



0021

STATE OF UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining

Norman H. Bangerter, Governor
Dee C. Hansen, Executive Director
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D., Division Director

355 W. North Temple • 3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • 801-538-5340

July 8, 1986

Mr. C. E. Shingleton
Director of Permitting,
Compliance & Services
P.O. Box 899
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110

FILE COPY

Dear Mr. Shingleton:

Re: MRP Amendment, 100,000 Gallon Water Storage Tank and Supply Line, Utah Power and Light Company, Deer Creek Mine, ACT/015/018-86B, Folder #3, Emery County, Utah

The Application for MRP Permit Amendment submitted by Utah Power and Light Company on May 21, 1986 has been reviewed by the Division. While the proposed plans are generally acceptable, several concerns must still be resolved.

The attached technical memorandum identifies the specific deficiencies which must be addressed before the permitting process can continue.

Thank you for your patience and cooperation in this permitting matter. If you should have any questions or concerns, please contact me or Pam Grubaugh-Littig.

Sincerely,

D. Wayne Hedberg
Permit Supervisor/
Reclamation Hydrologist

DMW:jvb
cc: Allen Klein
Lowell Braxton
Pam Grubaugh-Littig
0851R-17

Tom Munson
Kathy Mutz
John Whitehead

June 16, 1986

TO: File

FROM: Pamela Grubaugh-Littig, Reclamation Engineer

RE: Deer Creek Water Supply Line, MRP Amendment, Deer
Creek Mine, Utah Power & Light Co., ACT/015/018
#2, Emery County, Utah

The proposed MRP amendment dated May 20, 1986 has two deficiencies. The narrative states on page 11, UMC 784.23 that the design has been certified. The maps submitted were not stamped or certified. The reclamation cost estimate was not included in the submittal and should have been. Therefore, certification and a detailed cost estimate must be submitted to the Division.

djh
9075R-39



UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES

To: Wayne H.

From: John W

Date: 6-17-86

- For your information and file.
- For necessary action.
- Reply directly to origin with a copy to this office.
- Please draft a reply for signature of _____
_____ and
return by the following date _____.

Other review comments
attached, Kathy M.
says it is OK by her.

JW
gju

MRP REVISION/NOV TRACKING FORM
(Revised: 9/25/85)

Type of Proposal: X COAL _____ NONCOAL

_____ Exploration
 _____ NOV/CO Abatement, NOV # _____, Abatement Deadline _____
 _____ MRP Revision
X MRP Amendment
 _____ MR-1 Application (non-coal) Issuing Inspector _____

Title of Proposal: New 100,000 gal. H₂O Storage Tank & 8" water supply line

Company name: UP+L Project/
 Mine Name: Deer Creek Mine

File # (PRO/ACT): 015/018 # New Acres (Fed/State/Fee): 1/1/1
 (CEP/EXP/INA) OKB Disturbed

Assigned Reviewers:	Review Time (hrs):	OTHER AGENCIES: (# of copies & date)
(Hydrology) <u>Munson/Fricke</u>	<u>June 2 2hrs</u>	OSM (1)
(Wildlife/Veg.) <u>K. Mutz</u>		USFS _____
(Engineering) <u>PEL</u>	<u>6/2 1 1/2 hrs.</u>	BLM _____
(Soils) <u>Duce (?)</u>		Health <u>(3)</u>
(Geology) _____		History _____
		H2O Rts _____
		Wildlife _____
		USFWS _____

3 copies received

DATES: (a) Initial Plan Received May 21/86
 Tech Review Due June 13/86
 Tech Review Complete 6-17-86
 DOGM Response Sent _____

- | | |
|--|---|
| (b) Operator Resubmission _____
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____ | (e) Optr. Resubmission _____
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____ |
| (c) Operator Resubmission _____
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____ | (f) Optr. Resubmission _____
Tech Review Due _____
DOGM Response Sent _____ |
| (d) Operator Resubmission _____
Tech Review Due _____
Tech Review Complete _____
DOGM Response Sent _____ | (g) Cond'l. Approval _____
Stipulations Due _____
Stips Received _____
Final Approval _____ |
| | (h) MR-9 Received _____
MR-9 Acknowledged _____ |

COMMENTS: _____

NOTE (INSPECTORS): Please attach a copy of the NOV issued to the abatement plan when received from the operator.

NOTE (REVIEWERS): Please prepare review comments in a format referencing the appropriate regulation or statute.

Memo to File

6/2/86

From: OG-L

Re: Deer Creek Water Supply Line Amendment, ^{MRP} ^{Deer Creek Mine} ^{OG-L}
~~ACT~~ 1015/018 # 2, ~~Deer Creek~~ Emery County, Utah

The ^{proposed} MRP amendment dated May 20, 1986 had two deficiencies. The narrative states on pg. 11, UMC 784.23 that the design had been certified. The maps submitted ~~did not~~ ^{stamped on} were not certified. The reclamation cost estimate was not included in the submitted and should have been. Therefore, certification and a detailed cost estimate must be submitted to the Division.

I sent to typist, 6-14-86

JW

June 2, 1986

TO: File

FROM: Tom Munson *TM*

RE: New 100,000 Gallon Water Storage Tank and 8-Inch
Water Supply Line, Deer Creek Mine, Utah Power &
Light, ACT/015/018-86B, Emery County.

The 8-inch water supply will be put in existing disturbed areas along the coal conveyor. Sediment control along this road paralleling the coal conveyor consists of silt fences and a small catch basin at the transfer point. The applicant must maintain these controls during construction and following construction. Other than the issue regarding the temporary displacement of sediment controls, I have no formal comments on this plan.

TM/djh
Copy: John Whitehead
9486R-15

km says OK w/ her