

0002



State of Utah
Department of
Natural Resources

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of
Oil, Gas & Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

Inspection Report

Permit Number:	C0150019
Inspection Type:	COMPLETE
Inspection Date:	Tuesday, March 13, 2007
Start Date/Time:	3/13/2007 8:50:00 AM
End Date/Time:	3/13/2007 12:15:00 PM
Last Inspection:	Thursday, December 14, 2006

Inspector: Pete Hess, Environmental Scientist III

Weather: Sunny; clear and warm.

InspectionID Report Number: 1239

Accepted by: whedberg

4/4/2007

Representatives Present During the Inspection:

Company	Guy Davis	Environmental Scientist
OGM	Pete Hess	Environmental Scientist III

Permitee: **PACIFICORP**
Operator: **ENERGY WEST MINING CO**
Site: **COTTONWOOD/ WILBERG**
Address: **PO BOX 310, HUNTINGTON UT 84528**
County: **EMERY**
Permit Type: **PERMANENT COAL PROGRAM**
Permit Status: **ACTIVE**

Current Acreages

6,886.88	Total Permitted
62.82	Total Disturbed
	Phase I
	Phase II
	Phase III

Mineral Ownership

- Federal
- State
- County
- Fee
- Other

Types of Operations

- Underground
- Surface
- Loadout
- Processing
- Reprocessing

Report summary and status for pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments:

The Permittee is currently inserting surface and ground water monitoring data in to the Division electronic web site "pipeline" for the fourth quarter of 2006. Third quarter 2006 water monitoring information was submitted in a timely fashion. Thus, the Permittee continues to meet the requirement of this Special Permit Condition for the Cottonwood/Wilberg Mine permit area.

The Division initiated a mid-term review of the Cottonwood / Wilberg Mine permit on March 6, 2007.

There are no pending compliance actions for this permit area.

This site remains in temporary cessation status.

Inspector's Signature: _____

Date Wednesday, March 14, 2007

Pete Hess, Environmental Scientist III

Inspector ID Number: 46

Note: This inspection report does not constitute an affidavit of compliance with the regulatory program of the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210, PO Box 145801, Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5801
telephone (801) 538-5340 • facsimile (801) 359-3940 • TTY (801) 538-7458 • www.ogm.utah.gov

REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
 - a. For COMPLETE inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check Not Applicable.
 - b. For PARTIAL inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by reference the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Divison Orders, and amendments.

	Evaluated	Not Applicable	Comment	Enforcement
1. Permits, Change, Transfer, Renewal, Sale	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Signs and Markers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Topsoil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.a Hydrologic Balance: Diversions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.b Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.c Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.d Hydrologic Balance: Water Monitoring	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.e Hydrologic Balance: Effluent Limitations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Explosives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Disposal of Excess Spoil, Fills, Benches	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Coal Mine Waste, Refuse Piles, Impoundments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Noncoal Waste	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Slides and Other Damage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Contemporaneous Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Backfilling And Grading	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Revegetation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Subsidence Control	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Cessation of Operations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.a Roads: Construction, Maintenance, Surfacing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.b Roads: Drainage Controls	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17. Other Transportation Facilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18. Support Facilities, Utility Installations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. AVS Check	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20. Air Quality Permit	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21. Bonding and Insurance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22. Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.b Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments

Mr. John Christensen, P.E., performed the fourth quarter of 2006 impoundment inspections for the North and South ponds as well as the waste rock site impoundment on December 18, 2006. There were no hazardous conditions / unstable conditions noted in the Permittee's pond inspection reports, which were completed on December 29, 2006. All ponds contained some water, as observed this day; none were close to discharge. There were no compliance issues noted. The inspections which are required for the two MSHA ponds at the Mine (North / South ponds, 30 day inspection frequency) are current through February 2007. No issues were identified at either impoundment by Mr. Guy Davis, who is an MSHA certified impoundment inspection specialist.

4.c Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures

The ASCA area adjacent to the undisturbed drainage next to the Cottonwood Mine fan was discussed. The current treatment method for the area is silt fences, which are located parallel with the channel (a fence on both sides). The channel bottom is rip-rapped. The area south of the channel is well vegetated with thick grass, which was still flattened from snow pack. The Permittee would like to consider removal of the silt fence, from a maintenance perspective. It appears that the vegetation in the area may be heavy enough to provide acceptable treatment of the disturbed runoff prior to it reporting to the undisturbed channel. The Division stated that a permit amendment would be necessary to address this request. The area will require re-disturbance in order for the reclamation of the Cottonwood Mine belt portal to occur. The Permittee has repaired the silt fence which runs parallel with the southern toe of the subsoil pile which is north of the active waste rock site.

7. Coal Mine Waste, Refuse Piles, Impoundments

The Permittee's qualified representative performed the 4th quarter 2006 waste rock pile inspections for the active site, as well as the reclaimed site on December 18, 2006. There were no observations of structural weakness or other hazards observed during the Permittee's inspections or by the DOGM representative on this day. Mr. John Christensen, Utah registered professional engineer, certified the Permittee's waste rock inspection report forms on January 23, 2007. There were no compliance issues noted this day.

21. Bonding and Insurance

The current general liability coverage remains in effect until August 28, 2007.