

**EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT**

Company/Mine: Consolidation Coal Co/Emery Deep Mine  
Permit #: C/015/015

NOV # N06-39-2-1  
Violation # 1 of 1

**A. SERIOUSNESS**

1. What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM reference list of event below and remember that **the event is NOT the same as the violation**. Mark and explain each event.

- |                                     |    |  |
|-------------------------------------|----|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | a. | Activity outside the approved permit area.                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | b. | Injury to the public (public safety).                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | c. | Damage to property.  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | d. | Conducting activities without appropriate approvals.           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | e. | Environmental harm.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | f. | Water pollution.   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | g. | Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential.                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | h. | Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover. |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | i. | No event occurred as a result of the violation.                |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | j. | Other.   |

Explanation: The permittee was in the process of constructing a coal sampling building and two small beltlines without Division approval at the 4<sup>th</sup> East Portals. This was within the disturbed area.

2. Has the even occurred? Yes

If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).

Explanation: The concrete footers, floor, and building frame are constructed. The permittee was adding insulation to the outside of the building. The beltline appeared to be completely installed.

3. Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? No

If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not been discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

**B. DEGREE OF FAULT** (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss).

- Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

- Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care.

Explanation: This construction was conducted of in spite of knowing (willful and knowingly) DOGM coal rules. The permittee stated an amendment was going to be submitted in the future.

- If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

- Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition?

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

- Has DOGM or OSM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

**C. GOOD FAITH**

1. In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.

Explanation: It is possible for good faith points if the permittee submits a complete amendment for approval.

Event Violation Inspector's Statement

NOV/CO # N06-39-2-1  
Violation # 1 of 1

2. Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve compliance.

Explanation: Yes, submitting an amendment.

3. Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? Yes If yes, explain.

Explanation: The permittee can continue with the construction of the building since it is already within the disturbed area. The permittee must submit an amendment for Division approval.

Stephen J. Demczak  
Authorized Representative

  
Signature

February 16, 2006  
Date