFICORP,
an Oregon corporation




Cindy A. Crane
President/CEO - PacifiCorp dba Rocky Mountain Power

STATE OF UTAH)
 :SS
COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)

The forgoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 5th day of June, 2015, CINDY A. CRANE, the President/CEO of the PacifiCorp dba Rocky Mountain Power, an Oregon corporation.

Witness my hand and official seal.



Candace A. Tippetts

Notary Public

My Commission expires: 6/4/2017

Residing at: Middletown, UT

Exhibit A

Parcel East of Cottonwood Creek and West of Cottonwood Canyon Road

Legal Description

That certain parcel of land located in Emery County, State of Utah, as more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point which is West, 116 Rods, more or less from the Northeast corner of the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 25, Township 17 South, Range 6 East, SLB&M (S 89°46'01" W, 1898.88 feet along 40 acre line by survey), said point being at the center of Cottonwood creek and running thence in a Southerly direction along center of said Creek to a point 84 Rods more or less, West of the Southeast corner of the Northeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of said Section 25 (S 89°20'20" W, 1482.82 feet along 40 acre line by survey); thence N 89°20'20" E, 68.64 feet to the centerline of Cottonwood Canyon Road; thence N 18°32'22" W, 211.65 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 18°00'23" W, 236.55 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 13°36'45" W, 219.23 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 10°17'37" W, 104.54 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 08°20'49" W, 350.40 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 10°33'11" W, 476.22 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 24°01'08" W, 163.55 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 20°01'04" W, 87.46 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 10°00'01" W, 150.85 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 02°27'38" W, 152.52 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 01°54'03" E, 211.25 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 00°12'51" W, 242.02 feet along centerline of said road; thence N 10°41'22" E, 106.12 feet along centerline of said road to the north line of the South half of the Northeast quarter of said Section 25; thence S 89°46'01" W, 48.79 feet along 40 acre line to the point of beginning.

Containing 2.86 acres more or less.

CERTIFICATE

To PacificCorp, Fossil Fuel Resources, LLC and First American Title Insurance Company

This is to certify that this map or plat and the survey on which it is based were made in accordance with the 2011 Minimum Standard Detail Requirements for ALTA/ACSM Land Title Surveys, jointly established and adopted by ALTA and NSPS, and include none of Table A through F. The field work was completed on May 14, 2015.

Date of Plat or Map: May 15, 2015

Lee M. Swasey
Utah PLS 18364



LOCATION OF SURVEY

Located within the Northwest quarter of the Southeast quarter and the Southwest quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 25, Township 17 South, Range 9 East, 30 S.B.M. Range 9 East, Salt Lake base and Meridian.

SECTION 25, AMENDMENT NO. 2, PARCELS 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25

Beginning at the Northwest corner of the Northwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 25, Township 17 South, Range 9 East, 30 S.B.M. thence North 180 rods, thence East 44 rods, more or less to the center line of Cottonwood Creek, thence in a southerly direction along the centerline of said Cottonwood Creek to a point 78 rods, more or less East of beginning, thence West, 78 rods, more or less to the point of beginning.

Containing 54.26 acres more or less.

BASIS OF BEARINGS

Bearings shown as measured the South of bearing to N 69° 49' 01" E along the North line of the South half of the Northeast quarter of Section 25, T. 17 S. R. 9 E. S.B.M.

PURPOSE OF SURVEY

Surveyed at the request of PacificCorp to perform an ALTA / ACSM Land Title Survey.

The survey was based on a Commitment for Title Insurance issued by First American Title Insurance Company National Commercial Services, Order number HCS08000-01-CL, Effective date May 5, 2015.

NARRATIVE

The survey was based on found Section Corner monuments; the Section was subdivided in accordance with the BLM Manual of Instructions.

MONUMENTS FOUND






Denotes Section monument found.

MONUMENTS SET:

Denotes a #5 nail with a 1" aluminum cap set on by marked L&T ENGLS 18364 and additionally stamped as shown on drawing.

SCHEDULE B - SECTION 2 EXCEPTIONS AMENDMENT NO. 2

- Exception 1 Not applicable to survey.
- Exception 2 Any facts, rights, interests or claims which are not shown by the public records but which could be ascertained by an inspection of said land or by making inquiry of persons in possession of the land.
- Surveyor Comment: No rights, interests or claims were apparent at the time of this survey.
- Exception 3 Easements, claims of easements or encumbrances which are not shown by the public record.
- Surveyor Comment: No easements, claims of easements or encumbrances were apparent at the time of this survey.
- Exception 4 Dispositions, conflicts in boundary lines, shortage area, encroachments and any other facts which a careful survey would disclose, and which are not shown by the public records.
- Surveyor Comment: The Exception 4 surveyor comment on plat. Exact area could not be determined because of indeterminate boundary along the East side of property. Unless shown herein no encumbrances were apparent at the time of this survey.
- Exception 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 Not applicable to survey.
- Exception 12 Any rights associated with the County Road or Cottonwood Canyon Road as disclosed on an aerial photo and in the Acknowledgment of Right-of-Way by the USA, as recorded on May 7, 2008 as entry No. 380382.
- Surveyor Comment: Document does not apply to private property.
- Exception 14 Not applicable to survey.



SECTION	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	S&E	SCALE
25	17 S	9 E	SH 2413	1" = 100'
101	17 S	9 E	SH 2413	1" = 100'

ALTA/ACSM LAND TITLE SURVEY
PACIFICCORP TRAIL MT. MINE

Lee M. Swasey
Professional Land Surveyor 18364
P O Box 487, Castle Dale, UT 84513
(435) 381-2523 Fax (435) 381-2522 e-mail l@elvr.net

REVISION	DATE	BY	CHECKED
1	05/15/15	LSW	MSW
2	05/15/15	LSW	MSW
3	05/15/15	LSW	MSW

**CHAPTER 3
ENGINEERING**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section No.	Description	Page No.
3.1	SCOPE.....	1
3.2	SURFACE FACILITIES.....	1
3.2.1	Site Selection and Preparation	1
3.2.2	Portals.....	2
3.2.3	Surface Building and Structures	2
3.2.4	Coal Handling, Processing, Preparation, and Storage.....	3
3.2.5	Power System Transmission Lines, Substation, Mine Feeders	5
3.2.6	Water Supply System.....	6
3.2.7	Sewage System	6
3.2.8	Water Diversion Structures.....	7
3.2.9	Sedimentation Control Structures and Water Treatment Facilities.....	7
3.2.10	Transportation, Roads, Parking Areas.....	10
3.2.11	Total Area for Surface Disturbance During the Permit Term.....	11
3.2.12	Detailed Construction Schedule	11
3.3	OPERATION PLAN	12
3.3.1	Mining Plans.....	12
3.3.1.1	Orientation and Multiple Seam Considerations.....	12
3.3.1.2	Portals, Shafts, and Slopes	13
3.3.1.3	Mining Methods – Continuous Miner and Longwall.....	13
3.3.1.4	Projected Mine Development – Mains, Submains, Panels, Etc.....	15
3.3.1.5	Retreat Mining	16
3.3.1.6	Roof Control, Ventilation, Water Syst., Dust Sup., Dewatering, Electrical, Etc.....	16
3.3.2	Barrier Pillars.....	16
3.3.2.1	Protection of Oil and Gas Wells.....	19
3.3.2.2	Protection of Surface Structures and Streams.....	19

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

3.3.2.3 Boundaries 19

3.3.2.4 Outcrop Protection 19

3.3.3 Conservation of Coal Resources 20

 3.3.3.1 Projected Maximum Recovery 20

 3.3.3.2 Justification of Non-Recovery 20

 3.3.3.3 Access to Future Reserves 20

3.3.4 Equipment Section 22

 3.3.4.1 Surface Equipment 22

 3.3.4.2 Underground Equipment 22

3.3.5 Mine Safety, Fire Protection, and Security 23

 3.3.5.1 Signs 25

 3.3.5.2 Fences and Gates 25

 3.3.5.3 Facilities – Coal Stockpiles, Refuse Piles, Coal Seams 25

 3.3.5.4 Explosive – Storage and Handling 26

3.3.6 Operation Schedule 32

 3.3.6.1 Annual Production Per Year for the Permit Term 39

 3.3.6.2 Operating Schedule – Days, Shifts 39

 3.3.6.3 Operation Employment 39

 3.3.6.4 Temporary Cessation 40

3.3.7 Mine Plan Area 34

 3.3.7.1 Projected Mining By Year 34

 3.3.7.2 Acreage and Delineation 34

3.4 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 34

3.4.1 Preservation of Land Use 35

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

3.4.1.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on Current and Future Land Use..... 35

3.4.1.2 Control Measures to Mitigate Impacts 36

3.4.2 Protection of Cultural Resources 36

3.4.2.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on Cultural Resources 37

3.4.2.2 Control Measures to Mitigate Impacts 37

3.4.3 Protection of Hydrologic Balance..... 38

3.4.3.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on the Groundwater Hydrologic Balance..... 39

3.4.3.2 Control Measures to Mitigate Impacts 41

3.4.3.3 Groundwater Monitoring Plan 41

3.4.3.4 Projected Impacts of Mining on the Surface Water Hydrologic Balance..... 42

3.4.3.5 Mitigation and Control Plans..... 44

3.4.3.6 Surface Water Monitoring Plan..... 44

3.4.4 Preservation of Soil Resources 44

3.4.4.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on Soil Resources..... 45

3.4.4.2 Control Measures to Mitigate Impacts..... 45

3.4.5 Protection of Vegetative Resources 46

3.4.5.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on Vegetative Resources 46

3.4.5.2 Mitigating Measures to Reduce Impacts to Vegetative Resources..... 46

3.4.5.3 Monitoring Procedures – Reference Areas and Revegetation 47

3.4.6 Protection of Fish and Wildlife 47

3.4.6.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on Fish and Wildlife 47

3.4.6.2 Mitigating Measures to be Employed to Protect Fish and Wildlife..... 48

3.4.6.3 Monitoring Procedures 57

3.4.7 Protection of Air Quality..... 57

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

3.4.7.1 Projected Impacts of Mining Operations on Air Quality 50

3.4.7.2 Mitigating Measures to be Employed to Control Air Quality 58

3.4.7.3 Air Quality Monitoring Plans 51

3.4.8 Subsidence Control Plan 51

 3.4.8.1 Projected Subsidence Effects 52

 3.4.8.2 Control Measures to Mitigate Impacts 52

 3.4.8.3 Subsidence Monitoring 54

 3.4.8.4 Slides and Other Damage 54

3.4.9 Waste Disposal 54

3.5 RECLAMATION PLAN 55

3.5.1 Contemporaneous Reclamation 56

3.5.2 Soil Removal and Storage 58

3.5.3 Final Abandonment 58

 3.5.3.1 Sealing of Mine Openings 60

 3.5.3.2 Removal of Surface Facilities 61

 3.5.3.3 Disposition of Dams, Ponds, and Diversions 61

3.5.4 Backfilling and Grading Plan 62

 3.5.4.1 Contouring 65

 3.5.4.2 Removal or Reduction of Highwalls 65

 3.5.4.3 Erosion Control 66

3.5.5 Revegetation Plan 66

 3.5.5.1 Soil Preparation 67

 3.5.5.2 Seeding and Transplanting 68

 3.5.5.2.1 Seed Mix and Rate/Acre 69

 3.5.5.3 Management 77

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

3.5.5.4 Vegetative Monitoring..... 70

3.5.6 Schedule of Reclamation 70

 3.5.6.1 Detailed Timetable for Completion of Reclamation (See Table 3-3)..... 70

 3.5.6.2 Reclamation Monitoring..... 70

3.5.7 Cost Estimate for Reclamation 71

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3-1	Coal Handling Flow Chart	4
3-2	Typical Outside Water System	8
3-3	Typical Underground Water System.....	9
3-4	Typical Main Entry and Panel Development.....	17
3-5	Typical Longwall Retreat Panel.....	18
3-6	Signs.....	27
3-7	Typical Portal Seal.....	63
3-8	Typical Borehole Seal	64
3-9	Typical Mine Reclamation Fence	72

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3-1	Recoverable Reserve Fig. for the Hiawatha Seam.....	21
3-2	Time Table for Final Reclamation Monitoring.....	73
3-3	Time Table for Reclamation Activities at the Trail Mountain Mine.....	74
3-4	Mass Balance Table.....	75

LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.	Description
3-1	Surface Facilities
3-1A	Plot Plan, Gravel Road @ Mine Loadout
3-1B	Typical Road Cross Section @ Mine Loadout
3-2	Mining Plan
3-3	Areas of Coal Recovery
3-4	Permit Area Map
3-5	Post Mining Contour Maps (2) – Reclamation Phase I and Phase II
3-6	Existing and Post Mining Cross-Sections (2)
3-7	Contemporaneous Reclamation
3-8	Abandoned Equipment and Discharge Water Pipelines

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix No.	Description
3-1	Stability Analysis and Reclamation Bond
3-2	Multiple Seam Consideration
3-3	BLM Approval of Resource Recovery Protection Plan
3-3(L)	BLM Approval of Resource Recovery Protection Plan for New Lease
3-4	Operation Plan for Existing Structures
3-5	Cottonwood Canyon Road
3-6	Technical Data Information
3-7	Proposed New Ventilation Portal
3-8	Proposed New Coal Handling Facilities
3-9	Proposed New Bathhouse and Culinary Water
3-10	Facility Modifications and Additions

OPERATION AND RECLAMATION PLAN

3.1 SCOPE

Chapter 3 sets out the plans intended to be undertaken during the permit term and life of the operation. The chapter is divided into five sections: surface facilities, operation plan, environmental protection, reclamation plan, and bibliography.

The Division was notified of temporary cessation of coal mining operations at the Trail Mountain Mine effective May 4, 2001. Refer to Section 3.3.6.4 for additional information. ~~Coal mining at the Trail Mountain Mine ceased as of March 15, 2001. In preparation of temporary cessation, all mining equipment including; production (longwall and continuous miner), belt haulage and electrical were removed from the mine. Verification of equipment removal was conducted on April 6, 2001 with Bureau of Land Management (Steve Falk) and Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (Pete Hess) participating in the review. A plan to construct permanent seals was submitted to and approved by Mine Safety Health Administration. Sealing of the mine portals was completed on May 1, 2001.~~

3.2 SURFACE FACILITIES

The Trail Mountain Mine (renamed Fossil Rock Mine in 2015) is an existing operation that was started in the 1940's. ~~All~~ Surface facilities are in place under an approved mining and reclamation plan C/015/0009.

3.2.1 Site Selection and Preparation

The mine site was selected for its location. Access to the coal seam is facilitated by the intersection at the mine site of the coal outcrop and the canyon floor.

Site preparation consisted of clearing the site, construction of pads and facilities, and development of portals.

3.2.2 Portals

Historically, five portals provide access to the Mine. One portal located on the corner of the outcrop of Cottonwood Canyon and a small side-drainage canyon is a fan portal. The second portal, 150 feet south of the fan portal, is the main intake and travel portal. The third portal is the belt portal. It is located just to the south of the main portal. The fourth portal is south of the belt portal and is used as a ventilation portal.

A fifth portal has been driven to the outside approximately 1000' south of the fourth portal. This is a ventilation portal, and surfaces just south of the old sealed entry at this location. Complete description of this project is found in Appendix 3-7. (See Plate 3-1 for locations). An old sealed entry is found 500 feet south of the fourth portal (ventilation portal). It is not used in this operation. The Mine went into temporary cessation on May 4, 2001. All-Portals associated with the Mine were sealed as specified in 30CFRPart 75.335 (except one (1) opening which was inaccessible, this opening was completely backfilled with non-combustible fill from the surface).

3.2.3 Surface Building and Structures

The buildings and structures associated with the Mine are shown in Plate 3-1. They consist of an office, bathhouse, shop, power substation, and fan control house, coal handling system, explosive magazines, sediment control facilities, and fuel storage tank farm area. The following table describes the tanks within the tank farm area:

Tank Contents*	Diesel	Unleaded Gasoline	Emulsion Oil	Calcium Chloride
Capacity	15,000 gal.	4,100 gal.	6,300 gal.	500-gal.

* Spill containment and cleanup is outline in the SPCC Plan.

Historical - the office, shop, sub-station, fan control house, fuel storage tank farm area, and sediment controls were all in place prior to 1987. A new bathhouse, coal handling facility, explosive magazines, ventilation portal, and culinary water system were added in late 1990. The main canyon culvert was also extended approximately 300' at that time. After PacifiCorp purchased the Trail Mountain Mine in 1992, surface modifications have taken place such as, concrete portal liners, new travel portal, new twin fan, new fuel storage area, high pressure water building and tank, relocation of water treatment plant, storage shed, storage dock, modified ROM transfer and a 60" overland tube conveyor. See Appendix 3-4 for the Operation Plan for the structures. Also see Appendices 3-7, 3-8, 3-9, 3-10 and 7-13 for details on the new facilities.

3.2.4 Coal Handling, Processing, Preparation, and Storage

Historical - Coal in the Mine has been mined by longwalls and continuous miners. The 48 inch section belts transferred coal to the 60 inch main belt. The 60 inch main belt conveyed the coal to the surface where a magnet at the ROM transfer removed metal from the coal stream. The coal could then be shipped on a 60 inch belt to the Cottonwood Mine via the tube conveyor or could be shipped to the 575 ton silo and coal storage area via the crusher and the 54 inch belt. When the coal was shipped to the Cottonwood Mine it was sized from 16" x 0" run of mine to 6" x 0". When the coal was shipped to the Mine Facilities it passed through a crusher. The crusher at the Mine has the capability of sizing the coal from 16" x 0" through 2" x 0". The crushed coal was then conveyed to a 575-ton bin where it was loaded by a short belt into on-road trucks for shipment. In the event the crusher failed or the bin was full, coal was diverted by a flop gate into a chute and placed in an open storage pile. Figure 3-1 shows a generalized schematic of the coal handling system. Appendix 3-8 describes further details on the coal handling system.

Coal mining at the Mine ceased as of March 15, 2001. In preparation of temporary cessation, all-mining equipment including; production (longwall and continuous miner), belt haulage and

INSERT FIGURE 3-1

electrical were removed from the mine. Verification of equipment removal was conducted on April 6, 2001 with Bureau of Land Management (Steve Falk) and Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (Pete Hess) participating in the review. A plan to construct permanent seals was submitted to and approved by Mine Safety Health Administration. Sealing of the mine portals was completed on May 1, 2001.

3.2.5 Power System Transmission Lines, Substation, Mine Feeders

The present utility power is supplied at 25,000 volts, 60 HZ. This incoming line supplies the mine substation located south of the office building. The existing 25,000 volts primary, 12,470 volts secondary, substation rated at 7500 KVA is connected to this transmission line in a delta primary, wye secondary configuration for underground distribution. Underground power was distributed by three (3) 4/0 mine feeder cables at 12,470 volts. At each working section, belt drive location, compressor station, high pressure pump station, etc., a transformer reduces the 12,470 volts to 950/480 machine voltage.

A 400 KVA 4160 volt primary, 480 volt secondary transformer supplies power to miscellaneous surface areas (tipple, shop, etc.). The mine office receives power from a pole mounted 480/220/120 transformer.

PacifiCorp upgraded the power system on August 5, 1995 which consisted of the following: 1) incoming utility power upgraded by Utah Power to 69,000 volts, 60 HZ, phase 3, 2) installation of two (2) identical substation transformers (one of which is a standby/backup unit) rated at 10 MVA. These transformers are located southwest of the office building. The primary voltage is 69,000 volts delta connected and the secondary will be 12,470 volts wye connected. The existing 7500 KVA transformer will remain in place for a secondary backup power system. All Power from the substation will remain the same as mentioned above.

3.2.6 Water Supply System

Historically, the culinary water supply for the Mine was drawn from underground mine water. Mine water was collected in an underground sump and skimmed of oil. Approximately 10,000 gallons per day of this water was prepared in the treatment plant for use as potable water. Some mine water was used for wash down. Water was treated on the surface at a maximum rate of 10 gpm and then stored in two 22,000 gallon capacity fresh water storage tanks. The treatment plant and process are approved by the State of Utah, Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Drinking Water. A schematic of the water system is shown in Figure 3-2. This system is connected to the mine water system at the belt portal location. If the need should develop for filling water trucks, or supplying alternate water to the mine or surface system, this water would be drawn from the 2-22,000 gallon surface storage tanks referred to in Figure 3-2.

Refer to Figure 3-3 for typical underground water system schematic. As stated previously, PacifiCorp notified the Division of temporary cessation of coal mining operations at the Trail Mountain Mine effective May 4, 2001. Coal mining at the Mine ceased as of March 15, 2001. In preparation of temporary cessation, all of the mine de-watering system was removed from the mine, except for a six (6) inch steel supply line (9066') and a twelve (12) inch PVC de-watering line (9066), refer to Plate 3-8 for details. Verification of equipment removal was conducted on April 6, 2001 with Bureau of Land Management (Steve Falk) and Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (Pete Hess) participating in the review.

3.2.7 Sewage System

Historic - The septic system for the Facility was approved by the Division of Water Quality on March 17, 1995. The system is somewhat unique in that the grey-water portion of the sewage is separated and eventually enters the Cottonwood Mine sewage system and leach field for final disposal.

The sewage enters one of three septic tanks, depending on location, removing the majority of solids from the sewage. The grey-water then flows, via an 8 inch line, to the collection/pumping station, located south of the rock dust silo. The collection/pumping station contains a 10,000 gallon holding tank and two pumps. In the case of emergencies, the system can dispose of the sewage grey-water at the collection/pumping station by haulage truck to the ~~Cottonwood Mine sewage system~~ or to another facility licensed to accept septic tank waste. For short term emergencies, the storage capacity of the collection tank can handle more than the 24 hour sewage waste generation of the mine. **In 2016 the system is believed to be in place however, the facilities are in poor repair and it can be better determined once the facility begins renovations potentially in 2018.**

3.2.8 Water Diversion Structures

Three water diversion structures are maintained at the Mine. A concrete curb and gutter running north-south through the facilities area diverts water from the disturbed area into the sediment pond. A 66-inch culvert that has been placed in Cottonwood Creek has allowed the facilities pad area to be extended, and also allow Cottonwood Creek to flow beneath the mining operation. The length of this culvert is approximately 1,900 feet, extending from below the sedimentation pond to the property boundary north of the bathhouse (see Chapter 7). A 48-inch culvert located at the mouth of the side canyon, just west of the fan portal, diverts water from the canyon directly into the 66-inch culvert of Cottonwood Creek without crossing the mine property (see Chapter 7).

3.2.9 Sedimentation Control Structures and Water Treatment Facilities

A sedimentation pond is located on the southern end of the ~~permit~~ disturbed area. ~~All~~ Surface water that has crossed the mine property is diverted to this structure. Mine water can also be periodically pumped directly into the sediment pond. The pond contains a 48 inch emergency overflow culvert and a decant pipe, located on the east side of the pond (see Chapter 7).

INSERT FIGURE 3-2

INSERT FIGURE 3-3

3.2.10 Transportation, Roads, Parking Areas

Access to the mine and its facilities is provided by a County road, Forest Service road, and private right-of-way along Cottonwood Creek. The County road is paved and used by mine personnel private vehicles, coal trucks for haulage, and by the public for access to the upper canyon.

~~The facilities area of the Mine is accessed from a county road~~ The facilities area consists of: coal storage areas, parking lot, supply yard, equipment and material storage areas. No primary road exists on the mine site. Controlled pad and parking lot drainage flow across the facility and enters the curb and gutter system which reports to the sediment pond.

The roads on the site are ancillary roads. These include the upper terrace access road, portal access road and the tipple access road. Ancillary roads are used on an occasional, as needed basis only, and therefore are classed as ancillary roads according to R645-301-527.100.

The roads are constructed and maintained to minimize disturbance and adverse impacts on fish, wildlife and related environmental values. Roads will be maintained to meet applicable design standards throughout their use, by blading, watering and resurfacing as necessary. Roads are also located, designed, constructed, reconstructed, used, maintained, and will be reclaimed so as to prevent or control damage to public or private property; using non-acid or non-toxic forming substances in surfacing; and will have a static safety factor of 1.3 for all embankments.

The mining operation is conducted within 100 feet of a public (county owned) road. The requirements of R645-103-234 and protection of the public interests are met in the following ways:

- (1) This is a pre law operation, and has always been located adjacent to the public road which provides access to the public and private lands in and beyond Cottonwood Canyon;
- (2) The road has been upgraded and paved through cooperation of the Mine, Emery County Road Department, and the US Forest Service;
- (3) Necessary approvals for the road have been obtained from the authorities with jurisdiction over the public road through the cooperative effort of upgrading and paving of the road to the mine site. Necessary encroachment permits have been obtained from Emery County for accesses to and from the public road.
- (4) Required public notices concerning the operation have been posted in local newspapers for Permit Approvals, Permit Renewals and Permit Transfers.

3.2.11 Total Area for Surface Disturbance During the Permit Term

The total area of present surface disturbance at the Mine plan area, including all compliance activities (sediment pond, upper and lower culvert installation, borrow area, portal areas, and surface pad extension), is ~~40.39~~ 13.25 acres (see Plate 3-1 and 7-5 in ~~Volume 3~~). Other disturbed areas include the waste rock site area which covers 15.85 acres of disturbance (refer to Plate 4-1) in ~~Volume 4~~

3.2.12 Detailed Construction Schedule

Construction of basic facilities was completed prior to the 1977 Act. Sediment controls, including the sediment pond, curb/gutter and bypass culverts were completed in late 1987. Minor system enhancements were completed from 1987 through the fall of 1990. Construction details and schedules for these projects are found in Appendices 7-13, 3-7, 3-8, 3-9 and 3-10 respectively.

3.3 OPERATION PLAN

Historic - During the operational phase of Trail Mountain (pre 2015), the mine employed a maximum of approximately 300 people to conduct its underground mining activities. Underground mining consisted of longwall retreat mining and continuous miner development. Production ranged from 3,500,000 to 5,000,000 tons per year. Coal was conveyed to the surface at approximately 16" x 0" run of mine product. It was then conveyed through an overland tube conveyor (demolished in September 2014) to the Cottonwood Mine Portal on the opposite canyon side. It then traveled underground until it reached the Cottonwood Mine Facility, where it was crushed to a 6" x 0" run of mine product before shipment via triple trailers to the Hunter Power Plant. Coal could also be crushed to 6" x 0" run of mine product at the existing Mine facilities and shipped via double trailers.

3.3.1 Mining Plans

The layout of the mine are shown on Plate 3-2. Historically, first and second mining occurred in the areas within the southern end of Trail Mountain. Those areas have been mined out and the leases have been relinquished backed to the federal agencies who manage the surface and subsurface resources.

3.3.1.1 Orientation and Multiple Seam Considerations

Historic - As shown on Plate 3-2, the original mains were driven north to south, with panels being driven off the mains in an east to west orientation. This mine layout was developed prior to the Act. Longwall section development was in an east to west orientation. Surface exploration drilling, along with geologic mapping, have been utilized to document the coal resources of the Trail Mountain area. (United States Department of the Interior. Memorandum Resource Recovery Report,

March 25, 1982, states that the Hiawatha is the only coal seam of current economic interest known to occur in the vicinity of the Trail Mountain tract). Coal resources above the Hiawatha seam to date show the seams to be thin, discontinuous and of poor quality¹. PacifiCorp had no plans to mine the upper coal seam (see Appendix 3-2).

3.3.1.2 Portals, Shafts, and Slopes

Portals have been previously addressed in the Surface Facilities section. Shafts and slopes do not apply to this property because of the geology of the coal seam.

3.3.1.3 Mining Methods – Continuous Miner and Longwall

~~No Room and pillar mining is anticipated during the permit term.~~ Longwall retreat mining with continuous miner development of mains, longwall panels and gate road development will be employed during the life of the mine.

Continuous Mining Unit

The principal purpose of continuous mining units at the Mine was mine development; i.e., section development of mainline entries, longwall sections - gate road development and longwall section - setup/bleeder entry development.

Figure 3-4 illustrates the basic configuration of a typical five entry main, consisting of 20 ft. wide entries and crosscuts driven on 80 ft. x 100 ft. pillar centers. The pillars created measure a nominal 60 ft. wide x 80 ft. long; a size which has been developed for sufficient support of the main entries and overlying strata.

Figure 3-4 also illustrates the basic configuration of a typical two-entry longwall panel development, consisting of 20 ft. wide entries and crosscuts driven on 50 ft. x 100 ft. pillar centers. Bleeder and setup development consists of 20 ft. wide entries and crosscuts on nominal 50 ft. x 100 ft. pillar centers. With the retreating longwall mining system, all-panel development work is accomplished by continuous mining units prior to longwall equipment installation.

Longwall Mining System

The predominant mining method at the Trail Mountain Mine was longwall retreat mining. This method, as practiced by PacifiCorp, presents the safest and most efficient underground resource recovery mining method available. PacifiCorp notified the Division of temporary cessation of coal mining operations at the Trail Mountain Mine effective May 4, 2001 and mining ceased as of March 15, 2001.

As referenced above, the two-entry gate road system is developed with 20 ft. wide entries and crosscuts on nominal 50 ft. x 100 ft. pillar centers. This type of "yield pillar" configuration is designed so that the gate road support pillars will gradually yield as longwall retreat proceeds from panel to panel. The purpose of this design is to prevent the buildup of unrelieved stresses within the pillar; stresses which, in the past have resulted in pillar failure and the accompanying danger to personnel and property.

Figure 3-5 illustrates the basic configuration of a retreating longwall system. After gate road entries are driven to the extent of the longwall panel length, on both sides of the longwall face, setup and bleeder entries are driven to connect the gate roads. A solid coal barrier is left between the setup and bleeder entries, sized based on geologic parameters, to insure long term bleeder stability.

Long wall face width, depending on geologic parameters of the coal deposit, varies from 500 ft. to 1000 ft. wide. Standard face width is 750 ft., from center line of headgate entry to center-line setup entry, the longwall begins retreat mining; from the setup entry, "outby" toward the mainline entries. A protection barrier is left between the mined out longwall panel (extraction face) and the mainline entries; sized to insure long term mainline entry stability. Panels are designed within the mining area, bounded by natural and imposed limits with varying degrees of confidence as to location and extent. Lease boundaries are definitely located and invariable in the short term. Faults may vary somewhat from currently assumed locations. Geologic limitations; such as seam splits, channel scours, spars, stratigraphic thinning, etc. may affect the mining limits by varying hundreds of feet as information becomes available and as mining recovery economics and practicality are further refined. Underground burned areas, from a practical point of view, are indeterminate prior to mining. Regulatory mining restrictions, such as escarpment protection barriers and perennial stream buffer zones further confine the mining extent.

Within the limitation of the above boundaries, longwall panel length and width are maximized to the extent possible due to the economic cost and production loss associated with longwall moves. The minimum panel length, currently considered economical, is 1500 ft. of recoverable reserves. The minimum panel width, currently considered economical, is 500 ft. of recoverable reserve.

3.3.1.4 Projected Mine Development – Mains, Submains, Panels, Etc.

The plan of mine development projected for the Mine is shown in Plate 3-2.

Historic - After the mine acquisition, development of the fifth left mains and third west mains continued. The panels east of fifth left main were driven west to east and

the panels west off the fifth left mains were driven east to west. Panel sequence for extraction was from second east to fifth east and tenth right to first right. The coal lease of these underground areas (with exception of 3rd West) were partially relinquished effective January 9, 2009.

3.3.1.5 Retreat Mining

Historic - Panel extraction commenced once the fifth left mains were developed below the second east panel and the second east tailgate, headgate and bleeders were completed. All- The coal leases containing panels off the 5th Left mains below 1st Right have been relinquished effective January 9, 2009.

3.3.1.6 Roof Control, Ventilation, Water Systems, Dust Suppression, Dewatering, Electrical, Etc.

Plans for roof control, ventilation, water system, dust suppression, etc., have been submitted to MSHA and are on file at the MSHA district office; Mine Safety and Health Administration. ~~P.O. Box 25367, Denver Colorado 80225.~~

3.3.2 Barrier Pillars

PacifiCorp left barrier pillars around oil and gas wells, surface structures and streams, property boundaries, and outcrops. These barriers will protect the recovery of the resource and the environment. A ~~complete~~ discussion of barrier pillars is presented in Chapter 12, Geotechnical.

INSERT FIGURE 3-4

INSERT FIGURE 3-5

3.3.2.1 Protection of Oil and Gas Wells

Presently no oil or gas wells exist in the areas of underground mining activities. However, should any well be drilled, a barrier of 300 feet in diameter will be left unless a variance from MSHA is obtained to leave a smaller barrier.

3.3.2.2 Protection of Surface Structures and Streams

No surface structures or perennial stream beds will be undermined during the life of the mine.

3.3.2.3 Boundaries

Lease, permit, and adjacent area boundaries are designated in accordance with both State and Federal mining regulations.

3.3.2.4 Outcrop Protection

Historic - Outcrop protection is provided by leaving a minimum barrier of 200' between mine workings and the coal outcrop. One exception to the above is the eastern most entry of the 3-entry system driven north and northeast to the ventilation portal. The eastern entry is located within the 200' barrier for a distance of approximately 240' and at no time comes closer than 160' from the outcrop. The 3-entries were necessary to meet MSHA regulations and provide adequate ventilation. The location of this variance is in the side canyon approximately 1700' south of the forth portal, and is shown on Figure A-3-7-2, Appendix 3-7. Outcrop protection is further addressed in the geotechnical chapter of this permit.

3.3.3 Conservation of Coal Resources

3.3.3.1 Projected Maximum Recovery

There is no recoverable coal from the historical (relinquished) Trail Mountain mine plan area. Table 3-1 shows the breakdown of coal recovery (refer to Plate 3-2: Mining Plan and Plate 3-3: Areas of Coal Recovery).

3.3.3.2 Justification of Non-Recovery

Historic - It is estimated that resource recovery rate of 80% or better can be obtained within the proposed longwall panels. Overall minable reserve recovery for the Trail Mountain Mine was estimated at 60%.

The maximum amount of economically recoverable coal will be extracted with the exception of protective coal, which must be left in place to ensure the integrity of the mine. This protective coal falls into two categories. The two categories are barrier coal and strata control coal. (See Appendix 11-1).

