ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
IN AND FOR THE STATE OF UTAH

* * *

IN THE MATTER OF THE FIVE-	:	
YEAR PERMIT RENEWAL FOR THE	:	
BEAR CANYON MINE, CO-OP	:	Cause No. ACT/015/025
MINING COMPANY, EMERY	:	
COUNTY, UTAH.	:	

* * *

Friday, February 28, 1997, commencing at the hour of 9:40 a.m., a continued hearing was held in the above matter before the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining, at the Emery County Courthouse, Commission Chambers, Castle Dale, Utah.

Reported by:

Scott M. Knight, RPR

RECEIVED
MAR 31 1997
DIV. OF OIL, GAS & M

Associated Professional Reporters

10 West Broadway, Suite 200 Salt Lake City, UT 84101
(801) 322-3441 / FAX (801) 322-3443

A P P E A R A N C E S

For Co-Op Mining Company: F. Mark Hansen, Esq.,
624 North 300 West, Suite 200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84103

For Water Users: Jeffrey W. Appel, Esq.,
APPEL & WARLAUMONT
1100 Boston Building
9 Exchange Place
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

For Water Users: J. Craig Smith, Esq.,
NIELSEN & SENIOR
Eagle Gate Plaza, Suite 1100
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Also Present: Peter J. Nielsen
NIELSEN HYDROGEOLOGIC SERVICES

* * *

I N D E X

Witness	Page
CHARLES REYNOLDS	
Examination by Mr. Hansen	5
Examination by Mr. Appel	9
Examination by Mr. Smith	18
Further Examination by Mr. Appel	278
Further Examination by Mr. Smith	291
ALAN MAYO	
Examination by Mr. Hansen	28
Examination by Mr. Smith	52
Examination by Mr. Appel	95
Examination by Mr. Nielsen	121
Further Examination by Mr. Smith	123
CHRIS D. HANSEN	
Examination by Mr. Hansen	125
Examination by Mr. Appel	142
Examination by Mr. Smith	158
Further Examination by Mr. Appel	183
Further Examination by Mr. Smith	189

I N D E X (Continued)

Witness	Page
CHRIS D. HANSEN (Continued)	
Examination by Mr. Nielsen	190
Further Examination by Mr. Hansen	193
RICHARD WHITE	
Examination by Mr. Hansen	196
Examination by Mr. Appel	227
Examination by Mr. Smith	239
DARREL LEEMASTER	
Examination by Mr. Appel	295
Examination by Mr. Hansen	298

* * *

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 MR. CARTER: Let's go on the record, and we'll
3 start with some preliminary introductory remarks. First,
4 for those of you who are new to this process, this is the
5 third day of the informal conference in the matter of
6 permit renewal for the Bear Canyon Mine, Co-Op Mining
7 Company, Emery County, Utah, Cause No. ACT/015/025.
8 And I'd like to start out by thanking everyone
9 for making the extraordinary efforts that were necessary
10 to get here today so that we could continue the hearing.
11 This has been difficult to schedule, and I just want to
12 let you know I very much appreciate everyone making their
13 schedules fit so that we could do this. It was looking
14 like we wouldn't be able to get together easily until
15 April sometime. So I'm very pleased that you were able
16 to do that. And thank you. I appreciate that.
17 We're here, I think, at, essentially, the
18 request of Co-Op. At the close of our last session, I
19 asked the question -- the water users had indicated that
20 they had nothing further to present as a case in chief,
21 if you will -- and I asked Co-Op if Co-Op was interested
22 in presenting information to me as part of the informal
23 conference; they indicated they were, so we're here for
24 that purpose initially, and certainly for any other
25 comments or information people want to submit. But with

that, I'll turn it over to Mr. Hansen to tell me what he'd like to do.

MR. HANSEN: We have four witnesses this morning. And I would like to begin by calling Charles Reynolds.

MR. CARTER: All right.

MR. HANSEN: Are we recording?

MR. CARTER: We are. Just for everyone's information, we have a court reporter with us this morning, so we'll take a verbatim transcript as we have in the past several days.

CHARLES REYNOLDS,

called as a witness for and on behalf of Co-Op Mining Company, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANSEN:

Q Would you please give your name for the record.

A My name is Charles Reynolds.

Q Where are you employed?

A I'm employed with Co-Op Mining Company at the Bear Canyon Mine.

Q Is Co-Op Mining Company your employer?

A Yes.

Q That's a change.

A Yeah. I have worked for Mangum Engineering Consultants in the past also, doing -- doing consulting work for Co-Op.

Q And how long did you do the consulting work for Co-Op for Mangum Engineering?

A I've been involved with Co-Op's compliance program since 1991, about June of 1991.

Q Did that include helping to prepare and review the permits?

A Yes, that did.

Q And is that still your responsibility since you've been employed by the Co-Op Mine?

A Yes, it is.

Q Did you review this current permit application?

A Yes.

Q Did your review include the hydrology portion of the permit application?

A Yes, it did, to the extent that -- in evaluating the work that was being done for us by the consultants.

Q Did you evaluate the contents of the permit to determine whether they satisfied the regulations?

A Yes, I did.

Q And do they?

A Yes, they do.

1 MR. SMITH: I would object to that. I don't
2 know -- that's a legal conclusion.
3 I've been doing too many trials, Jim. I'm
4 sorry.
5 MR. HANSEN: This is an informal conference.
6 This witness can testify to his understanding.
7 MR. SMITH: We'll go ahead and do that with
8 that understanding.
9 MR. CARTER: Okay. Thank you.
10 MR. APPEL: It would help if the questions
11 were asked pertaining to his understanding.
12 MR. CARTER: Well, I'll factor that in those
13 considerations.
14 MR. HANSEN: You're welcome to cross-examine
15 him.
16 Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Can you give us a little bit
17 of a background about the mine, the mining operation, and
18 so on?
19 A Yes. I've been involved with Co-Op's
20 compliance program since 1991. During that time, we've
21 -- we have put together an extensive compliance program
22 to ensure that the mine stays in compliance. This has
23 included weekly inspections of both surface and
24 underground areas to make sure that they remain in
25 compliance. We do have a person employed full-time just

in maintaining the compliance issues on the surface. And then I also oversee the compliance for underground to the extent that it -- it involves the SMCRA regulations.

We've -- as we've mined north in the Blind Canyon Seam, we encountered -- the mine was very significantly dry for -- let's see -- about the first eight years of mining. It was in early 1990 when we first began encountering water on the north end, which the -- the other witnesses who follow me will discuss a little bit more of the -- the hydrology involved in that water.

But other than that, both our Blind Canyon Seam and our Tank Seam have been extremely dry mines with very little water encountered, with the exception of the water that comes out of the channel which I mentioned will be discussed further by the other witnesses.

Since that time, we've -- we did obtain a discharge permit, and that mine water discharge is monitored monthly and -- to ensure that that is in compliance with all of the required standards of our permit.

We do have an extensive water monitoring program with the other water -- the other springs, Bear Creek, and water in the mine that we do monitor quarterly. And, in fact, in the case of the two culinary

springs, Birch and Big Bear Springs, we were actually taking monthly baseline samples for several years through 1992 and 1993. And we also collected quarterly baseline information on those springs. 1994 and '95, during that time, we've -- during that monitoring we've never observed any impacts to the water quality. We do continue to monitor those springs. They're a part of our regular compliance monitoring program.

Q Have you ever observed the surface conditions at the west fan portal to the mine?

A Yes, I have.

Q Is there any evidence there of discharge out that portal?

A No.

MR. HANSEN: No further questions.

