



0018

United States Department of the Interior
OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING
Reclamation and Enforcement
BROOKS TOWERS
1020 15TH STREET
DENVER, COLORADO 80202

AUG 24 1983

File OSM
Correspondence
E UP&L
ACT/015/017
ACT/015/018
ACT/015/019
Folder No. 2

RECEIVED
AUG 30 1983

Mr. James Smith
Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining
4241 State Office Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84114

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS & MINING

JIM
SEP 02 1983
Copy to Cy
Mary & Tom
Wayne

Dear Mr. Smith:

We are telling Utah coal mine operators that we wish all changes and additions to mining and reclamation plans to be submitted in the form of insertions to the plans on file. This will greatly facilitate both the mining plan updating process and the mining plan review process.

I have prepared a letter to Mr. Chris Shingleton of Utah Power & Light Company, informing him of this. The letter is enclosed, and after your review, I would appreciate your forwarding it to Mr. Shingleton.

Sincerely,

Allen D. Klein
Administrator
Western Technical Center

Enclosure

AUG 24 1983

Mr. C. E. Shingleton, Director of Services
Mining and Exploration
Utah Power & Light Company
1407 W. North Temple Street
P.O. Box 899
Salt Lake City, UT 84110

Dear Mr. Shingleton:

This letter concerns Utah Power & Light Company's June 1, 1983 response to the Wilberg mine and the Deer Creek mine Apparent Completeness Reviews, as well as your July 13, 1983 responses to the Dea-Dee-Dove mine Apparent Completeness Review.

The organization of your responses, as they stand now, is such that review of these responses in the context of the overall permit application is awkward and unduly time consuming. Inasmuch as answers to the Division's deficiency letters are gathered together in two separate volumes, distinct and separate from each of the three applications, cross-referencing back and forth between the new information and the original information is a complex process. Not only does this organization cause delay in the review process, there is also potential for missing new items of information and having them raised again as deficiencies.

I understand that at a June 29, 1983 meeting between yourself, Ralph Jerman and members of the OSM staff, you were advised to incorporate all changes and additions to the three UP & L mine applications in the form of insertions to the mining and reclamation plan. Such insertions should be in the form of pages, maps, etc., which are numbered and dated for easy incorporation into the application. We should also have a list of pages to remove, where new information renders portions of the original submission outdated. Review of the three applications will be greatly facilitated by this means.

For the above reasons, we must ask that you reorganize and resubmit your deficiency responses as insertions to the applications. We will continue to use the three sets of responses as they stand, but we will look for your resubmission of these deficiency response documents within 45 days of receipt of this letter.

Sincerely,

Allen D. Klein
Administrator
Western Technical Center

cc: Jim Smith, DOGM

ALL