3.3.3.3 Access to Future Reserves

Historic - There are two (2) areas currently designated as future reserve access points at the Mine: 1) 3rd West Mains and 2) North Mains. Access to future western reserves will be by western extension of the existing 3rd West Mains, running east/west, at the northern boundary of Federal Lease U-64375. Access to future northern reserves will be by northern extension of the existing North Mains, running north/south, at the western boundary of Federal Lease U-49332. Solid coal barrier pillars are to be left on either side of these main entries to ensure their long term stability for access.

Mining plans and projections may change between permit submittal and actual mining. However, the permittee will commit to conducting operations in accordance with accepted industry practices, so as to achieve maximum economic recovery as specified by 43 CFR 3482.1 (c) (7). The permittee also commits to professional cooperation with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in achieving MER on all Federal Coal leases.

Table 3-1 Recoverable Reserves					
LEASE AREA	IN-PLACE TONS	RECOVERABLE TONS	Tons Planned for Recovery 2018 - 2020	ACTUAL PRODUCED TONS By EWMC (as of 6/1/2001)	TOTAL TONS PRODUCED BY ALL OPERATORS FROM LEASE
*Federal Lease UTU-49332	4,361,837	1,239,936 (261)	50,620	22,917	1,509,199
Federal Lease U-082996	276,954	56,718	0	0	219,755
**Federal Lease U-64375	45,404,832	433,363 (2504)	176,053	21,508,007	21,938,730
TOTAL TONS	50,043,623	1,727,252		21,530,924	23,667,684

(Relinquished Tons)

*Partial relinquishment of 261.47 acres effective January 9, 2009.

**Partial relinquishment of 2504.01 acres effective January 9, 2009.

3.3.4 Equipment Section As stated previously, PacifiCorp notified the Division of temporary cessation of coal mining operations at the Trail Mountain Mine effective May 4, 2001. Coal mining at the Trail Mountain Mine ceased as of March 15, 2001.

3.3.4.1 Surface Equipment

In preparation of temporary cessation, all the surface equipment was transferred to remaining operations.

3.3.4.2 Underground Equipment

The permit area will be mined with longwall and continuous mining equipment.

Typical continuous mining equipment is;

Continuous Miners, Shuttle Cars, Section Scoops, Roof Bolters

Feeder Breakers, Rock Dusters, Face Fans, Power Centers

Welders, Shop Car

Typical longwall mining equipment is;

Longwall Shearer	Power Center
Face Conveyor	Electrical Controls
Longwall Supports	Emulsion pumps
Stageloader	Shield Movers
Crusher	Pod Rock Duster
Scoop/Forklift	Shop Car

Typical general mine equipment is;

Diesel Scoops,	Conveyors
Diesel Trucks	Belt Storage
Diesel Pickups	Road Grader
Mantrips	Dozer
Trailers	Power Centers
Compressors	Section Switches
Welders	Submersible Pumps
Belt Drives	Rock Dusters

3.3.5 Mine Safety, Fire Protection, and Security

During mining operations, a safety department was maintained at the mine site. All New miners were trained in basic first aid before working underground. Once each year all miners were given an eight hour retraining class. All Working sections maintained the necessary first aid items including stretchers, bandages, splints, tourniquets, etc. ~~Fire protection-All machines underground are equipped with a fire suppression system. On portable non-water machines a dry type chemical system is used. On machines equipped with water, a deluge system or a dry type chemical system is maintained. All belt drives and take ups were equipped with these systems. An adequate supply of rock dust and a dry type fire extinguisher were located at belt drives transformers, oil storage areas, and other dangerous areas in and around the mine.~~

Surface Fire Fighting Plan

In compliance with Title 30 Code of Federal Regulations Part 77.215(j) and R645-301-528.323.1 the following plan to extinguish surface fires and coal waste fires will be adhered to in the advent of a fire.

I. PREVENTION

- A. All-Warning and non-smoking signs will be observed by employees and visitors to the mine.
- B. Accumulations of oil, grease, diesel fuel, coal fines and other combustibles will not be allowed to accumulate as a possible source of fire.
- C. Coal stock piles and coal waste piles will be closely monitored to detect symptoms of a possible fire.
- D. All Employees will be trained as to their responsibilities in the advent of a fire.

II. EXTINGUISHING SURFACE FIRES

- A. In the advent of a fire on the surface area of the coal mine all employees both in the mine and on the surface will be notified immediately, all persons will be accounted for and evacuated to a safe place.
- B. The local fire departments, mine rescue teams and other trained and qualified persons experienced in fighting fires will be notified immediately, equipped with the appropriate equipment, and the proper action will be taken to extinguish the fire.
- C. Measures will be taken to prevent unauthorized persons interfering or being endangered by the fire and the methods to extinguish the fire.
- D. All-Necessary controls available to prevent adverse impact on water quality and other environmental concerns will be incorporated immediately.

III. REPORTING PROCEDURES

- A. All Necessary reports and accident claims will be completed and filed with the appropriate state and/or federal agency.

3.3.5.1 Signs

~~Required signs are maintained at the Mine.~~ Property boundary signs are posted along the access to the mine property and at the northern and southern property boundaries. Buffer zone signs are maintained on the west side of Cottonwood Creek (see Figure 3-6).

All Signs will be maintained throughout the operational life of the facility, or at least as long as they are required and relevant. Permit ID signs and required perimeter markers will be maintained until bond release.

3.3.5.2 Fences and Gates

Approximately 2,000 feet of chain link fence, containing ~~three~~ multiple-access gates, is maintained at the Mine site. ~~The multiple-access gates are made up of 15 , 48" X 72" panels (approximate), these panels make up four gates, some gates have two panels some have more.~~ Also, ~~a~~ The substation is enclosed by a seven foot chain link fence with a three strand barb-wire cap and two gates. This chain link fence also serves to alienate the east boundaries of the surface disturbance of the mine plan area. Identification and perimeter signs are installed on the fence.

3.3.5.3 Facilities – Coal Stockpiles, Refuse Piles, Coal Seams

~~Historic~~ - Coal conveyed from the mine is transferred via a tube conveyor (demolished September 2014) to the Cottonwood Mine or to a 575-ton storage bin at the Mine. In the event of a system failure or if the bin is full, coal is diverted and stockpiled north of the bin. The size of this pile will vary greatly

Depending on conditions; however, extreme dimensions are approximately 300' by 150'. This pile is recovered by front-end loaders which place the coal back into the handling system going to the loadout bin. ~~All~~ The runoff from the stockpile, as well as other coal handling facilities, is directed to the sediment pond. ~~All~~ Conveyors are covered, and water sprays are used as necessary to reduce fugitive dust emissions. ~~There are no refuse piles at this mine site.~~ Any Coal refuse or waste generated will be hauled to the approved Cottonwood/Wilberg Waste Rock disposal area.

3.3.5.4 Explosive – Storage and Handling

(Removed from Mine Site in 2001, explosives will potentially be returned to site, at that time the commitments in this section will apply.)

Storage - Explosives and caps are stored in separate MSHA approved explosive magazines. The magazines are of approved steel construction. The doors are so constructed to prevent easy access and tampering with the locking mechanisms. ~~All~~ Magazines are properly electrically grounded. The magazines are located as required to provide added security. Locations of the magazines are shown on Plate 3-1.

Handling - ~~All~~ Materials are transported in an approved boxes separated into two compartments with a divider. These boxes are then carried on trailers and diesel powered tractors.

Use - Explosives are primarily used underground. Underground explosives are used to blast rock to make room for overcasts, belt drives, and at other areas where extra height is required. Other uses underground include grading of roadways and to facilitate cleaning up of unintentional roof falls, etc.

When surface blasting is done it will be in accordance with the applicable R645-301-524 rules. Surface blasting will be done by a certified blaster under the Utah

Blaster Certification Program. This certification will be carried by the blaster or kept on file during the blasting operations. At least one other person will be present during the blast. Proper training will be given to crews associated with the blast or explosive handling. The blast design will be prepared by a certified blaster and will be submitted to the Division at a time before the blast.

No blasts will utilize more than five (5) pounds of blasting agent or explosives detonated in any eight-millisecond period; therefore a pre-blast survey is not required.

When using explosives on the surface, all-blasting will be done between sunrise and sunset. No residents are located within a half mile radius of the permit area. Blasting signs will meet R645-301-521.200 and be conspicuously placed along the edge of the blasting area or road entrance. Signs will be placed at all accessible entrances to the blasting area from public roads stating "Warning! Explosives in Use". Access control will be exercised preventing unauthorized access to the blasting area. No structures exist within a distance that would present concerns from air blast or ground vibration.

Blasting records will be kept on file for review by the Division. Blasting records will comply with R645-301-524.700. Exhibit 1 (Appendix 3-6) shows a typical report which will be compiled to satisfy these requirements.

3.3.6 Operation Schedule

The Fossil Rock Mine is not currently operating. The mine has been in temporary cessation since 2001 (Section 3.3.6.4). There is the potential for the mine to start development mining in

2018. Prior to the potential re-opening of the mine, the operating schedule will be described in this section and proceed through the SMCRA permitting process. There is insufficient information currently to describe the schedule, etc. of this operation.

Historic - Trail Mountain Mine operated with longwalls and continuous miners for development of longwall panels and mains. The longwall operated two shifts a day or two machine shifts and the continuous miners operated two shifts a day or four machine shifts.

3.3.6.1 Annual Production Per Year for the Permit Term

Historic - The Trail Mountain Mine produced approximately 3,500,000 to 5,000,000 tons per year until notifying the Division of temporary cessation on May 4, 2001.

3.3.6.2 Operating Schedule – Days, Shifts

Historic - The Trail Mountain Mine operated as follows:

208-240 days/year

3 shifts/day

10 hours/shift

2 production shift/day

1 maintenance shift/day

2 continuous miner shifts/production shift

1 longwall shift/production shift

This schedule is a general outline and subject to change.

3.3.6.3 Operation Employment

Historic - During coal mining operations, Trail Mountain Mine employed approximately 300 people, 66 salaried and 234 hourly employees.

3.3.6.4 Temporary Cessation

Whenever it is known that operations are to be temporarily ceased for more than 30 days, the permittee shall submit to the Division a notice of intention to cease or abandon the operations, in accordance with R645-301-515.320 and to MSHA standards.

This notice will describe mitigation measures to be employed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the permit approval, such as a statement of the number of surface acres involved in the cessation, extent of sub-surface strata, prior reclamation efforts accomplished on the property, and identification of all backfilling, regarding, revegetation, environmental monitoring, underground opening closures and water treatment activities that will continue during the temporary cessation.

If underground openings are to remain inactive for a period greater than 90 days, such openings will be temporarily closed off from access. Such closures will consist of a chain link or other substantial wire mesh fabric fence placed over the portals to prevent public access while allowing for air flow. Locked gates may be installed in the portal to allow for mine inspection.

PacifiCorp notified the Division of temporary cessation of coal mining operations at the Trail Mountain Mine effective May 4, 2001. Coal mining at the Trail Mountain Mine ceased as of March 15, 2001. In preparation of temporary cessation, all-mining equipment including; production (longwall and continuous miner), belt haulage and electrical were removed from the mine. Verification of equipment removal was conducted on April 6, 2001 with Bureau of Land

Management (Steve Falk) and Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (Pete Hess) participating in the review. A plan to construct permanent seals was submitted to and approved by Mine Safety Health Administration. Sealing of the mine portals was completed on May 1, 2001. **In 2016 the mine facilities are as they were when the facility was put into cessation in 2001.**

3.3.7 Mine Plan Area

The mine plan area of ~~the Trail Mountain Mine~~ is outlined in Plate 3-2. ~~A total of 773.50 acres are included in the mine plan area.~~ **Refer to Chapter 2 and legal description of the permit area, which includes acreage.**

3.3.7.1 Projected Mining By Year

The mine development and projected mining schedule are shown on Plate 3-2. As shown, additional permit terms will be required.

3.3.7.2 Acreage and Delineation

As described in Chapter II, the mine plan area consists of ~~approximately 773.50 acres of fee and federal land. Federal land consists of three leases totaling 720.00 acres. The remainder of the mine plan area (53.50 acres) is fee land.~~

3.4 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

This section addresses the environmental concerns and impact of the mining operation. The aspects of land-use, cultural resources, hydrology, soils, vegetation, fish and wildlife, air quality, subsidence, and waste disposal are addressed. Each aspect is addressed in terms of projected impacts and control measures. For those aspects that warrant it (hydrology, vegetation, fish and wildlife, air quality, and subsidence) a discussion of monitoring procedures are also included.

3.4.1 Preservation of Land Use

The post-mining land-uses are anticipated to remain the same as the pre-mining land-uses of grazing, wildlife habitat and recreation. A full discussion of these uses area found in Chapter 4.

Following completion of the mining operations, the mine site will be reclaimed and revegetated. The area will be graded, scarified, and seeded. ~~before the next growing season.~~ Refer to Sections 3.5.1 and 3.5.5.2 for description of seeding plan. The seed mixtures to be used are discussed in Chapter 9 and Section 3.5 of this Chapter. ~~The site will receive treatments of fertilizer and additional seedings until it is determined stable for the bond release period. During low run off, the stream diversion culvert will be removed and the stream channel will be restored.~~ Refer to Section 3.5.5.3 for culvert removal plan. No reclamation work is contemplated on the access road to the site. This road has varied ownership (county, federal and private) and provides access for Forest Service and private land up Cottonwood Canyon.

~~Lands held by PacifiCorp are classified by Emery County, the US Forest Service, and the State of Utah as a recreation, forestry and mining area.~~ Moved to Chapter 4

3.4.1.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on Current and Future Land Use

~~Within The permit area, approximately 10.39 acres has been disturbed by surface facilities of the mine. This disturbance~~ Construction of the surface facilities has affected the soils and vegetation of the area. The effect on vegetation is temporary and will be eliminated by revegetation of the disturbed area. ~~Wildlife~~ The loss of wildlife habitat in the riparian community has occurred. ~~The acreage is small; however, the riparian area is considered to be of critical value to the overall density of terrestrial wildlife. Continued operation of the surface facilities will have no significant impact on the local wildlife. A full discussion can be found in Chapter 10. Discussion of the impact at the Waste Rock Disposal Site are found in Volume 4.~~

3.4.1.2 Control Measures to Mitigate Impacts

Careful planning of the reclamation activities will help to minimize the impact of the Mine on land-use. Return of the mine site to the premining land-use of grazing, wildlife, and recreation at the conclusion of mining will be accomplished according to the steps outlined below:

- 1) Seal all large diameter openings with non-combustible material.
- 2) Remove all surface structures, equipment and facilities, followed by trash and debris removal.
- 3) Re-establishment of drainages and grading and contouring of disturbed areas.
- 4) Establishment of a permanent diverse vegetative cover suited to the post-mining land-use on all affected land will be done as soon as practicable following reclamation.

Contemporaneous reclamation of those areas not needed during operation will take place throughout the life of the mine. All Other areas (building and equipment sites, storage and parking areas) will not be reclaimed until the conclusion of mining.

3.4.2 Protection of Cultural Resources

No public parks or historical sites worthy of preservation have been found in the mine plan area. Chapter 5 contains a discussion of cultural resources.

3.4.2.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on Cultural Resources

Two types of projected impacts exist on cultural resources as a result of mining. Direct impacts are a direct **as a** result of mine development or operation. And indirect impacts result from activities that are not directly associated with the mine development or operation. Any effect of the Trail Mountain Mine on cultural resources probably occurred during development. No cultural resources have been found in the area of the mine, therefore no direct impacts from any future development are likely to occur.

The inventory indicated two CRRS: 2 sites located in the lower canyon. These sites are vulnerable to indirect impact from vandalism. During development of the canyon road by Emery County, measures to avoid impact were observed.

3.4.2.2 Control Measures to Mitigate Impacts

Measures used to mitigate the effects of the mining operation on cultural resources were implemented prior to any disturbance associated with the development. Once construction began, only avoidance procedures were the only feasible mitigation measures.

During 1985 and 1986, the proposed construction and realignment of three miles of county road **by Emery County** from Highway 29 to the Trail Mountain Mine site was completed. Two CRRS **cultural sites** were located **and avoided during the road construction**. all engineer and design work conducted by the Emery County Engineering departments was done in such a manner as to avoid these cultural sites.

In 1990, additional facilities were constructed at the mine site. Construction details, as well as control measures to mitigate impacts for each of these projects are described in Appendices 7-13, 3-9, 3-7, 3-8, 3-9 and 3-10 respectively.

The Mine site contains no known cultural resources that are liable to be impacted by the continued operation or compliance construction.

3.4.3 Protection of Hydrologic Balance

The Mine operates all-mine activities in such a way as to minimize potential impacts to surface and groundwater resources. Drainage control facilities will contain and hold the required volume for the specified period for water flowing through or originating in the disturbed area. Suspended material will be allowed to settle in a sediment control pond before discharge into natural drainages. Such discharges will be in accordance with an approved UPDES Discharge Permit.

The protection of the hydrologic resources at the waste rock site are discussed in the waste rock permit ~~Volume 4~~.

Sedimentation production from the berm outslope to the creek has been greatly reduced by a portion of the creek being placed in a bypass culvert. This bypass culvert was installed in 1983, and extended some 300' to the north in 1990 and 70' further north in 1996.

All Mine portals are designed in accordance with R645-301-731.521. This ensures that water will not discharge from the portal by gravity flow. Upon reclamation of the mine, portal seals will be placed in all entries as soon as underground reclamation has been completed.

The Division was notified of temporary cessation of coal mining operations at the Trail Mountain Mine effective May 4, 2001. Refer to Section 3.3.6.4 for additional information. ~~Coal mining at the Trail Mountain Mine ceased as of March 15, 2001. In preparation of temporary cessation, all mining equipment including; production (longwall and continuous miner), belt haulage and electrical were removed from the mine. Verification of equipment removal was conducted on April 6, 2001 with Bureau of Land Management (Steve Falk) and Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (Pete Hess) participating in the review. A plan to construct permanent seals was submitted to and approved by Mine Safety Health Administration. Sealing of the mine portals was completed on May 1, 2001.~~

3.4.3.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on the Groundwater Hydrologic Balance

Geology controls movement of groundwater. Because of the low permeability of the consolidated sedimentary rocks in the Trail Mountain area, groundwater is primarily through fractures. Data has been collected from numerous coal exploration drill holes, from within the mine workings, from surface drainages, and from spring surveys. ~~The data have identified two separate isolated aquifer systems within the vicinity of the mine; the first is localized perched water tables in the North Horn Formation, and the second is a combination of localized perched water tables in the Blackhawk Formation and the Starpoint Sandstone which exhibits some limited potential as a regional aquifer. The generally discontinuous nature of the Blackhawk and apparent low specific yield (Cordeva, 1964) indicates that the water yielding capabilities of the Blackhawk are only of local importance. A complete description of the hydrologic/geologic resources of the Trail Mountain area is discussed in Chapter 7, Section 7.1, and Appendix 7-10 (PHC).~~

Groundwater Quantity – Refer to Section 7.1.3.2.

~~Mining occurs in the lower Blackhawk Formation, which consists of interbedded layers of sandstone and mudstone separated by mineable and non-minable coal seams. The sandstone beds fluvial channel systems are generally massive while the mudstone layers are fine textured and have a tendency to swell when wet and decompose into an impervious clay. Because of the aquiclude formed by mudstone layers in the North Horn Formation, recharge to the Blackhawk Formation is limited, even along major fault systems. Due to the lithologic characteristics of the Blackhawk, both vertical and horizontal migration is constricted. Refer to Chapter 7, Hydrology, for a detailed discussion of the Hydrologic Balance.~~

~~The interception of groundwater varies and is dependent on several factors. One of the most significant is that when the mine enters virgin country, a significant amount of water is liberated. In virtually all cases the amount of water which flows into the mine exceeds the recharge and, in time, the water inflow decreases in volume. If new areas are not mined, the discharge from the mine will decrease accordingly.~~

Groundwater Quality – Refer to Section 7.1.3.2

~~Groundwater chemical quality is very good in strata above the Mancos Shale. The USGS reports a range in dissolved solids from 50 to 750 mg/l for samples from 140 springs in the region issuing from the Starpoint Sandstone and overlying formations (Danielson et al., 1981). Danielson et al. (1981) identified the regional trends of decreasing water quality from north to south and west to east across the Wasatch Plateau. Waters percolation through the underlying Mancos Shale quickly deteriorate, with total dissolved solids concentrations frequently exceeding 3000 mg/l.~~

~~The quality also decreases vertically because of the influence of marine sediments along with the trend of decreasing quality from north to south. The predominant~~

~~dissolved chemical constituents of the groundwater from both surface springs and samples collected in the mine are calcium, bicarbonate, magnesium and sulfate. Concentrations of magnesium are normally about one-half the concentration of calcium. Sulfate concentrations are typically higher in water from springs issuing from the Starpoint-Blackhawk aquifer zone or confined aquifers intersected by mine workings. As mentioned earlier water quality degrades from the north to the south and also vertically.~~

3.4.3.2 Control Measures to Mitigate Impacts

~~Refer to Sections 7.1.3 and 7.1.6.1. Although the analysis of the overburden samples tested has shown that no toxic or hazardous materials are present, groundwater quality will be protected by handling earth materials and runoff in a manner that minimizes infiltration to the groundwater system. Mine water encountered in the mine, which is not needed for dust suppression or mining, will be discharged according to stipulations in UPDES Permit No. UT-0023728.~~

~~State and federal regulations (R645-301-727) require that an alternate water supply be provided to replace any water source disrupted, degraded, or diminished by the mining operation. Though the mining operation is unlikely to affect the water supplies in the Trail Mountain area, the permittee will provide this alternate supply if needed.~~

~~In the unlikely event of mining adversely affecting a water source, the permittee will review and select an alternative after considering all possibilities of each site-specific circumstance.~~

3.4.3.3 Groundwater Monitoring Plan

Representative springs and groundwater (see Chapter 7, Section 7.1.7) will be monitored in accordance with the monitoring program. ~~In addition, data will be collected from within the mine.~~

3.4.3.4 Projected Impacts of Mining on Surface Water Hydrologic Balance

As has been previously mentioned, the occurrence and quality of water in any region is highly controlled by geology. The Permittee's adjacent and permit area is located in the headwater region of the San Rafael River Basin. The surface drainage system of the permit area is within the Cottonwood Creek drainage system. Reference Section 7.2.3.1 for quantity information.

Quantity

~~Cottonwood Creek above Straight Canyon drains approximately 21.9 square miles. The average channel gradient of Cottonwood Creek above Straight Canyon is 300 feet/mile (5.7 percent). Only a short period of record (October 1978 to present) is available for the USGS stream gaging station (09324200) on Cottonwood Creek above Straight Canyon. Danielson et al. (1981) estimate the average annual precipitation to be on the order of twenty two (22) inches, or 26,000 acre-feet, on the Cottonwood Creek drainage above Straight Canyon. Danielson et al. (1981) also estimate that only two percent of the precipitation on Cottonwood Creek above Straight Canyon leaves the basin as stream flow compared to thirty percent for Huntington Creek above Huntington. The suggested reasons for the wide difference in percent of precipitation contributing to stream flow are: 1) Cottonwood Creek Basin has a greater portion of area with southern exposure with more gradual slopes than Huntington Creek Basin and 2) possible subsurface movement of water through fractures associated with Joe's Valley Fault. About seventy percent of the total discharge at the Cottonwood Creek station above Straight Canyon for the water year 1979 occurred during the snow melt period (April-July).~~

Sixty years of data are available for the gaging station on Cottonwood Creek near Orangeville (9324500). The drainage area above Orangeville contributing to Cottonwood Creek is approximately 208 square miles. Cottonwood Creek has an average discharge near Orangeville of about ninety-five (95) cfs, or 69,000 acre-feet per year. The maximum and minimum discharges of record on Cottonwood Creek near Orangeville are 7,220 cfs (August 1, 1964) and 1.2 cfs (April 8, 1966), respectively.

The mine adjacent and permit area is drained by minor drainage systems associated with Cottonwood Canyon Creek. Cottonwood Canyon Creek is a major drainage system which borders the eastern limit of the mine plan area. Based on data collected by PacifiCorp, (see 1992 Annual Hydrologic Report) Cottonwood Canyon Creek is an ephemeral stream from its headwaters to the northeast quarter of Section 24 Township 17 South, Range 6 East and intermittent from that point to its confluence with Cottonwood Creek at Straight Canyon. During periods of drought, flow in Cottonwood Canyon Creek is limited to flow emanating from the alluvial deposits at the intersection with Roans Canyon. From the intersection with Roans Canyon to Section 36 the stream loses water to alluvial deposits. The drainage is dry from Section 36 to Section 6 except during spring runoff which normally occurs from late April through June or during precipitation events. Flow in the channel re-emerges in Section 6 and continues to the confluence with Cottonwood Canyon at Straight Canyon.

The quality of flow from the headwaters of the San Rafael River Basin is excellent. However, this quality rapidly deteriorates downstream as streams cross shale formations and receive irrigation return flow from Mancos-derived soils. The impact of the mining on this system will be quite limited.

~~The existence of runoff and sediment control structures should minimize the potential for degradation of the quality of stream waters due to runoff from disturbed areas of the Mine. The construction and upgrading of surface facilities utilized in conjunction with the Mine (yard areas, road, etc.) may result in temporary increases in the suspended sediment concentration of the adjacent stream. However, because of the regulatory requirement that sediment control be provided for all areas of surface disturbance, concentrations should be quickly normalized.~~

3.4.3.5 Mitigation and Control Plans

Runoff from all-disturbed areas will be passed through sediment control facilities, as discussed earlier in this chapter report. Any Discharge from facilities will be monitored in accordance with UPDES permit standards and state and federal regulations.

The effects of the mining operation on the surface water system will be analyzed through the surface water monitoring plan described in Chapter 7 (Section 7.2.7). ~~In the unlikely event that monitoring shows that the surface water system is being adversely affected by mining activities, additional steps will be taken to rectify the situation in consultation with state and federal regulatory agencies.~~

3.4.3.6 Surface Water Monitoring Plan

An ongoing hydrologic monitoring program will be conducted at each of the stations shown in Figure 7-9. Stations have been established to monitor water quality and quantity above and below the mine plan area.

3.4.4 Preservation of Soil Resources

The Mine site is a previously disturbed site. Topsoil resources were not protected during development activities from 1948 to 1967. A small amount of topsoil has been removed and

stockpiled from the more recent channel/culvert construction. In the event of any future disturbances, soil resources will be protected. Protection will involve the removal, stockpiling and stabilizing of soils suitable for reclamation. Suitability will be determined by analyses of soil samples.

3.4.4.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on Soil Resources

Little soil exists on the presently disturbed mine site. What soil existed prior to commencement of mining activities has long been buried by construction of the pads and buildings.

A small pile of topsoil has been stored just northwest of the fan portal area. This material was salvaged prior to the recent installation of the 48" culvert in the side canyon. The topsoil pile has been marked and reseeded according to requirements.

The remaining surficial materials are being compacted and mixed with crushed coal and coal fines. Operation and maintenance of equipment contributes oil, gasoline and diesel fuel to the soil in some places.

3.4.4.2 Control Measures to Mitigate Impacts

As only limited amounts of soil remain on the disturbed site due to previous disturbance and no further disturbances are proposed, few, if any, mitigation measures can be implemented.

In the event future disturbances of operations uncover or encounter salvageable soils, the permittee will remove, stockpile and stabilize the soils for use in future reclamation work.

Testing is planned to determine if the surficial materials can be used for revegetation work. Historic plots were set up on the mine site in cooperation with the regulatory agency to determine the feasibility of using the existing material for revegetation.

In 1984, a test plot area was installed just north of the bathhouse at the Trail Mountain Mine site. For a complete description of this test plot and record of yearly sampling, please refer to Chapter 9.

3.4.5 Protection of Vegetative Resources

The mine site was disturbed during development activities from 1948-1967. As such no vegetative protection activities were implemented during development of the mine site. Future disturbances will require verification that threatened and endangered species do not exist on the area of proposed disturbance. If any threatened and endangered species are found, the appropriate authorities will be contacted.

3.4.5.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on Vegetative Resources

The mine has been in existence since 1948 and development work since that time has removed or covered vegetative resources. Impact has been to portions of the riparian and grassland-shrub communities. The extent of impact is not great due to the limited areal extent of the disturbance.

3.4.5.2 Mitigating Measures to be Employed to Reduce Impacts to Vegetative Resources

As previously mentioned, the mine site was disturbed during development activities for 1948-1967. Disturbance of the vegetative resources has already occurred. Any further disturbance will require the verification that threatened and

endangered species do not exist on the proposed site. If any threatened and endangered species are found, the appropriate authorities will be contacted.

3.4.5.3 Monitoring Procedures – Reference Areas and Revegetation

Revegetation of the disturbed area will be undertaken following the completion of the mining activities. Success of the revegetation activities will be determined yearly through quantitative and qualitative data. The vegetation test plot methods and design that were used are described in Chapter 9.

3.4.6 Protection of Fish and Wildlife

The presence of wildlife in the mine plan area indicates their adaptability to the impacts of the mine. As a result, the overall impacts to wildlife are expected to be very minor. In addition, the permittee is committed to practical mitigation of adverse effects of construction and maintenance of the mine operation.

3.4.6.1 Projected Impacts of Mining on Fish and Wildlife

Refer to Section 10.4. ~~The known impacts of mining on fish and wildlife resources are many and varied according to the type, location, and age of the mine and technology used to remove the coal. Additionally the floral and faunal components in the mining area determine the resultant impact. It is desirable that environmental protection be accomplished during all aspects of the life of the mine from construction through final reclamation, but the degree of environmental protection is often difficult to determine. This is particularly true in cases where mining operations have been functioning for many years prior to serious environmental awareness and new improved standards of protection. Such mining operations do not have the benefit of modern sites, design, construction, and technology and have often already impacted the environmental resources such that continued operation will not be a serious additional consequence.~~

~~Continued operation of Mine will continue to have the same effect on the fish and wildlife resources in the area; therefore consideration of these affects is warranted. Reclamation also needs to be considered since discontinuation of the operation would potentially facilitate a return of the habitat to its "normal state". The impacts of concern that have and could result in perturbations to the environment and ultimately relate to the stability of fish and wildlife in the area of concern are directly related to: (1) surface disturbance, (2) loss of habitat, (3) noise and (4) human activity. Both aquatic and terrestrial habitats are of concern since the portals, loading facilities and haul roads occupy riparian habitat adjacent to a small stream, and the mine underlies a variety of terrestrial communities that are considered of high interest to various management agencies because these species are of economic or recreational value.~~

3.4.6.2 Mitigating Measures to be Employed to Protect Fish and Wildlife

Refer to Sections 10.5 and 10.7.

~~The permittee will perform the following mitigation measures in order to minimize disturbances and impacts on wildlife and their habitats that could be impacted during continued operation of the mine. The mitigating measures will meet the requirements of R645-301-322 and will be consistent with the performance standards of R645-301-358.~~

~~The permittee will make significant efforts to educate all employees associated with their on-site mine operation to the intricate values of the wildlife resources within the mine plan permit and adjacent areas. High interest species, critical habitats and critical life history periods will be emphasized.~~

~~The company will maintain the relative inaccessibility of the mine plan area.~~

~~Discharge of firearms by employees will be prohibited on company controlled property during working hours.~~

~~In winter a portion of the mine plan area, particularly the canyon bottom along the stream and haul and access road is inhabited by mule deer, and the potential is present for road strikes and harassment when the animals are in a weakened energy state due to snow and cold. These impacts need to be reduced. Drivers will be informed of the concerns for protection of wildlife, and encouraged to reduce speed in the canyon between November 1 and May 15 when mule deer are abundant.~~

~~The permittee will take precautions to keep all forms of coal or other sediments generated by operation of the mine from inadvertently entering the stream.~~

~~Since the major area of concern in introduction of sediments into the stream is the portal and load-out facilities immediately adjacent to the stream, the company has put the stream into a bypass culvert. This will prevent sediment input. This was done in consultation with the appropriate management agency to alleviate the problem. The main canyon culvert was extended approximately 300' upstream in late 1990. This extension impacted approximately 0.21 acres of riparian habitat. As mitigation for this removal of riparian area, 20 small rock check dams were installed in the lower portion of Cottonwood Creek to enhance water retention and possible fish survival. This mitigation was performed in accordance with approval from DWR. Details on location and installation of these structures (as well as the culvert extension) are found in Appendix 7-13 of this MRP. All Wildlife habitats will be maintained or improved if disturbed. This will be done by using native or other vegetation approved for reclamation or~~

~~habitat improvement. No new actions will be undertaken that compromise wildlife or their use areas without prior approval by the appropriate management or regulatory agency.~~

3.4.6.3 Monitoring Procedures

~~There are few species that will be seriously impacted by the proposed actions. There are no identified active aeries being occupied by high interest species of raptors, nor any readily accessible reproductive sites for game species that are critical to perpetuation of the species. However, should raptors, moose or any threatened or endangered species subsequently move into or be found in the mine plan area, appropriate UDOGM, UDWR and USWS personnel will be notified and mutually agreed upon monitoring instituted.~~

The mitigation action planned is such that it will require little to no monitoring, but enforcement by company officials and management or law enforcement personnel will be necessary. An exception might be the activities planned to reduce sediment loads in the stream. This is covered by the surface water monitoring program (Section 7.2.7). Refer to Section 10.7 for additional monitoring information.

3.4.7 Protection of Air Quality

3.4.7.1 Projected Impacts of Mining Operations on Air Quality

The mining operation has some effect on the air quality of Cottonwood Canyon. Dust production by the mining operation is the main contribution. The areas that are the highest producers of dust are coal haulage down canyon from the mine, coal handling, and surface winds over the disturbed area.

3.4.7.2 Mitigating Measures to be Employed to Control Air Quality

Several practices are incorporated in the operations of the mine to protect the air quality in the mine vicinity. Protection of the air quality is mainly accomplished by reduction of dust production by the mine operations. Practices used to reduce dust production are:

- 1) Periodic watering, scraping, and compaction of coal loading area and paving of the coal haulage road.
- 2) Wetting of coal during handling activities.
- 3) Keeping the size of the disturbed area to a minimum.
- 4) Revegetation of disturbed areas as soon as practicable.

3.4.7.3 Air Quality Monitoring Plans

Plans to monitor the air quality in the vicinity of the Mine have not been considered or incorporated in the mining and reclamation plan. The effect on air quality by the mine will be minimal due to the limited area and the mitigation measures incorporated in the operation.