MR. CARTER: Okay. Mr. Appel.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. APPEL:

Q Mr. Reynolds, you indicated that you reviewed and assisted in the preparation of the current permit application?

A Yes.

Q Who assisted you with that?

A The -- assisted by Kim Mangum, who's a licensed professional engineer, and also EarthFax

1 Engineering as a consultant, doing all the PHC work and
2 the hydrogeologic evaluation of the permit.
3 Q Okay. Which people at EarthFax did you --
4 A We were initially assisted by John Garr and
5 Rich White. In the last year, Mr. Garr has left the
6 employment of EarthFax, and Chris Hansen has picked up
7 the work that he would do.
8 Q Okay. And who was in charge of collecting
9 field data for that effort?
10 A John Garr collected much of the field data for
11 the PHC. The actual water sampling, I've -- in the past
12 I've done that personally on the other springs, taken
13 regular quarterly samples. And then the -- most of the
14 data for the PHC was collected by EarthFax in the -- the
15 work that was done underground in evaluating the monitor
16 wells, collecting baseline data from those wells and the
17 design and placement of the wells.
18 Q Was that done by Mr. Garr?
19 A Mr. Garr and Mr. Rich White.
20 Q Who did the physical work in the field?
21 A Mr. Garr did much of the physical work in the
22 field. The wells were also installed by a licensed well
23 driller, Zimmerman Well Service, that did the actual
24 installation work on those.
25 Q And those are the monitoring wells required by

1 the DOGM in 1991?
2 A Yes.
3 Q Are they still functioning?
4 A Yes, they are.
5 Q All three of them?
6 A One of them was in an area that has since been
7 re-treat mine, and that was replaced by a fourth well,
8 one that -- when that well was no longer accessible, we
9 did drill a fourth well to replace that.
10 Q And they are continuously providing monitoring
11 data?
12 A Yes, they are.
13 Q Have they been since 1991?
14 A The wells were -- the work on the wells were
15 actually completed in 1992 and they've been monitored
16 quarterly for quality data -- let's see -- beginning in
17 1993. The initial baseline data was taken from them in
18 '92 and they've been monitored continuously since 1993.
19 Q I think you testified that your involvement
20 began in 1991. Did you have any involvement with the
21 mine operation prior to 1991?
22 A I don't -- I didn't have any direct
23 involvement. I do maintain, keep track of all the
24 records for the mine, which would include records of what
25 went on prior to that.

1 Q Okay. You mentioned that the mine was dry for
2 eight years prior to 1990. Is that a correct date?
3 A Let's see. It was -- I believe it was either
4 in, I think, November of '89 -- November, December of '89
5 when we first began encountering water on that north
6 end. There was some water there. There were minor roof
7 drippers, fairly small flows ranging from 8 gallon a
8 minute to 40 gallon a minute.
9 Q And then in late 1989, you encountered
10 noticeably larger flows in the mine?
11 A Yes. They -- they -- when we initially
12 encountered them, they were flowing -- let's see. I'm
13 just recalling off the top of my head. We do have --
14 they were flowing around 120 gallon a minute when we
15 first encountered water on the north end near that
16 channel.
17 Q And there had been next to no flow before that?
18 A Yes. Let's see. There had been -- there had
19 been -- when we first went in the mine, there was some
20 water flowing near the surface. It varied between 8 and
21 40 gallon a minute.
22 Q And am I correct that you keep track of the
23 records concerning water encountered in the mine?
24 A Yes.
25 Q Has the mine ever been dry since then?

A No.

Q Has the flow ever gone below 30 gallons per minute in the mine?

A No.

Q What's the lowest flow you've experienced in the mine since 1989?

A Let's see. We did -- it did drop down to around 80 gallon a minute in 1991 and then also again in 1992. This -- this occurred -- well, what happened is, as we'd advance north, as we were approaching that channel, they'd mine for a few months, and because of the quality of the coal, they would have to stop mining in that section and move to another section for a while in order to blend the coal qualities together.

And we did notice that as we pushed forward, as a section would sit, the flows would decrease coming out of the section. And then as we'd continue advancing north, they went up again to a certain extent. And each time we stopped mining, flows would steadily decline.

Q Okay. You were reading from some sort of a chart that indicates in-mine flows?

A Yes.

Q Could you tell us what the -- do you have average flows? I don't know if Mr. Hansen intends to make this an exhibit or not.

1 DR. MAYO: It will be an exhibit.

2 MR. APPEL: Okay.

3 Q (BY MR. APPEL) Tell me what the flows were.
4 You mentioned '92 and '93 low flows. Can you tell me
5 what the low and high flows you encountered were from
6 1990 through the present?

7 A Yeah. The low flows were -- we had -- low
8 flow was about 81 gallon a minute in February of '91.
9 Also, in October '92 it measured about 82 gallon a
10 minute. When we initially hit it, it was flowing at 120
11 gallon a minute. As we advanced northward, it increased
12 up to about 140 gallon a minute the first time, and then
13 the second time it went up to about -- between 170 and
14 180 gallon a minute.

15 We did encounter some water initially over on
16 the east side against the fault, near Bear Canyon Fault,
17 which was our point SBC-10. That was encountered in
18 '92. As we -- when we first hit the channel, it
19 initially -- the initial flows that we ran into were
20 about 250 gallon a minute, which immediately dropped off
21 to -- within a couple years it was flowing at about 20
22 gallon a minute on that side. The significant amount of
23 flow has always been coming from the north channel.
24 Currently, it's flowing at about 125, 130 gallon a minute.

25 Q When you say "the north channel," what are you

1 referring to?

2 MR. HANSEN: We'll get into that more with

3 another witness.

4 THE WITNESS: I'm referring to a geologic

5 channel we've encountered on the north end of our mine

6 which appears to be the source of the majority of the

7 flow coming into the mine. That channel would be

8 characterized and discussed by other witnesses.

9 Q (BY MR. APPEL) When you were testifying, I

10 believe, on day one of this hearing, you mentioned that

11 there was a period of time during which water was

12 discharged into the old workings for about a year and a

13 half. When did that begin?

14 A It began in -- at the first of 1990, shortly

15 after we first encountered the water. There was

16 discharge into the old workings of the mine up until we

17 got our -- we were permitted to discharge into Bear Creek

18 at the beginning of 1991, I believe it was. And that was

19 pretty much discontinued -- discharge into the old

20 workings -- at the end of '91 and beginning of '92, at

21 the request of the Division.

22 Q You mentioned that you had an extensive

23 monitoring program. Could you explain which sources

24 you've monitored and where they're located?

25 A Yes. We -- we have three surface water

monitoring points. One is in Bear Creek above the mine site. The other's in Bear Creek below the mine site. And then we do have a third monitoring point in Right Fork of Bear Canyon above the mine site.

Groundwater monitor points include Birch Spring, Big Bear Spring, and we have a creek well near Bear Creek on the surface. And then we have four monitoring points underground in the Blind Canyon Seam. One is SBC-9, which is where the significant amounts of the flow come in. We also monitor the three monitor wells underground. And we -- at the beginning of this year, we have encountered some minor flows flowing out of our gob that we have begun monitoring as a fifth monitoring point.

Q And how many years have these been utilized, these particular points?

A Birch Spring and Big Bear Spring have been monitored, I believe, since -- 1984 is the oldest data that I have in my files that I've located. The SBC-9 begin monitoring in 1990, when we first encountered that water.

