

0016

### Document Information Form

Mine Number: C/015/018

File Name: Internal

To: DOGM

From:

Person N/A

Company N/A

Date Sent: N/A

Explanation:

MRP Revision / Nov Tracking Form

cc:

File in: C/015, 018, Internal

Refer to:

- Confidential
- Shelf
- Expandable

Date \_\_\_\_\_ For additional information

MRP REVISION/NOV TRACKING FORM  
(Revised: 3/28/85)

Type of Proposal:  COAL  NONCOAL

Exploration  
 NOV/CO Abatement, NOV # \_\_\_\_\_, Abatement Deadline \_\_\_\_\_  
 MRP Revision  
 MRP Amendment

Requesting Issuing Inspector Sandy P.

Title of Proposal: Waste Rock Disposal Site Inspection & Reporting Requirements

Company name: Wah Power & Light Project/ Mine Name: Deer Cr & Wilberg Mines

File # (PRO/ACT): 015/018, 015/019 Disturbed (Fed/State/Fee): 1/1  
(CEP/EXP) Acres

Assigned Reviewers: \_\_\_\_\_ Review Time (hrs): \_\_\_\_\_ OTHER AGENCIES: (# of copies & date)

(Hydrology) \_\_\_\_\_ OSM \_\_\_\_\_  
(Wildlife/Veg.) \_\_\_\_\_ USFS \_\_\_\_\_  
X (Engineering) Pam G-L \_\_\_\_\_ BLM \_\_\_\_\_  
(Soils) \_\_\_\_\_ Health \_\_\_\_\_  
(Geology) \_\_\_\_\_ History \_\_\_\_\_  
H2O Rts \_\_\_\_\_  
Wildlife \_\_\_\_\_

DATES: \_\_\_\_\_ USFWS \_\_\_\_\_

- (a) Initial Plan Received 7/26/85 (d) Optr. Resubmission \_\_\_\_\_  
Tech Review Due 8/26/85 (if possible) Tech Review Due \_\_\_\_\_  
Tech Review Complete 9/5/85 Tech Review Complete \_\_\_\_\_  
DOG M Response Sent 9/11/85 DOG M Response Sent \_\_\_\_\_
- (b) Operator Resubmission \_\_\_\_\_ (e) Bond Revised \_\_\_\_\_  
Tech Review Due \_\_\_\_\_ Amount (\$) \_\_\_\_\_  
Tech Review Complete \_\_\_\_\_  
DOG M Response Sent \_\_\_\_\_
- (c) Operator Resubmission \_\_\_\_\_ (f) Cond'l. Approval \_\_\_\_\_  
Tech Review Due \_\_\_\_\_ Stipulations Due \_\_\_\_\_  
Tech Review Complete \_\_\_\_\_ Stips Received \_\_\_\_\_  
DOG M Response Sent \_\_\_\_\_ Final Approval \_\_\_\_\_
- (g) MR-9 Received \_\_\_\_\_  
MR-9 Acknowledged \_\_\_\_\_

*Denial of request*

COMMENTS: UVC 817.71 (i) variance request  
10/3/83 Wilberg Waste Rock Disposal

File in:  
 Confidential  
 Shelf  
 Expandable  
Refer to Record No 0016 Date \_\_\_\_\_  
In C/ 015, 018, Internal  
For additional information \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE (INSPECTORS): Please attach a copy of plan when received from

NOTE (REVIEWERS): Please prepare review com appropriate regulation or statute. state the deficiency as well as the minimum requirement necessary to demonstrate compliance. Fill in the # of hours spent in review by discipline. Return completed form to the Special Permits Supervisor when complete.

MRP REVISION/NOV TRACKING FORM  
(Revised: 3/28/85)

Type of Proposal:  COAL  NONCOAL

Exploration \_\_\_\_\_  
NOV/CO Abatement, NOV # \_\_\_\_\_, Abatement Deadline \_\_\_\_\_  
MRP Revision \_\_\_\_\_  
 MRP Amendment \_\_\_\_\_

Requesting Issuing Inspector Sandy P.