3.4.8 Subsidence Control Plan

The subsidence monitoring requirements were first imposed by the 211 US Geological Survey regulations. Later with the formation of the Office of Surface Mining and the realignment of the USGS responsibilities subsidence monitoring became the authority of OSM. Chapter 11 describes in detail the Applicant's plan to ensure minimal environmental impacts from mine induced subsidence.

3.4.8.1 Projected Subsidence Effects

Several surveys have been conducted over the area presently controlled by PacificCorp the various permittees which may be affected by mining operations. Timber, wildlife, grazing areas, water seeps and springs are the renewable resources occurring within the permit and adjacent areas. There are no oil and gas wells, pipelines, utility structures or high power lines that will be affected by any surface subsidence within the permit boundary and adjacent areas. No buildings or dwellings have been constructed on any surface that will be subject to subsidence within the mine plan area. Timber growth and wildlife should not be affected as regional subsidence is anticipated rather than cracking the surface due to the thickness of overburden. Seeps and springs within mine permit and adjacent areas have been surveyed and are currently being monitored (refer to Chapter 7 - Hydrology, for a description of groundwater resources and monitoring).

3.4.8.2 Control Measures to Mitigate Impacts

Should material damage be incurred by any structure despite the planned subsidence damage prevention measures, the applicant will repair the damage caused by subsidence resulting from the applicant's activities or will compensate the owner of the structure for such damage.

Any Roads, fences, stock ponds, earth dams, or water troughs which are materially damaged by subsidence will be repaired and regraded to restore them to their pre-subsidence usefulness.

Should significant subsidence impacts occur, the applicant will restore those surface lands that were reduced in reasonably foreseeable use as a result of such subsidence to a condition capable of supporting reasonably foreseeable uses that such lands were capable of supporting before subsidence.

In order to restore any land affected by Applicant's mining operations to a condition capable of supporting the current and postmining land uses stated herein, the Applicant will replace water determined to have been lost or adversely affected as a result of Applicant's mining operations if such loss or adverse impact occurs prior to lease relinquishment. The water will be replaced from an alternate source in sufficient quantity and quality to maintain the current and postmining land uses as stated herein.

During the course of regular monitoring activities required by the permit, or as the Applicant otherwise acquires knowledge, the Applicant will advise the Division of the loss or adverse occurrence discussed above, within ten working days of having determined that it has occurred. Within ten working days after the Division notifies Applicant in writing, that it has determined that the water loss is the result of the Applicant's mining operation, the Applicant will meet with the Division to determine if a plan for replacement is necessary and, if so, establish a schedule for submittal of a plan to replace the affected water. Upon acceptance of the plan by the Division, the plan shall be implemented. Applicant reserves the right to appeal the Division's water loss determinations as well as the proposed plan and schedule for water replacement as provided by Utah Code Ann. 40-10-22(3)(a).

PUBLIC NOTICE

Applicant will not mine in any areas that would allow potential subsidence effects (as indicated by the angle of draw) to affect any area outside of the lease, and permit boundary until this constraint on coal recovery is resolved by the OSM and the BLM Branch of Solid Minerals or permission is granted by the adjacent surface agencies.

A mining schedule which details the area in which mining is to take place and the planned date of the mining activity will be submitted to the affected surface owners, following approval of the application and prior to mining.

3.4.8.3 Subsidence Monitoring

The subsidence monitoring at Trail Mountain Mine prior to PacifiCorp's acquisition (November 1992) was conducted using conventional surveying methods. Nowhere did monitoring identify subsidence greater than a few tenths of feet. PacifiCorp used aerial photogrammetric survey methods and annual helicopter reconnaissance flights to monitor subsidence. Baseline photography was conducted August 6, 1993 including color infrared (See Chapter 11 for details on subsidence monitoring.) Refer to Chapter 11 for additional subsidence information.

3.4.8.4 Slides and Other Damage

At any time a slide occurs which may have a potential adverse effect on public property, health, safety or the environment, the permittee shall notify the Division by the fastest available means and comply with remedial measures required by the Division.

3.4.9 Waste Disposal

The permittee has contracted with local firms to handle and remove all non-coal wastes from the mine site. Non-coal wastes and materials that constitute a potential fire hazard are hauled by a licensed contractor to a state approved waste disposal area.

Waste oil is collected in drums in a designated storage area at the site. A licensed contractor will pick up this material on a regular basis and remove it for recycling purposes.

It should be noted that during a spoils survey, it was pointed out that there was no evidence of toxic materials at this mine site (Mr. George Cook, SCS). Prior to reclamation, all spoil material will be re-sampled in a comprehensive random method and retested in accordance to UDOGM guidelines for acid and/or toxic forming potential. Sampling will be conducted per Appendix 9-1, Attachment C.

Sediment pond waste is removed from the site and disposed of in the Cottonwood/Wilberg Waste Rock Site ~~in accordance with the Division's "Sediment Pond Clean-out Procedural Guidelines". The Division will be notified and procedures will be approved prior to the start of pond cleaning activities.~~ The sediment material will be sampled and analyzed for acidity and toxicity parameters outlined in Table 7 of the UDOGM Soil Guidelines. If the sediment material does not meet the acceptable value for the acidity and toxicity parameter as reported in Table 8 of the UDOGM Soil Guidelines the material will be buried beneath 4 feet of material within the waste rock pile. Previous analyses of this material have shown it to be non-toxic and non-acid forming. ~~tested according to Division "Title V Coal, Program Policy for Disposal of Sediment Pond Waste".~~

Underground development waste is kept underground as allowed by MSHA regulations. In the event this material must be brought out of the mine, it will be hauled to the Cottonwood/Wilberg Waste Rock Site and disposed of in an approved manner.

There are no coal washing facilities at this mine site; therefore, there are no refuse or other permanent waste piles located at the Mine. The waste rock temporary storage area is shown on Plate 3-1.

3.5 RECLAMATION PLAN

Reclamation of the Mine site will be accomplished in an efficient and environmentally sound manner. This section addresses the reclamation plans for the site. Seven areas are

addressed: contemporaneous reclamation, soil removal and storage, final abandonment, backfilling and grading, revegetation, reclamation schedule, and reclamation cost estimate.

3.5.1 Contemporaneous Reclamation

The mine disturbs only a minimal area for surface facilities. ~~Most of the disturbed area will be needed for operations during the life of the mine.~~ These Areas not needed (outslopes, embankments, etc.) will be prepared, seeded with quick growing species and mulched to provide protection and cover to reduce erosion. (See following section, "Contemporaneous Reclamation Plan for Mine" and Plate 3-7 for location of contemporaneous reclamation.)

CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION PLAN FOR MINE

PLANTING DATES - Seeding will normally occur in October or November of the year, depending on climatic conditions at that time. This will allow little chance of premature germination, increase the likelihood of hibernation (or inactivity) of most seed predators and will allow seed emergence in early spring when moisture conditions are most favorable.

PLANT SPECIES - Plant species used for temporary, contemporaneous reclamation with their respective justifications are listed below:

Agropyron dasystachyum --Thickspike Wheatgrass-- This grass species was chosen for its on-site adaptability of these climatic patterns, high salt tolerance, sod forming characteristics and rapid establishment capabilities.

Oryzopsis hymenoides--Indian Rice grass-- This grass species has excellent success on spoils establishment and has moderate salt tolerance qualities.

Astragalus cicer--Silklepod Milkvetch--This forb will be planted because it is sod forming, nitrogen fixing, has moderate salinity adaptation, has establishment qualities and for aesthetics values.

Melilotus officinalis--Yellow Sweetclover-- This species is an introduced forb that establishes readily on severe disturbed sites. It is also a nitrogen fixing plant that has high affinity for salt tolerance.

No shrub or tree species are included in the seed mix for contemporaneous reclamation.

SEEDING METHODS - Slopes less than 20% will be drill seeded, or seeded by hydro seeder or hand broadcast methods. Slopes greater than 20 % will be seeded by hydro seeder or hand broadcasting.

MULCH - Hydro seeded areas will be sprayed with a wood fiber mulch. Since this is temporary reclamation the application of mulch will be optional on areas of drill seeding or seeded by hand broadcasting.

FERTILIZATION - Contemporaneous reclaimed areas will be visually checked on a yearly basis to determine success. ~~Qualitative observations of interim or contemporaneous revegetation will be submitted in the annual report.~~ An appropriate fertilizer will be applied if it appears necessary to increase plant vigor or to obtain the desired cover.

FUTURE CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION - If additional areas are disturbed or, if current disturbed areas become idle, contemporaneous reclamation procedures (as described above) will be implemented pursuant to R645-301-352.

Note: seeding rates of species will be in equal proportions totaling 52 PLS per square foot, with not more than 20 PLS per square foot of any one species.

3.5.2 Soil Removal and Storage

Refer to Section 8.7. ~~The mine was operational before the 1979 State Act or the 1977 Federal Act. Having been constructed prior to the requirement to save and stockpile topsoil, the soils on the site were used in construction of the roads and pads.~~

~~A post-law borrow pit was utilized on site to obtain fill material for the 66" culvert for Cottonwood Creek. Topsoil was salvaged from the pit area, and is stockpiled in a protected area just northwest of the intake portal for the mine. The pile has been revegetated, and is further protected by installation of a silt fence around the bottom end.~~

~~No additional area is planned for disturbance, therefore, it is unlikely that any topsoil will be encountered. However, if in the future, during upgrading operations or facility modifications, any salvageable topsoil is found, it will be tested in accordance with the "UDOGM Guidelines for Management of Topsoil and Overburden, Table 1", and if found satisfactory, will be saved and stockpiled in a location acceptable to the regulatory authority.~~

3.5.3 Final Abandonment

Upon final abandonment of the mining operation, the mine portals and openings will be sealed, the structures removed, and the drainages restored. More detailed description of the procedures to be followed will be found in the following sections. Unmined recoverable coal reserves will be protected in accordance with 43 CFR 3482.1 (c) (3) (IV). Prior to the abandonment of any part of the Mine, the permittee will get approval from authorized officers of the BLM.

The following table list the machinery abandoned at the Trail Mountain Mine (pre-sealing in 2001).

<u>LEASE #</u>	<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>TYPE OF EQUIPMENT</u>	<u>BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT APPROVAL DATE</u>
UTU-64375 (Partial relinquishment accepted 1/9/09)	10 TH Right	148 Longwall Shields and Face Conveyor *Abandoned Due to Safety Concerns	September 11, 1998 - Verbal February 26, 2001 - Written
UTU-64375 (Partial relinquishment accepted 1/9/09)	3 rd Right	3 Longwall Shields *Abandoned Due to Safety Concerns	December 11, 2000 - Written

Abandonment of Machinery: To comply with Section 10 of the Federal Coal Lease Stipulations the permittee will request approval prior to abandonment of machinery within the mine.

Abandonment of this machinery is insignificant compared to the other steel materials that must be left underground. Ferrous materials include steel roof bolts, steel wire ceiling mesh and steel covered longwall support cans. These materials are not removed due to safety concerns in all underground coal mines.

Although the shields contained emulsified oil which could eventually enter the hydrologic system, it will have as insignificant impact on the hydrologic balance in the area based on the following criteria:

- ❖ It will be a period of many years prior to the sediments being saturated to reach potential areas of discharge

- ❖ The combination of water chemistry, temperature, and lack of oxygen will impede the rate of oxidation of the metal
 - ❖ The combination of specific gravity and dip of the geology will potentially carry any migration away from the surface waters
 - ❖ The total volume of the potential contaminants is so minute it will be diluted within a short distance
 - ❖ No municipal or domestic water uses exist within 8,000 feet of the sites
- * DOGM Technical Findings Dated November 1, 2000 *

Temporary Cessation: PacifiCorp notified the Division of temporary cessation of coal mining operations at the Trail Mountain Mine effective May 4, 2001. Coal mining at the Trail Mountain Mine ceased as of March 15, 2001.

In preparation of temporary cessation, all mining equipment including; production (longwall and continuous miner), belt haulage and electrical were removed from the mine. The mine de-watering system was removed from the mine, except for a six (6) inch steel supply line (9066') and a twelve (12) inch PVC de-watering line (9066'), refer to Figure 3-8 for details.

3.5.3.1 Sealing of Mine Openings

A. PORTAL SEALING

Upon completion of mining activities, the portals will be sealed in accordance with State and Federal regulation. A typical drawing of portal sealing to be used is shown in Figure 3-7. Seals will be located at least 25' inside the portal entry. All Loose material around the seal area will be removed for roof, rib and floor prior to installation. The mine entry seals will be made of solid concrete blocks to form a wall two blocks thick.

B. DRILL HOLE SEALING

Exploration drill holes will be sealed to BLM specifications which entails scaling each hole from total depth to the surface with cement. **Drill holes are typically sealed and the pads reclaimed within months of the exploration being completed.** See (Figure 3-8).

3.5.3.2 Removal of Surface Facilities

Upon completion of mining activities, all surface structures will be removed, with the exception of portions of the culverts and the sediment pond as described in the following section. Salvageable materials will be hauled off-site to a temporary storage for re-use or sale. Non-salvageable items will be removed to an approved land fill (i.e. - Emery County Dump). Concrete will be broken up by dozer or other equipment and either placed against the highwall prior to backfilling or hauled to an approved landfill.

3.5.3.3 Disposition of Dams, Ponds, and Diversions

Due to the close proximity of the mine site to a perennial stream, it is proposed to leave the sediment pond in place to treat reclaimed area runoff until revegetation standards are reached. To direct the runoff, a 40' length of 48" cmp will be left in place at the point where the restored side canyon drainage meets the restored main channel, as shown on Plate 3-5.

The reclaimed area will be bermed along the restored side canyon drainage where it meets the restored main channel, as shown on Plate 3-5. The reclaimed area will be bermed along the restored banks of the channels to direct runoff to the sediment pond. Approximately 300' of 66" culvert (with the 96" to 66" transition and trash rack) will be left in place beneath the pond to convey the undisturbed Cottonwood Canyon drainage. All other diversions and culverts will be removed during this Phase I of final reclamation. Once revegetation standards are reached, the sediment ponds and all remaining culvert

sections will also be removed, and the remaining disturbed area will be reseeded. Additional sediment controls, such as straw bales, silt fences, berms, etc., will be placed as needed to ensure protection for the stream during this final phase of reclamation (Phase II).

3.5.4 Backfilling and Grading Plan

The surface of this area was originally disturbed in the 1940's by a previous owner. The surface is all-privately owned. Since no major effort was made at that time to save or store any topsoil or other material, restoration to approximately original contour is highly impractical. However, it is the intent of the permittee is to restore the area to a topography acceptable to the Division and compatible with the post-mining land use, using such materials that are available at the site.

In general, the backfilling and regrading will proceed as follows:

- a) After sealing of the portals and removal of all-structures, a backhoe will be brought to the upper (portal road) terrace. The backhoe will begin by reaching down over the fill bank and retrieving as much material as can be reached. This material will be placed on the terrace.
- b) ~~A-Cat~~ Other appropriate equipment will work with the backhoe where possible, taking the retrieved material and spreading and compacting it from the cut outward to reach a configuration as shown on Plate 3-5, Post-Mining Topography.
- c) The mine yard will then be re-sloped to drain as shown. A rock-lined natural drainage will be restored in the main and side channels as previously described.
- d) The reclaimed area will be left in a roughened condition by placement of material with the backhoe and subsequent ripping and/or tracking with the dozer. This will promote moisture retention on the site to enhance vegetation.

INSERT FIGURE 3-7

INSERT FIGURE 3-8

- e) Available topsoil, from the storage pile, will be redistributed to a depth of 6", starting at the north end of the storage area, and continuing down as far as material is available.
- f) Upon final shaping and preparation of an area, it will be reseeded as per the plan.
- g) Soil sampling of the regraded surface will be conducted as per the program described in Appendix 9-1, Attachment C.

3.5.4.1 Contouring

Plate 3-5 shows the post mining contours of the Mine. Upon abandonment, the post mining land use will not require extensive backfilling or returning the land to the original contours, however, all areas which are compacted through the reclamation activities or during mining will be "deep-ripped" utilizing a dozer ripper to a depth of 12" to 24" prior to seeding.

The drainage channels will be graded to reestablish the streams, following removal of the bypass culverts. Attempts will be made to restore the channels to the pre-mining slopes and conditions.

3.5.4.2 Removal or Reduction of Highwalls

Highwalls will be reclaimed as is practicable for the site and for the post mining land use. A static factor of a least 1.3 will be developed in the reclaimed highwall.

It should be noted that highwalls, by definition, are only those cut areas associated with portals. Other cut areas exist on this site; however, these are

primarily road or pad cuts and do not fit the definition of a highwall. Portions of these areas may be retained as terraces to enhance the stability of road backfills.

3.5.4.3 Erosion Control

Measures for erosion control will be implemented on a case by case basis. Some methods which might be used are: mulching, straw dikes, water bars, silt fence, and limiting access to the area.

The berms along the reclaimed channels will be checked for erosion in the flow paths. If signs of erosion are evident, erosion controls such as loose rock check dams or silt fences will be installed along at intervals of 500' or less as necessary to control the erosion. Rills or gullies deeper than nine inches in regraded areas will be filled, graded or otherwise stabilized and reseeded as per the plan. This will be accomplished by hand, using adjacent or eroded material whenever possible. If larger gullies develop, a backhoe may be used in addition to hand work. Existing material that has been found satisfactory as a growth media through testing from the site will be used to accomplish this task.

3.5.5 Revegetation Plan

The disturbed areas of the mine will be revegetated the first normal period for favorable planting conditions after final site preparation. A suitable, diverse seed mix will be used to revegetate the disturbed area. Timing of the revegetation within the mine reclamation schedule is shown in Section 3.5.6, Schedule of Reclamation. Proposed seed mixes for the revegetation are listed in Appendix 9-1 of the MRP.

The method of revegetation will be largely determined by the results of the revegetation test plots. Those test plot methods that yield the best results will be used on the full scale reclamation of the mine site.

3.5.5.1 Soil Preparation

With special handling, the disturbed land fill should provide a suitable seed bed for revegetation. Soil sampling will be conducted per Appendix 9-1, Attachment C. Special handling will include removal of contaminated material and large coarse rock fragments (greater than 18 inches). The large rock fragments will be used as rip-rap in channel restoration, buried with the fill, or randomly placed on the reclaimed surface. The mine coal pad areas will be removed and all coal/refuse associated with the pads will be hauled to the Cottonwood Waste Rock Site for disposal.

Contaminated soil material which contains greater than 50 percent coal fines, will be disposed of at the Cottonwood Waste Rock Site. Soil contaminated with oil and grease will be disposed of at an approved site. This will be determined by visual inspection, and any material with visible oil or grease contamination will be removed. The volume of such material cannot be accurately estimated; however, it will likely be less than two percent of total volume. Material with less than 50 percent coal fines will be buried against the cut banks and covered with a minimum of four feet of incombustible material. There are no acid-or toxic-forming materials known to exist at this site. Any of these materials discovered will be disposed of on-site and covered with 4' of material or removed to the Cottonwood Waste Rock Site.

Salt contamination may also be a problem in soils used for reclamation. If visible salty areas or analyzed salty areas are found, the soils will be buried along the cut banks or other available sites to a minimum depth of 48".

Non-coal waste will be separated from the soils, loaded into trucks and hauled to an approved landfill for final disposal. All— The pad areas will be ripped for 12 to

24 inches to loosen the fill profile using the rippers on the dozer, and pulverized if a cloddy surface exists. Once backfilled and graded, the surface will be scarified with the teeth on the backhoe, or using the tracks of the dozer to create "pockets" for water retention and root penetration. Soil samples will be taken to identify the need for replenishment of various soil nutrients, as described in Appendix 9-1, Attachment C.

3.5.5.2 Seeding and Transplanting

Information from the test plots has been utilized together with proven reclamation results in order to arrive at the best treatment and seeding methods. After regrading and top soiling, the disturbed area will be mulched, fertilized (if determined beneficial) and seeded. The steeper areas will be hydroseeded or broadcast, and areas flat enough to safely allow operation of a drill seeder will may be drill seeded. Regraded areas will not be smooth, but will have numerous depressions that will hold moisture and provide a micro-climate for vegetation establishment.

Riparian Community - During reclamation, the culverts will be removed, and the stream channels will be restored. The main channel will be rip-rapped with a 1.4 foot median rock size at least 4 feet above the stream bottom. The flatter, reclaimed area is expected to be 60-70 feet west of the stream bank, and 20-40 feet east to where the public road will remain. Most of this area will consist of a slope of 5-10 degrees; therefore, drill-seeding will be used.

The riparian seed mix (see Table A9-3, Appendix 9-1) will be used approximately 20-40 feet on each side of the rip-rap, leaving a total floodplain area of 50-60 feet.

Grassland - Shrub Community - The seed mix for the grassland - shrub community will be used on the entire mine site, with the exception of the riparian

are described above. See Table A9-1, Appendix 9-1 for seed mix and application rates. Portions of the grassland - shrub community area may be flat enough to safely utilize a drill-seeder; however, the majority of this area will be on the steeper slopes and will thus be hydro-seeded or broadcast.

Containerized Stock - Following the seeding and mulching containerized woody plant species will be planted at a rate of 90 individuals/acre (or 2% of the undisturbed density in equal proportions). Wherever possible, this stock will be spatially arranged in clumps to maximize cover for wildlife. It is recommended (R645-301-358) that "edge effect" be optimized in support of resident wildlife species. Significant stands of coniferous plant cover (Pinion-Juniper and Douglas Fir) exist throughout the permit areas. These stands occur well within the limits of maximum distances required to optimize edge effect. A diagram illustrating the general spatial arrangement of the grassland - shrub community is included in Appendix 9-1, along with the description of the containerized stock proposed for both grassland-shrub and riparian areas.

One proposed option is that fresh-cut willow shoots be used on the riparian area in lieu of containerized stock. These shoots would be cut from local sources along Cottonwood Creek and placed on 3' centers on each side of the reclaimed channels.

3.5.5.2.1 Seed Mix and Rate/Acre

Two seed mixes are proposed for reclamation of the two vegetative communities that existed on the disturbed site. The first seed mix is for the riparian community, the second is for the grassland-shrub community. Lists of the species for both seed mixes can be found in Appendix 9-1.

3.5.5.3 Management

The reclaimed area will be protected from livestock grazing until bond release by fencing. The proposed fence is shown on Figure 3-9, and is not intended to preclude wildlife access. The revegetated area will be observed on a yearly basis. If heavy use occurs by wildlife, rodents, etc. other protection measures may be considered.

3.5.5.4 Vegetative Monitoring

Vegetation monitoring for permanent reclamation will be conducted as indicated in Table 3-2 and Section 9.8.

Revegetation success at the mine will be based on comparison with the approved reference areas. Ground cover, woody plant density, and shall be considered equal to their respective reference area counterparts, when there is 90 percent success at 90% statistical confidence.

3.5.6 Schedule of Reclamation

3.5.6.1 Detailed Timetable for Completion of Each Major Step in Reclamation (See Table 3-3).

3.5.6.2 Reclamation Monitoring

Monitoring of the success of reclamation will encompass subsidence, revegetation and water quality and quantity monitoring.

- Annual surveys will be conducted to determine surface deformation due to subsidence and possible movement of surface subsidence monuments for a minimum of three years after no additional subsidence is detected within the area.

- Water Quality and quantity monitoring will continue until reclamation has been accomplished as approved by the regulatory authority.
- All-Seeded areas will be inventoried to determine success of seeding.

3.5.7 Cost Estimate for Reclamation

The 1979 Act "Regulation of Coal Mining and Reclamation Operations" requires the operator of a coal mine to file with the Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (DOG M) a bond in the amount equal to the estimated cost of completing the work described in the operator's reclamation plan. The bond is to ensure the State of Utah that in the event of the operator being financially unable to reclaim the disturbed areas, such areas can and will be restored by the DOGM at no cost to state residents.

The strata characteristics above the coal seam, the slow and uniform rate of subsidence will not affect the surface terrain to such an extent that reclamation will be necessary. As such, a reclamation bond is not required for the surface lands over the underground workings.


An estimate of the cost of reclamation of the Mine site is shown in Appendix 1. ~~This table reflects the required bond increase from all additions. Supporting cost calculations for each major reclamation step is also presented. The amount of the bond posted for this operation is broken down in Appendix 1.~~

Earthwork estimates for final reclamation are summarized in the Mass Balance Table 3-4. The quantities are taken from cross-sectional areas shown on Plate 3-6. Cross section locations are shown on Plates 3-1 and 3-5. Estimates indicate a cut volume of 38,579 cubic yards and a required fill volume of 39,719 cubic yards for final mine site reclamation.

TABLE 3-4 MASS BALANCE

STATION	CUT VOLUME CU. YDS.	FILL VOLUME CU. YDS.	ACC. CUT VOLUME CU. YDS.	ACC. FILL VOLUME CU. YDS.
0+00	0	0	0	0
2+00	1,556	1,467	1,556	1,467
4+00	3,778	3,022	5,334	4,489
6+00	4,889	4,519	10,223	9,008
8+00	6,222	6,148	16,445	15,156
10+00	5,511	5,482	21,956	20,638
12+00	4,341	3,259	26,297	23,897
14+00	3,719	3,170	30,016	27,067
16+00	2,533	3,926	32,549	30,993
18+00	3,185	3,511	35,734	34,504
20+00	2,415	2,400	38,149	36,904
22+00	430	1,200	38,579	38,104
24+00	0	1,274	38,579	39,378
25+00	0	341	38,579	39,719
TOTALS	38,579	39,719	38,579	39,719

NOTES: (1) Refer to Plates 3-1 and 3-5 for cross sections. (2) Refer to Plate 3-6 for cross section



MATERIAL HANDLED
 -Bituminous Coal
 -16"x0 R.O.M.
 -11% Avg. Moisture

DUST SUPPRESSION
 Sprays at ROM Transfer,
 with belt cleaning
 systems, roadway and
 yard watering as
 necessary.

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
 Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

**FOSSIL ROCK MINE
 COAL FLOW DIAGRAM**

SCALE: NO SCALE	DATE: 3/23/2016	DRAWN BY: J.K.M.
ENGINEER: A.B.E.	CHECKED BY: V.M.	PROJ: ###
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWNGS\Trail Mountain\Fossil Rock Permit Drawings\FIGURE 3-1.dwg		

SHEET NO.
FIG. 3-1

APPENDIX 3-6
TECHNICAL DATA INFORMATION

Add to the back of existing information

Exhibit 1 Blasting Record (Appendix 3-6)

(R645-301-524.700)

(R645-301-524.711) Name of Operator Conducting Blast.

(Company _____ Name)

(Address) _____

(City - State) _____

(Telephone _____ Number)

(R645-301-524.712) Location, Date and Time of Blast.

(Mine) _____

(Location) _____

(Date) _____ (Time of Blast)

(R645-301-524.713) Name, Signature, and Certification Number of Blaster in Charge.

(Name) _____

(Signature) _____ (Certification Number) _____

(R645-301-524.720) Direction and Distance, in feet from the nearest blast hole to the nearest dwelling, public building, school, church, community or institutional building outside the permit area.

(Direction) _____

(Distance in Feet) _____


(R645-301-524.730) Weather Conditions.

(Wind Direction & _____ Approximate
Velocity)

(R645-301-524.741) Type of Material Blasted

(Material) _____

(R645-301-524.742) Sketches of the blast pattern including number of holes, burden, spacing, decks, and delay pattern.



(R645-301-524.743) Diameter and Depth of Holes.

(R645-301-524.744) Types of Explosives Used.

(R645-301-524.745) Total Weight of Explosives Used.

(R645-301-524.746) Maximum Weight of Explosives Detonated in an Eight (8) Millisecond Period.

(R645-301-524.747) Initiation System.

(R645-301-524.748) Type and Length of Stemming.

(R645-301-524.749) Mats or Other Protection Used.

(R645-301-524.750) Seismographic Records and Airblast Information.

(Type of instrument, sensitivity, Certification of Calibration)

(Reading)

(Location &

Distance)

(Name of Person & Firm Analyzing Reading)

(R645-301-524.760) Reason for Unscheduled Blast

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC

Fossil Rock Mine

CHAPTER 4
LAND STATUS, LAND USE, AND POST MINING LAND USE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section No.	Description	Page No.
4.1	SCOPE.....	1
4.2	METHODOLOGY.....	1
4.3	LAND STATUS.....	1
4.3.1	Mine Plan Area Surface Land Status.....	1
	4.3.1.1 Ownership.....	1
	4.3.1.2 Surface Managing Authorities.....	2
	4.3.1.3 Special Use Permits and Leases.....	2
4.3.2	Mineral Ownership.....	2
	4.3.2.1 Coal Ownership and Mines.....	2
	4.3.2.2 Coal Leases.....	2
	4.3.2.3 Mineral Ownership.....	3
	4.3.2.4 Mineral Leases.....	3
	4.3.2.5 Oil and Gas Wells.....	3
	4.3.2.6 Oil and Gas Leases.....	3
4.4	LAND USE.....	3
4.4.1	Regional Land Use.....	3
4.4.2	Mine Plan Area Land Use.....	5
	4.4.2.1 Existing Use.....	5
	4.4.2.2 Previous Mining.....	6
4.4.3	Land Use During Operations.....	7
	4.4.3.1 Effect of Operation on Land Use.....	7
	4.4.3.2 Mitigation of Effects on Operation.....	7
4.5	POST MINING LAND USE.....	8
4.5.1	Mine Plan Area.....	8
4.5.2	Mine Site.....	8
4.5.3	Final Surface Configuration.....	9
4.6	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	9

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Description	Page No.
4-1	Oil and Gas Lease Ownership.....	4

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
4-3	Land Use Map	Back of Appendix 4-4

LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.	Description
4-1	Surface and Coal Ownership Map
4-2	Land Use Map

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix No.	Description
4-1	Special Use Permit
4-2	Coal Leases
4-2(L)	Federal Coal Lease UTU-64375
4-3	Prime Farmland Determination
4-4	Incidental Boundary Change

LAND USE

4.1 SCOPE

This chapter of the mining and reclamation plan describes the status of lands in and adjacent to the mine plan permit and adjacent areas. Present and post-mining land-uses will also be discussed with emphasis on how mining can be integrated in the multiple land-use of the area.

4.2 METHODOLOGY

Information used in preparing this chapter of the mining and reclamation plan has been gathered from published sources and from discussions with the relevant land-management agencies.

4.3 LAND STATUS

4.3.1 Mine Plan Area Surface Land Status

Land status within the mine plan area is separated into two areas: private, and federal (Refer to Plate 4-1 in Volume 3).

4.3.1.1 Ownership

PacifiCorp owned 53.35 acres of private land surrounded by federal land of the Manti-LaSal National Forest. Ownership of land was obtained by Beaver Creek Coal Company in October, 1987, through purchase of the mine from the Arch Minerals Company of St. Louis, MO. A legal description of the extent of the property is given in Chapter 2. below. Also refer to the Legal and Financial Volume for more details:

~~Beginning point SW corner of NW1/4 SE1/4, Sec. 25, T17S, R6E, SLB&M, thence North 160 rods, thence East 44 rods to center Cottonwood Creek, Southward along creek to a point 76 rods East of the beginning, thence West 76 Rods to the point of beginning.~~

4.3.1.2 Surface Managing Authorities

Historically and/or presently, the surface lands of the mine plan area have been or are currently managed by the State of Utah, Division of State Lands and United States of America, US Forest Service. Section 36, Township 17 South, Range 6 East, part of the mine plan area, is managed by the Utah Division of State Lands (relinquishment for ML-22603 effective 01/31/1996). Areas within Township 17 South, Range 6 East, Sections 25, 26, 27, 34 and 35, Township 18 South, Range 6 East, Sections 1, 2, and 3, and Township 18 South, Range 7 East are managed by the Manti-LaSal National Forest, US Forest Service. The majority of these lands have been mined out and relinquished. Refer to **Chapter 2 Legal and Financial Volume** for lease area, permit area, and adjacent area details.

Lands held by PacifiCorp are classified by Emery County, the US Forest Service, and the State of Utah as a recreation, forestry and mining area.

4.3.1.3 Special Use Permits and Leases

The US Forest Service Manti-LaSal National Forest has issued a special use permit to the Trail Mountain Mine for a right-of-way to the mine property. As discussed previously, the Mine is surrounded by Forest Service land; therefore, the special use permit was required. A copy of the permit can be found in Appendix 4-1.

4.3.2 Mineral Ownership

4.3.2.1 Coal Ownership and Mines

The Mine is located in an area of intermixed state (relinquished), federal, and fee coal. Plate 4-1 shows the ownership of the area surrounding the mine plan area. Those areas not outlined are unleased federal coal.

4.3.2.2 Coal Leases

PacifiCorp was granted federal coal lease U-082996 for 80 acres, U-49332 for 641.47 acres (partial relinquishment of 261.47 acres accepted as of 01/09/09) 380 acres remain and lease U-64375 for 2,630.81 acres (partial relinquishment of 2,504.01 acres accepted as of 01/09/09) 260 acres remain. Copies of these mineable leases are located in Appendix 4-2 and 4-2L. Refer to Chapter 2 for additional information pertaining to leases.

~~Township 17 South, Range 6 East, SLB&M Section 25, (80 acres); T17S. R6E, Sections 25, 26 and 35 (380.00 acres), T17S. R6E, Sections 26, 27 (260.00 acres);~~
Copies of the leases are found in Appendix 4-2.

4.3.2.3 Mineral Ownership

~~Ownership of 53.35 acres of surface and mineral rights is held by the permittee,~~
Refer to Chapter 2, Appendix 4-2 and Plate 4-1 for additional information

4.3.2.4 Mineral Leases

A copy of the lease agreements can be found in Appendix 4-2.

4.3.2.5 Oil and Gas Wells

No oil and gas wells have been or are presently being drilled on or adjacent to the mine plan area.

4.3.2.6 Oil and Gas Leases

Oil and gas lease are held on the mine plan area. A state lease ML-31104 is held by Placid Oil Company, filed in September, 1974. Leases held on federal land are shown in Table 4-1.

4.4 LAND USE

4.4.1 Regional Land Use

Traditionally land use in the Wasatch Plateau has been mining, grazing, recreation, wildlife habitat and timber harvesting. Generally Land management of the plateau has been controlled by the Manti-LaSal National Forest due to the largest portion of the plateau being within the forest boundaries.