We did have some monitoring points, SBC-7 and SBC-8, which were monitored up till '91 that were the smaller flows near the surface which eventually dried up. They were monitored beginning -- I believe the

1 oldest data, there again, was 1984 that I have on file.
2 And they were monitored through 1990 and 1991, when they
3 dried up.
4 SBC-9 has been monitored continuously since
5 1990, and it's still being monitored. Monitor wells
6 underground have been monitored since 1992, which is when
7 they were installed.
8 Q Are you reading from something that's going to
9 become an exhibit?
10 A I'm just recalling out of memory. The mine
11 flow data that I was just looking at with SBC-9, I
12 believe the graph will become an exhibit.
13 Q Okay. Now, I think that in response to Mr.
14 Hansen's question, you said that at least for a time,
15 you've been able to discern no impacts to water quality.
16 Is that a fair statement?
17 A Yes.
18 Q Are you also testifying that there have been
19 no impacts to water quantity?
20 A Yes, there have been -- not been.
21 Q Ever?
22 A Yes.
23 MR. APPEL: That's all I have.
24 MR. SMITH: I do have a few questions.
25

1 EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. SMITH:

3 Q Mr. Reynolds, now I just want to understand:
4 You began working with the mine in 1990. Is that the year?
5 A 1991.
6 Q Okay. 1991. Did you have any association
7 with the mine before that time?
8 A No.
9 Q So when we try to divide between what you may
10 know from learning or what you may know from personal
11 knowledge, your personal knowledge starts in 1991; is
12 that correct?
13 A That's correct.
14 Q Now, are you aware of any subsidence around
15 the Bear Canyon Mine?
16 A Yes, I am.
17 Q And could you tell me where the subsidence is
18 located?
19 A We've -- we've had some subsidence holes that
20 have been -- affected the surface in -- on the south end
21 of Blind Canyon Seam. It's in the -- quite high up in
22 the drainage. Let's see. Just east of Blind Canyon. I
23 guess it is a drainage above Birch Spring. That -- that
24 subsidence was repaired and mitigation was provided for
25 in 1994 and 1995. That has since been sealed.

1 There is also -- we have recently come to my
2 attention there is some subsidence in Blind Canyon that
3 is associated with the old Trail Canyon Mine that, near
4 as we can tell, has been there for -- since prior to
5 SMCRA, been there for some time.
6 Q Now, is the old Trail Canyon Mine -- is that
7 part of your current permit area?
8 A That's a separate permit area from the Bear
9 Canyon Mine.
10 Q Okay. So that's a separate permit area. So
11 let's talk about just the subsidence that you said east
12 of Blind Canyon. That area, is that subsidence?
13 A Uh-huh (Affirmative).
14 Q How big of an area are we talking about for
15 subsidence?
16 A The actual area that was impacted by the
17 surface, it's fairly small area -- it actually consisted
18 of -- there was a hole that came to the surface. It was
19 about 18 feet -- let's see -- about 12 to 18 feet
20 diameter. And then there was also three sinkholes which
21 formed -- one in the bottom of the drainage, and the
22 other is up on the slope -- the slope west of the
23 drainage, which -- all of those were repaired and filled
24 in.
25 Q So they've been repaired by being filled in.

1 Is that the repair that was done?
2 A Yes. And we also restored the drainage
3 channel and the drainage area going to the bottom of the
4 canyon.
5 Q Any study made of the subsidence areas to see
6 if there was an impact on hydrology, that you're aware of?
7 A It -- we looked at it some. As far as the
8 impact on the hydrology of subsidence in general, the
9 subsidence of the mine, that has been discussed in PHC
10 and looked at. That particular drainage has always been
11 dry. There are no springs up in that area which would
12 have been impacted by that -- the localized subsidence
13 there.
14 Q I see. Any study on subsidence associated
15 with the Bear Canyon Mine beyond what we find in the PHC?
16 A No.
17 Q So if there's any study that's been done on
18 subsidence, we would find it in the PHC if we looked
19 there --
20 A Yes.
21 Q -- is that correct?
22 A Yes. The PHC does discuss the potential --
23 the anticipated impacts due to subsidence.
24 Q And you're not aware of any facts or
25 information or data or expert opinion beyond that that

1 you're going to present today or that Co-Op has --
2 A No.
3 Q -- on the issue of subsidence and the
4 hydrology?
5 A No.
6 Q You said there was a compliance person for the
7 surface that worked for Co-Op Mine?
8 A We do have an individual employed doing all
9 the on-site maintenance, making sure ditches stay clean,
10 silt fences are maintained, culverts are kept free,
11 making sure that the drainage devices function correctly.
12 Q What's that person's name?
13 A His name's Marlow Petersen.
14 Q And he worked full-time for Co-Op Mining?
15 A Yes.
16 Q Now, getting back to your experience, you said
17 you worked for Mangum -- was it Mangum Engineering?
18 A Yes.
19 Q Did you work full-time for Co-Op as an
20 employee of Mangum on Co-Op -- did you work full-time on
21 Co-Op issues when you were employed by Mangum?
22 A Yes, I did. I've been on the site at the
23 Co-Op Mine full-time since 1991 --
24 Q I see.
25 A -- both in the employment of Mangum

1 Engineering and in the employment of Co-Op Mining.
2 Q Is there any connection between Mangum
3 Engineering and Co-Op Mining?
4 A No. They were just hired -- I wasn't hired
5 with Mangum Engineering till 1991. I believe Mangum
6 Engineering was actually employed by Co-Op as a
7 consultant since late 1988, early 1989.
8 Q Is Mangum still a consultant for Co-Op?
9 A He does do some consulting work. He does all
10 the reviewing of the engineering work that I do, all the
11 -- the certifying of my work.
12 Q But Mangum Engineering's completely separate
13 from Co-Op Mining?
14 A Yes.
15 Q Not owned by the same entities that own Co-Op
16 Mining?
17 A That's correct.
18 Q I take it in your testimony you talked about
19 as you mined north, you began to encounter more water.
20 Was that your testimony?
21 A Yeah, in our North Main Section.
22 Q Okay. Was that -- I'm sorry.
23 A The primary flows came out of the North Main
24 Section. There was -- we also pushed what we call our
25 West Bleeder Section northward that we did not encounter

1 This is not cross-examination.

2 MR. SMITH: That's helpful. That helps me

3 move on.

4 Q (BY MR. SMITH) You mentioned water you

5 encountered in the mine, correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And you measure all water that you encounter

8 in the mine or just the water that you discharge out of

9 the mine?

10 A We measure as best we can the water coming in

11 the mine. What -- the primary way we determine that is

12 by taking the water measurement of the water we discharge

13 as well as the water we use. The actual source of the

14 water is spread over such a large enough area it's really

15 impossible to measure, make sure everything's measured as

16 it's coming in. But we do measure what goes out.

17 Q Okay. So I understand, you measure the

18 discharge of water?

19 Is that correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q You need to say yes or no so we get it on our

22 record here.

23 A Yes.

24 Q And you measure the water you use in the mine?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Did you notice any impacts on other water
2 sources during the time you were discharging water into
3 the old workings?
4 A No, we didn't.
5 Q How about on water coming out other new places
6 around the mine?
7 A No.
8 Q Do you know why the Division asked you to quit
9 pumping water into the old workings?
10 A Some of the -- the employees felt that there
11 may be a potential for water seeping to the surface. And
12 they were concerned with the potential effect on the
13 springs and felt that by discontinuing that, that would
14 eliminate that potential.
15 Q Yeah. Who felt that way?
16 A Tom Munson was the hydrologist. As far as
17 specific ways that they felt, I think you'd have to talk
18 to them.
19 Q These are individuals employed by the Division
20 of Oil, Gas & Mining?
21 A Yes.
22 Q No one at Co-Op, I take it?
23 A That's correct. The request or the -- the
24 request to evaluate that was made by Diane Nielsen. In
25 her division order at that time, her staff felt the best

way to make sure -- make sure that there was no potential was to simply eliminate that discharge.

