

0020



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

File

Norman H. Bangerter
Governor
Dee C. Hansen
Executive Director
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D.
Division Director

355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
801-538-5340

March 26, 1991

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT
P 074 979 046

Mr. Wendell Owen
Co-Op Mining Company
P.O. Box 1245
Huntington, Utah 84528

Dear Mr. Owen:

Re: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N91-35-1-1, Bear Canyon Mine, ACT/015/025, Folder #5, Emery County, Utah

The undersigned has been appointed by the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R614-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. This violation was issued by Division Inspector, Susan M. White on February 27, 1991. Rule R614-401 has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Within 15 days after receipt of this proposed assessment, you or your agent may file a written request for an assessment conference to review the proposed penalty.

If a timely request is not made, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vicki Bailey.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'Joseph C. Helfrich'.

Joseph C. Helfrich
Assessment Officer

jbe
Enclosure

**WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES
UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING**

COMPANY/MINE Co-Op Mining Company/Bear Canyon Mine NOV #N91-35-1-1

PERMIT # ACT/015/025 VIOLATION 1 OF 1

ASSESSMENT DATE 03/26/91 ASSESSMENT OFFICER Joseph C. Helfrich

I. HISTORY MAX 25 PTS

- A. Are there previous violations which are not pending or vacated, which fall within 1 year of today's date?

ASSESSMENT DATE 03/26/91 EFFECTIVE ONE YEAR TO DATE 03/26/90

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
<u>N90-20-6-1</u>	<u>12/21/90</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>C90-26-1-1</u>	<u>12/21/90</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>N90-34-1-1</u>	<u>01/01/91</u>	<u>1</u>

1 point for each past violation, up to one year;
5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one year;
No pending notices shall be counted.

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 7

II. SERIOUSNESS (either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following applies. Based on the facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within which category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an Event (A) or Hindrance (B) violation? Event

A. Event Violations Max 45 PTS

- What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent?
Injury to the public (public safety), safety factor of road not established;
Damage to property; Conducting activities without appropriate approvals;
Environmental harm; Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential
- What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent? Occurred

... PROBABILITY	RANGE
... None	0
... Unlikely	1-9
... Likely	10-19
... Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 20

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

Observations reflected in the inspector's statement revealed that injury to the public may occur as a result of not having the appropriate approvals from the regulatory and/or surface owners. The remaining events, damage to property, environmental harm, and loss of reclamation did occur, thus 20 points are assigned.

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

RANGE 0 - 25*

*In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS 20

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

The extent of damage would extend off the permittee's disturbed area, but not the permit area. Approximately a 100' long road from the top of pad to the coal bin was affected, including the sidecasting of material as well as vegetation and topsoil, thus 20 points are assigned.

B. Hindrance Violations MAX 25 PTS

1. Is this a potential or actual hindrance to enforcement?

RANGE 0 - 25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 40

III. NEGLIGENCE MAX 30 PTS

- A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? **IF SO - NO NEGLIGENCE;**
 OR Was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference, lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? **IF SO - NEGLIGENCE;**
 OR Was this violation the result of reckless, knowing, or intentional conduct? **IF SO - GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.**

... No Negligence	0
... Negligence	1-15
... Greater Degree of Fault	16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Greater Degree of Fault

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 23

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

In as much as the permittee has dealt on a number of occasions with the approval of mining activities prior to commencement thereof, and is ultimately responsible for any and all mining related activities under his/her approved permit or as otherwise noted, a greater degree of negligence is assigned at 23 points.

IV. GOOD FAITH MAX 20 PTS. (EITHER A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures.

- A. Did the operator have onsite the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?
 ... **IF SO - EASY ABATEMENT**
 Easy Abatement Situation
- | | |
|---|-------------|
| ... Immediate Compliance | -11 to -20* |
| ... Immediately following the issuance of the NOV) | |
| ... Rapid Compliance | -1 to -10* |
| ... (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation) | |
| ... Normal Compliance | 0 |
- (Operator complied within the abatement period required)
 (Operator complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

* Assign in upper or lower half of range depending on abatement occurring in 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance OR does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

... IF SO - DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- ... **Rapid Compliance** -11 to -20*
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- ... **Normal Compliance** -1 to -10*
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
- ... **Extended Compliance** 0
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard, or the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)
(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? _____ ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS -0

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

To be evaluated upon termination of the violation.

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY FOR N91-35-1-1

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	<u>7</u>
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	<u>40</u>
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	<u>23</u>
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	<u>-0</u>
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	<u>70</u>
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	<u>\$ 2200.00</u>

jbe