Table 4-1: Oil and Gas Lease Ownership for Mine Area. (See Plate 4-1)

Location	Ownership	Lease
T 17 S, Section 24		U-15200
Southland Royalty Company	25%	
Enterprise Gas Company	37½ %	
El Paso Exploration Company	37½ %	
T 17 S, Section 25		U-24355
Hawthorn Oil Company		
T17 S Sections 26 & 35		U-15197
Southland Royalty Company	25 %	
Enterprise Gas Company	37½ %	
El Paso Exploration Company	37½ %	
T 18 S, R 6 E, Section 1		U-23208
Edward Mike Davis	47½ %	
T 18 S, R 6 E, Section 2		U-15195
El Paso Exploration Company	37½ %	
Southland Royalty Company	25 %	
Enterprise Gas Company	37½ %	

4.4.2 Mine Plan Area Land Use

4.4.2.1 Existing Use

Existing land uses of the Mine permit and adjacent areas consist of grazing, wild life habitat and recreation. No commercial forest uses have existed on the privately owned or National Forest lands within the permit or adjacent areas. No farming has or is being done on the permit or adjacent areas.

Grazing - The National Forest land within the mine plan area is considered as unsuitable range (Niebergall, 1981). Since 1981 when John Niebergall made the preceding statement, lease U-64375 was added to the mine plan area. Much of this lease, issued in 1990 (2,504.01 acres relinquished effective 01/09/09), is used as rangeland for both domestic livestock and wildlife. Section 36 (relinquished 01/31/1996), owned by the State of Utah, is leased by Emile Luke. Eight cows are allowed on the property from June 21 through September 20 (24 AUM's). The actual land within this section utilized for grazing is probably limited to 120 acres or less in the west portion of the section. The remainder of the area is unsuitable for range due to the cliff-like nature of the area. A map showing the US Forest Service grazing allotments is provided (see Figure 4-3). Livestock are also trailed on the road in Cottonwood Canyon (Niebergall, 1981). Cattle are moved to summer range at the higher elevations above the mine plan area.

It should be noted, that PacifiCorp has provided for the US Forest Service and the Trail Mountain Cattleman's Association, fences and gates at the Roan Canyon location and the adjacent Cottonwood Canyon location. The company has provided a cattle guard and a stock corral at the Cottonwood Canyon location, (see Figure 4-3) to aid the cattlemen in their efforts to trail cattle to and from summer ranges above the permit areas.

Recreation - Recreational use of the area consists primarily of sightseeing by people traveling up Cottonwood Canyon to the Upper Joes Valley area. Limited

hunting also occurs on the small section of the mine plan area on the plateau. Most of the area is characterized by steep and extremely rugged cliffs which are not conducive to recreational uses (Niebergall, 1981). There is no fishing in the canyon near the mine (Niebergall, 1981).

Access to the mine plan area is by the paved road in Cottonwood Canyon. Jeep trails allow access to the mine plan or adjacent areas on the high plateau above the Trail Mountain Mine. Access is gained via road on the upper end of Trail Mountain.

Farming - Farming is not practical within the permit or adjacent area. Farming is impractical due to the steep and rocky terrain, lack of water to irrigate and therefore, no future farm use is expected within the permit or adjacent area.

4.4.2.2 Previous Mining

Underground Mined Areas - The Johnson mines, located across the canyon from the Mine facilities were active from 1909 to 1948. The Cottonwood Canyon prospects are located across Cottonwood Canyon from the Mine. The Cottonwood Canyon prospects were active from 1946 to 1948. No other known minerals of value have been mined within the permit or adjacent area.

Production from the earlier mines was from the Hiawatha seam by room and pillar mining. An estimated production of 96,000 tons is reported by Doelling (1972) for all mining in the area. Of this, the Cottonwood Canyon mines produced approximately 54,000 tons.

Surface Mined Areas - There have been no previous surface mines located within the mine permit or adjacent areas.

4.4.3 Land Use During Operations

Land use in the area has not changed greatly in the past 20 to 50 years. The following section will look at the potential effects of the operation on the use of the land. At the present time, the Applicant has no plans to disturb any additional surface area during the mining permit term.

4.4.3.1 Effect of Operation on Land Use

The Trail Mountain Mine has operated in the Cottonwood Canyon since 1946. Land use in the canyon has adapted to the existence of the mining operation. As described previously, the majority of the mine plan area is not suitable for grazing or forestry. The uses affected are wildlife habitat and recreation. Recreationalists and ranchers use the canyon for access to the upper parts of Cottonwood Canyon and the top of the plateau. Sightseeing and seasonal cattle drives are the only major activity, other than mining, in the lower canyon.

Access up the canyon is not obstructed by the mining operation and facilities. Traffic on the county access road is not a problem. In 1987, three miles of the Cottonwood Canyon road from Highway 29 to the Trail Mountain Mine site was surfaced with six inches of asphalt.

4.4.3.2 Mitigation of Effects of Operation

The realignment and surfacing of the access road has greatly facilitated traffic flow and has mitigated any erosion or fugitive dust pollution problems.

The mine plan area due to its underground nature will have no adverse impacts other than those which may result through subsidence. Should subsidence occur and alter springs, seeps, or ponds that is used as a watering source for wildlife or cattle, the permittee will commit to mitigating the loss of seeps and springs with the installation of guzzlers. These guzzlers will be fenced to keep out cattle and allow wildlife in.

Manmade and natural stock ponds are provided on the Trail Mountain area as a source of water for grazing cattle. These ponds are supplied by snow runoff or springs. There are several developed springs in the allotment areas that have watering troughs. If subsidence affects ponds, the ponds will be rebuilt with equipment and a bentonite liner will be placed in the affected pond. Should subsidence alter a seep or spring that is supplying a water source to livestock, the permittee will, after consulting with the Forest Service and DOGM, commit to replace or relocate the trough or pond to a suitable range area. The permittee has implemented a subsidence and hydrologic monitoring program whereby, the extent and the effects of subsidence to water resources can be studied, identified, and the appropriate mitigating action taken.

4.5 POST MINING LAND USE

4.5.1 Mine Plan Area

Land use following mining will remain essentially the same on a regional basis. A combination of coal mining, grazing, wildlife habitat and recreation will tend to be the typical uses. These uses will be, as previously described, strongly influenced if not controlled by the Manti-LaSal National Forest.

The post mining use of the mine plan areas is proposed as a multiple use of grazing, wildlife habitat, hunting and recreation. All of these uses are compatible with the surrounding area.

4.5.2 Mine Site

The mine site will be reclaimed to a grazing, wildlife habitat, and recreational use. Rehabilitation of the site will include removal of all buildings and facilities, regrading the tiple pad and sediment pond, removal of the bypass culvert, and reestablishment of stream, soil preparation, and revegetation of the site.

Use of the site will probably be recreational during late spring, summer, and fall and for wildlife grazing during the winter and early spring. In this area, the recreational use should not interfere with the wildlife use due to limited access during the winter months. This will allow for protection of the deer during the crucial period while on their winter range.

4.5.3 Final Surface Configuration

The graded surface of the mine site will blend existing slopes into the surrounding terrain. The slopes will be stabilized with vegetation, and the erosion hazard reduced. (See Chapter 3.)

4.6 BIBLIOGRAPHY

US Forest Service, 1979. Land Management Plan. Ferron-Price Planning Unit, Manti-LaSal National Forest, Price, Utah.

Niebergall, John-US Forest Service, District Ranger, Manti-LaSal National Forest, Ferron, Utah - Range Improvement and Allotment Description.

CHAPTER 5
HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section No.	Description	Page No.
5.1	SCOPE	1
5.2	METHODOLOGY.....	1
5.3	HISTORIC RESOURCES.....	2
5.3.1	Historical Inventory	2
5.3.2	History of Mining	2
5.3.3	Effects of Mining on Historical Resources.....	3
5.4	ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....	3
5.4.1	Archeological Inventory.....	3
5.4.2	Effects of Mining on Archeological Resources.....	4
5.5	PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES	4
5.5.1	Paleontologic Inventory.....	4
5.5.2	Effects of Mining on Paleontologic Resources.....	4
5.6	PUBLIC PARKS.....	5
5.6.1	Inventory of Public Facilities	5
5.6.2	Effect of Mining on Public Facilities	5
5.7	Bibliography	6

HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

5.1 SCOPE

This chapter reviews the existence and potential for historical, archeological, and paleontological resources in the area of Trail Mountain the Mine. Also discussed is the potential effect the mining activities will have on the cultural resources.

5.2 METHODOLOGY

The cultural evaluations of the existence and potential of historical, archeological, and paleontological resources contains information from a record and archival examination and intensive surveys of the mine plan area and all development zones.

Evaluation of cultural resources for historic and prehistoric sites is done by the use of site quality indicators. Assessment of significance of the sites discovered utilizes the Cultural Resource Rating System (CRRS). CRRS is best explained by quoting the Bureau of Land Management definition sheet:

Cultural Resource Rating System

The following criteria are established as guidelines. The Bureau recognizes that the assignment of a particular rating is a professional judgment; however, the rationale of these judgments will be explicitly documented as part of the evaluation process.

Assign an evaluation rating (S1, S2, S3, S4) to each site according to the following guidelines and record on the BLM Form 6400-3:

S1. S1 sites are those sites which are worthy of preservation in situ. In general they are sites in relatively good condition with integrity (both internal and external); and the unique or representative; and/or have associations with important events or personages; and/or have yielded, or have a clear potential for yielding, highly significant scientific or educational information.

S2. S2 sites are those sites which contain important scientific or educational data but yet are not worthy of preservation in situ. They are not generally not particularly unique, representative, nor do they have important associations. Many contemporary sites may be S2 sites because, they cannot be clearly and immediately assessed as such, and they may become highly significant when evaluated from a future historical perspective.

S3. S3 sites are those sites whose main worth is their potential for contributing data in regard to solving larger problems, such as reconstruction of paleo-environments and human use patterns. These kinds of sites generally show little concentration of artifacts, few features, no important associations, and little or no uniqueness or representativeness.

S4. S4 sites are those sites which have minimal information retrieval possibilities, or which have no integrity, uniqueness, representativeness, or no important associations.

5.3 HISTORIC RESOURCES

5.3.1 Historical Inventory

No historical resources are known to exist within the mine plan area. A study performed by Archeological Environmental Research Corporation (AERC) in July, 1979 showed no historic sites in the canyon bottom (see Plate 5-1 and Appendices 1 & 2, for a copy of Plate 5-1 and Appendix 1 [including Figure 5-1] refer to PacifiCorp Confidential and Private Information Volume: Trail Mountain tab).

5.3.2 History of Mining

Mining activities existed on or near the site of the Trail Mountain Mine since 1898 (Doelling, 1972). The first large scale operation on the site, the Johnson Mines, opened in 1909. A series of three mines, the Johnson property operated from 1909 through 1948. The amount of coal produced during that period and the extent of the workings is unknown.

In 1946 three additional operations started in Cottonwood Canyon. Two prospects operated for a short time (1946-1952) (Doelling, 1972). Activity at the Trail Mountain Mine started in 1946 and lasted until 1967. The mine was then shut down for 10 years and reopened under the ownership for Mr. John Bell of Orangeville, Utah. Mr. Bell operated the mine until 1979. The Fetterolf Group then operated the mine until 1981, when it was purchased by Natomas Trail Mountain Coal Company. The property was subsequently purchased by Diamond Shamrock, later by Arch Minerals Corp., by Beaver Creek Coal Company (1987), and finally by PacifiCorp (1992) who presently operates the mine.

5.3.3 Effects of Mining on Historical Resources

There are no sites listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places located within the mine plan area. Therefore, no effect will occur due to the mining.

5.4 ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

5.4.1 Archeological Inventory

Five archeological sites (279E/1 through 279E/5) and four isolated artifacts (279E/x1 through 279E/x4) were identified by AERC in the lower section of Cottonwood Canyon (see Plate 5-1: Refer to PacifiCorp Confidential and Private Information Volume: Trail Mountain tab). Two of the archeological sites, 279E/3 and 279E/5 have important data remains. Some vandalism had occurred at these sites. "The abundance of charcoal and the lack of ceramics, suggests possible datable archaic habitation. Site depths of up to a meter of fill evidently contain important stratigraphic data. Both sites have register potential (CRRS: S-2) because of the scientific information potential". (AERC, 1979.)

Site 279E/2, located north of 279E/4 is something of an enigma. The site consists of a rectangular stone foundation, but the lack of other surface materials, and apparent depth, make it difficult to determine whether the site was historic or an unusual, perhaps an uncompleted prehistoric structure. Because of the stone alignments, and its pinon-juniper bench location, the site is suspected as prehistoric. It was judged not to have National Register potential and rated CRRS: S-4.

The two remaining sites 279E/1 and 2 were both CRRS: S-4 lithic scatters. No diagnostic tools were found upon them or any of the other previously described sites (for a complete discussion related to the cultural resources of Cottonwood Canyon refer to "A Preliminary Report on the Cultural Resources and Test Excavations in Cottonwood Canyon, Emery County, Utah [UP&L-79-5A, B, C]", 1979, report prepared for Utah Power & Light Company by Archeological Environmental Research Corporation, Salt Lake City, Utah).

5.4.2 Effects of Mining on Archeological Resources

The Cottonwood Creek area seems to have been the scene of limited but significant prehistoric activities. Two of the isolated artifact locations 279E/X1 and X2 had every appearance of having been sites long since eroded. These isolates compliment the two other lithic scatters and indicate limited hunting activities along the drainage. The depth of charcoal in the rock shelters indicates that their prehistoric occupation could involve a considerable chronological period.

In the area the artifacts were located, little impacts from the mining activities will occur. The sites located near the roadside will be and have been subject to vandalism. Future improvements to the road suggested by Emery County and the Forest Service may significantly impact the sites.

If such improvements occur then an intensive study will need to be undertaken to determine mitigation procedures. At the present time no significant impacts are expected.

5.5 PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES

5.5.1 Paleontologic Inventory

Fossils are found on the mine plan area in nearly all of the geologic units. With the exception of dinosaur footprints found in the coal seams of the Blackhawk Formation, no significant paleontologic specimens are known to be present (US Forest Service, 1978).

5.5.2 Effects of Mining on Paleontologic Resources

No significant effects to the paleontologic resources are expected by the mining activities. The occurrence of dinosaur foot prints in the coal seams is not a unique occurrence.

5.6 PUBLIC PARKS

5.6.1 Inventory of Public Facilities

No public facilities are located within the permit area. A public road provides access to the site and the upper canyon.

5.6.2 Effect of Mining on Public Facilities

No effect on public facilities is expected from the mining operation. Access on the public road will not be affected.

5.7 BIBLIOGRAPHY

Plateau Mining Company, 1980. Cultural Resources. Report prepared by Archeological - Environmental Research Corporation for Plateau Mining Company, Wattis, Utah.

US Forest Service, 1978. Environmental Assessment - Trail Mountain Federal Lease U-982996. Price, Utah.

US Forest Service, 1982. Environmental Assessment - Trail Mountain Coal Company, Tract 2 Coal Lease No. U-49332. Price, Utah.

Utah Power & Light, 1980. Mining and Reclamation Plan, Wilberg Mine-Cottonwood Modification. Salt Lake City, Utah.

A Preliminary Report on the Cultural Resources and Test Excavations in Cottonwood Canyon, Emery County, Utah (UP&L-79-5A, B, C)" , 1979, report prepared for Utah Power & Light Company by Archeological Environmental Research Corporation, Salt Lake City, Utah.

**CHAPTER 7
HYDROLOGY**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section No.	Description	Page No.
7.1	GROUNDWATER HYDROLOGY	1
7.1.1	Scope	1
7.1.2	Methodology	1
7.1.3	Existing Groundwater Resources	2
	7.1.3.1 Regional Groundwater Hydrology	2
	7.1.3.2 Mine Plan Area Aquifers	6
7.1.4	Groundwater Development and Mine Dewatering	11
	7.1.4.1 Water Supply	11
	7.1.4.2 Mine Dewatering	11
7.1.5	Effects of Mining on the Groundwater Hydrologic Balance	11
7.1.6	Mitigation and Control Plans	12
	7.1.6.1 Alternative Water Supply	13
7.1.7	Groundwater Monitoring Plan	13
	7.1.7.1 Baseline Monitoring	14
	7.1.7.2 Operational Monitoring	14
	7.1.7.3 Post-Mining Monitoring	15
7.2	SURFACE WATER HYDROLOGY	15
7.2.1	Scope	15
7.2.2	Methodology	15
7.2.3	Existing Surface Water Resources	22
	7.2.3.1 Regional Surface Water Hydrology	22
	7.2.3.2 Mine Plan Area Watersheds and Streams Characteristics	25
7.2.4	Surface Water Development, Control and Diversion	26
	7.2.4.1 Water Supply	26
	7.2.4.2 Sedimentation Control Structures and Diversions	29
7.2.5	Effect of Mining on the Surface Water Hydrologic Balance	36
	7.2.5.1 Quantity	36
7.2.6	Mitigation and Control Plans	37
7.2.7	Surface Water Monitoring Plan	37
	7.2.7.1 Baseline Monitoring	38
	7.2.7.2 Operational Monitoring	38
	7.2.7.3 Postmining Monitoring	38
7.3	ALLUVIAL VALLEY FLOOR DETERMINATION	38
7.3.1	Scope	39
7.3.2	Site Description	39
7.3.3	Alluvial Valley Floor Characteristic	40
	7.3.3.1 Geomorphic Criteria	40
	7.3.3.2 Water Quality and Availability	40
7.3.4	Alluvial Valley Floor Identification	41
7.3.5	Potential Impacts to the Alluvial Valley Floors	41

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

7.4 CLIMATOLOGICAL INFORMATION	41
7.4.1 Precipitation	42
7.4.2 Temperatures	42
7.4.3 Winds	42
7.5 RECLAMATION HYDROLOGY	43
7.5.1 General	43
7.5.2 Cottonwood Canyon Channel	43
7.5.3 Side Canyon Channel	44
7.5.4 Sedimentation Pond	45
7.4.5 Post-Mining Water Monitoring	45
7.6 BIBLIOGRAPHY	47

LIST OF TABLES (End of Text)

Table No.	Description
7-1	Water Quality Analyses from within the Mine
7-2	Runoff Curve Numbers
7-3	Water Quality Data from Stream Station
7-4	USGS Water Quality Data
7-5	Utah Division of Health Water Quality Standards
7-6	Surface Water Rights in the Vicinity of the Trail Mountain Mine
7-7	Peak Flows and Related Designs
7-8	Design Criteria and Calculation Results for the Diversion Ditches
7-9	Sedimentation Pond Storage and Spillway Capacity Requirements
7-10	Design Values of Sedimentation Pond
7-11	Design Criteria Reclamation Hydrology
7-12	East Mountain Temperature Summary
7-13	Design Criteria for Surface Drainage, Yard Drainage Amendment (5 of 5)
7-14	Design Criteria for CMP Sizing, Yard Drainage Amendment (3 of 3)

LIST OF FIGURES (End of Text)

Figure No.	Description
7-1	Location of the Trail Area
7-2	Hydrograph Terminology
7-3	24-Hour Rainfall Distribution (from Kent, 1973)
7-4	Variations of Hydrograph Shape with C_{3t_p}
7-5	Headwater Depth for Corrugated Metal Pipe Culverts with Inlet Control (US Soil Conservation Service, 1976)
7-6	San Rafael River Basin (Utah Division of Water Resources, 1976)
7-7	Monthly Distribution of Flows for Cottonwood Creek above Straight Canyon for the Water Year 1979 (Oct 1978 to Sept 1979)
7-8	Typical Sections of Curb/Gutter Diversion - Trail Mountain Mine
7-9	Stage Capacity Curve for Sedimentation Pond
7-10	Stage-Discharge Curves for the 48-inch Spillway Riser and Conduit
7-11	ARMCO Corrugated Metal Anti-Seep Collar
7-12	Typical Reclaimed Channels
7-13	Winds - Meetinghouse Ridge (Jan. - Dec. 1978)

LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.	Description
7-1	Location of Seeps & Springs
7-2	Water Monitoring Locations, Discharge Locations
7-3	Underground Water Monitoring Locations
7-4	Surface Water Rights
7-5	Drainage Controls
7-6	Drainage Areas
7-7	Sedimentation Pond Details
7-8	Sediment Pond (as constructed)
7-9	Extent of Alluvium
7-10	Cottonwood Creek Channel Change Cross Sections
7-11	Typical Sediment Control Measures

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix No.	Description
7-1	Water Monitoring Program
7-2	Water Quality Monitoring Data
7-3	Hydrologic Conveyance Facilities
7-4	Rip-Rap Design
7-5	Mine Inflow Calculations
7-6	Exchange of Water Rights
7-7	Well Approval
7-8	Water Shares
7-9	Spring Inventories - 1981/1985
7-10	Probable Hydrologic Consequences
7-11	UPDES Permit
7-12	Macroinvertebrate Study
7-13	Agency Approvals for Culvert Extension
7-14	Trail Mountain TM-3 Monitoring Well
7-14(L)	Water Rights
7-15(L)	Drill Hole Water Information
7-16	Proposed Parking Lot/Culvert Extension
7-17	Cottonwood Canyon Creek 1992 Hydrologic Investigation – 2000 Revisions

HYDROLOGY

7.1 GROUNDWATER HYDROLOGY

7.1.1 Scope

The scope of the groundwater section of this report is to describe the existing groundwater hydrologic conditions of the mine plan area and adjacent areas and to describe the methods that have been and will be used to predict and monitor the impacts from mining (see Figure 7-1 for location of the Permit Area). Sections within the groundwater section of this report will cover the following major topics: methodology, existing groundwater resources, groundwater hydrologic balance, mitigation and control plans, and groundwater monitoring plans.

7.1.2 Methodology

Information used in preparing the groundwater hydrologic section of this report has been gathered by field investigations conducted on the ground and in the mine. Water quality samples have been collected and analyzed. Pertinent literature has been examined. In addition, experience of personnel working in the mine has been utilized to obtain estimates of the quantity of water encountered in the mine.

A seep and spring survey was conducted on October 29, 1985 in the vicinity of lease UTU-64375 (previously referred to as Tract 2). Data collected from this survey are supplemental to data collected in June 1981 as part of the investigation conducted for leases U-49332, U-082996, ML-22603 (previously referred to as Tract 1) for the PAP.

All water quality samples have been and will continue to be analyzed by a certified laboratory. Water rights were determined by examining current records of the Utah Division of Water Rights.

7.1.3 Existing Groundwater Resources

This section of the report deals with the groundwater resources of the mine plan area as well as the region as a whole.

7.1.3.1 Regional Groundwater Hydrology

Geology, an important factor in the groundwater hydrology, is discussed briefly in this section to provide a basis for better understanding of the groundwater hydrologic regime.

Geology - The Mine plan area is located in the central portion of the Wasatch Plateau coal field (Doelling, 1972). The dip of the strata is generally toward the southwest, ranging from approximately five to eleven percent (three to six degrees) over the mine plan area. The geologic formations exposed on or adjacent to the mine plan area are Cretaceous members of the Mesaverde group, overlain by the North Horn and Flagstaff Limestone formations, which are Tertiary Formations (see Plate 6-2).

Star Point Sandstone - The Star Point Sandstone, the basal formation of the Mesaverde group (Doelling, 1972), is a light colored, massive, medium to fine-grained sandstone (Spieker, 1931). The Star Point ranges in thickness from 250 to 450 feet (Doelling, 1972, and Spieker, 1931). The sandstone is relatively impermeable with groundwater movement occurring mainly in fractures.

Blackhawk Formation - Overlying the Star Point is the Blackhawk Formation which is the middle and coal-bearing division of the Mesaverde group. The Blackhawk consists of alternating sandstone, shale and coal beds and is approximately 700 to 800 feet thick with the valuable coal seams located within the lower 400 feet (Doelling, 1972).

The sandstone beds are fine to medium-grained (Spieker, 1931) and yellow-gray to tan in color (Doelling, 1972). The sands of the Blackhawk are cemented by calcium carbonate or silica with the exception of a few localized areas in which the cement consists almost entirely of clay. Iron is also present in the cement of all but the pure

white sandstones (Spieker, 1931). The generally discontinuous nature of the Blackhawk and apparent low specific yield (Cordova, 1964) indicates that the water yielding capabilities of the Blackhawk are only of local importance.

Spieker (1931) identifies three general types of shale in the Blackhawk Formation: ordinary clay shale, carbonaceous shale, and smoke-gray shale (all continental in origin). The ordinary clay shale is gray to green, granular and normally soft at the outcrop; the carbonaceous shale is brown to black, massive and laminated; and the smoke-gray shale is tough and leathery, and in its unweathered state is hard and homogeneous (Spieker, 1931). The presence of shale acts as a significant barrier to the vertical movement of water within the Blackhawk Formation.

Castlegate Sandstone - The Castlegate Sandstone, generally considered a member of the Price River Formation (Spieker, 1931), consists of massive, medium to coarse-grained sandstone beds, containing conglomerate with a matrix of grit (Doelling, 1972) in places. The Castlegate overlies the Blackhawk Formation, and its beds are occasionally broken by sandy, hard, gray shale and at times by thin lenses of coal (Doelling, 1972).

Price River Formation - The lithologic characteristics of both the Price River Formation and the underlying Castlegate Sandstone are similar. The Castlegate member is separated from the Price River due to its cliff-forming characteristics (Spieker, 1931). Like the Castlegate, the Price River Formation consists of medium-to coarse-grained sandstone beds with occasional lenses of shale. Although the unit has a high porosity, its apparent low permeability (Cordova, 1964) reduces its water-yielding capabilities except through fractures.

North Horn Formation - The North Horn is the lowermost member of the Wasatch Group, consisting of variegated shales, irregular beds of gray, brown or cream-colored sandstone of various texture and thin beds of steel gray and cream-colored limestone (Spieker, 1931). Like the Blackhawk Formation, the shales in the Castlegate, Price River, and North Horn formations act as significant barriers to the vertical movement of water within the formations; therefore, a significant portion of

the water which reaches these underlying formations percolates downward until encountering a shale layer, which then causes horizontal movement to the surface or another "drain," i.e., sandstone finger within the formation.

Flagstaff Limestone - Although not located within the mine plan area, erosional remnants of the Flagstaff Limestone are located on summits and ridges adjacent to the Trail Mountain Mine (Davis and Doelling, 1977). The unit forms a white cliff, consisting of white, light gray and thin-bedded lacustrine limestone with some thin beds of gray shale and white volcanic ash. On top of Trail Mountain in the NW 1/4 of Section 22, T17S, R6E the thickness of the Flagstaff Limestone was measured at 105 feet (Davis and Doelling, 1977).

Faults - No major faults have been found to extend into the mine plan area. The Joe's Valley fault zone, trending north to south, is located approximately three miles to the west of the PHI area; therefore, no major faults are anticipated to be encountered in the Trail Mountain Mine.

Groundwater - The principal factor controlling the occurrence and availability of groundwater in any area is geology. As noted by Price and Waddell (1973), nearly all of the region surrounding the mine plan area is underlain by rocks of continental and marine origin, consisting predominantly of interbedded sandstones and shales. Although some of the sandstones in the region serve as the principal water-bearing strata, their ability to yield water for extended periods of time is largely controlled by the existence of the relatively impermeable interbedded shale layers, which prevent the downward movement of a significant amount of water.

According to the US Geological Survey (1979), groundwater in the region exists under water table, artesian, and perched conditions. Water table conditions exist primarily in shallow alluvial deposits along larger perennial streams and in relatively flat lying sedimentary rocks. Artesian conditions exist at greater depths where a confining layer overlies a more permeable member; however, pressures are generally not sufficient to produce flowing wells. Perched or impeded conditions exist where the confining layer lies beneath the water-bearing stratum.

As noted by Lines (1985), the Blackhawk Formation and the Star Point Sandstone are considered together in the region as an aquifer. These formations are typically saturated where they exist sufficiently far from the edges of canyons; however, the Blackhawk Formation tends to be drained near the canyons, as is the case in the existing Trail Mountain Mine workings.

Strata that overly the Blackhawk Formation are not completely saturated but do contain perched aquifers (Lines, 1985), which provide water locally to springs and base flow to some streams.

Investigations in the vicinity of the Trail Mountain Mine by Danielson et al (1981) indicated that most, if not all, groundwater in the region is derived from snowmelt. Recharge tends to be limited in areas underlain by younger rocks due to slope steepness and relative imperviousness, both of which promote runoff rather than infiltration of snowmelt.

The predominant chemical constituents in most springs in the region are calcium, magnesium, and bicarbonate (Lines, 1985). Dissolved solids concentrations generally range from about 250 to 750 milligrams per liter. Regionally, the concentrations of major dissolved constituents in water from individual geologic units are highly variable, due to lithologic complexity in the area.

Spring inventories of the mine plan areas were conducted in 1981 and 1985. The springs within and adjacent to the mine plan areas, shown in Plate 7-1, exist under perched conditions because of the existence of relatively impermeable interbedded shales within the North Horn, Price River, Castlegate and Blackhawk Formations. Springs issue from a sandstone layer underlain by shale adjacent to and downslope from a local recharge basin where more than average snow can accumulate. Recharge zones for these local springs are nearby flats along ridges. Springs generally do not occur along narrow ridges with steep side slopes where little opportunity for groundwater recharge exists.

Another interesting groundwater characteristic deals with the origin of springs with regard to geologic formations. The springs sampled on Trail Mountain were associated with the North Horn Formation. As mentioned previously, the North Horn Formation consists of variegated shale, sandstone, and thin-bedded limestone. The shale layers act as impeding members to deep percolation, diverting a significant portion of water which percolates through the soil mantle and forcing it to move somewhat horizontally to be discharged at the surface as spring water. Most of the springs are located at higher elevations. The North Horn Formation lacks distinct and persistent lithologic units (Spieker, 1931); therefore, the sandstone of water-bearing lenses of the formation is somewhat discontinuous. This fact, coupled with the fact that recharge zones for the springs are in the nearby flats along the ridges, implies that springs are local in extent as opposed to a larger more regional system.

7.1.3.2 Mine Plan Area Aquifers

Seeps and Springs - As indicated previously, springs and seeps within and adjacent to the mine plan area have been inventoried. The mine plan area was walked over and springs and seeps identified. Water quality samples were collected from the springs associated with the mine plan area and analyzed.

Springs on Trail Mountain generally issue from sandstone overlying a shale layer. Lines (1985) found that the laboratory hydraulic conductivity of the sandstone and shale units within the Blackhawk Formation varies by four to six orders of magnitude. The relative magnitude of the hydraulic conductivity of local sandstones compared with siltstones and shales indicates that the finer grained sediments of the formations serve as barriers to the downward movement of water.

Recharge into local formations, either through snowmelt, rainfall, or subsurface seepage from an adjacent formation, percolates downward within the sandstone beds; however, upon reaching a less-permeable siltstone or shale layer, the water is forced to flow down dip to the surface, issuing at the interface between the two units.

Four wells have been drilled to monitor groundwater conditions in the Star Point Sandstone. The following table lists the well completion data and the status of each well:

WELL ID.	DRILL DEPTH (ft)	STRATA MONITORED	POTENTIOMETRIC ELEVATION (ft)	MONITORING STATUS
TM-1	650	Star Point Sandstone (See Notes Below)	7259	Abandoned Relocated to TM-1B (See Notes Below)
TM-1B	480	Star Point Sandstone (See Notes Below)	7272	Monthly See Monitoring Plan
TM-2	60	Spring Canyon Member Star Point Sandstone	7151	Abandoned In-Mine Well Area Sealed
TM-3	560	Spring Canyon Member Star Point Sandstone	6900	Monthly See Monitoring Plan

Well TM-1: Well TM-1 was drilled outside the mine near the main manway portal. The hole was drilled to a total depth of 650 feet, beginning at a point 5.0 feet below the top of the Star Point Sandstone. At this location the Star Point was encountered to a depth of 350 feet, with a transition from the Star Point to the Mancos Shale existing from a depth of 350 feet to 500 feet. Below the 500-foot depth, the Mancos shale is present. The elevation of the ground surface at TM-1 is 7276.0 feet. On September 17, 1993 the static water level in TM-1 was at a depth of 17.4 feet below the surface; hence, the elevation of the potentiometric surface at TM-1 is 7258.6 feet. During routine monitoring on December 20, 1993 the bailer utilized to retrieve the quality samples became lodged in the casing at a depth of approximately forty feet. Several unsuccessful attempts were made to retrieve the bailer. Well TM-1 has been permanently abandoned using Division of Water Rights specifications. Monitoring potential impacts to the Star Point Sandstone at the mine facility was transferred to Well TM-1B on June 22, 1994.

Well TM-1B: Beaver Creek Coal developed a surface well located near the bathhouse (designated as well TM-1B on the enclosed Surface Facilities map 3-1)

with the intended purpose of supplying water to the water treatment plant (see Volume 2 Appendix 7-7). During well development it was determined that the well production was insufficient to supply the water treatment plant (production was less than five gallons per minute) and the well was temporarily abandoned. Well TM-1B was drilled in October 1987 to a depth of 480 feet, which fully penetrated the Star Point Sandstone formation. Development included setting 480 feet of 6 inch steel casing, perforating the casing from 380-460, and setting a Grundfos 5-10 GPM pump at 420 feet with a 1 inch galvanized discharge line. Monitoring of well TM-1B was initiated in June 1994 with depth on a monthly basis and quality collected quarterly.

Well TM-2: Well TM-2 was an in-mine well drilled at crosscut 54 in the south mains. The hole was drilled to a total depth of 60 feet, beginning at the top of the Star Point. Only the Spring Canyon Member of the Star Point Sandstone was penetrated in this hole. The elevation of the mine floor and the top of the Star Point Sandstone at TM-2 is 7167.0 feet. On October 24, 1985 the static water level in TM-2 was at a depth of 16.5 feet below the mine floor. Hence, the elevation of the potentiometric surface at this location is 7150.5 feet.

Well TM-3: PacifiCorp drilled and developed well TM-3 on September 28, 1993 to satisfy a special condition request from the mid-term permit review. Results of an aquifer test conducted April 28, 1994 can be found in Appendix 7-14. Well TM-3 was drilled in Straight Canyon, approximately 11 miles northwest of Orangeville, Utah (SW1/4 NW1/4 of Section 3, Plate 7-2). Well TM-3 was drilled to a total depth of 560 feet. At this location, the Star Point was encountered to a depth of 455 feet, with a transition from the Star Point - Spring Canyon Member to the Mancos Shale existing from a depth of 555 feet to 560 feet. The elevation of the ground surface at TM-3 is 6750 feet. Water in the Star Point Sandstone is under artesian pressure, with the static pressure on June 22, 1994 of 65 psi. Hence, the elevation of the potentiometric surface at TM-3 was 6900.2 feet when the well was completed.