Q Did Co-Op ever do any studies to see if what you've called the potential ever occurred?

A We have -- we have studied and evaluated the data. And I know when the PHC was being generated, then those issues were looked at and discussed in the PHC.

Q So I'd also find those in the PHC?

A That's correct.

Q Any studies since that time?

A No.

Q When was the work that went into the PHC? When was the PHC completed? Do you recall when that was?

A Initially, it was completed in 1993. Either '92 or '93. And it was revised again in -- towards the end of '93, when we submitted our application for mining Tank Seam.

Q Have there been any studies on PHC issues since that time by Co-Op?

A We have done some isotopic evaluation, some additional hydrologic evaluation in preparation for this hearing --

Q I see.

A -- which will be presented by our other witnesses.

1 Q I guess that's what we'll hear later today?
2 A That's correct.
3 MR. SMITH: Okay. That's all I have. Thank
4 you.
5 MR. HANSEN: I have a few questions, but to
6 accommodate Dr. Mayo's schedule, I would like to reserve
7 them and get Dr. Mayo on now. We would call Dr. Alan Mayo.
8 MR. APPEL: I'm sorry. Mr. Reynolds, could I
9 ask one more question?
10 MR. CARTER: Sure.
11 MR. HANSEN: We'd be happy to call him back.
12 MR. APPEL: Why don't we call him back, then.
13 MR. HANSEN: Now or later. I'd just as soon
14 you talk to him later.
15 MR. SMITH: As long as he's being called
16 later, we'll talk to him then.
17 MR. CARTER: Don't leave the room, Charles --
18 or longer than five minutes. Let me put it that way.
19 ALAN MAYO,
20 called as a witness for and on behalf of Co-Op Mining
21 Company, was examined and testified as follows:
22 EXAMINATION
23 BY MR. HANSEN:
24 Q Could you give your name for the record?
25 A My name is Alan Mayo, M-a-y-o.

1 Q And where are you employed?
2 A I'm a principal of Mayo & Associates. I'm a
3 professor of hydrogeology at Brigham Young University.
4 Q Could you describe your educational background?
5 A I have a bachelor's and master's degrees in
6 geology, a Ph.D. in hydrogeology.
7 Q Could you briefly describe your work background?
8 A I've worked for several years as an
9 environmental planner for the County of San Diego; worked
10 for a consulting firm in Georgia; taught at University of
11 Colorado, Colorado Springs, where I started my consulting
12 business; and am now teaching at BYU. I've continued my
13 consulting business since then.
14 I have -- my business has done considerable
15 work in the coal district. We've worked for Skyline
16 Mine, SUFCO, Soldier Creek -- help me here --
17 MR. ERIK PETERSEN: Genwal.
18 THE WITNESS: -- Genwal, Energy West. We're
19 doing work in Colorado. So we have considerable
20 experience working at, understanding, and evaluating
21 hydrogeologic systems in the coal district in Utah.
22 Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Have you been in these
23 various mines?
24 A I've been in every one of these mines.
25 Q Have you been in the Co-Op Mine?

A Visited the Co-Op Mine on November 13th, 1996.

Q Describe for us what you saw at the Co-Op Mine.

A Should I just -- do you want me to take over?

Q It's an open-ended question.

A Okay. Let me start --

Q I would let it be your show rather than mine.

A Okay. On November 13th we made a tour of Co-Op Mine. And Erik Petersen and my staff and myself made the visit of the mine. And we visited inside of both the Blind Canyon Seam and the Tank Seam. We also visited Big Bear Spring and Birch Spring. And we also made a quick trip out the portal that's been discussed at considerable length.

My first observations going into the mine first when we went into the Blind Canyon Seam was that it was an incredibly dry mine. They were not mining in the seam at this time, so there was no dust suppression going on. And fortunately, we were in the front vehicle, not the second vehicle, so we had some visibility.

As we approached the north end of the mine -- if you can use the first graphic here -- so we went in and approached the north end of the mine.

Q Would you point which is north and which is south?

A This is north going in this direction (Indicating).

Q Point out where the entry portal is.

A I believe we came in here and went on up and went in this direction and then went to here, then came back and went over into here (Indicating), okay? So this area in here (Indicating) was just bone dry.

We looked up in the ceiling of the mine to see if there was any evidence -- I look for water having dripped in the mine once the mine has been opened for a while. I wanted telltale signs. You look for pyrite oxidation, the red rust.

Q For a quick break here, is this an accurate depiction of the mine?

A As best as I know.

Q And where did the source data come from?

A This was actually -- actually a graphic that EarthFax put together, so they should be the ones to describe the graphic. But as best as I know, it looks accurate.

MR. HANSEN: I would like to have this admitted as an exhibit. You can do it now or -- I think it'd be easier if we had it marked as an exhibit, we get it in.

MR. CARTER: Let's do that.

1 MR. REYNOLDS: I might mention, the
2 information pertaining to -- information pertaining to
3 the mine on the map comes from our ventilation map, which
4 is what we submit to MSHA.
5 MR. CARTER: Is there going to be any difficulty
6 with admitting this or do we need more foundation?
7 MR. APPEL: What's the purpose for its
8 submission?
9 THE WITNESS: I'm going to be describing the
10 hydrogeology, and this is going to be one of the exhibits
11 I use to describe that.
12 MR. APPEL: So it's demonstrative?
13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
14 MR. SMITH: I don't have -- I don't have a
15 problem, as long as -- do you have copies of this for us?
16 MR. HANSEN: I don't know if we have a copy.
17 We can certainly make you one.
18 MR. SMITH: Yeah, I think --
19 MR. HANSEN: I don't have copies of your
20 Exhibits 1, 2, 3 either.
21 MR. SMITH: Well, I'm happy to provide them if
22 you ask, Mark.
23 MR. HANSEN: I haven't asked. I know.
24 MR. SMITH: I'd like to have a copy of this.
25 And I think that's typical, to be able to have a copy of

1 exhibits.

2 MR. HANSEN: Sure. Be happy to provide one.

3 MR. APPEL: Is that going to be No. 1?

4 MR. HANSEN: Yes, we mark that Exhibit 1, then.

5 THE WITNESS: Erik, do you want to do that?

6 Make it look nice.

7 MR. APPEL: For demonstrative purposes.

8 MR. CARTER: Sure. When we say --

9 MR. APPEL: I mean, we're not agreeing to the

10 accuracy of anything on there.

11 MR. CARTER: I understand.

12 THE WITNESS: So we entered the mine and made

13 several stops, looking around for signs of water having

14 been in various parts of the mine. When we approached

15 this area right about in here (Indicating), where the

16 lighter blue is, we started seeing roof drips -- not a

17 lot of roof drip but some roof dripping coming on.

18 Then as we finally got to this area here

19 (Indicating) -- it's called a low coal area -- there was

20 clearly a sandstone seam, a sandstone channel in the roof

21 of the mine. And the channel came down and became --

22 basically pinched off the coal bed. And in this

23 sandstone channel --

24 Q (BY MR. HANSEN) It comes down from above, not

25 coming from below?

