0008

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining

3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • (801) 538-5340

inspection report

Permit No. ACT/015/019

Inspection Date August 28, 29, 30, 1991

Permittee/Operator Name Pacific Corp. Electric Operation

Business Address Box 310

City Huntington State Ut Zip 84528

Mine Cottonwood/Wilberg. ☐ Surface ☒ Underground ☐ Other

County Emery State Ut

Company Official(s) Guy Davis, Chuck Semborski

State Official(s) Bill Malencik, (OSM- Gary Frutz)

Time of inspection See Page 2 ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. to ___:___ ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. ☐ Partial ☒ Complete

Date of last inspection July 2, 1991 Weather conditions Pt. Cloudy, Cool

Acreage 11532 ☐ Permitted 102 ☐ Disturbed ☐ Regraded ☐ Seeded 102 ☐ Bonded

Enforcement Action None / Division Order Issued Prior To Inspection On The Sediment Pond
✓ Maintenance Items.

COMPLIANCE WITH PERMITS AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

	YES	NO	N/A	COMMENTS
1. Permits	☒	☐	☐	☐
2. Signs and markers	☒	☐	☐	☐
3. Topsoil	☒	☐	☐	☐
4. Hydrologic balance				
— Stream channel diversions	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Diversions	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Sediment ponds and impoundments	☒	☒	☐	☒
— Other sediment control measures	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Surface and groundwater monitoring	☒	☐	☐	☒
— Effluent limitations	☒	☐	☐	☒
5. Explosives	☒	☐	☐	☐
6. Development waste and spoil disposal	☒	☐	☐	☒
7. Coal processing waste	☒	☐	☐	☒
8. Noncoal waste	☒	☐	☐	☐
9. Protection of fish, wildlife, and related environmental values	☒	☐	☐	☐
10. Slides and other damage	☒	☐	☐	☐
11. Contemporaneous reclamation	☒	☐	☐	☐
12. Backfilling and grading	☒	☐	☐	☐
13. Revegetation	☒	☐	☐	☐
14. Subsidence control	☒	☐	☐	☐
15. Cessation of operations	☒	☐	☐	☐
16. Roads				
— Construction	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Drainage controls	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Surfacing	☒	☐	☐	☐
— Maintenance	☒	☐	☐	☐
17. Other transportation facilities	☒	☐	☐	☐
18. Support facilities and utility installations	☒	☐	☐	☒

WHITE—DOGM YELLOW—OSM PINK—PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD—NOV FILE

INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. ACT/015/019

Inspection Date 8/28, 29, 30/91

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

General Comments. This complete inspection covered parts of three days as follows 8/28/ - 11a.m - 4pm; 8/29 - 7:30 - 5p.m; 8/30 - 7:30 - 1p.m.

The areas covered included East Mtn, Cottonwood Area (Cottonwood Fan Portal & New Breakout), Mine Site including the Deer Creek Portals, Head Frame, and Waste Rock Sites.

Areas Of Concern. After a lengthy and detailed inspection three areas of concern were identified to the permittee. These included:

(1) Temporary storage of mine equipment, small items - fire extinguishers, rollers. The items were stored in a single layer, covered, and lie within the Deer Creek middle portal disturbed/ASCA (20'x10'x2').

The permittee, since the mine was idle, could not remove the stored items. He agreed to remove all items promptly, by placing them back into the portals.

The storage discussed herein was of a temporary nature and associated with the closing of the

Copy of report mailed to

Copy of report given to

Inspector's signature No.

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. ACT/015/019

Inspection Date 8/28-29-30/91

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

Deer Creek portals. This work is scheduled for the week of 9/16/91. No vehicle access currently exists. The fan blocks access or use of the reclaimed road. The permittee plans to use a helicopter to remove equipment and service the portal closure. The permittee promptly notified when the equipment was placed in the portals.

No enforcement action was taken since the permittee removed the items of issue. The matter of storing equipment on a temporary basis should have Division approval.

2. Sediment Pond. The sediment pond had been the subject of a Division Order associated with a records review prior to an inspection. A Division Order was issued requesting the permittee to bring the pond into compliance and/or justify that they are in compliance. The OSM representative stated he would discuss the pond issue, all covered in the Division Order, with his supervisor to determine what action, if any, they would take.

3. Waste Rock Site. The new waste rock site was reviewed. The pile had recently been worked and equipment

Copy of report mailed to _____

Copy of report given to _____

Inspector's signature _____ No. _____

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. ACT/015/019

Inspection Date 8/28-29-30/91

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

was still on site. The issues identified included the following:

(1) location of the Refuse Sediment Pond. The concern is that the pond water would come in direct contact with the topsoil refuse pile embankment. This may cause stability & water quality problems.

(2) Storage Area for Sediment (Pond Cleanout). An area adjacent to the pond and contiguous to the refuse pile had been prepared to receive sediment from the mine pond cleanout. Since the storage area is at the toe of the refuse pile it may tend to impound water. This storage area is of a temporary nature until the sediment dries, is placed on the refuse pile & is then spread out.

(3) Refuse Pile Outslope Drainage. Questioned at the toe of each lift if a diversion or water way should be installed to have positive drainage. Only two few foot lifts have been constructed. No uncontrolled runoff, erosion or water being impounded on the lift separation was observed or signs of same.

Copy of report mailed to _____

Copy of report given to _____

Inspector's signature _____ No. _____

WHITE – DOGM YELLOW – OSM PINK – PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD – NOV FILE

UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining

INSPECTION REPORT COMMENTS

Permit No. ACT/015/019

Inspection Date 8/28-29-30/91

Please number comments to correspond with topics on previous page.

(4) Low or Depression Areas On Top of The Refuse Pile. It appears that some small depressions may impound water on top of the refuse pile. No impounded water was observed. The permittees representative advised that the grooming of the refuse pile is still underway.

(5) Diversions. A diversion above and around the refuse pile and another diversion to control runoff from the refuse pile was touched on as being required by regulation. Questioned if all such diversions have been completely installed.

Records. The required records were reviewed and no problems noted. The permittees records were in good shape.

✓ Sediment Ponds The inlet to the upper sediment pond at the Cottonwood Canyon Portal needs to have additional protection installed. Also, added protection is needed at the Mines South pond inlet, lower segement.

✓ Support Facilities Twenty-two barrels were observed at the lower storage yard. Most were empty. Suggested the full barrels be stored in a protective area with a containment berm. Also located in the storage area where the risk of being ruptured by equipment would be minimized

Copy of report mailed to RCEO/Guy Davis; DOGM/Joe Helfrich; OSM/Brian Smith

Copy of report given to file PFO

Inspector's signature [signature] No. 26

WHITE — DOGM YELLOW — OSM PINK — PERMITTEE/OPERATOR GOLDENROD — NOV FILE

9/11/91

DOGM/IR-2

an equal opportunity employer

11/86 001049