Results of complete chemical analyses from Well TM-1B are presented with other water monitoring data in the Annual Reports (pre-2015). Plate 7-1 also shows the

elevation of the potentiometric surface in the greater Mine area. This data is taken from Lines (1985) and modified using data from Wells TM-1, TM-1B, TM-2 and TM-3. As noted, the potentiometric surface surmised by Lines should be shifted to the south in the vicinity of Cottonwood Creek. Plate 7-1 indicates that the flow of groundwater in the Star Point Sandstone in the vicinity of the Mine is to the south-southwest toward Straight Canyon.

Springs in the vicinity of the Trail Mountain Mine are used by cattle, deer, elk and other wildlife. Five of the springs (T-4, T-6, T-8, T-9, T-11, T-15) have been developed with watering troughs or ponds.

Data presented by Lines (1985) indicate that the total dissolved solids concentrations of water from springs in the North Horn Formation tend to increase in the direction of groundwater flow, i.e., in the south-southwest direction according to Lines, (1985). A review of TDS data collected from springs monitored by PacifiCorp substantiates this observation (review Annual Hydrologic Monitoring Reports (pre-2015), Springs T-8, T-15 and T-6). The pattern of increasing TDS in a southerly direction could possibly be due to increased leaching of the bedrock in the down gradient direction or contact with altered strata associated with natural burning along the southern outcrop. Insufficient springs were available to determine if such a trend exists within other formations in the vicinity of the mine; however, Lines (1985-Appendix 7-B) found that the pattern did not exist in the Blackhawk-Star Point aquifer.

The pH of water issuing from springs in the survey area showed no trends. Values varied from 7.3 to 8.5, generally falling in the range of 7.3 to 7.6; hence, spring water in the study area is slightly alkaline.

Groundwater Quality - Water quality samples have been collected from seepage within the Trail Mountain Mine (pre-2015) to determine the groundwater hydrologic conditions within the Blackhawk Formation in which the coal-bearing zone is located. Water quality samples have also been collected from springs to provide an index of groundwater hydrologic conditions within other overlying formations of the mine plan area.

All Samples were collected and preserved as previously outlined. The results of the chemical analyses for samples taken from within the mine are presented in Table 7-1.

Mining occurs in the lower Blackhawk Formation, which consists of interbedded layers of sandstone and mudstone separated by mineable and non-minable coal seams. The sandstone beds-fluvial channel systems are generally massive while the mudstone layers are fine textured and have a tendency to swell when wet and decompose into an impervious clay. Because of the aquiclude formed by mudstone layers in the North Horn Formation, recharge to the Blackhawk Formation is limited, even along major fault systems. Due to the lithologic characteristics of the Blackhawk, both vertical and horizontal migration is constricted. Refer to Chapter 7, Hydrology, for a detailed discussion of the Hydrologic Balance.

The interception of groundwater varies and is dependent on several factors. One of the most significant is that when the mine enters virgin country, a significant amount of water is liberated. In virtually all cases the amount of water which flows into the mine exceeds the recharge and, in time, the water inflow decreases in volume. If new areas are not mined, the discharge from the mine will decrease accordingly.

Groundwater chemical quality is very good in strata above the Mancos Shale. The USGS reports a range in dissolved solids from 50 to 750 mg/l for samples from 140 springs in the region issuing from the Starpoint Sandstone and overlying formations (Danielson et al., 1981). Danielson et al. (1981) identified the regional trends of decreasing water quality from north to south and west to east across the Wasatch Plateau. Waters percolation through the underlying Mancos Shale quickly deteriorate, with total dissolved solids concentrations frequently exceeding 3000 mg/l.

The quality also decreases vertically because of the influence of marine sediments along with the trend of decreasing quality from north to south. The predominant dissolved chemical constituents of the groundwater from both surface springs and samples collected in the mine are calcium, bicarbonate, magnesium and sulfate. Concentrations of magnesium are normally about one-half the concentration of calcium. Sulfate concentrations are typically higher in water from springs issuing from the

Starpoint-Blackhawk aquifer zone or confined aquifers intersected by mine workings. As mentioned earlier water quality degrades from the north to the south and also vertically.

Although the analysis of the overburden samples tested has shown that no toxic or hazardous materials are present, groundwater quality will be protected by handling earth materials and runoff in a manner that minimizes infiltration to the groundwater system. Mine water encountered in the mine, which is not needed for dust suppression or mining, will be discharged according to stipulations in UPDES Permit No. UT-0023728.

State and federal regulations (R645-301-727) require that an alternate water supply be provided to replace any water source disrupted, degraded, or diminished by the mining operation. Though the mining operation is unlikely to affect the water supplies in the Trail Mountain area, the permittee will provide this alternate supply if needed.

In the unlikely event of mining adversely affecting a water source, the permittee will review

and select an alternative after considering all the possibilities of each site-specific circumstance. *Moved from Section 3.4.3.1, 3.4.3.2*

Wells and Users - As indicated previously, no wells are known to exist within or adjacent to the mine plan area except for the wells drilled to monitor potential impacts to the Star Point Sandstone aquifer. Principal groundwater use in the general area is restricted to use of wildlife and for stock watering from springs or seeps. Groundwater produced within the mine is used for dust suppression and equipment operation within the mine or discharged under an approved UPDES permit (see Appendix 7-11).

7.1.4 Groundwater Development and Mine Dewatering

This section of the report discusses the groundwater supply and usage in the mine plan and adjacent areas as well as the dewatering taking place in the Trail Mountain Mine.

7.1.4.1 Water Supply

Water required for underground mining operations is supplied from two sources:

1. Underground water from the mine is collected in a sump and recirculated for mining purposes; and
2. Supplemental mine water needs can also be supplied by pumping water from Cottonwood Creek.

Culinary water is supplied from underground sources pumped to a water treatment plant located near the main portal.

Water Rights - A search of water rights from the Utah Division of Water Rights within and adjacent to the mine plan area showed no claimed groundwater rights within two miles.

7.1.4.2 Mine Dewatering

Generally water encountered within the mine has been in the form of roof leakers through bolt holes and tension cracks positioned parallel to the working face of the mine. As mining progresses downdip, leakers further than 500 feet updip of the working face generally dry up. Only a limited amount of water is made within the mine. Water produced within the mine is used for dust suppression and fire protection within the mine and for the operation of in-mine machinery. Occasionally mine water production will exceed usage because of inactivity of the mine operation, short-lived surges of inflow, etc. As a result, a system has been constructed to allow for discharge of the mine water from the sump to Cottonwood Creek, with an option of routing the discharge through the sediment pond if necessary. This discharge point is approved under an UPDES Discharge Permit and is fitted with a flow meter for accurate quantity measurement. Intercepted groundwater will be monitored, quantified and reported annually in the Hydrologic Monitoring Report (pre-2015).

7.1.5 Effects of Mining on the Groundwater Hydrologic Balance

As has been noted, the occurrence and quality of water in any region is highly controlled by geology. A structural feature known as the Straight Canyon Syncline may influence the groundwater hydrology in the northwestern corner of the permit area. The axis of the syncline, plunging NE-SW at approximately 3.5°, passes just to the northwest of the permit boundary and is visible on outcrop

at the Joes Valley dam. The syncline is a prominent feature and could provide a conduit from groundwater migration from NE-SW. The only data for this area has been obtained from exploration holes which have been drilled near the syncline axis. No unusual or persistent sources of groundwater at the coal seam horizon were noted in holes TMX-2, TMX-6, and TMX-7 (see Appendix 7). As with any syncline structure, increased amounts of intercepted groundwater could be expected as mining approaches the syncline axis. Rather than rapid inundation which can occur along fault zones, a gradual increase in the amount groundwater entering the mine should be anticipated as mining proceeds down dip below the potentiometric surface toward the syncline axis.

Since excess water in the mine is stored in sumps, settled and pumped out into Cottonwood Canyon Creek, the net loss to the general hydrologic regime would be minor or zero. The entire permit area is within the Cottonwood drainage system. Interbasin transfer will not occur from the interception and discharging of groundwater.

Springs - As noted previously, springs within and surrounding the mine plan area were inventoried in June, 1981 and October 1985. Experience gained from the data collected at nearby mines and from the general area has provided vital information regarding the possible effect of mining on springs.

Plate 7-1 shows the location of all water sources found during the hydrologic inventory of the mine plan and adjacent area. As shown, only a limited number of springs/seeps were found on the east face of Trail Mountain. The springs located were mainly confined to the west slopes of the mountain.

Based on the data collected by PacifiCorp and the Bureau of Mines on the adjacent East Mountain property, mining induced impacts have not been identified. As discussed in Chapter 11, subsidence is expected to have no impact on bedrock-aquifer springs in the vicinity of the Mine.

Four run off fed ponds were also identified during the October 29, 1985 survey. Their locations are shown on Plate 7-1. These ponds, numbered 35-1P, 26-1P, 26-2P and 26-3P, were sampled for water quality during the 1981 survey and occur within the area of potential subsidence. The subsidence effects on the ponds may result in changes in retention capacity if subsidence fractures intercept them; however, water quality is not likely to be adversely affected. Inflows to the mine are projected to be insufficient to require other than occasional dewatering; hence, impacts due to dewatering are projected to be minimal. The water supply for use at the mine (culinary and domestic) is obtained from in-mine sources. Lines (1985) states that mining is not expected to adversely impact water quality in the vicinity of the Trail Mountain Mine.

7.1.6 Mitigation and Control Plans

As was previously discussed, no significant impacts to the groundwater system are expected from the mining operation. The groundwater monitoring plan (discussed in the following section) will provide a means to follow the possible effect of mining activities on the groundwater system.

Any roads, fences, stock ponds, earth dams, or water troughs which are materially damaged by subsidence will be repaired and regraded to restore them to their pre-subsidence usefulness. Should significant subsidence impacts occur, the applicant will restore to the extent technologically and economically feasible those surface lands that were reduced in reasonably foreseeable use as

a result of such subsidence to a condition capable of supporting reasonable foreseeable uses that such lands were capable of supporting before subsidence.

7.1.6.1 Alternative Water Supply

In order to restore any land affected by Applicant's mining operations to a condition capable of supporting the current and post-mining land uses stated herein, the Applicant will replace water determined to have been lost or adversely affected as a result of Applicant's mining operations if such loss or adverse impact occurs prior to final bond release. The water will be replaced from an alternative source in sufficient quantity and quality to maintain the current and post-mining land uses as stated herein.

During the course of regular monitoring activities required by the permit, or as the Applicant otherwise acquires knowledge, the Applicant will advise the Division of the loss or adverse occurrence discussed above, within ten working days of having determined that it has occurred. Within ten days after the Division notifies Applicant in writing that it has determined that the water loss is the result of the Applicant's mining operation, the Applicant will meet with the Division to determine if a plan for replacement is necessary and, if so, establish a schedule for submittal of a plan to replace the affected water. Upon acceptance of the plan by the Division, the plan shall be implemented. Applicant reserves the right to appeal the Division's water loss determinations as well as the proposed plan and schedule for water replacement as provided by Utah Code Ann. 40-10-22(3)(a).

7.1.7 Groundwater Monitoring Plan

An inventory of the springs adjacent to the mine plan area was conducted during the spring of 1981 and the fall of 1985. Water quality and quantity data were collected at springs throughout the mine plan and adjacent area. After the quantity and quality data was assembled, representative springs were selected for the groundwater monitoring program. These springs are shown in Plate 7-2.

Groundwater monitoring for the permit area will also consist of collecting water quality and quantity from points of significant inflow to the underground workings. An inventory of the active portion of the mine will be conducted on a quarterly basis to identify the location and geologic occurrence of mine

inflows that exceed three gallons per minute. Certain of these inflows, if they occur, will be selected, in consultation with DOGM, for continued monitoring. Samples from all monitoring stations will be collected and analyzed according to Appendix 7-1. Groundwater monitoring data collected during a calendar year will be summarized and submitted to DOGM in the Annual Report (pre-2015). Monitoring parameters will be in accordance with DOGM Guidelines for Groundwater Monitoring. Monitoring schedule and reporting will be in accordance with Appendix 7-1.

7.1.7.1 Baseline Monitoring

Baseline monitoring will be conducted on new sites for a two year period, after which monitoring will revert to the operational parameters list in the Division's guidelines. In addition, all sites, both groundwater and surface water, will be sampled and analyzed for baseline parameters every fifth year (see Appendix 7 for groundwater and surface water locations and frequencies).

7.1.7.2 Operational Monitoring

When two years of baseline data have been collected, the monitoring frequency will be adjusted according to DOGM's guidelines and as shown in Appendix 7-1 for Operational Phase Monitoring.

Mine water and sediment pond discharge will be monitored in accordance with the approved UPDES permit.

7.1.7.3 Post-Mining Monitoring

Post-mining monitoring of groundwater will continue on representative springs, which will be determined with the aid and approval of the UDOGM. Quantity and quality monitoring and analysis will be accomplished biannually for the time period associated with the reclamation bond or until post-mining data approaches preliminary standards. Parameters to be monitored will be selected following consultation with the State and Federal agencies.

7.2 SURFACE WATER HYDROLOGY

As was explained under Section 7.1, OSM and DOGM regulations require that water monitoring programs be established in areas of underground coal mining to monitor the effects of mining activities and protect the hydrologic balance of such area. This section outlines the surface water hydrologic investigation conducted on the permit area.

7.2.1 Scope

The scope of the surface water section of this report is to describe the existing hydrologic conditions of the mine plan and adjacent areas and to describe the methods that have been and will be used to predict, monitor and mitigate the impacts of mining. Sections within this section will cover the following major topics: methodology, existing surface water resources, surface water development, control and diversions, effects of mining on the surface water hydrologic balance, mitigation and control plans, and surface water monitoring plans.

7.2.2 Methodology

Information used in preparing the surface water hydrologic section of this report has been gathered by field investigations. Pertinent literature has been examined. Numerous water quality samples have been and will continue to be analyzed by a certified laboratory. Water rights have been determined by examining current records of the Utah Division of Water Rights.

The mean annual water yield from areas of Trail Mountain that could impact the Mine was calculated by two separate methods and compared with an estimate of the mean annual water yield given in Jeppson et al. (1968) to increase the level of confidence. The first method of calculation, referred to

as "Grunsky's Rule," was originally developed by Grunsky (1908) and later adapted by Sellars (1965). In accordance with this method, the average annual water yield can be determined from:

$$Q = \alpha P^2 \text{ [for } P \leq 1/(2) \text{]} \quad (7-1) \quad \text{or}$$

$$Q = P - 1/4(\alpha) \text{ [for } P \geq 1/(2) \text{]} \quad (7-2)$$

Where "Q" is the mean annual water yield, in inches; "P" is the normal annual precipitation, in inches; and " α " is the runoff coefficient, in inches⁻¹. Alpha (α) was determined from guidelines set forth by Hawkins (1976). The second method of calculation is known as Ol'deKop's formula (Sellars, 1965). According to this method, the mean annual water yield is determined from:

$$Q = P - E_o \tanh\left(\frac{P}{E_o}\right)$$

where "Q" and "P" are as previously defined and "E_o" is the annual potential evapotranspiration, in inches.

Estimates of peak flow recurrence intervals for ephemeral streams in the mine plan area were determined from techniques presented by Fields (1975). According to Fields (1975), the 25 and 50 year recurrence interval flood discharge of Utah streams is related to channel geometry characteristics. In this USGS investigation Utah was subdivided into three areas, which were defined from information collected at 85 gaging stations. The locations of the sites used to develop the three appropriate equation sets were of similar streamflow characteristics. Thirty-three sites were studied at mountainous locations in east central Utah [see Figure 2, Fields (1975)] designated as Area 2. Analysis of the data provided reliable estimating equations for flood flows with 25 and 50 year recurrence intervals. Specifically, for the mine plan area, the following relationships were found to apply:

$$q_{25} = 3.7W^{1.57} \quad (7-4) \quad \text{and}$$

$$q_{50} = 3.9W^{1.58} \quad (7-5)$$

where "W" is the width of the channel bar cross-section in feet, and 25 and 50 are the 25 and 50-year recurrence interval flood discharges in cfs, respectively. The respective standard errors associated with Equations 7-4 and 7-5 are 28 and 33 percent.

The runoff volume resulting from a particular rainfall depth was determined using the runoff curve number technique, as defined by the US Soil Conservation Service (1972). According to the curve number methodology, the algebraic and hydrologic relationship between storm rainfall, soil moisture storage, and runoff can be expressed by the equations;

$$S = \frac{1000}{CN} - 10 \quad (7-6)$$

and

$$Q = \frac{(P - 0.2S)^2}{P + 0.8S} \quad (7-7)$$

where "Q" is the direct runoff volume in inches, "P" is the storm rainfall depth in inches, "S" is a watershed storage factor in inches (defined as the maximum possible difference between "P" and "Q"), and "CN" is a dimensionless expression of "S" referred to as the curve number. Curve number values were chosen using information supplied by the U.S. Soil Conservation Service (1972), Hawkins (1973), and personal hydrologic judgment following field observations. Weighted curve numbers were used for heterogeneous areas. Kent (1973) gives CN values for the four different hydrologic soil groups and different land use descriptions. The mine site disturbed area has soil characteristics with average runoff potential, i.e., between groups B and C. Land use consists of 70% fair condition range land at CN avg. = 74, 25% industrial area at CN avg. = 89.5, and 5% dirt road at CN avg. = 84.5 (see Table 7-2). The disturbed area weighted CN was found to be 78.5 [from (0.70)(74) + (0.25)(89.5) + (0.05)(84.5)]. The side canyon has soil characteristics with moderately high runoff potential, group C, because of shallow soils and ledges. The area is 85% fair condition range land at CN=79 and 15% poor condition range land at CN=86. This yields a weighted CN for the side canyon of 80 [from (0.85)(79) + (0.15)(86)]. This drainage area of North Cottonwood Canyon is moderately low runoff potential soil, group B, and 2/3 good woods and 1/3 good range which are characterized by CN's of 55 and 61 respectively. The weighted CN is 57 [from (0.67)(55) + (0.33)(61)]. Values of "P" were obtained for selected durations and return periods from Miller et. al. (1973). A 24-hour storm was used for design purposes.

The undisturbed area draining to the sediment pond is predominantly from the soil types classified as Rockland and Stony Sandy Loam, which contain the Grassland Shrub Community. Although

these areas do have rock ledges, they are located nearer to the canyon bottom and have slightly flatter slopes and more vegetation between rock outcrops. Based on the soil types (Sandy Loam) and vegetation (Grassland-Shrub), the runoff curve number of 72 is selected based on range land in fair condition, hydrologic soil group between B&C and forest land, thin stand, poor cover, no mulch hydrologic soil group between B&C.

Equation 7-6 is based upon the assumption $I_a = 0.2S$, where I_a is the initial abstraction from storm rainfall, defined as the rainfall which must fall before runoff begins, (i.e., to satisfy interception, evaporation and soil-water storage; therefore, determination of runoff from Equation 7-6 is valid only when $P \geq I_a$ or $P \geq 0.2S$. No runoff can occur below this point.

Estimates of the peak discharge to be expected from various precipitation events were made using the unit hydrograph procedure developed by the US Soil Conservation Service 1972). Figure 7-2 shows a runoff hydrograph and the associated terminology.

A hyetograph of a single block of rainfall excess with duration D is shown in the upper portion of the figure. The lower portion of the figure contains the resultant runoff hydrograph. For runoff from excess rainfall, the area under the hydrograph curve and the area enclosed by the rainfall hyetograph represent the same volume of water (Q). The peak flow rate for the hydrograph is represented by " Q_p ", while " t_p " represents the time to peak flow from the start of the hydrograph to " Q_p ". The base time (t_b) is the duration of the hydrograph. The time from the center of mass of rainfall excess to the peak of the runoff hydrograph is the lag time (t_L).

The time of concentration (t_c), not shown on Figure 7-2, is defined as the time for flow from the hydraulically most remote point in a basin to reach the basin outlet.

Time to peak, t_p , is assumed to be a function of watershed lag (t_L) which is determined according to the equation:

$$t_L = \frac{(I^{0.8})(S+1)^{0.7}}{1900Y^{0.5}} \quad (7-8)$$

where t_L is the watershed lagtime in hours, l is the hydraulic length or the length of the main stream to the farthest divide in feet, "S" is as previously defined, and "Y" is the average watershed slope in percent. Values of "Y" were obtained by using methods outlined by Craig and Rankl (1977). The hydraulic length, l , was taken from an appropriate topographic map while "S" was determined from Equation 7-7 once the runoff curve number was estimated. According to the US Soil Conservation Service (1972), the watershed lag time is equal to $0.6t_c$ and the time of concentration (t_c) is equal to $1.5 t_p$.

Combining these two expressions, it can be seen that:

$$t_p = 1.11 t_L \quad (7-9)$$

where both variables are as previously defined.

The peak discharge constant used in the dimensionless unit hydrograph method is determined according to the equation:

$$q_p = \frac{484AQ}{t_p} \quad (7-10)$$

where " q_p " is the unit hydrograph peak flow rate in cubic feet per second, "A" is the drainage area in square miles, "Q" is the runoff volume in inches (as determined by Equation 7-6), " t_p " is as previously defined in hours, and 484 is a conversion factor. The rainfall distribution for the 24-hour storm duration was generated from the theoretical NOAA Type II storm distribution shown in Figure 7-3.

Dimensionless unit hydrographs are developed by simulating many natural unit hydrographs using the time to peak and the peak discharge constant. Haan (1970) proposed a dimensionless unit hydrograph based on the gamma function:

$$\frac{q(t)}{q_p} = \frac{rt \times e^{-t/t_p} C_3 t_p}{t_p} \quad (7-11)$$

where "q(t)" is the hydrograph ordinate at time "t", "q_p" and "t_p" are as previously defined, and "C₃" is a parameter defined by:

$$Q = q_p t_p \left[\frac{e}{C_3 t_p} \right] C_3 t_p \times T(C_3 t_p) \quad (7-12)$$

where "Q" is the runoff volume (one inch for a unit hydrograph) and represents the gamma function.

Figure 7-4 shows how the shape of the hydrograph defined by equation 7-11 changes as "C₃t_p" changes. The higher the value of "C₃t_p", the sharper the peak of the hydrograph. The hydrograph for the disturbed area runoff of 0.75 inches was determined to have a shape with characteristics t_L = 0.08 hr., t_p = 0.09 hr., and q_p = 20.1 cfs. These results are associated with a "C₃ t_p" factor of 3.0 or a "C₃" of 43. Similar evaluations were made for the hydrograph of Cottonwood and Side Canyon runoffs and are given in Table 7-7.

The dimensionless unit hydrograph method involves the development of a runoff hydrograph from a complex rainstorm. The storm is divided into blocks of uniform intensity of duration D. Values of D must be less than or equal to t_p. Practically, the selection of D as a multiple of t_p will ensure that the peak will be encountered. Rainfall excess is generated from the rainfall depths of duration D and the rainfall-runoff relationship expressed in equation 7-6. The rainfall excess from each increment D is then multiplied by the unit hydrograph ordinates to produce a component hydrograph. Each of the component hydrographs are then lagged by a time increment D and are concurrently summed to produce the synthetic runoff hydrograph. A more complete discussion of the unit hydrograph method can be found in Chow (1964) or Haan and Barfield (1977).

Following the determination of a given peak discharge, design sizes for culverts used for runoff diversions and conveyance were determined using methods derived by the US Soil Conservation Service (1972) and illustrated in Figure 7-5.

Sedimentation storage requirements were determined using a disturbed acreage factor of 0.05 ac-ft. of sediment per acre disturbed.

This factor was determined from the Universal Soil Loss Equation as defined by the Agricultural Research Service (ARS) at Purdue University¹. The equation for soil loss A in tons/acre-year is:

$$A = R K L S C P$$

where "R" is the rainfall erosivity factor, "K" is the soil erodibility factor, "L" and "S" are slope length and gradient factors given as one variable.

$LS = (X/72.6)^m (430 x^2 + 30x + 0.43)/6.57415$ with "X" being slope length, "m" a function of slope, and "x" the size of the slope angle, "C" is the cover factor, and "P" is the erosion-control practice factor.

Appropriate constants for each factor were obtained for the disturbed area from curves and tables given by the ARS. "R" for the Trail Mountain Mine region of Utah is 25. "K" for the loam to sandy loam soil texture class with > 4% organic matter content is 0.25. For a slope length of 250 feet, and average slope angle of 1.75° (since 17.5° = 0.3), and an "m" of 0.5 for gradients > 5%, "LS" is 13.6. The cover factor "C" is 1.0 for essentially no cover and practice factor is also 1.0 for no control measures presently. With these values "A" is 85 tons/acre-year. This soil type 1.0 ton per 0.95 yd³ and converting to acre feet gives an annual soil loss factor of 0.050 acre feet per acre disturbed.

Open channel flow capacities were determined using the Manning equation. According to this method:

$$V = \frac{1.486R^{0.67}S^{0.5}}{n} \quad (7-13)$$

where "V" is the velocity in feet per second, "n" is the Manning roughness coefficient, "R" is the hydraulic radius in feet, (defined as the area divided by the wetted perimeter), and "S" is the hydraulic slope, in feet per feet. Estimates of the roughness coefficient were determined from tabular information presented by the US Department of Transportation (1979). The velocity obtained by equation 7-13 was converted to a flow rate using the continuity equation which states that:

¹ Wanielista, Martin P., *Stormwater Management Quantity and Quality*, Ann Arbor Science Publishers, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan, pp. 305-318, 1979.

$$q = AV \text{ (7-14)}$$

where "q" is the discharge, in cubic feet per second; "A" is the cross-sectional area of flow in square feet; and "V" is velocity in feet per second. A maximum permissible velocity of 5 feet per second for unlined channels was assumed.

Those sections of diversion channels having velocities in excess of 5 feet per second were designed with rock riprap linings in accordance with methodologies presented by the US Department of Transportation (1975). In accordance with this methodology, the maximum permissible depth of flow for a channel lined with rock riprap is determined by:

$$d_{\max} = \frac{63.5(D_{50})}{S_0} \quad (7-15)$$

where "d_{max}" is the maximum permissible depth of flow, in feet; "D₅₀" is the mean rock diameter (or the particle size gradation for which 50 percent of the mixture is finer by weight) in feet, 63.5 is the unit weight of water in pounds per cubic feet, and "S₀" is the channel slope, in feet per feet. The mean rock diameter (D₅₀) in each case was assumed from which the maximum permissible depth was determined. The channel configuration was then determined such that the maximum permissible depth at the design flow would not be exceeded.

7.2.3 Existing Surface Water Resources

This section of the report deals with the region in general and the Trail Mountain area more specifically. Watershed and stream characteristics are both described.

7.2.3.1 Regional Surface Water Hydrology

The Mine is located immediately adjacent to Cottonwood Canyon Creek, one of the major tributaries of the San Rafael River. Near Orangeville, Cottonwood Creek has had an annual flow of 70,700 acre-feet during the period of record that extends intermittently from 1909 through the present (US Geological Survey, 1984). Approximately 50 to 70 percent of stream flow in the mountain streams of the region

occurs during May through July (Waddell et al., 1981). Stream flow during this late spring/early summer period is the result of snowmelt runoff.

Snowmelt is the primary source of water for the perennial streams in the San Rafael River Basin with summer precipitation usually producing little runoff (US Geological Survey, 1979). Ephemeral streams are also abundant in the San Rafael River Basin, existing primarily at lower elevations where evapotranspiration significantly exceeds precipitation.

Water use upstream from Castle Valley, the valley containing most of the agricultural land noted in Figure 7-6, is primarily for stockwatering and industrial purposes (coal mining and electrical power generation). Within Castle Valley agriculture and power production utilize nearly all of the inflowing water (Mundorff, 1972), with minimum flows in the gaged streams and rivers in the basin occasionally approaching zero. Storage reservoirs are common at higher elevations throughout the region. Transbasin diversions occur throughout the area.

The quality of water in Cottonwood Creek and other similar streams in the area varies significantly with distance downstream. Waddell et. al. (1981) found that concentrations of dissolved solids varied from 125 to 375 milligrams per liter in major streams in the region in reaches above major diversions to 1600 to 4025 milligrams per liter in reaches below major irrigation diversions and population centers. The major ions at the upper sites were calcium, magnesium, and bicarbonate, whereas sodium and sulfate were more dominant at the lower sites. They attributed the changes to (1) diversion of water containing low dissolved solids concentrations, (2) subsequent irrigation and return drainage from moderate to highly saline soils, (3) groundwater seepage, and (4) inflow of sewage and pollutants from population centers. Average annual sediment yields within the Cottonwood Creek drainage basin range from approximately 0.1 acre-feet per square mile in the headwaters area to about 3.0 acre-feet per square mile near the confluence with the San Rafael River (Waddell et al., 1981).

Quantity

Cottonwood Creek above Straight Canyon drains approximately 21.9 square miles. The average channel gradient of Cottonwood Creek above Straight Canyon is 300 feet/mile (5.7 percent). Only a short period of record (October 1978 to present) is available for the USGS stream gaging station (09324200) on Cottonwood Creek above Straight Canyon. Danielson et al. (1981) estimate the average annual precipitation to be on the order of twenty two (22) inches, or 26,000 acre-feet, on the Cottonwood Creek drainage above Straight Canyon. Danielson et al. (1981) also estimate that only two percent of the precipitation on Cottonwood Creek above Straight Canyon leaves the basin as stream flow compared to thirty percent for Huntington Creek above Huntington. The suggested reasons for the wide difference in percent of precipitation contributing to stream flow are: 1) Cottonwood Creek Basin has a greater portion of area with southern exposure with more gradual slopes than Huntington Creek Basin and 2) possible subsurface movement of water through fractures associated with Joe's Valley Fault. About seventy percent of the total discharge at the Cottonwood Creek station above Straight Canyon for the water year 1979 occurred during the snow melt period (April-July).

Sixty years of data are available for the gaging station on Cottonwood Creek near Orangeville (9324500). The drainage area above Orangeville contributing to Cottonwood Creek is approximately 208 square miles. Cottonwood Creek has an average discharge near Orangeville of about ninety-five (95) cfs, or 69,000 acre-feet per year. The maximum and minimum discharges of record on Cottonwood Creek near Orangeville are 7,220 cfs (August 1, 1964) and 1.2 cfs (April 8, 1966), respectively.

The mine adjacent and permit area is drained by minor drainage systems associated with Cottonwood Canyon Creek. Cottonwood Canyon Creek is a major drainage system which borders the eastern limit of the mine plan area. Based on data collected by PacifiCorp, (see 1992 Annual Hydrologic Report) Cottonwood Canyon Creek is an ephemeral stream from its headwaters to the northeast quarter of Section 24 Township 17 South, Range 6 East and intermittent from that point to its confluence with Cottonwood Creek at Straight Canyon. During periods of drought, flow in Cottonwood Canyon Creek is limited to flow emanating from the alluvial deposits at the intersection with Roans Canyon. From the intersection with Roans Canyon to Section 36 the stream loses water to alluvial deposits. The drainage is dry from Section 36 to Section 6 except during spring runoff which normally occurs from late April through June or during precipitation events. Flow in the channel re-emerges in Section 6 and continues to the confluence with Cottonwood Canyon at Straight Canyon.

The construction and upgrading of surface facilities utilized in conjunction with the Mine (yard areas, road, etc.) may result in temporary increases in the suspended sediment concentration of the adjacent stream. However, because of the regulatory requirement that sediment control be provided for all areas of surface disturbance, concentrations should be quickly normalized. **Moved from Section 3.4.3.4**

~~The quality of flow from the headwaters of the San Rafael River Basin is excellent. However, this quality rapidly deteriorates downstream as streams cross shale formations and receive irrigation return flow from Mancos-derived soils. The impact of the mining on this system will be quite limited. The existence of runoff and sediment control structures should minimize the potential for degradation of the quality of stream waters due to runoff from disturbed areas of the Mine. (repeat)~~

7.2.3.2 Mine Plan Area Watersheds and Streams, Stream Characteristics, and Watershed Characteristics

A portion of the Cottonwood Creek watershed receives drainage from within the mine plan area. Stream channels from the mine plan area flow to the east toward the Cottonwood Canyon Creek and to the south toward Straight Canyon Creek, a tributary of Cottonwood Creek. Cottonwood Creek is a perennial stream.

The Cottonwood Canyon Creek is a major drainage system where evidence of glaciation exists. From the headwaters to Section 24, Township 17 South, Range 6 East, the canyon is characterized by U-shaped valleys with associated lateral and terminal moraine deposits. Lateral moraine deposits most commonly occur at the intersection with side canyons. Terminal moraine deposits occur at the northwest corner of Section 24 and from this point to near the confluence with Straight Canyon the canyon can be characterized as a V-shaped valley with little evidence of glaciation.

Based on data collected by PacifiCorp, Cottonwood Canyon Creek is an ephemeral stream from its headwaters to Section 24, Township 17 South, Range 6 East, and intermittent from that point to its confluence with Cottonwood Creek at Straight Canyon. The stream becomes intermittent near the intersection of Roans Canyon just below the terminal moraine deposits discussed above. During drought conditions which have been experienced since 1985, flow in Cottonwood Canyon is limited to flow emanating from the Roans Canyon Spring located in Section 24 near the confluence with Roans Canyon. Prior to the drought, flow occurred along the entire reach of Cottonwood Canyon and had to be forded to access East Mountain at the Mill Canyon dugway located in Section 2.

Along with Roans Canyon Spring, another spring referred to as Cottonwood Spring (TM-23) is also associated with the alluvial (glacial) deposits. Cottonwood Spring is located in the canyon bottom within the area of terminal moraine deposits at an elevation higher than that of Roans Spring. With normal precipitation, especially in the form of winter snowpack, runoff would saturate the alluvial deposits and a portion of groundwater would discharge at the location of Cottonwood Spring. During the period of the drought recharge to the alluvial deposits has been limited and the level of groundwater has been reduced to a point below the elevation of the Cottonwood Spring. To verify the extent of the alluvial deposits and to define the hydrologic characteristics, PacifiCorp conducted a hydrologic research project in 1992 which included a series of resistivity lines and the drilling of three surface sites (see Deer Creek/Cottonwood/Des-Bee-Dove Permit, Volume 9 - Appendix F for complete details). At each of the surface sites two wells were completed (except for CCCW-2, see Plate 6-2 for well locations); one in the alluvial deposits and one in the Spring Canyon member of the Star Point Sandstone Formation. Wells completed in the alluvial deposits will be utilized to compare the well hydrographs to those of Cottonwood Canyon Creek and the Star Point Sandstone. Monitoring data will be included in future Annual Hydrologic Monitoring Reports (pre-2015).