1 A From above. As you walk into the area, the
2 channel would be above your head; then it was coming down
3 in this fashion (Indicating).
4 As we finally reached the channel itself, a
5 considerable amount of water was draining out of the
6 channel. And the first place we looked at it was in this
7 area in here (Indicating). And then subsequently, we
8 came over and looked at it in this area over in -- over
9 in here (Indicating). So large quantities of water were
10 coming out of the channel, but the rest of the area was
11 really exceedingly dry.
12 We also went over and looked at the fault
13 gouge here (Indicating). And I brought some of it with
14 me, because we went and looked at the Blind Canyon Fault,
15 and rocks -- right, geologists bring rocks. These are
16 chunks of the fault gouge (Indicating). And the gouge
17 itself was dry. It didn't show signs of really having a
18 lot of water on it.
19 We did some sampling in here. And the
20 sampling that we did -- let's find my second map.
21 MR. CARTER: Dr. Mayo, about where on the
22 fault would this have come from?
23 THE WITNESS: It's going to come from right
24 here (Indicating).
25 Right?

1 MR. ERIK PETERSEN: Right.
2 THE WITNESS: Right here (Indicating).
3 Q (BY MR. HANSEN) We're looking at another
4 exhibit now?
5 A We're looking at another exhibit.
6 MR. HANSEN: Could we have this exhibit marked
7 Exhibit 2 for demonstrative purposes?
8 MR. CARTER: Sure.
9 THE WITNESS: It's basically the same. It's
10 basically the same map, except it says "3rd West South"
11 on it, so it identifies some sampling locations.
12 MR. CARTER: All right.
13 THE WITNESS: It's the same graphic, though,
14 without the coloration for the water on it.
15 So the fault gouge was in here (Indicating).
16 And we -- we took a look at the fault gouge there and
17 also collected a sample from a drill hole which would
18 have been drilled through the Blind Canyon Seam, and
19 collected water out of that drill hole and analyzed it
20 for carbon 14 and for tritium.
21 And then there was no water leaking through
22 the fault zone at this point right here (Indicating).
23 But -- and like I say, the fault gouge was quite thick,
24 maybe like this (Indicating), easy to get big chunks of
25 it. You didn't have to use a rock hammer. Pull it off

with your hands.

Q Dr. Mayo, the court reporter can't take down this --

A Say 18 inches to 2 feet thick.

MR. CARTER: And orientation of the fault, roughly vertical?

THE WITNESS: Roughly vertical.

We then went to the 3rd West Bleeder area and collected a roof drip sample and analyzed that also for carbon 14 and for tritium. And this was water coming out of the sandstone channel.

Then proceeded down to SBC-9 Source, which is a drill hole drilled into the sandstone channel not quite horizontally inclined. Slightly up. I believe it's slightly up, isn't it? Yeah, slightly up. And sampled that for carbon 14 and for tritium as well.

And then proceeded back to DH-2 and looked at DH-2 and DH-2 was subsequently sampled for carbon 14 and tritium as well. Some development work had to be done to purge the well and that sort of thing.

From there, then we proceeded to the overlying seam, which was also an incredibly dry -- dry seam, and then went out the portal and went and looked at the two springs.

So that kind of summarizes our mine tour. We

1 went on -- what I'd like to do now is to start to show
2 some of the results that -- that we have.
3 MR. CARTER: Let me ask one question so I can
4 envision this. When you say "a channel," the thing that
5 comes to mind is a channel of limited aerial extent 30
6 feet across or something like this. What you were
7 describing is, the channel was evident in the north ends
8 of both the western workings and the more easterly
9 workings, so --
10 THE WITNESS: We envisioned this channel as
11 being an east-west channel that extends the entire length
12 of the open mine area. It's a really large sandstone
13 channel.
14 MR. CARTER: So its orientation -- the flow,
15 then, would have been east-west --
16 THE WITNESS: East-west.
17 MR. CARTER: -- rather than north-south?
18 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
19 Okay. A really large sandstone channel with a
20 lot of water in it. In many mines we encounter sandstone
21 channels and they'll have some water in it but not a lot
22 of water. And some of them will drill up to the roof and
23 they'll encounter maybe two or three feet of saturated
24 zone of the channel and the upper part will be dry. But
25 this was a very large channel and it has an awful lot of

1 water in it.

2 What I'd like to do now is go over some of the

3 results. What we've done here -- this chart (Indicating)

4 summarizes the carbon 14 and tritium analysis that was

5 done in the mine. Part of this data was presented by

6 Peter earlier, and other portions we have collected. The

7 Big Bear Spring, Little Bear Spring, Birch Spring, etc.

8 -- these are data that Peter had presented, and these

9 are essentially out of one of his figures.

10 Some of the other data -- we've collected

11 carbon 14 on SBC-9 Source, 3rd West South, 3rd West

12 Bleeder, and DH-2. SBC-9 Source is the sandstone channel

13 and it's here (Indicating). It's the drill hole that

14 goes up. 3rd West Bleeder, of course, would be here

15 (Indicating). The 3rd West South is -- goes through the

16 fault, so that's actually collecting water on the other

17 side of the fault zone. Then DH-2 is collecting out of

18 the sandstone beneath the coal seam.

19 What we find here -- found some really

20 interesting results. We also resampled -- and I believe

21 this is one that Mr. Peter Nielsen had done: 2.2 TU.

22 Is that correct? Yeah.

23 We went through and resampled that and sent

24 that to the mining -- tritium laboratory and came up with

25 zero TUs.

1 MR. HANSEN: Can we get this marked as Exhibit
2 3? In fact, to avoid confusion, can we have the Co-Op
3 Mine exhibits begin with "C" -- C-1, C-2, C-3?
4 MR. CARTER: Fine. Let's do that.
5 THE WITNESS: What we found -- we found some
6 really interesting things. First of all, we found that
7 the water on the west side of the Blind Canyon Fault has
8 a groundwater age somewhere in the neighborhood of 5,000
9 years. And this is the oldest water that we found in the
10 area. It's considerably older than the water that we
11 find in the sandstone channel and it's considerably older
12 than the water that we find in the sandy horizon beneath
13 the coal seam.
14 Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Now, this is the water to the
15 west of the fault?
16 A The -- west of the Blind Canyon Fault, correct.
17 Q Outside of the area that's being mined?
18 A That's correct. This is water outside of the
19 area being mined.
20 We found that the water in the sandstone
21 channel appears to have a groundwater age of somewhere in
22 the neighborhood of 1,500 years. And it's a large volume
23 of water. And when we saw such a large volume of water
24 in a fairly young -- fairly young age, it's pretty clear
25 that some of this water is moving somewhere, because it's

1 not uncommon for us to find in mines water that's ten to
2 twenty thousand years old in some of these overlying
3 sandstone channels.
4 So this is -- relative to other mines that we
5 find, this is younger water and large volume of water, so
6 the water -- we've got some movement of water, and I'll
7 talk about our analysis on that movement of water.
8 We find that the water in that overlying
9 sandstone channel has a slightly different age than the
10 water underlying the coal seam, which is about 1,000
11 years.
12 Okay. So those are just some raw data that
13 we've come up with in terms -- to help us to start to
14 understand how the system works.
15 The next thing we did, we wanted to get some
16 idea of flow rates related to the sandstone channel, and
17 so we required from Co-Op their data on discharge related
18 to the sandstone channel. And this hydrograph -- here's
19 the hydrograph of the discharge along that sandstone
20 channel (Indicating). The early part of the hydrograph
21 represents -- we believe represents water which, the
22 associated water, was draining out of the lighter blue
23 area on Exhibit C-1.
24 And what was our understanding -- and Mr.
25 Reynolds can verify this -- is that they were mining up

1 into this area (Indicating), they were getting increased
2 roof drips out of -- out of the roof. And this area had
3 a little too much ash in it, so they would mine here,
4 take some coal, go blend it with some other coal.
5 And as they were mining in that area, then
6 they would get increased flows out of it. Then as they
7 would back out, the flow rate would decline. Then they
8 would mine some more into it and the flow would go up.
9 And as they'd back out, the flow rate would decline.
10 There's water clearly coming out of the roof.
11 And we believe, in all likelihood, the sandstone channel
12 will have the main body of the channel and there will be
13 an overbank area up above which is what you're
14 encountering in the light blue area. So it's really a
15 broad-based channel as well as being a long channel.
16 On April 27th is when they first mined right
17 up to the portion of the sandstone channel that starts
18 dropping down and pinching off the coal bed. When they
19 encountered that, the flow rate went up substantially.
20 They have mined up to that, and the location's shown on
21 the map on C-1 where the mine working's right up to the
22 channel. Then they haven't done any mining in there for
23 a considerable period of time.
24 All we see is, the hydrograph of the discharge
25 out of this channel is dropping off. And it looks to us

as if that they've already dewatered a substantial amount of the water out of this channel. If we project this hydrograph and let it decline to -- back down to a near-zero drip rate, it probably will not -- I would not want to draw a line at -- a straight line through this data and come down to the zero line because it'll probably approach an asymptotic value. It's the shape of a curve.

