



State of Utah

Department of Natural Resources

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

Table with 2 columns: Category and Name/Title. Row 1: Company, Dennis Oakley Environmental Engineer. Row 2: Other, Dale Harber Forest Geologist. Row 3: Other, Katherine Foster Hydrologist. Row 4: Federal, Tom Lloyd Ferron-Price District Geologist. Row 5: OGM, Pete Hess Environmental Scientist III.

Inspection Report

Table with 2 columns: Field and Value. Fields: Permit Number (C0150017), Inspection Type (COMPLETE), Inspection Date (Thursday, April 13, 2006), Start Date/Time (4/13/2006 9:00:00 AM), End Date/Time (4/13/2006 1:00:00 PM), Last Inspection (Monday, March 06, 2006).

Inspector: Pete Hess, Environmental Scientist III

Weather: Sunny, warm: 50's-60's F.

InspectionID Report Number: 925

Accepted by: whedberg
5/3/2006

Permittee: PACIFICORP
Operator: ENERGY WEST MINING CO
Site: DES BEE DOVE MINE
Address: PO BOX 310, HUNTINGTON UT 84528
County: EMERY
Permit Type: PERMANENT COAL PROGRAM
Permit Status: RECLAIMED

Current Acreages

Table with 2 columns: Value and Description. Row 1: 154.86, Total Permitted. Row 2: 23.88, Total Disturbed. Row 3: Phase I. Row 4: Phase II. Row 5: Phase III.

Mineral Ownership

- Checked: Federal, Fee
Unchecked: State, County, Other

Types of Operations

- Checked: Underground
Unchecked: Surface, Loadout, Processing, Reprocessing

Report summary and status for pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments:

The Des-Bee-Dove Mine disturbed areas have been reclaimed, with final reclamation activities being completed at the end of January, 2006. The Permittee has submitted a Phase 1 bond release application for the Mine site area. The Division has reviewed same, generating several deficiencies which are currently being addressed.

The Division intends to conduct a pre-bond release inspection sometime during May.

The first part of today's inspection involved a meeting and inspection of the area which is being proposed for a gas well by XTO. Representatives of the USFS, Talon Resources, XTO, the Emery County Cattlemen's Association and the DOGM were present. The proposed well (17-7-25-14) is approximately 325 feet NW of the end of the pavement at the Des-Bee-Dove turn around area.

Inspector's Signature: _____ Date: Thursday, April 13, 2006

Pete Hess, Environmental Scientist III
Inspector ID Number: 46

Note: This inspection report does not constitute an affidavit of compliance with the regulatory program of the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
 - a. For COMPLETE inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check Not Applicable.
 - b. For PARTIAL inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by reference the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Divison Orders, and amendments.

	Evaluated	Not Applicable	Comment	Enforcement
1. Permits, Change, Transfer, Renewal, Sale	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Signs and Markers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Topsoil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.a Hydrologic Balance: Diversions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.b Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.c Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.d Hydrologic Balance: Water Monitoring	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.e Hydrologic Balance: Effluent Limitations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Explosives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Disposal of Excess Spoil, Fills, Benches	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Coal Mine Waste, Refuse Piles, Impoundments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Noncoal Waste	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Slides and Other Damage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Contemporaneous Reclamation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Backfilling And Grading	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Revegetation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Subsidence Control	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Cessation of Operations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.a Roads: Construction, Maintenance, Surfacing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.b Roads: Drainage Controls	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17. Other Transportation Facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18. Support Facilities, Utility Installations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. AVS Check	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20. Air Quality Permit	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21. Bonding and Insurance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22. Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3. Topsoil

Topsoil recovery and storage was extensively discussed by today's group looking at the area which will be impacted by the development of XTO well #17-7-25-14. EW representatives indicated that the in-place soil depths were in the range of twelve to fifteen inches. At present, the access to the proposed well is heavily gouged, and seeded. Some growth is evident. Many of the planted evergreen seedlings appear to have expired. The FS stated that future top soil storage should implement furrowed storage techniques having pile depths not exceeding 3-4 feet. This is based upon recommendations documented in "The Practical Guide to Reclamation in Utah". It is Mr. Allen Childs' (Talon Resources) intent to permit the topsoil storage area with these recommendations.

4.a Hydrologic Balance: Diversions

Ms. Katherine Foster, Hydrologist with the USFS, recommended that the proposed well location be moved further away from the small drainage located adjacent to the proposed well location. Talon Resources agreed to do this.

4.b Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments

The inspection of the reclaimed sediment pond area did not reveal any material slumps.