Surface water-quality data collected from Cottonwood Canyon Creek by PacifiCorp indicate that the dominant ions are: calcium, magnesium, and bicarbonate. Water quality and quantity data collected during the year are presented in the Annual Report (pre-2015). Total dissolved solids concentrations in the stream vary from about 250 to 300 milligrams per liter in the mine area, with the lower concentrations normally occurring during the high-flow season. Slight variations have been noted between stations located upstream and downstream from the permit area (SW-1, SW-2 and SW-3).

As expected, total suspended solids concentrations in Cottonwood Canyon Creek tend to vary inversely with the flow rate. Concentrations have varied during the period of record from less than 1 milligram per liter to greater than 1000 milligrams per liter.

Topography in the area is very rugged, with elevation varying from 6800 to over 9000 feet above sea level. Slopes within the mine plan area vary from near vertical cliffs to less than 4 percent (2 degrees) on Trail Mountain. Major escarpments occur to the east and south of the mine plan area.

7.2.4 Surface Water Development, Control and Diversion

Because of the remoteness and the limited amount of surface water in and adjacent to the mine plan area, essentially no development of the surface water has occurred except from some possible stock watering. Cottonwood Creek water is used downstream for irrigation and for power generation. This section deals with the surface water supply in the area as well as the specific runoff and sedimentation control measures planned for the Mine.

7.2.4.1 Water Supply

Surface water in the mine plan and adjacent area is utilized primarily for stock watering purposes. A listing of surface water rights within the permit and adjacent areas is provided in Table 7-6. (Also see Appendix 7-8 Cottonwood Irrigation Shares.) A review of the files of the Utah Division of Water Rights indicated that additional rights have not been added to the area since that original submittal.

Flow Characteristics - According to Jeppson et al. (1968) the mean annual water yield for the mine plan area is approximately 1.5 inches. Other hydrologic methods (described in Section 7.2.1) were used to determine the mean annual water yield to increase the confidence level of the estimate. According to Grunsky's Rule the mean annual water yield from the mine plan area is 2.0 inches. This was determined using an alpha value of 0.008/in. Water yield studies have found values of 0.007 to 0.009 for un-gaged areas with medium elevations, medium summer rainfall, medium temperature, medium soil, moderate slopes and east exposure. Gaged areas such as Black Mesa and Holbrook Creek, Colorado, and Black Hills, South Dakota, which are similar to the Trail Mountain Mine site, have values ranging from 0.0068 to 0.0090.

According to Ol'deKop's formula (Sellars, 1965), the mean annual water yield from the mine plan area is 2.9 inches. The two methods utilize the information that mean annual precipitation and evapotranspiration over the mine plan area are 16 to 19 inches, respectively (Jeppson et al., 1968); therefore, estimates of the mean annual

water yield from both Ol'deKop's and Grunsky's formulas compare favorably with the estimate from the Hydrologic Atlas of Utah prepared by Jeppson et al. (1968).

Monthly flows from Cottonwood Canyon Creek were computed as a percentage of annual flow for the water year 1979 (October 1978 to September 1979) to determine the seasonal distribution of flows for streams within and adjacent to the mine plan area. The results are illustrated in Figure 7-7. Although actual monthly percentages will change, the distribution pattern of stream flow is characteristic of watersheds in the western highlands where the majority of annual water yield occurs in the spring and early summer as a result of snowmelt runoff.

Daily discharge measurements for the Cottonwood Canyon Creek were taken only during the three years 1979, 1980 and 1981. Within those years flow varied from 0 to 22 cfs with an average of 1.64 cfs. The average discharges for the respective three years of record were 0.87, 3.33 and 0.72 cfs. The USGS gauging station monitoring was discontinued in September 1981 (additional information on flow characteristics of Cottonwood Canyon Creek, review annual Hydrologic Reports (pre-2015)).

Surface Water Quality - Three surface water sites (referred to as SW-1, SW-2 and SW-3 in Plate 7-2) have been sampled since 1979. Table 7-3 contains a list of the water quality parameters analyzed along with the results of the chemical analyses of surface water samples collected at these three sites. As illustrated in Plate 7-2, SW-1 is located approximately one mile above the mine, SW-2 is located immediately below all mine surface facilities, and SW-3 is located approximately 2 miles below the mine near the confluence of Cottonwood Canyon Creek and Straight Canyon. Additional water quality data have been collected by the USGS at a gaging station on the Cottonwood Canyon Creek located in the SE1/4NE1/4, Section 36, T17S, R6E. This data is compiled and reported in Table 7-4. This station was discontinued in 1981; however, an additional monitoring station, SW-3, was added by the applicant to monitor Cottonwood Creek below the permit area. Results from all the monitoring stations are summarized in the Annual Report (pre-2015).

As depicted in Tables 7-3 and 7-4 surface waters of the mine plan area are of a mixed chemical type (Calcium-magnesium, bicarbonate) and seasonally vary from a stronger magnesium-bicarbonate to a stronger calcium-bicarbonate. A sample

collected by the USGS in November 1978 was a calcium-bicarbonate type; whereas, those collected in July and September of 1979 were of a stronger magnesium-bicarbonate type.

Total dissolved solids concentrations varied from 226 to 976 milligrams per liter at stations SW-1, SW-2, USGS gaging station, and SW-3. Measurements were taken during all periods of the year, therefore, this range represents both high and low TDS values to be expected during low and high flow periods of the year. From April to June, when stream discharges are highest due to direct snowmelt, a diluting effect usually occurs in surface waters, resulting in a lower total dissolved solids concentrations. Later in the year as flow decreases and the majority of the flow is derived from groundwater, the dilution effect becomes less pronounced and total dissolved solids concentrations increase.

Suspended solids concentrations during the inventory period were found to vary from less than 0.5 milligrams per liter to 5024 milligrams per liter in Cottonwood Canyon Creek. It is known that suspended solids concentrations tend to vary somewhat proportionately with flow rate (Vaughn Hansen Associates, 1979); therefore, during the snowmelt runoff period, suspended solids concentrations are expected to be generally higher than values from low flow periods. The Utah Division Environmental Quality has classified Cottonwood Creek within the mine plan area as; 1C - protected for domestic purposes with prior treatment by standard complete treatment processes, 3A - protected for cold water species of game fish and other cold water aquatic life, and Class 4 - protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering. Table 7-5 contains the numerical water quality standards applicable to the various classifications. Few samples collected by either Beaver Creek Coal Company or the USGS were noted to exceed the chemical standards. One sample collected at the USGS gaging station contained a lead concentration of 0.13 milligrams per liter in excess of the standard set in all three applicable state classifications for the waters of Cottonwood Creek.

Water Rights - Surface water rights within and adjacent to the mine plan area have been obtained from the Utah Division of Water Rights and are presented in Table 7-

6 and Plate 7-4. In conjunction with the location and permit number, other information included is owner, source of supply, quantity of right, purpose of use, and period of use. As indicated on Table 7-6, the majority of rights in the area are for stockwatering, with only a minor percentage allotted to irrigation.

7.2.4.2 Sedimentation Control Structures and Diversions

One sedimentation pond with corresponding runoff control facilities is constructed to provide sediment control for the Mine. The layout of the sedimentation control plan, including pond location, pond drainage area boundary, ditches and berms, are illustrated on Plate 7-5.

A sedimentation pond exists on site. Specific design details for the sedimentation pond and channels conveying runoff to the pond are described in this section. All conveyance facilities associated with the runoff control plan have been constructed.

Conveyance Facilities Design - The sedimentation pond is sized to contain runoff from the areas draining onto the mine site. As shown in Plate 7-5, no diversion ditches for undisturbed area runoff are proposed. Only runoff from the side canyon above the operation will be bypassed through a culvert. The side canyon culvert was designed to pass runoff from the 10-year, 24-hour storm (2.4 inches). As stated earlier, Miller et al. (1973) gives values for precipitation. Their Figure 27 indicates a 10-year, 24-hour storm precipitation isopluvial at the mine site of 2.4 inches.

A diversion culvert and curb and gutter system conveys runoff from the disturbed area to the sedimentation pond. The culvert and gutter system were designed to pass runoff from the 10-year, 24-hour storm [2.4 inches - from Miller et al. (1973), Figure 27 as above]. The diversion culverts for Cottonwood Creek were designed and sized by the U.S. Forest Service and the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining to pass runoff from the 50 year, 24-hour storm [3.2 inches - from Miller et al. (1973), Figure 39, page 41]. The culvert was extended 300 feet upstream in the fall of 1990.

(See Appendix 7-3 for Culvert Specifications.)

(See Appendix 7-13 for Culvert Extension Details.)

Diversion with riprap has a higher roughness coefficient. Peak flows and peak flow design related information for the diversion ditch and culverts are contained in Table 7-7.

The soil conservation service equation for calculation of watershed lag times (t_L) was used in this analysis:

$$t_L = \frac{(l^{0.8})(S+1)^{0.7}}{1900Y^{0.5}} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \frac{1000}{CN} - 10$$

Where l = the hydraulic length (ft)

Y = the average water shed slope (%)

S = the storage at saturation

CN = curve number

The drainage basin characteristics are given in Table 7-7 along with the resultant: T_L values: canyon = $(6375)^{0.8} (2.5 + 1)^{0.7} / 1900 (49.12)^{0.5} = 0.2$.

Drainage areas for the side canyon 48-inch culvert and Cottonwood Canyon 66-inch culvert are shown on Plate 7-6. Drainage areas for the disturbed and undisturbed drainage to the sediment pond are shown on Plate 7-5. It should be noted that diversion culvert designs for Cottonwood Canyon were originally developed by the U.S. Forest Service and subsequently verified by Vaughn Hansen and Associates as shown in Appendix 7-3. As indicated, the culvert was originally designed with a capacity of 535 cfs, based on the USFS design flood of 450 cfs and the Vaughn Hansen and Associates design flood of 510 cfs. The culvert was extended in 1990, and the design was verified for the 510 cfs flow as shown in Appendix 7-13.

The design flood includes all of the Cottonwood Canyon runoff, down to and including the side drainage and the three culvert inlets from the opposite side of the highway, as shown on Plates 3-1, 7-5 and 7-6.

Design criteria and calculation results for sizes and flows in the diversion system at maximum discharge are presented in Table 7-8. Also contained in Table 7-8 is the

required mean rock diameter (D_{50}) for cross sections (with velocities in excess of five feet per second) which would require a rock riprap lining; however, the maximum flow does not result in velocities significantly larger than 5 fps on the non-metal surfaces.

Two other minor inlets to the sediment pond exist at this time. The first inlet is from a small road and pad area at the top of the switchback above the pond. It drains into a vegetated ditch and enters the pond at the point where the dam meets the hillside. The second inlet is from a 24-inch culvert which picks up a small amount of road drainage below the gate. The culvert was installed in 1988 to catch runoff below the gate which may contain dust or mud carried onto the highway by the haul trucks. A third minor inlet was the 4" mine water discharge line. The 4" discharge line located in the 2nd portal south of ROM belt portal was optionally used to channel mine water discharge through the sediment pond in lieu of discharging directly to Cottonwood Canyon Creek. Due to increased volume of flow from the shift in mining from the east to west side of the mine, a replacement 8" line now discharges mine water to the 100,000 gallon storage tank. From the storage tank, water can be discharged to an existing 48" culvert as discussed in section 7.2.4.2. Inflows to the 8" line vary according to routing as well as volume of water to be discharged. The existing 4" line is disconnected within the mine but remains in place on the surface for emergency backup measures and can be easily reconnected if needed. All inlets are shown on Plates 3-1 and 7-5. Design criteria and sizing are shown in Table 7-8.

"BTCA" Areas - It should be noted that two small areas of disturbance do not drain to the pond. The first "BTCA" area consists of approximately 0.21 acres located just south of the sediment pond. The calculated runoff from this area is 0.013 acre feet, based on the 0.21 acres and a 10-year, 24-hour event. The area is vegetated, and all drainage from the site passes through straw bales, the approved sediment control measure. The realigned stream channel is entirely protected with large (approved size) riprap. Riprap will be maintained at this site until bond release or earlier release by the Division. The straw bales or other sediment control measures, approved by the Division, will be maintained at the site until vegetation is determined

adequate by the Division. Adequacy will be based on comparison of the site with the riparian reference area.

The second "BTCA" area consists of approximately 0.04 acres located on the northern extent of the parking lot. The area, which drains to Cottonwood Canyon Creek, is an outslope of the parking lot and cannot be diverted to the pond. The BTCA is ripped with rock to control erosion and vegetated which filters sediment.

This area will be maintained until bond release (see Plate 7-11 for Typical Sediment Control Measures). Runoff from the area for a 10-year, 24-hour event is calculated to 0.004 acre feet.

The above described "BTCA" areas are shown on Plates 3-1 and 7-5. It should be noted that these areas are included in the total disturbed area for the site (~~40.39 acres~~) but are not included in the runoff calculations for disturbed area draining to the pond (Note: 0.16 acres of disturbed area on the new ventilation portal access road drain into the mine, not to the pond).

Sedimentation Pond Design - As mentioned previously, one sedimentation pond is constructed to provide sediment control for the surface facilities of the Mine. The sedimentation pond was designed to contain sediment storage volume from 0.05 acre-feet of sediment per acre to disturbed area. Sediment will be cleaned out at 60 percent of the sediment storage level.

Spillway capacity requirements for the sedimentation pond were based on runoff from the 25-year, 24-hour storm (2.9 inches). Table 7-9 contains the volume and spillway capacity requirements for the pond as well as additional design related information.

The sedimentation pond will be inspected quarterly, and a copy of the inspection report will be kept at the mine site. A certified annual inspection report will also be done yearly, with a copy kept at the mine site and one sent to the Division quarterly. The above inspections will be performed in accordance with requirements of R645-301-514.330 and R645-301-514.310, respectively.

The pond design details for the sedimentation pond are illustrated on Plates 7-7 and 7-8 with the stage-capacity curve for the pond given in Figure 7-9. The sedimentation pond consists of a sediment storage pool, a dead pool, and a runoff control pool equal to the inflow volume from a 10-year, 24-hour storm (2.4 inches). A dewatering device is placed in the pond to draw the pond level down to the bottom of the runoff control pool in anticipation of a future runoff event. The Utah Division of Environmental Quality requires that no dewatering device be placed within three feet of the top of the sediment cleanout level (60 percent); therefore, a dead storage pool has been created in order to meet the requirements of both agencies. The proposed principal and emergency spillway system consists of a corrugated metal riser and conduit with an anti-vortex device, trash rack, and anti-seep collars. Utilizing Equation 7-16, which defines orifice flow, the discharge capacity of the riser-conduit combination with a diameter of 48 inches was found adequate in passing the peak inflow resulting from the 25-year, 24-hour storm (see Figure 7-10).

Orifice flow occurs when the flow is restricted by the opening and can be determined as;

$$q = CA (2gH)^{1/2} \quad (7-16)$$

where q is as previously defined; C is a coefficient dependent upon the orifice geometry (0.6 in this case); A is the cross sectional area of the opening, in square feet; g is the gravitational constant (32.2 feet per second squared); and H is the head above the orifice inlet, in feet. The orifices considered are the riser inlet and the inlet of the conduit leading from the riser through the pond embankment.

The total embankment height was obtained by adding the stage at full storage capacity, the head of water over the spillway under design flow conditions, the required freeboard height (1.0 feet), and a five percent settlement allowance. The embankment top width will not be less than $(H + 35)/5$ where H is the height of the embankment, in feet. Table 7-10 summarizes the design specifications for the sedimentation pond.

The sedimentation pond is constructed between the excavated slope of the old pond and a new embankment constructed over the 66-inch culvert. The excavated slope of the old pond was approximately equal to 1.06H:1V. To obtain the necessary pond storage capacity, the remaining inside slopes of the pond was designed at 2h:1V. The pond is lined with 18 inches of a 10:1 mixture of the embankment material and bentonite, respectively, to prevent seepage and piping.

The dewatering device consists of a 12-inch corrugated metal riser and an 8-inch conduit drainline, antivortex device, trash rack, and anti-seep collars. The anti-vortex also acts as a skimming device by not allowing water to be pulled directly from the surface of the pond. A water control gate valve is located at the end of the 8-inch diameter corrugated metal conduit within the 48-inch spillway within the pond embankment to allow efficient water release.

This was necessitated by the facts that:

- 1) The water control gate valve must be installed in the manhole/spillway to allow access to the valve;
- 2) The location of the riser does not allow access to the gate if placed at the extreme inlet;
- 3) Gates were apparently not available which could be attached between two culverts in a watertight manner; and
- 4) It was desirable to allow access to the control gate for maintenance purposes.

The control gate operator mechanism is located above the spillway cover. Access to the gate for maintenance can be made via a ladder or rebar rungs which have been welded to the spillway side. A walkway has been installed to allow access to the control gate for purposes of dewatering and inspection. Sufficient space must be available in the pond to completely detain the runoff resulting from the 10-year, 24-hour storm. At the same time, sufficient settling time must be allowed in order to meet applicable effluent standards in the discharged water. It is therefore suggested that water in the pond be released through the dewatering device after 14 days unless there is a good probability of occurrence of a runoff producing storm prior to

that time, under which condition the water should be released before the storm occurrence. This would allow sufficient time for all but the fine clay and colloidal particles to settle (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1976).

Anti-seep collars have been used based on methods outlined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1976). Figure 7-11 outlines details of the proposed anti-seep collars with spacing requirements shown Plate 7-7.

Riprap was placed in the inlet channels and below the outlet conduit of the pond to dissipate energy and reduce erosion potential. Riprap was placed on the inside slope of the pond embankment to a width of five feet on both sides of the spillway and dewatering device up the full height of the embankment to protect the embankment from erosion.

The outlet culvert from the pond discharges onto the riprap protection on the main channel as described in Appendix 7-3.

Sediment Disposal Plans - Federal and State regulations require that sediment, which has accumulated in the pond, be removed when 60 percent of the design sediment storage volume has been filled. The point at which cleanout becomes necessary is 11.2 feet below the top of the riser and can be measured directly with a tape. Sediment removed from the pond will be temporarily stored within the drainage basin to the pond. Removed sediment is disposed of at the Cottonwood Waste Rock Facility.

The procedure for sedimentation pond cleaning is as follows:

1. Decanting of the pond water:
 - a. Discharge through the primary spillway, according to UPDES requirements, and/or;
 - b. Pumping the water behind abandoned, sealed mine workings, and/or;
 - c. Hauling to the Cottonwood Waste Rock Storage Facility's sedimentation pond.
2. Sediment disposal at the Cottonwood Waste Rock Facility.

3. Division's "Title V Coal Program Policy for Disposal of Sediment Pond Waste" guideline will be followed for sediment samples and testing.
4. Division will be contacted at the beginning of the sludge transport process.

Pond Reclamation - The disturbed area of the pond has been seeded with the interim (contemporaneous) seed mix. Permanent reclamation of the pond is discussed under Section 7.4.

7.2.5 Effect of Mining on the Surface Water Hydrologic Balance

As has been previously mentioned, the occurrence and quality of water in any region is highly controlled by geology. Section 7.1.3.1 Regional Groundwater Hydrology of this chapter describes in detail the influence geology has on the hydrologic regime. Major drainages conveying runoff away from the permit area are Cottonwood Canyon Creek and Straight Canyon. With the exception of the upper headwater regions of these drainage basins, mining and, therefore, subsidence will not occur beneath the major stream channels of these canyons. In the majority of cases, cracking due to subsidence is not anticipated to extend to the surface; therefore, surface runoff patterns will not be significantly affected. Data collected by PacifiCorp over a thirty-year period on the East Mountain permit area concerning subsidence and surface drainages has not detected any surface stream impacts. Consequently, subsidence should not cause significant impacts to the surface water system.

7.2.5.1 Quantity

As mentioned earlier in the report, the major drainage carrying runoff away from the mine facility area is Cottonwood Canyon Creek. All surface facilities are located adjacent to Cottonwood Canyon Creek, and surface runoff from disturbed areas is passed through the sedimentation pond prior to discharging in the creek.

Water intercepted within the mine is either: 1) used within the mine and therefore retained within the groundwater hydrologic system, or 2) is discharged into Cottonwood Canyon Creek. Discharge from the mine will vary depending on localized mining conditions. Underground sumps are installed for storage, settling and recirculation of water. When the sumps become full, water is pumped outside,

either to the sediment pond for additional settling or directly to the creek if the quality meets effluent standards. Discharge quantity and quality will be reported in the Annual Hydrologic Reports (pre-2015).

The quality of flow from the headwaters of the San Rafael River Basin is excellent; however, the quality rapidly deteriorates downstream as streams cross shale formations and receive irrigation return flows from Mancos derived soils. The impact of mining on this system will be quite limited.

The existence of runoff and sediment control structures should minimize the potential for degradation of the quality of stream waters due to runoff from disturbed areas of the Trail Mountain Mine.

7.2.6 Mitigation and Control Plans

Runoff from all disturbed areas will be passed through sediment control facilities with the exception of two small "BTCA" areas as discussed in Section 7.2.4.2. Any discharge from facilities will be monitored in accordance with UPDES permit standards and State and Federal regulations. The effects of the mining operation on the surface water system will be analyzed through the surface water monitoring plan described in the next section. In the unlikely event that monitoring shows that the surface water system is being adversely affected by mining activities, additional steps will be taken to rectify the situation in consultation with State and Federal regulatory agencies.

7.2.7 Surface Water Monitoring Plan

An ongoing hydrologic monitoring program will be conducted at each of the stations shown in Plate 7-2 and as described in Appendix 7-1. Stations have been established to monitor water quality and quantity above and below the mine plan areas. Monitoring parameters will be in accordance with Table 7-2a in Appendix 7-1. Monitoring schedule and reporting will be in accordance with Appendix 7-1.

7.2.7.1 Baseline Monitoring

All surface water monitoring stations are presently on operational status. Baseline will be conducted every fifth year and compared to historical data.

7.2.7.2 Operational Monitoring

All surface water monitoring stations will be monitored quarterly for flow (when flowing) to delineate seasonal variation and correlate discharge with changes in water quality. The water quality parameters and sampling frequency will be as listed in Appendix 7-1. Surface monitoring stations will be monitored quarterly for water quality. Results of water quality data will be submitted quarterly.

7.2.7.3 Postmining Monitoring

Representative surface water stations will be monitored biannually during high and low flow conditions. The representative stations will be determined with the aid and approval of the Utah State Division of Oil, Gas and Mining. Duration of monitoring and parameter selection will be as per DOGM guidelines listed in Appendix 7-1.

7.3 ALLUVIAL VALLEY FLOOR DETERMINATION

Utah regulations (R645-302-320) require that the presence of alluvial valley floors in or adjacent to the mine permit area be identified. The regulations (R645-100-200) define an alluvial valley floor as "unconsolidated stream-laid deposits holding streams with water availability sufficient for sub-irrigation or flood irrigation agricultural activities but does not include upland areas which are generally overlain by a thin veneer of colluvial deposits composed chiefly of debris from sheet erosion, deposits formed by un-concentrated runoff or slope wash together with talus, or other mass-movement accumulations, and windblown deposits". The existence of an alluvial valley floor is, therefore, determined to exist if:

- 1) Unconsolidated stream-laid deposits holding streams are present,
and
- 2) There is sufficient water to support agricultural activities as evidenced
by:
 - a) The existence of flood irrigation in the area in
question or its historical use;

- b) The capability of an area to be flood irrigated, based on stream-flow water yield, soils, water quality, topography, and regional practices; or
- c) Sub-irrigation of the lands in question, derived from the groundwater system of the valley floor.

7.3.1 Scope

The purpose of this section is to examine the potential existence of alluvial valley floors in and adjacent to the areas to be affected by surface operations associated with the Trail Mountain Mine, an underground coal mine located ten miles northwest of Orangeville, Emery County, Utah.

This section is divided into three parts. First, a general description of the surface operations and site disturbances associated with the Mine is presented. Next, a discussion of geomorphic and irrigability characteristics and the water quality and availability of Cottonwood Canyon drainages as a possible alluvial valley floor are presented. Finally, the conclusions of the alluvial valley floor determination are summarized.

7.3.2 Site Description

Surface facilities associated with the Mine plan area consist of the portal area located in Cottonwood Canyon and an access road up Cottonwood Canyon.

The climate of the general area is semi-arid and continental. Mean monthly temperatures range from about 8° F to the mid-70's. Temperatures in the region tend to be inversely related to elevation. Average annual precipitation is approximately 16 inches. Seventy percent of the annual precipitation falls during the winter as snow with most of the remainder coming as summer thunderstorms.

7.3.3 Alluvial Valley Floor Characteristic

The various criteria for determining the existence of an alluvial valley floor are examined in relation to the Mine.

7.3.3.1 Geomorphic Criteria

Alluvial deposits in and adjacent to the mine permit area are shown on Plate 7-9. Plate 7-9 shows the extent of the alluvium as presented by Doelling (1972) and shows that the alluvium is found primarily along Lower Cottonwood Creek and at the mouth of the Cottonwood Canyon Creek. Only very narrow bands of alluvium are found along the Cottonwood Canyon Creek above the canyon mouths.

7.3.3.2 Water Quality and Availability

The potential for flood and subirrigation are now evaluated in conjunction with the alluvial valley floor determination.

Flood Irrigation - Flood irrigation near the mine plan area is currently, and has historically been, confined to the alluvial areas of Lower Cottonwood Creek. Water is diverted at the mouth of the Cottonwood Canyon Creek to irrigate fields on the alluvium.

No flood irrigation has historically been practiced on the narrow alluvium land upstream in the canyon opening to lower Cottonwood Creek. A reconnaissance survey of the surrounding region indicates that flood irrigation is also absent in other areas of similar hydrologic, geologic, and biotic characteristics. The historic lack of flood irrigation in these steep, narrow canyons suggests that such activities are not feasible in the region. In addition, the topography is very steep and consequently not conducive to agricultural activities.

Cottonwood Canyon Creek water quality is good. A detailed review of the surface water quality has been presented previously in this report.

Subirrigation - Some subirrigation of vegetation does occur on the alluvial valley floors shown in Plate 7-9. The subirrigated species, mainly cottonwoods and willows, are found along the channels of Cottonwood Creek suggesting that subirrigation is confined to the channel areas where the water table is near the surface.

7.3.4 Alluvial Valley Floor Identification

Based on the foregoing reconnaissance analysis, the canyon of Cottonwood Canyon Creek cannot be considered an alluvial valley floor due to the lack of area for supporting an agriculturally useful crop. The valley floor of Lower Cottonwood Creek, however, can be classified as an alluvial valley floor due to the presence of both flood irrigation and limited subirrigation on the alluvium. The flood or sub-irrigated alluvial areas are located over two miles from the mine permit area and are used for pasture and hay production.

7.3.5 Potential Impacts to the Alluvial Valley Floors

Plate 7-9 shows that the Mine permit area is over two miles from the alluvial valley floor at Cottonwood Creek. Little potential exists for the mine operation to impact the alluvial valley floor. Access to the mine is by existing county road across a minimal amount of alluvial area. All surface disturbances in the portal area will be protected by sediment control facilities and will be designed and constructed according to the Utah Coal Regulations in an environmentally sound manner.

The hydrologic monitoring program will help determine the actual impact of surface activities and aid in selecting mitigating measures, if necessary. However, it is the permittee's position that the Mine and associated activities will have no significant hydrologic impacts to the alluvial valley. Details concerning this monitoring program are outlined in a previous section of this report.

7.4 CLIMATOLOGICAL INFORMATION

PacifiCorp operates a network of weather stations, including two at low elevations (Hunter and Huntington power plants) and two at high elevations (Electric Lake and East Mountain).

7.4.1 Precipitation

The climate of the permit area has been described by the U.S. Geological Survey, which states that it is semi-arid to sub-humid and precipitation generally increases with altitude. The average annual precipitation ranges from about ten (10) inches in the lowest parts of the permit area (southeast) to more than twenty-five (25) inches in the highest parts (northwest). PacifiCorp's weather station, located in Section 26, Township 17 South, Range 7 East, has provided data which shows that the summer precipitation in the form of thundershowers averages about the same as the winter precipitation in the form of snowfall. Because much of the summer precipitation runs off without infiltration, the winter precipitation has the greatest impact on groundwater.

Precipitation amounts have been recorded at the Hunter and Huntington power plants, at Electric Lake Dam, and on East Mountain. Precipitation data can be found in the annual Hydrologic Monitoring Report (Table 7-12 for East Mountain data) (pre-2015)).

7.4.2 Temperatures

Air temperatures vary considerably both diurnally and annually throughout the region. Midsummer daytime temperatures in lower areas commonly exceed 100° F, and midwinter nighttime temperatures throughout the area commonly are well below 0° F. The summer temperatures are accompanied by large evaporation rates. Although not recorded, there probably also is significant sublimation of the winter snowpack, particularly in the higher plateaus which are unprotected from dry winds common to the region. Temperature information is collected at the UP&L weather stations at each power plant, at Electric Lake, and on East Mountain. These data will continue to be included in the annual Hydrologic Monitoring Report (pre-2015) (see Table 7-12 for East Mountain data).

7.4.3 Winds

The winds in the area are generally variable. The wind rose diagram presented in Figure 7-13 displays the variability for the Meetinghouse Ridge area for January to December 1978.

7.5 RECLAMATION HYDROLOGY

7.5.1 General

Reclamation hydrology will take place in two phases. Phase I reclamation will consist of removal of all diversions and the majority of the culverts, restoration of the main and side canyon channels, and redirection of the reclaimed area runoff to the sediment pond. Phase II reclamation will consist of the removal of the sediment pond and all remaining culverts after revegetation standards have been reached.

It is proposed to use a 2-Phase reclamation program with a sediment pond remaining through Phase I because of the close proximity of the site to an intermittent stream and the resulting difficulty in controlling both runoff and sediment by other means. Another advantage to this approach is that by leaving some culverts in place, access is provided for required maintenance by equipment.

The following sections will describe each of the major hydrologic items involved in reclamation, along with details on design, operation and final disposition.

7.5.2 Cottonwood Canyon Channel

During Phase I of the reclamation, the 66-inch culvert that presently carries the main canyon drainage beneath the site will be removed. The 96-inch to 66-inch transition and trash rack will be relocated to a point just above the sediment pond, as shown on Plate 3-5. The stream channel will be reconstructed through the property, down to the new culvert inlet near the pond.

It is expected that the reconstructed channel will be on bedrock and, for that reason, it was proposed that only the channel sides be protected by riprap. Since the bottom conditions are unknown, the permittee commits to place an appropriately sized riprap and filter blanket on the channel side and bottom, unless the bottom is found to be on bedrock.

Riprap, filter blanket and flow designs and calculations are detailed in Appendices 7-3 and 7-4. Design criteria are summarized in Table 7-11 in this Chapter. See Plate 3-5 for structure locations. The reclaimed main channel slope is projected to be a consistent 6% as shown in Table 7-11 and on Plates 3-5 and 3-5A.

The reclaimed area runoff will be prevented from reaching the restored channel by installation of an earthen berm on the reclamation side of the channel. The berm will direct disturbed runoff to the sedimentation pond. A typical section of the restored channel (and berm) is shown on Figure 7-12.

When the revegetation standards have been met, the second phase of reclamation will begin. It will consist of removal of the sedimentation pond and all remaining culvert sections. The remaining channel area will be restored as in Phase I, and all newly disturbed areas will be reseeded. Additional sediment and/or erosion controls, such as strawbales, silt fence, berms, etc., will be installed if necessary to protect the restored channel and stream.

During reconstruction of the main channel, it is proposed to divert the Cottonwood Creek flow around the mine site. This will be accomplished by installation of a small, temporary dam in the channel with a pump diverting the flow into a flexible culvert (or other conveyance structure), which will discharge either back into the culvert below or into the channel below the pond.

Existing Culverts Associated with Emery County Road

With the separation of the Trail Mountain Mine (renamed Fossil Rock 2015) from the PacifiCorp/Energy West operations it has been brought to the permittees attention that three culvert with inlets on the east side of the Emery County Road adjacent to the Fossil Rock Mine and Deer Creek Mine have outlets on the west side of the County Road. The outlets are not visible and are assumed to connect into the 66-inch undisturbed water bypass culvert. The culvert construction information was not in the permitting transferred from PacifiCorp to the permittee. The ability to determine where and at what elevation the outlet of the three culverts enter the channel is unknown. Engineered designs and details would be conjecture and could therefore be commitments that are not possible to meet. For that reason and following consultation with a permitting supervisor at the Division the permittee commits at reclamation to use the BTCA to place an appropriately sized outlet and erosion protection in the channel at the outlet location of each of the three culverts.

Assumed dates of construction:

- The 96-inch to 66-inch transition and trash rack were constructed in (1981 – 1982)
- Culvert installation when road constructed (assumed 1986-1987) -Easement Documents dated January and July 1986 between US Forest Service, Emery County and the LDS Church

7.5.3 Side Canyon Channel

During Phase I of the reclamation, the 48-inch culvert will be removed from the side channel down to its confluence with the restored main channel. The side channel will also be restored and riprapped as described in Appendix 7-3. At the intersection of the side and main channels, 40 feet of 48-inch culvert will be left in place in the side channel. The inlet section and trash rack will also be installed at this location. The reclaimed area will be separated from the restored channels by installation of

an earthen berm on the reclamation side of the channels, providing for reclaimed area drainage to the north of the side canyon to flow over the culverted area and to the sediment pond.

During Phase II, the remaining 48-inch culvert will be removed along with the sediment pond and remaining main channel culverts when revegetation standards have been met. The remaining disturbed area will then be reseeded in accordance with the plan.

Design parameters are summarized in Table 7-11 of this Chapter. Riprap, filter blanket and flow designs and calculations are detailed in Appendices 7-3 and 7-4. A typical section of the restored side channel is shown in Figure 7-12, and the overall plan and structure locations are shown on Plates 3-5 and 3-6.