Anyway, they've dewatered a substantial amount of water out of this channel. And this water has an age in -- within the neighborhood of, say, 1,500 years.

We wanted to, then, get an idea of "Well, what does all this mean?"

MR. HANSEN: Have we marked this discharge graph as Exhibit C-4?

MR. CARTER: Let's do that.

THE WITNESS: You know, my immediate concern was: Here I've got a really large channel. It's discharging an awful lot of water and the age isn't too terribly old. We've got to have water in this thing that's flowing somewhere. It's part of a flow system. You know, flow system's got a recharge source. It's got a flow path and a discharge. And I get some really old waters in these mines and not much flow. I'm content. We got some there in the Pleistocene and it's sitting

1 around.

2 But in this one, water's flowing and I wanted

3 to know something about "Well, what could this possibly

4 mean in terms of discharge to the outside world?" if it

5 were. It's not clear to me where this water is actually

6 flowing to. But I wanted to do some calculations to try

7 to understand. Well, let's assume that it's flowing to

8 the outside world instead of flowing along this channel

9 then continuing to stay in the subsurface. So we did

10 some calculations. We've made a little cartoon here.

11 Made a little cartoon. The width of the

12 channel -- certainly not to scale, but certainly to give

13 us some idea of what this thing might be looking like.

14 This is a map view. Of course, we have the sandstone

15 channel. It has some link. It's bounded by some faults.

16 It's my view that in this part of the world,

17 the Blind Canyon Fault is really a no-flow -- barrier to

18 flow. So I feel comfortable about that. The length on

19 the other end when it gets to the Bear Canyon Fault, it's

20 likely to have been displaced because the displacement

21 along that fault is so great there are some boundaries to

22 the width of this thing.

23 MR. CARTER: Can I ask you a question?

24 THE WITNESS: Sure.

25 MR. CARTER: The 3rd West South -- that is,

1 the well that sampled water on the west side of the fault
2 -- did you run into hydrostatic head? What I'm asking
3 is, Is the fault an aquiclude in the sense that there's
4 standing water on the west side of the fault under
5 pressure of some sort and you poke through the two feet
6 of gouge and got flow?
7 THE WITNESS: The drill hole, it's horizontal.
8 MR. REYNOLDS: It goes --
9 THE WITNESS: -- slightly up.
10 MR. REYNOLDS: -- slightly up.
11 THE WITNESS: So there has to be enough head
12 to let water flow out. But it wasn't like a garden
13 hose. Water is squirting out of this thing.
14 MR. CARTER: But there was water on the west
15 side and no water on the east side at the point you
16 sampled?
17 THE WITNESS: Where we sampled, that's
18 correct. And so, you know, I feel pretty confident, at
19 least in that part of the world, the Blind Canyon Fault,
20 where the coal seams are, is not transmitting water. So
21 let me get some idea of what may be happening in terms of
22 the natural flux of water, or flow of water, through this
23 channel area.
24 And so we did a pretty simple calculation.
25 First, we took and calculated how much water has

1 discharged out of the channel to date. And to date, we
2 have had somewhere in the neighborhood of 9.6 X 10^8
3 gallons. A lot of water has come out of the channel.
4 MR. CARTER: So 9.6 X 10^8?
5 THE WITNESS: Yes, which would be nine hundred
6 sixty-eight -- almost a billion gallons of water.
7 Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Has come out or is in the
8 channel?
9 A Has discharged.
10 Q Your calculation shows --
11 A I'm sorry. Half. Am I doing this wrong?
12 Yes. Half of that. A half a billion gallons of water
13 has discharged out of the channel.
14 I then looked at this hydrograph and tried to
15 get some idea how much water might be in this channel.
16 And it appears to me that if this hydrograph continues to
17 decline the way it is, it would be a reasonable
18 assumption that approximately half the water has already
19 discharged out of the channel, another half is left to
20 discharge out of the channel.
21 If that's the case, then I have some idea of
22 how much water the channel held before the mining
23 encountered it. And by doubling that half a million --
24 half a billion gallons of water to almost a billion
25 gallons of water and dividing it by the mean age of the

1 water, I get a flux rate of water flowing through this
2 channel and discharging out at some location of in the
3 neighborhood of 1.2 gallons per minute.
4 Now, when you take a large quantity of water
5 and we think of it in terms of the time frame of our own
6 experience -- you know, the leaking toilet or the
7 dripping facet -- you know, that's a short time frame.
8 But when you think of it in terms of 1,500 years and you
9 calculate out the number of minutes that you have,
10 suddenly the flow rates go way down.
11 And so that's the kind of flux that appears to
12 us is a reasonable number for water to be flowing through
13 this. And that becomes significant because if this water
14 -- the flow of this water would naturally appear to the
15 outer world somewhere, that's the kind of amount --
16 quantities of water that would be discharging at some
17 spring somewhere. So --
18 Q At a spring or --
19 A Wherever. To a creek. Whatever the location.
20 Q Could it discharge to the surface and simply
21 effloresce?
22 A It could discharge to the surface. Depending
23 on how it's discharging to the surface, it could go up as
24 evapotranspiration. And I'm not making any claims where
25 this water went. I'm just simply trying to get an idea

1 particularly when you start factoring in volumes of water
2 which may be involved.
3 MR. HANSEN: Can we mark this as Exhibit C-5
4 so we don't lose track?
5 THE WITNESS: Okay. So that's what we have
6 worked up with -- with the -- looking at the isotopic
7 data. So what I'd like to do now is how I envision the
8 flow system inside of the mine and what it might mean.
9 And I would like to talk briefly about the two springs in
10 question and what we've looked at in terms of the two
11 springs in question.
12 Because the mine -- the roof of the mine is
13 dry and has all appearances of not transmitting
14 substantial quantities of water, and even after it's been
15 opened doesn't have the appearance of transmitting
16 substantial quantities of water until we get in the
17 northern area where this sandstone channel is, I find it
18 difficult to believe that you'd really be getting any
19 appreciable recharge from the overlying bedrock at the
20 surface down through the mine to the underlying
21 formations, because we just don't have any evidence of
22 water vertically flowing through there.
23 Plus, you have the problem of how do you get
24 water through these coal seams. But just looking in
25 terms of potential water coming through the roof, we