Title of Proposal: Waste Rock Disposal Site Inspection & Reporting Requirements

Company name: Utah Power & Light Project/ Mine Name: Deer Cr & Wilberg Mines

File # (PRO/ACT): 015/018, 015/019 Disturbed (Fed/State/Fee):  / /  
(CEP/EXP) Acres

Assigned Reviewers: \_\_\_\_\_ Review Time (hrs): \_\_\_\_\_ OTHER AGENCIES: (# of copies & date) \_\_\_\_\_

(Hydrology) _____	OSM _____
(Wildlife/Veg.) _____	USFS _____
X (Engineering) <u>Pam G-L</u>	BLM _____
(Soils) _____	Health _____
(Geology) _____	History _____
	H2O Rts _____
	Wildlife _____

DATES:

DWH 8/12/85 from Sandy P. USFWS \_\_\_\_\_

- |  |                              |
|--|------------------------------|
| (a) Initial Plan Received <u>7/26/85</u>     | (d) Optr. Resubmission _____ |
| Tech Review Due <u>8/26/85 (if possible)</u> | Tech Review Due _____        |
| Tech Review Complete <u>9/5/85</u>           | Tech Review Complete _____   |
| DOG M Response Sent <u>9/11/85</u>           | DOG M Response Sent _____    |
| (b) Operator Resubmission _____              | (e) Bond Revised _____       |
| Tech Review Due _____                        | Amount (\$) _____            |
| Tech Review Complete _____                   | (f) Cond'l. Approval _____   |
| DOG M Response Sent _____                    | Stipulations Due _____       |
| (c) Operator Resubmission _____              | Stips Received _____         |
| Tech Review Due _____                        | Final Approval _____         |
| Tech Review Complete _____                   | (g) MR-9 Received _____      |
| DOG M Response Sent _____                    | MR-9 Acknowledged _____      |

*Denial of request*

COMMENTS: UIC 817.71 (1) variance request & modification of page 12 of 10/3/83 Wilberg Waste Rock Disposal Plans

NOTE (INSPECTORS): Please attach a copy of the NOV issued to the abatement plan when received from the operator.

NOTE (REVIEWERS): Please prepare review comments in a format referencing the appropriate regulation or statute. State the deficiency as well as the minimum requirement necessary to demonstrate compliance. Fill in the # of hours spent in review by discipline. Return completed form to the Special Permits Supervisor when complete.



A SAVAGE BROTHERS COMPANY

July 24, 1985

RECEIVED

JUL 26 1985

DIVISION OF OIL  
GAS & MINING

Ms. Sandy Pruitt  
Division of Oil, Gas and Mining  
355 West North Temple  
3 Triad Center, Suite 350  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203

Dear Sandy:

Please find transmitted herewith the engineering reports for the 1st and 2nd Quarters of 1985 for the waste rock disposal sites at Deer Creek and Wilberg Mines.

As discussed, we request a variance on the paper work submittal portion of the regulation and as stated in our M.R.P. We are currently required to submit written notification to the Division two weeks following any work on the site. We propose conducting the inspections as currently done but that the written report submitted to the Division be required only Quarterly. The quarterly report will be a summation of the Quarterly activities.

Your attention to this request will be appreciated.

Sincerely,

Larry J. Guymon  
Construction Manager

LJG/rms

cc: Bill Zeller  
Morgan Moon  
Chris Shingleton, UP&L

DEER CREEK MINE

Waste Rock Disposal Site  
Engineering Report

1st Quarter 1985  
2nd Quarter 1985


Introduction: This report is prepared and submitted as required by UMC 817.71(i) and as committed to in the M.R.P.

The disposal area is an extension of the existing material storage, parking lot fill structure. Material from the trommel screen, sediment and underground development waste are deposited at the site. As the material accumulation dictates, compacted lifts of two feet maximum depth are made on the active fill surface.

Operation: Because of the cold weather during the 1st Quarter, fill operations were only done during March.

During the second Quarter, work was done at the site three times.

Certification: I do hereby certify that the work on the waste rock disposal site is being done according to sound engineering practice, the regulations governing its' construction and the M.R.P.

 7-24-85

Larry J. Guymon  
P. E. 5214

LJG/rms

WILBERG PERMIT

Waste Rock Disposal Site  
Engineering Report

1st Quarter 1985  
2nd Quarter 1985

Introduction: This report is prepared and submitted as required by UMC 817.71(i) and as committed to in the M.R.P.

The disposal area is located on an approved 16 acre right-of-way from the B.L.M. located approximately two miles from the Wilberg Mine. Material from the Wilberg Mine and the Des-Bee-Dove Mine is transported to the site for disposal. As the volume of material dictates, compacted lifts of four feet thick are built.

Operation: Due to the Wilberg fire and the subsequent recovery effort, the volume of material disposed of at the site has increased dramatically. Approximately once a week material has been placed at the site during both Quarters. Material is being placed in Cell #3.

Certification: I do hereby certify that the Wilberg waste rock site is being constructed according to sound engineering practice, the regulations governing its' construction and the approved M.R.P.

 7-24-85

Larry J. Guymon  
P.E. 5214

LJG/rms