7.5.4 Sedimentation Pond

The sedimentation pond will remain in place during Phase I of reclamation. There will be no change in pond size, operation or configuration. The reclaimed area runoff will be directed to the pond at the existing inlet location by earthen berms as described in the previous sections. Runoff area will be less than the operational design area by the amount of area involved in the channel restoration; therefore, the pond capacity is more than adequate to contain the reclaimed area runoff from a 10-year, 24 hour event.

The sedimentation pond will continue to be operated, maintained, and inspected, as required until such time as it can be removed.

When revegetation standards have been reached on the reclamation, the sedimentation pond and all remaining culverts will be removed. Any sediment removed from the pond will be hauled to the Cottonwood Waste Rock Facility for disposal. The main channel will be restored through the former pond area, and all remaining disturbed areas will be reseeded.

Pond design details can be found in Section 7.2.4.2 of this Chapter. Structure locations for Phases I and II of reclamation are shown in Plates 3-5 and 3-6.

7.5.5 Post-Mining Water Monitoring

Upon completion of Phase I of the reclamation, all water monitoring locations with the exception of the UPDES sampling point for the sediment pond discharge will enter reclamation status. Post-

mining monitoring will take place at the locations and frequencies described in Appendix 7-1. The UPDES (pond discharge) point will continue to be monitored in accordance with requirements of the permit until such time as the permit is cancelled and/or the pond is removed.

7.6 BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bently, R.G., Jr., K.O. Eggleston, D. Price, E.R. Frandsen, and A.R. Dickerman, 1978. The Effects of Surface Disturbance on the Salinity of Public Land in the Upper Colorado River Basin - 1977 Status Report. U.S. Bureau of Land Management. Denver, Colorado.
- Chow, T.T., 1964. Hand book of Applied Hydrology. McGraw-Hill. New York. p. 14. 13-14.24.
- Clyde, C.G., E.E. Israelsen, P.E. Packer, E.E. Frammer, J.E. Fletcher, E.K. Israelsen, F.W. Haws, N.V. Rao, and J. Hansen, 1978. Manual of Erosion Control Principles and Practices. Hydraulics and Hydrology Series Report H-78-002. Utah Water Research Laboratory. Utah State University. Logan, Utah.
- Cordova, R.M., 1964. Hydrogeologic Reconnaissance of Part of the Headwaters Area of the Price River, Utah. Utah Geological and Mineralogical Survey Water Resources Bulletin No. 4. Salt Lake City, Utah.
- Craig, G.D. and J.G. Rankl, 1977. Analysis of Runoff From Small Drainage Basins in Wyoming. USGS Open File Report 77-727. Cheyenne, Wyoming.
- Davis, F.D. and H.H. Doelling, 1977. Coal Drilling at Trail Mountain, North Horn Mountain, and Johns Peak Areas, Wasatch Plateau, Utah. Utah Geological and Mineral Survey, Bulletin 122. Salt Lake City, Utah.
- Doelling, H.E., 1972. Wasatch Plateau Coal Fields. In Doelling, H.H. (ed.) Central Utah Coal Fields; Sevier-Sanpete, Wasatch Plateau, Book Cliffs and Emery. Utah Geological and Wasatch Plateau, Book Cliffs and Emery. Utah Geological and Mineralogical Survey Monograph Series No. 3. Salt Lake City, Utah.
- Fields, F.K., 1975. Estimating Streamflow Characteristics for streams in Utah Using Selected Channel-Geometry Parameters. U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations 34-74. Salt Lake City, Utah.

Grunsky, C.E., 1908. Rain and Runoff near San Francisco, California. Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers. p. 496-543.

Hann, C.T., 1970. A Dimensionless Hydrograph Equation. File Report, Agricultural Engineering Department, University of Kentucky. Lexington, Kentucky.

Hann, C.T., and B.J. Barfield, 1978. Hydrology and Sedimentology of Surface Mined Lands. Office of Continuing Education and Extension, College of Engineering, University of Kentucky. Lexington, Kentucky. p. 72-89.

Hawkins, R.H., 1973. Improved Prediction of Storm Runoff in Mountain Watershed. ASCE Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division. 99 (IR4):519-523.

Hawkins, R.H., 1976. Estimating Annual Water Yield-Grunsky's Rule. Class handout prepared for WS540 (Watershed Operations). Watershed Science Unit. Utah State University. Logan, Utah.

Jeppson, R.W., Ashcroft, A.L. Huber, G.V. Skogerboe, and J.M. Bagley, 1968. Hydrologic Atlas of Utah. Utah Water Research Laboratory and State of Utah Department of Natural Resources. PRWG34-1. Utah State University. Logan, Utah.

Kent, K.M. 1973. A Method for Estimating Volume and Rate of Runoff in Small Watersheds. USDA Soil Conservation Service. SCS-TP-149.

U.S. Geological Survey Water-Data Report. UT-79-1, UT-80-1, UT-81-1.

CHAPTER 8
SOIL RESOURCES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section No.	Description	Page No.
8.1	SCOPE	1
8.2	METHODOLOGY.....	1
8.3	SOIL RESOURCE INFORMATION.....	2
8.3.1	Soils Identification and Descriptions.....	2
8.3.2	Present and Potential Productivity of Existing Soils	4
8.4	PRIME FARMLAND.....	4
8.5	SOILS: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES.....	4
8.6	USE OF SELECTED OVERBURDEN.....	5
8.7	PLANS FOR REMOVAL, STORAGE AND PROTECTION OF SOILS	5
8.8	PLANS FOR REDISTRIBUTION OF SOILS	6
8.9	NUTRIENTS AND SOIL AMENDMENTS	6
8.10	EFFECTS OF MINING OPERATIONS ON SOILS	7
8.11	MITIGATION AND CONTROL PLANS	7
8.12	BIBLIOGRAPHY	7

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Description (End of Text)
8-1	Riparian Soil Textural Analyses
8-2	Riparian Reference Area
8-3	Grassland-Shrub Textural Analysis
8-4	Grassland-Shrub Reference Area

LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.	Description
8-1	Soil Map

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix No.	Description
8-1	Borrow Area
8-1(L)	Prime Farmland Investigation
8-2	Soils and Vegetation Test Plot Monitoring
8-2(L)	Soils Map and Description
8-3	Post-Grading Sampling Program

SOIL RESOURCES

8.1 SCOPE

The Mine is a previously disturbed site, having been in operation since 1948. As such, no pre-mining conservation or reclamation measures were taken and little stockpiling of soil from areas to be disturbed were done. Likewise, no pre-mining studies were conducted in the disturbed area. Accordingly, future reclamation plans will have to rely on existing soil to provide a suitable medium in which to establish new vegetation. The existing disturbed site has been compacted by heavy equipment and automobiles. Some sections have also been subjected to years of oil, gasoline, and diesel fuel spillage. Moreover, coal piles have existed at the site, causing crushed coal and coal dust to be mixed and compacted into the existing soil. Revegetation test plots will be set up to determine whether the existing soil can result in a successful revegetation program or a supplemental soil will be required.

As supplemental soil may be required for future reclamation, and because the mining property to be reclaimed was already disturbed, it was necessary to characterize the soil from adjacent reference areas. These were chosen in two locations; as near as possible to the disturbed area and in areas determined, as well as could be done, to correspond in both soil type and vegetative community type to that of the disturbed area. Future supplemental soils would likely have to equal or exceed the quality of these two reference soils.

8.2 METHODOLOGY

Revegetation test plots have been set up to determine the suitability of the existing disturbed soil as a growth medium. (See Vegetation Test Plots, Appendix 9-1). Soil sample data is also included in Appendices 9-1 and 9-2, along with the vegetation test plot data.

In the event that the soils on site cannot be used for revegetation, supplemental soil will be required. The supplemental soils would likely have to equal or exceed the quality of the existing soil prior to disturbance. An estimate of this quality can be obtained from soil samples taken from two soil pits dug in soil types which have been disturbed previously by the Trail Mountain operations. The soil pits were sampled at each recognizable horizon down to sixty inches or to bedrock, whichever came

first. Each horizon was described in the field according to thickness, color and soil structure.

These soil pits were located in the Riparian (streamside) and Grassland-Shrub plant communities. Only soil types similar to those already disturbed or expected to be disturbed were sampled to serve as a basis for the reclamation plan to be developed.

After collection, the soil samples were air dried and passed through a two millimeter screen (Tyler #10 mesh). Rock percentages were obtained by weighing separately the total soil sample and the rocks separated out by the 2 mm screen.

Soil textural analysis was performed in the lab using the Boyoucos hydrometer method with 50 gram samples. A sample of each soil horizon was sent to the Utah State University's Soil and Water Testing Laboratory in Logan, Utah for selected chemical analyses. These analyses included a standard fertility test (pH, salinity by probe, phosphorus, potassium, texture, and lime); exchangeable cation percentage (CEC, Na, K, Ca, and Mg, extractable ion, saturation percent, and the water soluble ions listed above); and sodium absorption ratios (SAR) where the salinity was found to be high.

8.3 SOIL RESOURCE INFORMATION

8.3.1 Soils Identification and Descriptions.

Four main soil types occur in the mine plan area, plus various thin soils among rocky outcrops and on talus slopes, which are designated as rockland (map symbols RoG and RY, see Plate 8-1). Two of the four developed soil types are dry stony soils of steep mountain slopes. These are designated by the map symbols AbG (Very stony sandy loam complex) and CoG (Stony sandy loam complex). A third soil type, designated SN (shaly colluvial land), is located on the top of Trail Mountain in areas that will not be disturbed by mining activities and therefore will not be considered further. The fourth soil type, here designated by the map symbol R (for Riparian), is the principal soil type found in the disturbed area. In the soil pit located north of the Mine disturbed area, it would be classified as an azonal soil or entisol, and probably an arent (from ar, L., to plow, meaning mixed horizons). However, the soil is also located within a mapping unit called the Kenilworth Series, which is also defined as a Xerollic Calciorthid Ardidisol.

This is the soil of the canyon bottom along the stream. It is characterized by numerous gravelly, silty, and sandy layers; but in the Reference Area it does not exhibit any soil profile development. It is in alluvial soil that has developed from gravelly outwash brought down by the canyon stream during infrequent floods plus collected finer fractions deposited by wind and talus erosion. It is a deep soil, exceeding 5 feet and often attaining 10 or more feet, as may be seen at some eroded banks along Cottonwood Creek. The soil pit dug in the Riparian Community reference area showed eight horizons (but no classic soil profile) including what appeared to be a buried soil profile from an earlier time.

The streamside, or canyon-bottom soils were referred to as the Kenilworth Series in a prior classification (USDA, USDI, and UAES, 1970), specifically to the KeE2 mapping unit. This was called the Kenilworth very stony sandy loam of 0 to 20 percent slopes. This soil is stony, well-drained, and moderately coarse textured. In its broader distribution, this soil type occupies high benches on old dissected outwash plains below very steep mountains. It forms in thick deposits of strongly calcareous (high lime) stony alluvium and supports a vegetative cover mostly of juniper and pinion. The land use is mainly for wildlife, recreation, and limited grazing.

In Cottonwood Canyon, at the site of the Mine plan area, the presence of the canyon stream has led to a well-developed streamside plant community of narrow leaf cottonwood trees and a lush understory of grasses and forbs. This community is narrowly distributed along the stream course. Higher up, it transitions into the Pinion-Juniper Community of the east-facing steep slopes of Trail Mountain. Probably 25% or less of the Mine disturbed area is located on soils of this canyon-bottom type within the Riparian Plant Community and its transition zone away from the stream, but still in the canyon bottom.

Three of the above four soils that were found in the approved Mine Plan were also found on the Tract 2 Mine Plan Area. They were RoG (rocklands), CoG (stony sandy loam complex), and AbG (very stony sandy loam complex).

In addition, five other soil types were found within the boundaries of the Tract 2 Mine Plan Area (data taken from the Soil Conservation Service and U.S. Forest Service, Price, Utah). The map symbols and soil types are: AC1 (Argic Pachic Cryoborolls), TU (Typic Ustorthents), TC (Typic Cryorthents), AC3 (Argic Pachic Cryoborolls), and AC2 (Argic Pachic Cryoborolls). Refer to Soils

Map 8-1 for locations of these soil types. These soils are also located in areas that will be undisturbed by mining, and therefore will not be considered further.

8.3.2 Present and Potential Productivity of Existing Soils

The soils in the disturbed area support a streamside plant community of dominant narrow leaf cottonwood trees plus lesser numbers of Rocky Mountain Juniper, Chokecherry, Elderberry, and numerous understory species of shrubs, grasses, and forbs. The transition zone on the same soil, but away from the stream and not yet on the steep Pinion-Juniper covered canyon slopes, has more juniper and pinion pine and is more open. The understory is mostly grasses and sagebrush.

The area is useful mainly for wildlife, recreation, limited grazing and mining. No cultivation could be established because of the steepness of the canyon.

8.4 PRIME FARMLAND

No farmland exists in the area. The capability unit category in the canyon bottom is VIIs-SX (non-irrigated), which is soil near steep mountains on recently formed flood plains of streams. These soils are suited for range.

8.5 SOILS: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Two soil pits were dug. The results of textural analysis from the Riparian Reference Area soil pit are shown in Table 8-1. Chemical tests are shown in Table 8-2.

This is the soil of mapping unit RI. The top layer, which is not necessarily an A horizon, is 9 to 10 inches thick and is mainly a sandy clay loam texture having only about 5% rock over 2 mm diameter and 95% fine soil particles. The finer soil fraction is 48% sand, 30% silt, and 22% clay. Deeper layers show increasing percentages of rock (mainly gravel) down to layer 5, which suddenly reverts to less than one percent rock. This layer is also thick (7 inches) suggesting an older soil A horizon buried by the present developing soil surface.

Table 8-2 shows pH values ranging from 8.0 to 8.4 and slight salinity at the surface, increasing with depth. It is highly calcareous soil, with an average phosphorus content of $p = 2.0$ ppm and a

potassium content of K = 217 ppm by the standard soil fertility test.

The second soil pit was located in the Grassland Shrub Community on a steep northeast facing slope uphill from the coal loading piles of the disturbed area. This is the mapping unit CoG or RoG.

It is a soil type that has been disturbed by the Mine operations, but only slightly. Some of the lower steep hillside has been cut away to provide clean mine entrances and room for a coal pile. Probably only about 75% or more of the disturbed area is represented by this soil type.

The results of textural analysis are shown in Table 8-3 and of chemical analysis in Table 8-4. The Grassland Shrub soil was relatively shallow, bedrock being encountered at only 19 inches. The A horizon was 5 inches deep and consisted of 71.5% fine soil and 28% larger rock fragments by weight. The fine soil fraction was a loam soil of 40% sand, 35% silt, and 25% clay. Deeper layers increased rapidly in rocky material, silt, and clay fractions. The pH ranged from 8.2 to 8.7 and the salinity from .3 to 4 mmho/cm². Phosphorus and potassium levels were much lower than the streamside soil of the canyon bottom.

8.6 USE OF SELECTED OVERBURDEN

Since the site is a previously disturbed site since 1948, and no further disturbance is proposed, no overburden will be handled.

8.7 PLANS FOR REMOVAL, STORAGE AND PROTECTION OF SOILS

~~Since the site has was previously disturbed no soils were removed, stored, or protected prior to 1982. Compliance work in 1982–1983, involved the use of an on site borrow area and resulting topsoil storage pile. For any future disturbances, any soils encountered will be removed, stored, and protected.~~

The mine was operational before the 1979 State Act or the 1977 Federal Act. Having been constructed prior to the requirement to save and stockpile topsoil, the soils on the site were used in construction of the roads and pads.

A post-law borrow pit was utilized on site to obtain fill material for the 66" culvert for Cottonwood Creek. Topsoil was salvaged from the pit area, and is stockpiled in a protected area just northwest

of the intake portal for the mine. The pile has been revegetated, and is further protected by installation of a silt fence around the bottom end. Please refer to Appendix 8-1 for details on the borrow area.

No additional area is planned for disturbance, therefore, it is unlikely that any topsoil will be encountered. However, if in the future, during upgrading operations or facility modifications, any salvageable topsoil is found, it will be tested in accordance with the "UDOGM Guidelines for Management of Topsoil and Overburden, Table 1", and if found satisfactory, will be saved and stockpiled in a location acceptable to the regulatory authority.

8.8 PLANS FOR REDISTRIBUTION OF SOILS

In the event that the existing soil cannot be revegetated, supplemental soil will have to be hauled in for regrading of the disturbed site. Such soil should be tested for similarity to the existing soils and should equal or exceed the quality of the Riparian soil of Tables 8-1 and 8-2. This is the soil type of 25% or less of the disturbed area.

Once the buildings, mine equipment, coal piles and other structures and disturbances are removed, the existing disturbed area must be graded to the final configuration. If the existing soil is unsuitable for revegetation and supplemental soil must be brought in, a depth of not less than six inches should then be applied and graded in preparation for seeding. Existing soil should prove adequate for plant growth. Refer to Section 3.5.4 for Backfilling and Grading Plan and Section 3.5.5.1 for Soil Preparation.

8.9 NUTRIENTS AND SOIL AMENDMENTS

Existing soil or soils hauled in for the restoration of the disturbed soils will have to be supplemented with commercial fertilizers containing nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. The exact mixture will have to be determined following tests on the actual soil used, but a broadcast rate of about 50 pounds of phosphorus, 80 pounds nitrogen and 80 pounds of potassium per acre can be expected. Stabilization will be obtained by the use of an erosion control mat.

8.10 EFFECTS OF MINING OPERATIONS ON SOILS

The existing disturbed site has been compacted by heavy equipment and automobiles. Some sections have been subjected to oil, gasoline, and diesel fuel spillage. Crushed coal and coal dust from the coal piles have been mixed and compacted in the existing soil.

8.11 MITIGATION AND CONTROL PLANS

As a previously disturbed site, no soils had originally been saved for protection of the resource. A small amount of topsoil was salvaged during the hydrologic reconstruction on site, and has been stored and protected as shown on Figure 3-1. Should any future disturbance of undisturbed soils of good potential occur, the soils will be removed, stored, and protected.

8.12 BIBLIOGRAPHY

United States Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of the Interior, and Utah Agricultural Experiment Station, 1970, Soil Survey, Carbon-Emery Area, Utah.

CHAPTER 9
VEGETATION RESOURCES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section No.	Description	Page No.
9.1	SCOPE	1
9.2	METHODOLOGY.....	1
9.2.1	Trees	1
9.2.2	Understory Vegetation	1
9.2.3	Reference Areas	2
9.2.4	Vegetation Map.....	2
9.2.5	Sampling Adequacy	2
9.3	EXISTING RESOURCES	3
9.3.1	General Site Description.....	3
9.3.2	Riparian (Streamside) Plant Community	4
9.3.2.1	General Description	4
9.3.2.2	Riparian Tree Data	4
9.3.2.3	Riparian Understory Data	5
9.3.3	Pinion-Juniper Plant Community	6
9.3.3.1	General Description.....	6
9.3.3.2	Pinion-Juniper Tree Data.....	6
9.3.3.3	Pinion-Juniper Understory Data.....	7
9.3.4	Grassland-Shrub Community Data.....	7
9.3.4.1	General Description	7
9.3.4.2	Grassland-Shrub Understory Data	8
9.3.5	Conifer Plant Community.....	9
9.3.5.1	General Description	9
9.3.5.2	Conifer Tree Data	9
9.3.5.3	Conifer Understory.....	9
9.3.6	Vegetative Productivity	10
9.3.7	Sampling Adequacy.....	11
9.3.8	Reference Area Supporting Data	11
9.4	THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES	12
9.5	EFFECTS OF MINING OPERATIONS ON VEGETATION	12
9.6	MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT PLANS	12

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

9.7 REVEGETATION METHODS AND JUSTIFICATIONS..... 13
 9.8 REVEGETATION MONITORING..... 13
 9.9 BIBLIOGRAPHY 14

LIST OF TABLES (End of Chapter)

Table No.	Description
9-1	Species Presence List Riparian Plant Community
9-2	Riparian Tree Data
9-3	Riparian Understory Vegetation Analysis: Cover, Frequency, and Density Data From Quadratus
9-4	Species Presence List, Pinion-Juniper Plant Community
9-5	Pinion-Juniper Tree Data
9-6	Pinion-Juniper Understory Vegetation Data: Cover, Frequency, and Density Data from Quadratus
9-7	Species Presence List, Grassland-Shrub Community
9-8	Grassland-Shrub Understory Vegetation Data: Cover, Frequency, and Density Data from Quadratus
9-9	Species Presence List, Conifer Plant Community
9-10	Conifer Community Tree Data
9-11	Conifer Understory Data, Cover, Frequency, and Density Data from Quadrats
9-12	Vegetative Productivity in the Riparian Community, Trail Mountain, Mine Plan Area
9-13	Vegetative Productivity in the Grass-land-Shrub Community, Mine Plan Area, July 19, 1981
9-14	Vegetation Sampling Precision

LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.	Description
9-1	Vegetation Map

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix No.	Description
9-1	Reclamation and Revegetation of the Mine
9-2	Soil and Vegetation Test Plot Monitoring

VEGETATION RESOURCES

9.1 SCOPE

A preliminary survey of the mine plan area indicated that four plant community types were in the boundaries of the area which had been disturbed or might be disturbed by any further expansion of the mine. Sites for concentrated sampling were chosen. The following methods were utilized.

9.2 METHODOLOGY

9.2.1 Trees

The point-quarter method was used to determine tree density and frequency. Ten points along a 500 foot transect were used. The four nearest trees to each point (one in each of four quadrants) were measured for diameter and distance from the point. Four saplings were also measured for distance but not diameter at each point. Thus 40 trees and 40 saplings were measured in each of the four plant communities. Pinion and juniper trees were measured at one foot height for diameter, and all other trees were measured at breast height (diameter-breast-height = DBH). These tree measurements yielded tree and sapling density and frequency. Tree dominance in terms of basal area was obtained from DBH. Sapling data provided estimates of tree reproduction. Tree (canopy) cover was estimated by the line-intersect method along a 500-foot transect with in-out measurements having a 6-inch resolution.

9.2.2 Understory Vegetation

Cover, density, and frequency of understory plants plus non-living cover of litter, rock, and soil were measured by the quadrat method. The one-meter-square quadrats were spaced every ten feet along the selected transects. The Riparian and Conifer Communities were sampled with 30 quadrats each, the Grassland-Shrub Community was sampled with 50 quadrats, and the Pinion-Juniper Community was sampled with 50 quadrats, and the Pinion-Juniper Community was sampled with 51 quadrats. Living plants were determined to species and their percent of total area in each quadrat (cover) was estimated. The number of separate plants was also counted (density). These data were grouped by growth form into trees; shrubs and vines; grasses, sedges, and rushes; forbs; and cryptogams.

9.2.3 Reference Areas

Two reference areas are designated to be permanently marked and protected. One is in the Riparian Community, and one is in the Grassland Shrub Community. Their locations are marked on the vegetation map, Map A, Appendix 9-1.

9.2.4 Vegetation Map

A vegetation map was compiled with the aid of aerial photographs printed in a scale of approximately 528 feet-to-the-inch (1:6, 3346), assisted by ground-truthing surveys. The most recent available aerial survey (1977) was used. The final map was later transferred to a contour map at the same scale. Area measurements were made for each community type by cutting up one of the maps and weighing the various pieces according to the community type. This gave percentages for each community type in the mine plan area and permitted calculating the acreages involved.

9.2.5 Sampling Adequacy

The data summarized for each sampled plant community was subjected to precision analysis using the statistic:

$$N = \left(\frac{ts}{px} \right)^2 \quad (9-1)$$

- in which:
- N = number of points, trees, quadratus, etc. which are necessary to sample within certain prescribed precision and confidence limits.
 - t = student's t-value for two-tailed tests and N-1 degrees of freedom. Various confidence limits were tested, but the minimum acceptable level was 80%.
 - s = standard deviation
 - \bar{x} = the mean or average of a group of values
 - p = sampling precision, entered as a decimal but representing the percent variability around the true population mean.

In this test, N, the number of samples required to adequately sample the population at 80% confidence, and $p = \pm 20\%$ was calculated for each parameter (DBH, tree distance (density), sapling

density, total plant cover, non-living cover, etc.). Precision was also calculated for these data using the actual number of samples used. This was done for 95%, 90%, and 80% confidence limits.

The interpretation of these precision tests is given in the following example. If N is calculated using the results of 30 samples, the 30 measurements are summed to obtain the mean (\bar{x}) and standard deviation (s). The proper value of t is obtained from a t -table for $N-1 = 29$ degrees of freedom under the desired confidence limit column (95%, 90%, 80%, etc.). The value of p is also selected; assume $p = .20$ (which means the true population is to be estimated within $\pm 20\%$ precision). Assuming the example yielded an answer of $N = 26$, we could say that the 30 actual measurements constituted an adequate sample since only 26 were required to meet the criteria of $\pm 20\%$ with 80% confidence level. If we then said that \bar{x} was the mean for the population, we would be within $\pm 20\%$ of the true mean in 80 out of 100 times that we sampled the population in the same way.

The true precision for our 30 samples could also be calculated by using

$$p = \frac{ts}{N\bar{x}} \quad (9-2)$$

In the above example it would be less than .20 ($\pm 20\%$ precision) because only 26 samples were needed to obtain $p = \pm .20$; in fact, it would be $p = \pm .18$ and the true precision is $\pm 18\%$ with 80% confidence.

Vegetation sampling is done within various confidence limits to suit different requirements. Higher values require more samples and increase costs. The value of 80% is accepted by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management for estimating vegetation, productivity, etc. Likewise, $p = (\pm 20\% \text{ precision})$ is normally accepted in vegetation sampling work.

9.3 EXISTING RESOURCES

9.3.1 General Site Description

The following discussion treats four of the five plant communities mapped within the Mine plan area boundaries. (See Plate 9-1). The fifth, consisting of aspen communities located on top of Trail Mountain, will not come under direct disturbance by coal mining activities unless subsidence occurs when the mine is finally closed. Although this might alter drainages, it is very unlikely because of the

extent of relief above the coal seam.

Grassland-Shrub and Conifer communities on top of Trail Mountain are quite similar to those sampled below and are not expected to undergo perturbation from mining activities. The four sampled communities will be discussed in order of elevational scale; i.e. Riparian (streamside) in the bottom of the canyon, Pinion-Juniper above the Riparian, Grassland-Shrub above the mine mouth, and the Conifer Community directly west of the mine mouth and contiguous with the Grassland-Shrub Community. Discussions of these community types will be followed by a short treatment of the results of mapping, threatened and endangered species, and sampling adequacy.

9.3.2 Riparian (Streamside) Plant Community

9.3.2.1 General Description

The Riparian Community was sampled just north of the Mine offices in Cottonwood Canyon beginning about 200 feet upstream from the office building. A 500 foot transect was run from that point along the west side of the stream to avoid the disturbances caused by the canyon road on the east side of the stream. Steep banks on each side of the stream are 10 to 30 feet high with slopes ranging from 40% to 90% where the stream has cut through old stream bed material. Vegetation is comparatively dense with a heavy understory of grasses, forbs, and scattered shrubs. Some 86 plant species were encountered in a survey of the stand. These are listed in Table 9-1 by life form (trees, shrubs, grasses, forbs) with scientific and common names. The greatest species diversity occurred among the forbs, which have 48 species. Shrubs and vines were represented by 19 species, grasses and sedges by 10, and trees by 9. Narrow leaf cottonwood (*Populus angustifolia*) dominates the canopy layer and is reproducing vigorously with many seedlings and saplings appearing also in the understory. The total canopy cover measured on the line intercept was 40%, leaving 60% open space in this area next to the stream.

9.3.2.2 Riparian Tree Data

Table 9-2 summarizes the data taken by the point quarter method for 10 points along a 500 foot transect. A total of 40 trees and 40 additional saplings were measured. Three tree species came into the sample plus one large chokecherry (*Prunus*

virginiana) reached tree size (DBH of 4 inches or more) in this case in the fourth quadrant of point 10. Tree density estimated from the sample was 87.8 trees per acre with 97.5% of these being narrowleaf cottonwood. Narrowleaf cottonwood dominated in all parameters of density, basal area, cover, and frequency with an importance value (IV) of 397.7 out of a possible 400 IV points for trees. Basal area and percent cover was not measured for seedlings and saplings, but narrowleaf cottonwood dominated the understory also with an importance value of 178.3 out of a possible 200 points for 89.2% of relative IV. The C x F index (cover x frequency), another measure of relative importance, also shows similar traits for the trees. Saplings of three species occurred in this community at a density of 892 per acre. Since fully grown trees occur at 88 per acre, it appears there is a natural mortality rate of about 90% among established tree seedlings in this community.

9.3.2.3 Riparian Understory Data

Table 9-3 summarizes the streamside species sampled in the understory with 30 one meter square quadrats. Forbs proved to be the most important life form followed by grasses, as may be seen by the importance values and the C x F index at the right of Table 9-3. Out of a possible 300 IV points, forbs had 142.3 (47.4%) to 111.8 (37.3%) for grasses; and of a possible total of 10,000 C x F index points, forbs had 606.6 compared to 261 for grasses. Counts of the stems for each species show that grasses have higher density counts with about twice the number of stems as forbs (66.7% density for grasses and 31.5% for forbs). The most important species can be picked out by the IV values listed in Table 9-3. *Poa pratensis* (Kentucky bluegrass) had the highest individual IV value (80). This was followed by 47 for *Aster chilensis* 43.4 for scouring rush (*Equisetum ervedense*), 318 for orchard grass (*Doctylis glomerata*), 16.4 for narrow leaf cottonwood seedlings, 15.2 for dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*), and 11.7 for western virgin's bower (*Clematis ligusticifolia*). The C x F index, however, shows that (*Aster Chilensis*) (CxF = 312.4 and scouring rush (C x F = 266.4) are very important compared to C x F = 176.8 for Kentucky bluegrass. This is based mainly on the high cover values measured for (*Aster*) and for scouring rush, as well as a high frequency of occurrence in the quadrats.

The overall average living understory cover was measured at 35.5% of total cover,

and it ranged from 0.6% to 67% with litter contributing about 51% of non-living cover. Rock and exposed soil were of rather minor importance (2.6% and 8.6% of total cover respectively).

9.3.3 Pinion-Juniper Plant Community

9.3.3.1 General Description.

This stand is located just north of the Mine office on steep south- and east-facing slopes above the Riparian Community reference area. The measured transect was 500 feet long, running in a south-north direction along a 168° bearing line (magnetic). The slope in the sampling area is about 42% with an exposure of 78± EEN. Large boulders are scattered among the trees, which causes a variety of relief and abrupt changes in percent slope. There are 62 plant species in this community, as listed in Table 9-4. The dominant tree is pinion pine (*Pinus edulis*). Significant percentages of Rocky Mountain juniper (*Juniperus acopulorum*), limber pine (*Pinus flexilis*), and Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) also occur. The greatest species diversity occurs among the forbs (36 species) followed by shrubs (13 species), grasses (7 species), and trees (6 species).

9.3.3.2 Pinion-Juniper Tree Data

Table 9-5 summarizes the data for four species of trees occurring in the point quarter and line intercept sampling data. Density of trees was estimated from tree distance at 99.2 trees per acre. Seedlings and saplings are present at 133.9 trees per acre. The combined total for both trees and young trees is 233.1 trees per acre. Since full size trees represent about 43% of the combined total, a natural mortality rate of about 57% is suggested for tree seedlings in this community. Pinion pine showed the greatest values for density, basal area (dominance), cover, and frequency. The importance value (IV) of 239.6 for pinion pine far exceeds the other trees, as does the C x F value of 2,380.5. Rocky Mountain juniper is the next most important tree species with an IV of 70.8 and C x F index of 447. These dominant species are followed by Douglas fir with an IV score of 59.3 and a C x F value of 240.

Tree reproduction indicates that seedlings and saplings of pinion juniper still

dominate at an IV of 85.6 out of a possible 200; but Douglas fir, with an IV of 56.1, is more important than juniper at 43.8. Perhaps Douglas fir is increasing in this community. When both trees and reproduction are considered together, the density values show that Douglas fir is slightly more important than juniper and may indicate trends in successional directions, as do the importance values cited above.

9.3.3.3 Pinion-Juniper Understory Data

The data on understory vegetation for the Pinion-Juniper Community are summarized in Table 9-6. Grass species dominate the understory vegetation with a life form total importance value of 157.4 or 52.5% importance. Slender wheatgrass (*Agropyron trachycaulum*) was the most important species in the understory, with an importance value of 132.4 or 44.1% importance in comparison to the other understory species. On the basis of cover and frequency, this translates to a C x F index of 1067.7 and a total C x F index of 1067.7 and a total C x F index for grasses of 1104.6.

Lichens are the next most abundant life form in terms of cover and frequency (but not biomass or forage value), with an importance value of 30.4. This is followed by Utah serviceberry (*A. e. amejoer utahensis*) at 24.5. Hood's (*Phlox hoodii*) at 17.5, and Sandberg's bluegrass (*Poa secunda*) at 15.3.

Generally, the understory vegetation is rather sparse in density except for patches of slender wheatgrass. The average cover value for living plants was 23.4%, and ranged from 7.5% where mostly rock was exposed in the quadrat to 85% under bushes of Utah serviceberry. This leaves non-living cover averaging approximately 75% and consisting of rock (27.3% cover), litter (26%), and soil (22.6%).

9.3.4 Grassland-Shrub Community Data

9.3.4.1 General Description

This stand is located immediately above the Mine mouth and loading areas on the steep, generally east-facing mountain slope. The stand runs around the brow of the hill and has exposures ranging from north-northeast to east. The soils are rather heavy, being silty clay to loamy silty clay soils dotted with many boulders and rocks

caused by talus from the rocky cliffs above. The slopes range from 43% to about 48%, measured by clinometer.

The vegetation forms are mainly grasses with scattered shrubs and an occasional tree here and there, especially at the west end of the sampled stand.

Table 9-7 lists plant species observed in this plant community. A total of 37 species are recorded, consisting of 3 trees, 11 shrubs, 6 grass and sedge species, and 17 forb species. Of the forbs, only one annual was observed (*Lappula aredowskii*). No extensive tree canopy exists except for the isolated trees, so no tree data was taken in this community.