1 don't see any evidence that there really has been any
2 appreciable amount of vertical recharge in that portion
3 of the mine.
4 I don't see any evidence that there is a
5 hydrologic connection between the various coal seams in
6 the portions of the mine that are completely dry. It
7 appears to me that the Blind Canyon Fault does not
8 transmit water, in other words, acts as a barrier for
9 groundwater which will be in overlying rocks and likely
10 underlying rocks associated with the coal seams. It is
11 likely that the large fault up Bear Canyon is -- also
12 inhibits the flow of groundwater.
13 So we have a system in here in which we've got
14 some mechanism for recharging at a slow rate, 1.2 gallons
15 a minute. This channel, this east-west channel -- that
16 channel is hydrologically not connected to other
17 hydrologic systems within the mine. And if we look at
18 the ages of the water, we look at the age of the water in
19 DH-2 relative to the age of the water in the overlying
20 channel, the water in the channel really is pretty much
21 older than the water that we find in DH-2.
22 Okay. So that's kind of the view that I have
23 of what's going on inside of the mine.
24 We then went and looked at Big Bear Spring.
25 And Big Bear Spring's an interesting spring. It's near

1 the creek. If you were to track -- chase out the contact
2 of the sandstone that Big Bear Spring discharges from and
3 you were to walk that contact out, next thing you know,
4 you're in Bear Creek.
5 And the rocks that are fractured in that area
6 -- the fracturing of that sandstone -- you know, it's my
7 view that that stream or that creek -- that spring has a
8 pretty good hydrologic connection with the creek. And
9 we'll present some testimony later to talk about the
10 relationship between the hydrograph in that one and the
11 hydrograph of what goes on in the creek. And I will -- I
12 won't touch upon that any more other than my observation
13 is, it looks like you have a really good opportunity for
14 a hydrologic connection in that area and I'm hard-pressed
15 when I go in the mine to find how am I going to be
16 getting these mining activities to be affecting what's
17 going on at Big Bear.
18 Birch Spring is a water that has some age to
19 it, and it's a water that has -- if I find the right
20 number here -- water that -- it's in the neighborhood of
21 1,900 years -- a small amount -- it's -- a small amount
22 of tritium, which may or may not indicate that you've got
23 a component of some modern water in this thing.
24 I don't see that there's a hydrologic
25 connection. And I have a hard time visualizing the

1 hydrologic connection between Birch Spring and where they
2 have actually encountered the large quantity of water in
3 the mine, which is that sandstone channel. And because
4 of the faulting of the Blind Canyon Fault and the -- I
5 just don't quite see how we get this connection, to go
6 from this basically 1,500-year-old water and then go
7 through basically 1,000-year-old water lying beneath that
8 and then have the water discharge out at Birch Spring.
9 Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Didn't you say this was
10 5,000-year-old water?
11 A We've got -- we've got 1,500-year-old water in
12 the channel. We've got 1,000-year-old water in the
13 sandstone that's beneath the channel. And it appears
14 that there's likely a hydrologic disconnect between this
15 channel and that sandstone beneath it. And there will be
16 other testimony that will be presented that will be
17 talking about that sandstone beneath it. I won't go into
18 any detail on that. Those kinds of connections -- we
19 don't really see that evidence that I like to see to make
20 that type of connection.
21 I won't talk about Birch Spring any more.
22 I'll let others talk about what the -- physically what
23 you see when you go to Birch Spring and some ideas about
24 that.
25 So that's kind of -- I think that is about all

I really need to say.

MR. CARTER: Okay. Thank you. Questions? Questions?

Mr. Hansen, anything further?

MR. HANSEN: No.

MR. CARTER: All right. Mr. Appel. Mr. Smith.

MR. SMITH: I guess I'll go ahead and ask a few questions first.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMITH:

Q You've been retained by Co-Op, as I understand, Dr. Mayo?

A That's correct.

Q And what were you retained specifically to do?

A To help them evaluate the origins and sources of water that they're encountering in the mine and to look at the springs and to help them to understand any relationship that may exist between the hydrogeologic system that we find inside of the mine and the hydrologic systems which are causing the discharge at the two springs.

Q Okay. Now, you did visit the mine?

A Yes.

Q And you spent approximately how long inside the mine?

A Oh, three or four hours.

1 Q And which parts of the mine did you visit?
2 Did you go through the whole mine? Can we just take a
3 minute and look at the chart? Show us which ones --
4 A Enters through here (Indicating). I believe
5 we made a turn, went through here (Indicating). Came
6 along here (Indicating), visited the fault, visited the
7 face of the channel, came back and visited in here
8 (Indicating), then went up to the overlying Tank Seam.
9 And I don't think we have a graphic of the Tank Seam. Is
10 it down here? And then visited -- get myself oriented --
11 I believe we went to about in here, in the Tank Seam
12 (Indicating).
13 MR. CARTER: May I ask a question? Did the
14 Tank Seam workings extend as far north as the Blind
15 Canyon Seam workings?
16 MR. REYNOLDS: Not quite, no.
17 MR. CARTER: But close?
18 MR. REYNOLDS: Close.
19 MR. CARTER: Five hundred feet? A thousand
20 feet? I'm sorry.
21 MR. REYNOLDS: Yeah, there was -- let's see.
22 There's roughly a little over a thousand foot difference.
23 MR. CARTER: All right.
24 THE WITNESS: We didn't see evidence of roof
25 drip water coming out the Tank Seam. And it's my

1 that -- let me back up. If it's not discharging out of
2 there, then they wouldn't measure it. And if it's not
3 discharging out of there, then we don't have any evidence
4 of there being water in there.
5 Q But all they measure -- let me make sure I
6 understand -- make sure you understand my question -- all
7 they measure is what they discharge outside of the mine;
8 is that correct? -- and what they use inside the mine;
9 is that correct?
10 A Process water and discharge water. That is
11 correct.
12 Q There are no measurements of any other water?
13 A You have to understand the water doesn't -- if
14 there's water in a mine, it's either filling up a down-
15 grading end of the mine or it's -- they're using it as
16 process water or it's discharging.
17 Q Or it's moving into other parts of the mine
18 that maybe aren't being worked?
19 A Well, at some point, I would assume that if
20 you have any substantial water in there, you would fill
21 that up. I was just in a mine where they had -- where we
22 encountered a fault and suddenly after, this mine was
23 full of water.
24 Q Okay. Now, also, does water come out with the
25 coal when the coal's mined?

1 A That's the process water and that's part of
2 the process water they keep track of.
3 Q How do they measure that water?
4 A You'll have to ask Mr. Reynolds, and he'll --
5 he's going to have to give you the details of how they do
6 their actual in-mine --
7 MR. HANSEN: Mr. Reynolds testified that was
8 already monitored with the flow meter. That was part of
9 his testimony.
10 Q (BY MR. SMITH) Is there water in the coal
11 seams at all or are they absolutely dry?
12 A Until we -- until we got to the sandstone
13 channel, the coal was dry. I saw no evidence of water.
14 Let me tell you what I look for when I go into
15 a mine.
16 Q Okay.
17 A I look for water in the roof, any signs of
18 present or past water in the roof. And one good example
19 of water in the roof: You walk in and drips fall on your
20 head. Clearly, something's going on.
21 I look for signs of pyrite oxidation because
22 if there's much water dripping out of the coal in the
23 roof, all this coal has pyrite in it and some of that
24 will rust and we'll see that.
25 I then look at the floor. And if they've been

the floor and fracturing in the roof, that if you have a thick sequence of coal, that the coal is going to really inhibit the vertical movement of groundwater.

Q Did you look at any of the water meters in the mine?

A I did not.

Q So can you tell me where the meters are, where the water's metered in the mine?

A I don't know where they are.

Q You do know?

A I do not.

Q You do not know where they are?

A I do not know. You have to talk to others to --

Q I just want to ask what you know. We'll get to others later, Dr. Mayo. We'll take our time with you and find out what you know. Believe me, I'll ask the others.

