

INSPECTION REPORT
(Continuation sheet)

Page 2 of 3

PERMIT NUMBER: ACT/015/015 DATE OF INSPECTION: August 26, 1993

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

1. Permit -

- a) Insurance Policy is SYL 945 444 and expires January 1, 1993. This exceeds the R645 requirements for aggregate and each occurrence with \$5,000,000 and \$3,000,00 respectively.
- b) Quarterly pond inspection was taken on and the operator reported no hazardous conditions exist.
- c) Refuse pile was inspected June 21, 1993 for second quarter and was P. E. certified. The operator reported no hazardous conditions exist at the time of the inspection.
- d) The SPCC plan was reviewed on June 21, 1993 by the operator with no problems reported.
- e) Surface water report for second quarter was inspected and site #4 was compared with the required parameter in the MRP. All requirements were taken as required in the MRP.

Ground water monitoring was reviewed and ground well #RDA-1 was compared with the required parameters in the MRP. All requirements were met by the perimeters.

- f) Presently, there are no violations pending or division orders for Emery Deep.

4a. Diversions -

The diversions throughout the property were in good condition with the exception of a couple of ditches that need maintenance performed because of the last storm events.

INSPECTION REPORT
(Continuation sheet)

Page 3 of 3

PERMIT NUMBER: ACT/O15/O15 DATE OF INSPECTION: August 26, 1993

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

4b. Sediment Ponds and Impoundments -

The sediment ponds were inspected with performance standards. There were no signs of erosion of inlets, outlets and embankments. Ponds were stable and no apparent danger existed.

4c. Other Sediment Control Measures -

Several alternative sediment control areas (ASCA) are identified under the old nomenclatures as small area exemptions. The R645 regulation identified those areas as ASCA's and the permittee should change the MRP to reflect the regulation. Under the R645 regulations small area exemption reflects no sediment control measures are used. The areas in question presently are using berms as the sediment control measure.

Designs are required for ASCA's and should be included within the submittal. Presently the plan has designs, more detail will be required; such as, the length, the height and the width of the berms.

Note: This inspection report does not constitute an affidavit of compliance with the regulatory program of the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

Copy of this Report:

Mailed to: Consolidated Coal Company

Mailed to: Bernie Freeman (OSM)

Given to: Joe Helfrich (DOGM)

Filed to: Price Field Office

Date: September 1, 1993

Inspector's Signature and Number: Stephen J. Demczak

Stephen J. Demczak

#39

RECEIVED

SEP 02 1993

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS & MINING