9.3.4.2 Grassland-Shrub Understory Data

The summary data listed in Table 9-8 provide estimates from the vegetative sampling of cover, frequency, and density for two sites. Grasses and sedges were the most abundant as is indicated by the importance value (IV) and the C x F index on the right side of Table 9-8. The IV value is obtained by adding up the relative percentages of cover, frequency and density. The C x F index is obtained by multiplying the cover and frequency together. Thus, it is possible to obtain a total C x F value of 10,000. The higher the IV or the C x F index is, the more abundant and, consequently, the more important is the plant species or life form listed.

Based on these indices, grasses had an IV of about 146 out of a possible 300, or 53% importance. Slender sheatgrass, like the pinion-juniper stand discussed above, had the highest IP value (IP = 131 plus C x F = 1383.3). Slender wheatgrass was followed in importance by a forb called shrubby bedstraw (*Galium multiflorum*) at an IV of about 32, and the shrub called shadscale (*Atriplex confertifolia*) at an IV of about 20. Other species of somewhat less importance were sticky rabbitbrush (*Chrysothamnus visidiflarus*), snakeweed (*Gutierrezia sarathrae*), Sandberg's bluegrass (*Poa secunda*), Hood's phlox (*phlos hoodii*), and buckwheat (*Eriogonum carymboxum*).

Total cover (54.4%) was rather high due to the heavy grass cover (33.4%). Because

of this high cover, there was less soil and rock exposed without living cover or litter above it.

9.3.5 Conifer Plant Community

9.3.5.1 General Description

This plant community is located west of the mine mouth on north-facing slopes of a rather large draw trending east and west up Trail Mountain's east slopes. The slopes range from 50 to 60% with an exposure to the north. The sampling transect extended along a line bearing 260° west. The forest-covered steep slopes are at the base of steep cliffs which have contributed talus to the forest soil below. The soil is very rocky as with the contiguous Grassland-Shrub Community further east already described. The understory vegetation is sparse. A species list with a total of 43 species was compiled and appears in Table 9-9. Six trees, 9 shrubs, 6 grasses and sedges, and 22 forbs were found in the stand, of which some 26 species came into the sample transect.

9.3.5.2 Conifer Tree Data

Table 9-10 summarizes the tree data for the conifer stand. It shows White fir and Douglas fir to be co-dominant, with an IV value of 169 for white and 162 for Douglas fir. These accounted for almost 83% of the tree dominance and almost all of the total C x F index, as can be seen on the right side of Table 9-10. Douglas fir had the greatest amount of basal area, but white fir contributed the greater amount of canopy cover and was 10% more frequent.

Total density of trees was about 74 per acre, and Douglas fir and White fir were even although Douglas fir had almost twice as many seedlings and saplings. Both trees are reproducing themselves so this stand can be considered a climax community for this exposure, elevation and other static factors of soil, etc. Mountain red juniper showed good reproduction, but there were few tree-size individuals in the samples.

9.3.5.3 Conifer Understory

As in the Grasslands-Shrub Community immediately east of this stand, grass was

the most important species in the understory (see Table 9-II). Forbs were next in importance. Here again slender wheatgrass proved to be most ubiquitous, with an IV value of 106 and a C x F index of 446. The most important forbs were shrubby bedstraw (*Galium multiflorum*) and Hood's phlox at scores of 28 and 27 on the IV index respectively. The two shrubs of most importance were creeping barberry (*Mahonia repens*) and Utah serviceberry at IV scores of 15 and 14 respectively. The grass blue wildrye (*Elymus glauca*) and the sedge (*Carex geyeri*) were also of minor importance at IV 231 and IV 15, in that order. Density count was the factor that provided much of the dominance for the grasses, however, with 833 stems counted in 30 quadrats.

As was mentioned earlier, the understory cover was described as rather sparse. This observation is supported by the measured low total cover value of about 23%. Litter was abundant at 23%, but soil had about 34% of absolute cover exposure under the trees. With a tree canopy of 60.4% it is understandable that understory cover is meager. This, coupled with comparatively low precipitation in this area, influences the lower understory values.

9.3.6 Vegetative Productivity

Productivity data from the two plant communities adjacent to the disturbed area are shown in Tables 9-12 (Riparian) and 9-13 (Grassland-Shrub). These two communities have been disturbed in the past operations of the Mine and are the only ones likely to receive any future disturbance. The data are shown for freshly cut or green weights and for air dry weights too. The following discussion concerns only the air dry weights. The Riparian community produced a total of 1,516 pounds per acre (air dry), while the Grassland-Shrub Community produced 910 lb/acre. Moisture in these productivity measurements accounted for 64 and 49 percents of the totals in the two communities so that the corresponding fresh weights were 4,236 and 1,774 lb/acre of total plant productivity. Since only understory plants were measured, tree data is for seedlings and saplings only. Even so, the Riparian Community out produced the Grassland-Shrub Community by a factor of about two. The most abundant life form in both communities was grass with 36% of total productivity in the Riparian and 79% in the Grassland-Shrub Community. Forbs and shrubs were about equal in the Riparian Community at 30 and 31% (455 and 464 lb/acre) respectively. In the Grassland-Shrub Community, shrubs were the second most productive life form at 9% of the air dry total while forbs represented

only about 1%.

In the present study several methods were used to obtain estimates of vegetation growth in the vicinity of the Mine. These methods involved sampling and using the results to estimate the population values. Adequate sampling ensures that the population parameter will be estimated with acceptable precision. Any degree of precision can be obtained by increasing the sample size. Perfection can be achieved by measuring every member of the population. This is, of course, impractical and a compromise is always struck between the level of precision and the expense and time required to attain it.

9.3.7 Sampling Adequacy

The sampling adequacy and actual precision obtained for the various data obtained in the present study are summarized in Table 9-14. The minimum criterion for adequate sampling in these data is $\pm 20\%$ precision at the 80% statistical confidence level. This means that the true population mean has been estimated to within $\pm 20\%$ or better, and that if we say this is true we would be wrong only twenty times in a hundred from random causes alone.

Table 9-14 shows that in many cases the actual precision obtained in this study is much better than $\pm 20\%$. These values are shown in Part B of the table where 29 of the 36 precision tests meet or exceed the $\pm 20\%$ precision standard. Three of the seven inadequate samples are very close to the acceptance level and the remaining four involve two density estimates of understory grasses and two estimates of tree canopy (% cover). The difficulty of counting grass stems is obvious and accounts for the one problem. The tree canopy estimates, which could benefit from additional sampling, are poorest in the two plant communities least likely to be disturbed by mining activities, the Conifer and Pinion-Juniper Communities. We believe no essential conclusions or recommendations advanced in this vegetation report would be changed by additional sampling in these areas.

9.3.8 Reference Area Supporting Data

Two vegetation communities were assigned reference areas. These have been permanently marked, and are shown on Map A, Appendix 9-1. The riparian reference area represents the pre-disturbance condition of the parking area, coal loading facilities, and mine buildings area. (See Vegetation Map A, Appendix 9-1.) The grassland-shrub reference area represents the pre-mining

grassland-shrub community--part of which still exists above the mine mouth. Both reference areas were sampled quantitatively. Data are included in Vegetation Resources, Chapter Nine.

9.4 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES

No threatened or endangered species are observed in the mine lease area. However, Hedysarum occidentale var Canone is known to occur in areas to the south and east of the mine plan area in the Miller Canyon vicinity. Hedysarum Occidentale var Canone does not occur on the mine plan area (Bob Thompson, USFS 1987). Several other species have been proposed to be listed in the past that occur in areas to the south and east in the Mancos Shale and Morrison Formation derived soils, and one species of grass (*Festuca dasyclada*) is found in Joe's Valley to the west; but are not known to occur in or contiguous to the mine plan area. Many of the species reported by Welsh, et al (1975) have since been delisted or dropped from the possibly threatened or endangered list by Welsh (1978).

9.5 EFFECTS OF MINING OPERATIONS ON VEGETATION

The mine has been in existence since 1948 and has undergone expansion in the last few years, which has removed vegetation and redistributed soil to its present location as fill for the parking lot and coal loading areas. This has had the effect of removing the Riparian and part of a stand of a Grassland-Shrub Community.

9.6 MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT PLANS

The mine site was disturbed during development activities from 1948-1967. As such, no vegetative protection activities are planned for the disturbed areas. Any future disturbance will require the verification that threatened and endangered species do not exist on the site. If any threatened and endangered species are found, the appropriate authorities will be contacted.

To mitigate the loss of approximately 2,000 feet of riparian community that was damaged when a portion of the North Fork of the Cottonwood Creek adjacent to the disturbed area of the Mine was culverted, Trail Mountain (During April, 1986) cut and collected approximately 3,500 12-18 inch long willow stems from local stock and cold storage them. During the latter of part of May, 1986 these

willow stems were planted at intervals of approximately six feet apart on both sides of the North Fork of the Cottonwood Creek for a distance of two miles below the disturbed area of the mine site. This willow shoot enhancement project was conducted by Trail Mountain with the technical supervision of Mr. Larry Dalton of the Division of Wildlife Resources (1986).

9.7 REVEGETATION METHODS AND JUSTIFICATIONS

After cessation of coal mining activities at the Mine, disturbed areas will be revegetated. The revegetation plan contains one option; to use the existing soils with amendments. The plan assumes that the existing buildings will be removed, the mine entrances sealed, and the site regraded to the final surface configuration. [Refer to Section 3.5.5 Revegetation Plan for additional information.](#)

Seeding for the Riparian area will follow the rates and species listed in Table 3, Appendix 9-1 and seeding for the Grassland-Shrub area is listed in Table 2A, Appendix 9-1. (See Reclamation Plan Seedbed Preparation: Grassland and Riparian Appendix 9-1.)

9.8 REVEGETATION MONITORING

The vegetation composition of the reseeded areas will be compared to that in the reference areas. Ninety percent stocking rate is acceptable under the present regulations. Subsequent reseeding for each year will be done until cover and productivity are within 90% of the approved reference areas. [Refer to Section 3.5.5.4 for additional information.](#)

9.9 BIBLIOGRAPHY

Arnow, L.A., A.M. Wyckoff, and B.J. Albee, 1977, Flora of the Central Wasatch Front, Utah.
University of Utah Printing Service.

Curtis, J.T. and Grant Cottam, 1962, Plant Ecology Workbook; Workbook; Laboratory,
Field and Reference Manual. Burgess Publishing Company.


Holmgren, A.H. and J.L. Reveal, 1966, Checklist of the Vascular Plants of the
Intermountain Region. U.S. Forest Service Research Paper INT-32.
Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. Forest Service
USDA. Ogden, Utah. 160 p.

Plummer, A. Perry, Donald R. Christensen, and Stephen B. Monsen, 1968, Restoring Big Game
Range in Utah. Publications No. 68-3, Utah Division of Fish and Game.


Welsh, S.L. and G. Moore, 1973, Utah Plants, (). Brigham Young University Press. Provo,
Utah 474 p.

Welsh, S.L., N.D. Atwood, and J.L. Reveal, 1978, Endangered, Threatened, Extinct, Endemic, and Rare
or Restricted Utah Vascular Plants. Great Basin Naturalist 35:327-376.

Welsh, S.L., 1978 Endangered and Threatened Plants of Utah a Reevaluation. Great Basin Naturalist
38:1-17.



▲ LONG RANGE LONGWALL PANEL PROJECTIONS;
DEVELOPMENT/EXTRACTION TIMING TO BE DETERMINED



I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

MINING PLAN

— LEASE BOUNDARY
 PERMIT AREA


Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine
Mining Plan


SCALE: 1" = 2000' DATE: 9/14/2016 DRAWN BY: B.R. ENGINEER: J.S. CHECKED BY: V.M.
 FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 3-2.dwg PROJ:

REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS

SHEET NO.
PLATE 3-2




WASTE ROCK STORAGE SITE
1" = 300'



FOSSIL ROCK MINE PERMIT AREA
1" = 2000'

GENERAL LOCATION MAP
NOT TO SCALE



- LEGEND**
- 93-1088 FEDERAL COAL LEASE NUMBER
 - PERMIT AREA
 - LEASE BOUNDARY

RECEIVED
SEP 30 2016
DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING



I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE





REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	REMARKS

FOSSIL ROCK RESOURCES, LLC
A SUBSIDIARY OF BOWIE RESOURCE PARTNERS, LLC

MINE PERMIT AREA

PEN. TOL: _1Stdnd-SUFENP1.ctb	SCALE: VARIES	DATE: 9/21/2016	DRAWN BY: J.K.M.	ENGINEER: C.H.	CHECKED BY:	SHEET NO. Plate 3-4
SHT SET:	PROJECT NUMBER: 0715-001	FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 3-4.dwg				

NORTH



I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

LEGEND:

- DISTURBED AREA PERIMETER
- RESTORED CHANNEL
- PRE 1977 DISTURBANCE
- 10+00 CROSS SECTION STATION
- PERMIT AREA

RECEIVED


SEP 30 2016

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine		
Post Mining Contour Map Reclamation Phase 1		
SCALE: 1" = 250'	DATE: 9/13/2016	DRAWN BY: BR
ENGINEER: JS	CHECKED BY: VM	PROJ:
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 3-5.dwg		

SHEET NO.
Plate 3-5



RECEIVED
 SEP 30 2016
 DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING


Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
 Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine
 Existing and Post-Mining Cross Sections

SCALE: 1" = 60' DATE: 8/4/2016 DRAWN BY: B.R ENGINEER: J.S. CHECKED BY: V.M.
 FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 3-6.dwg PROJ:

REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS

SHEET NO.
 Plate 3-6A



Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax


Fossil Rock Mine

Existing and Post-Mining Cross-Sections

SCALE: 1" = 50'	DATE: 8/4/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R.
ENGINEER: J.S.	CHECKED BY: V.M.	PROJ:
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 3-6.dwg		

SHEET NO.

Plate 3-6B



RECEIVED
 SEP 30 2016
 DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING


{ P = DISTURBED AREA PERIMETER }
 { E.R. = EDGE OF ROAD }
 { E = FLOWLINE }

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine		
Existing and Post-Mining Cross-sections		
SCALE: 1" = 50'	DATE: 9/21/2016	DRAWN BY: BR
ENGINEER: JS	CHECKED BY: VM	PROJ:
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 3-6.dwg		

SHEET NO.
Plate 3-6C

H:\Drawings\Permits\9/21/2016 10:38 AM



RECEIVED
 SEP 30 2016
 DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

{ P = DISTURBED AREA PERIMETER
 E.R. = EDGE OF ROAD
 F = FLOWLINE }

Houston Resources 9/21/2016 10:37 AM

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine		
Existing and Post-Mining Cross-sections		
SCALE: 1" = 50'	DATE: 9/21/2016	DRAWN BY: BR
ENGINEER: JS	CHECKED BY: VM	PROJ: ###
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 3-6.dwg		


SHEET NO.
Plate 3-6D

LEGEND

 REVEGETATED AREAS



I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE



RECEIVED
SEP 8 2016
DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING




Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine
Contemporaneous Reclamation

SCALE: 1" = 175'	DATE: 8/4/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R.
ENGINEER: J.S.	CHECKED BY: V.M.	PROJ:
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 3-7.dwg		

SHEET NO.

Plate 3-7



RECEIVED
 SEP 30 2016
 DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

— LEASE BOUNDARY
 ■ PERMIT AREA

- ① 10th Right Longwall (148 shields & Face conveyor) February 26, 2001 BLM approved the abandonment of Longwall shields due to safety concerns.
- ② 3rd Right Longwall (3 shields) December 11, 2000 BLM approved the abandonment of Longwall shields due to safety concerns.
- Temporary Cessation : Abandoned Materials
- Water Pipelines
 - 6" Steel
 - 12" PVC


Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine
Abandoned Equipment & Discharge Water Pipelines

SCALE: 1" = 2000' DATE: 9/21/2016 DRAWN BY: B.R. ENGINEER: J.S. CHECKED BY: V.M.
 FILE NAME: H:\DRAWNGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 3-8.dwg PROJ:PLate 3-8

REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS


SHEET NO.
Plate 3-8



Coal Ownership
Scale: 1"=1500'



Coal Ownership
Scale: 1"=250'



Surface Ownership
Scale: 1"=1500'



Surface Ownership
Scale: 1"=250'

RECEIVED
SEP 30 2016
DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

LEGEND

	LEASE BOUNDARY		PERMIT AREA
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		STATE SURFACE OWNERSHIP
	FOSSIL ROCK RESOURCES LLC		PACIFICORP SURFACE OWNERSHIP
	STATE COAL LEASE		LDS COAL LEASE
	FOSSIL ROCK COAL LEASE		WASTE ROCK BOUNDARY
	UNLEASED FEDERAL COAL		




I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	REMARKS


Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine
Surface & Coal Ownership Maps

PEN TBL: _1Stdnd-SUFENP1.ctb	SCALE: AS NOTED	DATE: 9/21/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R.	ENGINEER: J.S.	CHECKED BY: V.M.	SHEET NO. Plate 4-1
SHT SET: ###	PROJECT NUMBER: TMS1454C	FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Troll Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 4-1.dwg	TMS			



- LEGEND**
-  LEASE BOUNDARY
 -  PERMIT AREA
 -  ALLOTMENT BOUNDARY
 -  WATERSHED IMPROVEMENT AREA (CONTOUR FURROWS)
 -  MANTI-LA SAL FOREST
 -  STATE LANDS



RECEIVED
 SEP 30 2016
 DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING


I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine
Land Use Map

SCALE: 1" = 3000'	DATE: 8/30/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R
ENGINEER: J.S.	CHECKED BY: V.M.	PROJ: TMS1458C
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Troll Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 4-2.dwg		


SHEET NO.
Plate 4-2



- DESCRIPTION OF MAP UNITS
- N9a NORTH HORN FORMATION (Eocene and Upper Cretaceous)- Shale, variegated with shades of gray, purple red and brown. Limestone light to medium gray, sandstone gray and grayish orange conglomerate. Thickness about 400m
 - N9b PRICE RIVER FORMATION (UPPER CRETACEOUS)- Sandstone gray to light gray, coarse grained to conglomerate, some interbedded shale. Thickness about 50 m
 - N9c CASTLEGATE SANDSTONE (UPPER CRETACEOUS)- Sandstone weather grayish orange, coarse grained massive, thin forming some sandstone surfaces. Thickness 70m
 - N9d BLACKHAWK FORMATION (UPPER CRETACEOUS)- Sandstone grayish orange to medium gray, medium to fine grained, thin to medium bodies with occasional beds of light gray coal, locally interbedded with Star Point Sandstone. Thickness 25-265m
 - N9e STAR POINT SANDSTONE (UPPER CRETACEOUS)- Sandstone, shaly to shaly sandstone gray to grayish orange, thin to thin. Thickness 15-20m
 - M9a MANCOS SHALE (UPPER CRETACEOUS)- Manganiferous shale, medium to dark gray, weathered yellowish gray, weathered. Thickness about 200m

A (WEST)

A' (EAST)




Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine
Cross Section A-A'

SCALE: Not to Scale	DATE: 08/12/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R.
ENGINEER: J.S.	CHECKED BY: V.M.	PROJ: TMS1503D
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 6-1.dwg		

SHEET NO.
Plate 6-1



RECEIVED
 SEP 8 0 2016
 DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

LEGEND

LEASE BOUNDARY	PERMIT AREA	FAULT (DASHED WHERE INFERRIED)	GAS WELL	DRILL HOLE	EAST MOUNTAIN DRILL HOLE NO. 12 (C-CORED)	TRAIL MOUNTAIN DRILL HOLE NO. 10
FEDERAL COAL LEASE NUMBER	UTU-64375	UTU-49332	UTU-29906	FLAGSTAFF FORMATION	NORTH HORN FORMATION	PRICE RIVER FORMATION
CASTLE GATE SANDSTONE	BLACK HAWK FORMATION	STARPOINT SANDSTONE	MANCOS SHALE			



I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE


REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax


Geology Base Map

PEN TBL:	SCALE: 1" = 2000'	DATE: 9/21/2016	DRAWN BY: BR	ENGINEER: JS	CHECKED BY: VM	SHEET NO.
SHT SET:	PROJECT NUMBER: TMS1510D	FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 6-2.dwg	Plate 6-2			

Brandon, Release: 9/21/2016 8:49 AM



- LEGEND**
- LEASE APPLICATION BOUNDARY
 - PERMIT AREA
 - - - FAULT (DASHED WHERE INFERRED)
 - * GAS WELL
 - DRILL HOLE
 - EM-102 EAST MOUNTAIN DRILL HOLE NO. 12 (C-CORED)
 - EM-10 TRAIL MOUNTAIN DRILL HOLE NO. 10
 - UTU-64375 FEDERAL COAL LEASE NUMBER
 - HAWATHA COAL SEAM
 - BLIND CANYON COAL SEAM
 - OUTCROP
 - STRUCTURAL CONTOUR



I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Hiawatha Seam
Structural Contour Map


SCALE: 1" = 2000'
 DATE: 9/21/2016
 DRAWN BY: BR
 ENGINEER: JS
 CHECKED BY: VM
 SHEET NO. **Plate 6-3**

RECEIVED
 SEP 30 2016
 DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

TMS1681D

PEN TBL:
 SHT SET:
 PROJECT NUMBER:
 TMS1681D

H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 6-3.dwg



- LEGEND**
- LEASE APPLICATION BOUNDARY
 - PERMIT AREA
 - - - FAULT (DASHED WHERE INFERRED)
 - *
 -
 - EM-102
 - TM-10

- UTU-64375 FEDERAL COAL LEASE NUMBER
- HIAMATHA COAL SEAM
- BLIND CANYON COAL SEAM
- OUTCROP
- COAL OVERBURDEN

I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax


Hiawatha Seam Overburden
Isopach Map

Plate 6-4

SCALE: 1" = 2000'	DATE: 9/21/2016	DRAWN BY: BR	ENGINEER: JS	CHECKED BY: VM	SHEET NO.
P1EN TBL: _1Stdrd-SUFENP1.ctb FILE NAME: TMS1682D SHT SET:		PROJECT NUMBER: TMS1682D		H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 6-4.dwg	

RECEIVED
 SEP 30 2016
 DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

Revision: 9/21/2016 11:29 AM



LEASE BOUNDARY
 PERMIT AREA
 FAULT (DASHED WHERE INFERRED)
 GAS WELL
 DRILL HOLE
 EAST MOUNTAIN DRILL HOLE NO. 12 (C-CORED)
 TRAIL MOUNTAIN DRILL HOLE NO. 10

LEGEND

UTU-64379 FEDERAL COAL LEASE NUMBER
 HIAWATHA COAL SEAM
 BLIND CANYON COAL SEAM
 OUTCROP
 COAL ISOPACH




I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
 Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Hiawatha Seam
Coal Isopach Map

RECEIVED
 SEP 30 2016
 DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING



LEGEND

LEASE BOUNDARY	MONITORING WELL
DEVELOPED SPRING (WITH STOCK WATERING TROUGH)	WATER WELL
POND BLENDOFF FED	SPRING (REQUIRES MONITORING)
POND SPRING FED - CONSIDERED DEVELOPED BY THE USFS	PERMIT AREA
SPRING TOWNSHIP SECTION-SPRING NO. (MTN COAL L.D.)	EAST MOUNTAIN DRILL HOLE NO. 12 (C-CORED)
WATER RIGHTS NO.	TRAIL MOUNTAIN DRILL HOLE NO. 10
WATER MONITORING STATION WITH FLUME	DRILL HOLE
WATER MONITORING STATION WITH FLUME	
UPDES DISCHARGE LOCATION	

I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE



REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	REMARKS

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax


**Water Monitoring Location
 Discharge Locations**

PEN TBL:	SCALE: 1" = 1000'	DATE: 9/21/2016	DRAWN BY: BR	ENGINEER: JS	CHECKED BY: VM	SHEET NO.
SHT SET:	PROJECT NUMBER: TMS1450C	FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 7-2.dwg	Plate 7-2			

Revision: 9/21/2016 8:04 AM



I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE




Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine				
UNDERGROUND WATER MONITORING LOCATIONS				
SCALE: 1" = 2000'	DATE: 9/14/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R.	ENGINEER: J.S.	CHECKED BY: V.M.
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 7-3.dwg		PROJ:		

REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS

SHEET NO.
PLATE 7-3



- LEGEND**
- 93-1088 WATER USE CLAIM NUMBER
 - STREAM SEGMENT
 - POTENTIAL HYDROLOGIC IMPACT AREA
 - PERMIT AREA
 - LEASE BOUNDARY



I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine		
Surface Water Rights		
SCALE: 1" = 3000'	DATE: 9/21/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R.
ENGINEER: J.S.	CHECKED BY: V.M.	PROJ: TMS1456C
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 7-4.dwg		

SHEET NO.
Plate 7-4

**DRAINAGE AREA FOR
COTTONWOOD CANYON CULVERT
8,724 ACRES**


**DRAINAGE AREA FOR
SIDE CANYON CULVERT
376 ACRES**

**SEE PLATE 7-5 FOR
MINESITE DRAINAGE AREAS**

LITU-64375

LITU-49332

LITU-082998



CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON
THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO
THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

LEGEND


-  POTENTIAL HYDROLOGIC IMPACT AREA/DRAINAGE AREA
-  PERMIT AREA
-  LEASE BOUNDARY

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax


**Fossil Rock Mine
Drainage Areas**

SCALE: 1" = 3000'	DATE: 9/14/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R.
ENGINEER: J.S.	CHECKED BY: V.M.	PROJ: TMS1686C
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\PLATE 7-6.dwg		

SHEET NO.
Plate 7-6



I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE



LEGEND

	DRAINAGE		ROADS
	LEASE BOUNDARY		PERMIT AREA

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax



Fossil Rock Mine

Trail Mountain Mine Extent Of Alluvium

SCALE: 1" = 3000'	DATE: 9/14/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R.	ENGINEER: J.S.	CHECKED BY: V.M.
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWNGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 7-9.dwg				PROJ: TMS1459C

REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS

SHEET NO.
Plate 7-9




NOTES
 SIDE SLOPES - 2:1
 BOTTOM WIDTH - MINIMUM OF 5.0'
 SLOPE - NATURAL .07'
 CHANNEL DEPTH - MINIMUM OF 4.0'
 DISCHARGE - MINIMUM OF 535 CFS

RIPRAP
 6" OF 2" ROAD BASE ON SLOPES (D₅₀) -1.4 FEET.
 (MEDIUM DIAMETER) FOR METHODOLOGY SEE APPENDIX
 7-25 AND 7-27 (4-5) OF THE TRAIL MOUNTAIN TRACT I
 MPR.

THE BANKS WILL BE CONTOURED TO APPROXIMATELY 2:1
 SLOPE AND WILL BE COMPACTED WITH A BACKHOE PRIOR
 TO PLACING RIPRAP. RIPRAP WILL BE DISTRIBUTED ALONG
 THE CHANNEL BANKS. RIPRAP WILL BE PLACED 4.5 FEET
 HIGH ALONG THE BANKS.

FILL
 RIPRAP




I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON
 THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO
 THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax


Fossil Rock Mine				
Cottonwood Creek Channel Change Cross Sections				
SCALE: 1" = 15'	DATE: 9/21/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R.	ENGINEER: J.S.	CHECKED BY: V.M.
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 7-10.dwg				DRAWING TMS1770D

REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS


SHEET NO.
Plate 7-10




SILT FENCE
 (SEDIMENT CONTROL/RUNOFF CONTROL
 WIDTH AND HEIGHT VARIES)
 BOTTOM KEYED IN TO PREVENT BYPASS
 SIDES KEYED IN WHEN NECESSARY




SILT FENCE & MESH
 (SEDIMENT CONTROL/RUNOFF CONTROL
 WIDTH AND HEIGHT VARIES)
 BOTTOM KEYED IN TO PREVENT BYPASS
 SIDES KEYED IN WHEN NECESSARY




ROCK GABION
 (SEDIMENT CONTROL/ENERGY DISSIPATER
 WIDTH AND HEIGHT VARIES)




**SILT FENCE OR OTHER FLOW
 CONTROLLING DEVICE WITH NOTCH**
 (SEDIMENT CONTROL/RUNOFF CONTROL
 WIDTH AND HEIGHT VARIES)
 SIDES AND BOTTOM KEYED IN
 TO PREVENT BYPASS




SILT FENCE & MESH WITH NOTCH
 (SEDIMENT CONTROL/RUNOFF CONTROL
 WIDTH AND HEIGHT VARIES)
 SIDES AND BOTTOM KEYED IN
 TO PREVENT BYPASS



ROCK GABION
 (SEDIMENT CONTROL/ENERGY DISSIPATER
 WIDTH AND HEIGHT VARIES)
 WIRE MESH PLACED ON TOP
 OF ROCK WHEN NECESSARY



STRAW BALE INSTALLATION
 (SEDIMENT CONTROL/RUNOFF CONTROL
 WIDTH AND HEIGHT VARIES)
 SIDES AND BOTTOM KEYED IN
 TO PREVENT BYPASS




DIRT BERM
 (SEDIMENT CONTROL/RUNOFF CONTROL)

Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax


Fossil Rock Mine
Typical Alternative Sediment Control Measures

SCALE: Not to Scale	DATE: 9/14/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R.
ENGINEER: J.S.	CHECKED BY: V.M.	DRAWING: GENS1555A
FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 7-11.dwg		

SHEET NO.
Plate 7-11



RECEIVED
 SEP 30
 DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING



I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

NUMERICAL CLASSIFICATION OF SOILS IN THE LBA 8

MAP UNIT	FAMILY-COMPLEX
8	Greyback family-Cryorthents complex
20	Strych-Pathead-Podo families-Rubbleland complex
23	Trag-Curecanil families complex
24	Rabbitex-Repp-Reva families complex
25	Frandsen-Allens Park-Repp families complex
41	Castlno family
100	Grallc-Behanin-Elwood families complex
107	Curecanil-Elwood-Duchesne families complex
402	Roxal-Merlno-Grallc families complex
411	Clayburn-Falm families complex
560	Clayburn-Broad Canyon families
561	Clayburn-Falm-Behanin families complex
700	Hellotrope(t)-Willow Lake(t)-Dateman families complex
820	Lucky Star-Bundo-Adel families complex

LEGEND

	LEASE BOUNDARY
	PERMIT AREA
	DRILL HOLE
EM-12C	EAST MOUNTAIN DRILL HOLE NO. 12 (C-CORED)
TM-10	TRAIL MOUNTAIN DRILL HOLE NO. 10


Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
 Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Mine
 Soils Map

SCALE: 1" = 2000' DATE: 9/14/2016 DRAWN BY: B.R. ENGINEER: J.S. CHECKED BY: V.M.
 FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trall Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 8-1.dwg PROJ:

REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS

SHEET NO.
Plate 8-1



RECEIVED
 SEP 30 2016
 DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

Manti La Sal National Forest Vegetation Covering Map

- Grasslands**
- 10. Grassland Unclassified
- 11. Perennial Grassland (High Elevations)
- 12. Perennial Grassland (Mid to Low Elevations)
- 13. Desert Grasslands
- Riparian Lands**
- 20. Perennial Wet Lands and Meadows
- 21. Tree Dominated Riparian Areas
- 22. Intermittent Wet/Dry Meadow
- 23. Willow Dominated Riparian Areas
- 24. Other Shrub Dominated Riparian Areas
- 25. Lakes, Ponds & Reservoirs
- Perennial Forb Lands**
- 31. Perennial Forb Lands (High & Mid Elevations)
- 32. Perennial Forb Lands (Alpine & Sub-Alpine Elevations)
- 33. Perennial Forb Lands (Mid & Low Elevation)
- 34. Active Land Slides-With Little or No Vegetation Cover

Sagebrush Lands

- 40. Black Sagebrush
- 41. Wyoming Big Sagebrush
- 42. Basin Big Sagebrush
- 43. Big Mountain Sagebrush
- 44. Silver Sagebrush
- 45. Rabbit Brush (Rubber & Yellow Brush)

Mountain Brush Lands

- 50. Mountain Brush
- 51. Oakbrush
- 52. Mountain Maple
- 53. Curled Mountain Mahogany
- 54. True Mountain Mahogany
- 55. High Mountain Brush
- 56. Manzanita

Coniferous Forest Lands

- 60. White Fir Forest
- 61. Ponderosa Pine Forest
- 62. Douglas Fir Forest

Spruce-Alpine-Fir Forest

- 64. Blue Spruce Forest
- 65. Limber Pine & Bristle Cone Forest

Aspen Forest Lands

- 80. Aspen Forest Lands
- 81. Aspen Snowberry
- 82. Aspen Big Sagebrush
- 83. Aspen Creeping Barberry
- 84. Aspen Mixed Mountain Brush
- 85. Aspen Mixed Conifer

Pinyon-Juniper Woodlands

- 90. Pinyon Juniper Woodlands
- 91. Utah Juniper Woodlands
- 92. Pinyon Woodlands
- 93. Rocky Mountain Juniper Woodlands
- 96. Barren Rock Outcrops & Ledges

Data Content and Use Disclaimers. The USDA Forest Service, Manti-La Sal National Forest, Manages Much of the data as a service to users of digital geographic data. The USFS is in no way conditioning user to determine whether or not the data are suitable for the intended purpose. It is also the obligation of the user to apply those data in an appropriate and conscientious manner. The USFS provides no warranty, nor accepts any liability occurring from from any incorrect, incomplete, or misleading use of these data. Much of the USFS data are based on and maintained with ARC/INFO software developed by Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI). Much of the information presented uses conventions and terms popularized by ARC/INFO and its user community. USFS in no way represents the interests of ESRI nor acts or on agent for them.

Vegetation information recreated with the use of USFS GIS Mapping.

Roads



I CERTIFY THE ITEMS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

REVISIONS				
NO.	DATE	REQ. BY	DWG. BY	REMARKS
1	7/22/16	V.M.	B.R.	Updated title block to Fossil Rock
				Updated the Lease Lines



Fossil Rock Resources, LLC
Fossil Rock Mine
 597 South SR 24 - Salina, UT 84654
 (435) 286-4880 Phone
 (435) 286-4499 Fax

Fossil Rock Vegetation Map

TMS1690C

PEN TBL: _1S1ndrd-SUFENP1.ctb	SCALE: 1" = 500'	DATE: 9/21/2016	DRAWN BY: B.R.	ENGINEER: V.M.	CHECKED BY: V.M.	SHEET NO. Plate 9-1
SHT SET:	PROJECT NUMBER:	FILE NAME: H:\DRAWINGS\Trail Mountain\Permit and Drawings August 2015\Permit Maps\Plate 9-1.dwg				