



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Norman H. Bangertter
Governor
Dee C. Hansen
Executive Director
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D.
Division Director

355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
801-538-5340

May 11, 1992

Mr. Val Payne, Sr. Environmental Engineer
PacifiCorp Electric Operations
P.O. Box 1005
Huntington, Utah 84528

Dear Mr. Payne:

Re: Second Storage Dock Amendment Deficiencies, Deer Creek Mine, PacifiCorp Electric Operations, ACT/015/018-92B, Folder #2, Emery County, Utah

Enclosed please find a memo that outlines two deficiencies in the storage dock amendment submittal. Please respond to these deficiencies in order to have a complete and adequate amendment.

If you have any questions, please call me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Pamela Grubaugh-Littig".

Pamela Grubaugh-Littig
Permit Supervisor

pgl
Enclosure
cc: Jesse Kelley



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Norman H. Bangerter
Governor
Dee C. Hansen
Executive Director
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D.
Division Director

355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
801-538-5340

May 11, 1992

TO: Pamela Grubaugh-Littig, Permit Supervisor

FROM: Jesse Kelley, Reclamation Engineer *JK*

RE: Amendment of PAP to Allow Construction of Second Storage Dock;
PacifiCorp Electric Operations, Deer Creek Mine, ACT/015/018-92B,
Folder #2, Emery County, Utah

Synopsis

The permittee wishes to construct a second concrete storage dock in the mine material yard (the first, which was constructed in the same area, constituted Amendment 91D). To this end, the permittee submitted, on April 29, 1992, a plan for the proposed storage dock.

Analysis

The submittal consists of a letter which describes the proposed storage dock and a portion of the Surface Yard Map (Drawing DS-202E, Packet 3-9) which shows its location. The storage dock is to be built of approximately 150 cubic yards of reinforced concrete. Its expected reclamation cost is approximately \$2500.

The submittal is not adequate for two reasons. First, there is no plan for the storage dock, as required by R645-301-526.112. Second, the reclamation cost has not been included in the reclamation cost estimate, as required by R645-301-542.800. The plan must show the dimensions and general configuration of the storage dock. And although the projected reclamation cost is small, it must nevertheless be included in the overall cost estimate. As the actual bond amount is much larger than the estimated reclamation cost, this will not require a change in the bond.

Page 2
Amendment
ACT/015/018-92B
May 11, 1992

Recommendations

It is recommended that the April 29, 1992 submittal be rejected and that the permittee be required to submit a complete plan which contains a drawing and text of a revised reclamation cost estimate, as explained in the analysis.

jbe
DCDIVORD.FIN