

WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

March 26, 2019

TO: Internal File

THRU: Steve Christensen, Permit Supervisor 

FROM: Keenan Storrar, Hydrologist 

RE: Third Quarter 2018 Water Monitoring, Wolverine Fuels, Fossil Rock Mine, C/015/0009, Task ID #5791

The Fossil Rock Mine is in temporary cessation. Task #4716 Reduction of Water Monitoring dropped a number of monitoring sites. The task also updated the Water Monitoring Program table found in Appendix 7-1 of the APPENDICIES.pdf within the Fossil Rock MRP. The Deer Creek Mine Task #4782 'Transfer Well Monitoring' transferred monitoring wells CCCW – 1A, CCCW – 1S, CCCW – 2A, CCCW – 3A, CCCW – 3U, CCCW – 3L from the Deer Creek MRP to the Fossil Rock mine MRP (formerly the Trail Mountain mine). Well numbers ending in 'A' are screened in the alluvial deposits of Cottonwood Canyon Creek. Well CCCW-1A is down gradient of the Roans Canyon fault bisecting Cottonwood Canyon, while the other wells are located up gradient. It will be necessary to monitor these wells as the Cottonwood coal tract within Trail Mountain is actively mined (see Task #4762 for background). A significant drop in water level of CCCW-1A and a subsequent uptick in mine dewatering may indicate the mine is dewatering this fault zone.

The Fossil Rock Waste Rock site well has been added to the monitoring database. This well and monitoring information still needs to be incorporated into the MRP.

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?

Springs YES NO

Springs 17-25-1 (T-14) and 17-26-4 (T-10) are monitored for operational and field parameters in July and October and only field parameters in August and October.

Streams YES NO

Stream sites SW-1, SW-2 and SW-3 in Cottonwood Canyon are monitored for flow the first two months of each quarter and for operational parameters the last month of each quarter.

UPDES YES NO

The mine was sealed in June 2001 and there has been no reported discharge at UPDES UT23728-002 (the mine-water discharge into Cottonwood Creek) since May 2001.

Wells YES NO

Well TM-1B is monitored for water level monthly and for operational parameters quarterly. Since July 2004, the water level in TM-3 has been reported as potentiometric head above the well casing by measuring the pressure on top of the sealed wellhead with a gauge. CCCW – 1A, CCCW – 1S, CCCW

– 2A, CCCW – 3A, CCCW – 3U, CCCW – 3L are monitored for water level only (Figure 3 shows alluvial wells).

**APPX incorrectly subtracted the depth to water measurements from the elevation of the casing to calculate the Water Level values. This means the ‘Depth’ water level measurement is correct, but WL or water level elevation is incorrect.

2. Were all required parameters reported for each site?

Springs	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Streams	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
UPDES	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Wells	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>

3. Were any irregularities found in the data?

Springs	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Streams	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
UPDES	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Wells	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

4. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.

Baseline analyses were performed in 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016. Analyses for baseline parameters will be repeated every 5 years and will be conducted in 2021.

5. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

None.

6. Does the Mine Operator need to submit more information to fulfill this quarter’s monitoring requirements? YES NO

7. Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary. NA

8. Did the Mine Operator respond adequately to queries about missing or irregular data? YES NO NA

APPENDIX I.




Figure 1. The water level in TM-1B has been rising since mine dewatering ceased in May 2001. It's difficult to say where the water level will come to rest. The well is completed in the Panther tongue of the Star Point sandstone below the mine workings. This sandstone tongue contacts the floor of the mine workings. Mine dewatering reduced the potentiometric head within the unit and the mine pool is probably recharging the unit. This graph will be updated when mining begins in the Cottonwood Track.




Figure 2. TM-3 water elevation peaked in June 2005, remained steady through October, dropped 18.5 ft in November and December 2005 and remained virtually unchanged during 2006. The pressure gauge was replaced in January 2007 and values jumped to approximately match the pre-2006 curve. During the first half of 2007 the curve was relatively flat, but it has been steadily climbing. The outgoing document Reduce Hydrologic Monitoring Sites, PacifiCorp, Deer Creek, C/015/0018, Task ID #4332 stipulates this well should not be released until 6,900 ft is reached. This graph will be updated when mining begins in the Cottonwood Track.




Figure 3. Alluvial aquifer water levels in the Cottonwood Canyon Creek monitoring wells. The drill hole data is found in Trail Mountain MRP Appendix C p. 23. This graph will be updated when mining begins in the Cottonwood Track. At this time the wells are primarily responding to climatic variability.