



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Norman H. Bangerter
Governor
Dee C. Hansen
Executive Director
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D.
Division Director

355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
801-538-5340

September 12, 1989

Mr. Christopher M. Jones
Project Engineer
Consolidation Coal Company
P.O. Box 527
Emery, Utah 84522

Dear Mr. Jones:

Re: Final Approval, Amendment, TDN 89-02-107-3(1), Pump Borehole
Pond Certification, Consolidation Coal Company, Emery Deep Mine,
ACT/015/015-88D, Folder #3, Emery County, Utah

The submittal received August 18, 1989 regarding the above noted permitting action was reviewed and found to be complete and adequate by Mike DeWeese and Daron Haddock of the Division's technical staff (see attached technical review memo).

The Division hereby approves the above referenced action. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

If you have any questions, please call Lynn Kunzler or me.

Sincerely,

Susan C. Linner
Susan C. Linner
Reclamation Biologist/
Permit Supervisor

cl
Attachment
cc: J. Helfrich
L. Kunzler
BT45/310



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Norman H. Bangertter
Governor

Dee C. Hansen
Executive Director

Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D.
Division Director

355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
801-538-5340

September 11, 1989

TO: Sue Linner, Permit Supervisor/Reclamation Biologist
FROM: Daron Haddock, Reclamation Specialist *DARZ*
RE: As-built Certification for pond 6, Emery Deep Mine,
Consolidation Coal Company, ACT/015/015, Folder #2, Emery
County, Utah

Summary:

The August 15, 1989 certification submittal for pond 006 has been reviewed. The submittal is essentially complete and considered adequate for approval.

Analysis:

The submittal included written text to update the appropriate pages of the mine plan as well as an as-built drawing of pond 006. The drawing included a cross-section of the pond and details of the discharge structure.

The disturbance boundary at the borehole as shown on the map differs slightly from the field conditions. Disturbance perimeter markers in the field encompass an area slightly larger than what is shown. The discrepancy is negligible and should not deter approval of the submittal.

Recommendation:

The Pond 006 as-built submittal appears to be adequate for approval. The disturbance boundary around the borehole should be changed slightly to reflect the actual field conditions.