A All of the flow data was data that was provided to me by Co-Op.

Q Did you do anything to verify any of that data?

A I did nothing to verify that data.

Q Did you gather any data yourself?

A I gathered samples for isotopic analysis.

Q And that was on November 13th?

A That is correct.

A I don't have an opinion on that right now. That's right.

Q And so you don't have any opinion on potentiometric surfaces below the mine?

A I have an opinion -- you know, I have looked at the potentiometric data.

Q Well, I think you'd have to answer my question. You say you don't have an opinion on that yet you're relying on that data?

A Well --

Q You've got to give me an opinion on whether you think there's enough data there.

A Well, I didn't -- I don't believe my direct testimony really got into the idea of what those potentiometric surfaces were doing. Did it?

MR. ERIK PETERSEN: That's right.

THE WITNESS: I don't want to be an attorney.

MR. HANSEN: If you want to ask him about that, you can ask him.

MR. CARTER: Find out if he's got an opinion.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) So you have no opinion on the potentiometric surfaces?

A Well, I do have some opinions.

Q Tell what me what your opinion on the potentiometric surfaces is.

A If we could have some of the earlier graphics -- this is the exhibit I want right here (Indicating). This is Exhibit 5.

MR. CARTER: This is the water users' Exhibit 5.

THE WITNESS: Water users' Exhibit 5, yes.

I have some opinions about this graphic. One of them --

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Well, before you get into that, I haven't asked you any opinions about that.

A I thought that's where you were going.

MR. HANSEN: I thought you asked him if he had an opinion about the potentiometric surface and what were those opinions.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Let me see if I can get us through this a little easier. Do you have an opinion about the potentiometric surface in the Bear Canyon Mine?

A You use the word "surface." And there are several horizons that transmit water, and each of those have a different water level, so there's really going to be, first of all, more than one potentiometric point --

Q Okay.

A -- at any given location. And I think we're operating from the idea here -- which I don't necessarily totally agree with -- is that based on -- I have to think of the best way to describe this --

MR. CARTER: May I interject something?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. CARTER: I don't know if this is helpful or not, but I think there was testimony last time or the very first time that there was a zone of saturation and a surface level of the zone of saturation. And there was a lot of discussion about that surface level of that zone of saturation lying at a point beneath the floor of the mine.

And I don't know, Craig, whether you're headed toward "Is it saturated from there to the center of the earth?" or, you know, how thick -- I'm not sure what's happening. But it seemed to me we were talking about the potentiometric surface being -- or a major one being one that lay beneath the floor of the mine. And where -- I remember where it intercepted the workings, and all those sorts of things.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, that's kind of like where we're heading. That is where we're heading.

THE WITNESS: All right. You have some sandstone layers, and where those sandstone layers are continuous and where there is enough hydraulic conductivity -- horizontal hydraulic conductivity, you can have -- that entire layer may be saturated with water.

Where you're dealing with the coal sequences,

1 we find we don't have this kind of horizontal hydraulic
2 conductivity even just above the coal sequence because
3 you have clays and you have sandstone channels. So when
4 you're in the vicinity of the coal sequences in the
5 Blackhawk, we find really discontinuous bodies of water.
6 And that's what we find everywhere: discontinuous bodies
7 of water. When you get deeper into some of the more
8 continuous sandstones, you can find a more continuous
9 body of water.
10 Now, the thing that I think would be a mistake
11 to be jumping to is that if you've got a potentiometric
12 surface that implies that all the rock from where you --
13 that the point where you're measuring the water -- that
14 all the rock above that up to the potentiometric surface
15 is saturated. It doesn't mean that at all. It means
16 there's a pressure point there.
17 If I'm standing on the downstream side of the
18 dam, the potentiometric surface of the dam of the water
19 is above me and I'm at the low potentiometric point,
20 which means water will run down, but I'm dry. And I
21 think we need to keep that idea clearly in mind, the
22 difference between potential and flow. Potential's the
23 pressure.
24 Q (BY MR. SMITH) Okay. I think that's helpful.
25 Let's go back to the chart for a minute. Let

me ask you this question, Dr. Mayo: Did you rely on data from the three wells -- or now I guess there's four wells that were drilled inside the mine. Did you rely on that data in the formation of any of your opinions today?

A Not opinions that I presented. The opinions that I presented today were to try to look at -- based on observations that I made where I physically see water in the mine -- whatever we know about the ages of the water, what can I infer about faults, what can I infer about this particular channel that we saw. And those would be the opinions that I really presented today.

Q Okay. Make sure I understand where we're at. There was data taken from those wells about age of water below the coal?

A DH-2.

Q Okay. And I take it the age of the water below the coal helped you to form your opinion? At least you testified to that?

A Yes. It's about 1,000 years old. That's a mean age now, remember. Mean age.

Q Would it have been helpful to have more than one point to have taken that data from throughout the mine to come to the conclusion about the age of water below the coal?

A At that point the mean age is in the

1 neighborhood of 1,000 years. If we had more points, then
2 we would have more data and -- yes.
3 Q If you were doing an academic exercise,
4 wouldn't you have gotten more points to help you have a
5 better opinion?
6 A I viewed this as an academic exercise.
7 Q Okay.
8 A When I do science, when I do a project -- this
9 is hard to convince clients at first, is -- I'm going to
10 go in and I'm going to do it, treat this like a science
11 and try to understand the system, and then I'll try to
12 help you understand what it means to whatever your
13 problem is.
14 Q Okay. Let me put it this way: If you would
15 have had the choice of picking any points of taking water
16 for age testing below the coal, would you have just
17 picked one?
18 A I just picked one.
19 Q Would you have just picked one, though, if you
20 had had that opportunity, just take it from anywhere?
21 A I made those decisions.
22 Q So it's your opinion -- and I want to make
23 sure we understand this -- that one point is sufficient --
24 A This --
25 Q -- to form your conclusion?

1 A -- this one point is sufficient to help me
2 relate what's going on beneath the coal here and the area
3 where we have water. And that's where I was looking at,
4 trying to understand those relationships, because you
5 remember what I was doing was not a full-blown
6 characterization of this system; it's more of a
7 limited --
8 Q Well, tell me how limited your opinion is.
9 A Well, I think I made direct testimony as to
10 what -- to what I think -- what my opinions are and what
11 the direct data and -- and what my calculations are. I
12 think they were pretty clear.
13 Q Is it possible, Dr. Mayo, the age of water --
14 say we picked a point right here (Indicating) below the
15 coal, than a point right here (Indicating).
16 A Yes.
17 Q What about right here (Indicating)? Could it
18 be different age right here?
19 A Yes.
20 Q You just don't know?
21 A Well, I think the way you say "You just don't
22 know" is -- when you're dating water, what you're getting
23 is the collection of all those water molecules that
24 recharge at different times under different -- whatever
25 the mechanisms are, they all come together and we've got

1 this chunk of water. And we're not dating a single
2 molecule, so you can have slight differences that are
3 going on.
4 It's like when you presented -- came down here
5 and you collected Bear Spring at one point. We could
6 make the same argument that maybe you should have been
7 sampling Bear Spring every month and doing carbon 14 and
8 tritium on it for every month for five years and then we
9 should have this area --
10 Q All I want to make clear, Dr. Mayo, is, you
11 said the age of the water under the coal is a certain
12 age.
13 A At that point.
14 Q And your testimony, was not limited to that,
15 as I recall. You said the age of the water under the
16 coal -- there was not any limitation in your testimony,
17 Dr. Mayo. And you infer -- at least I infer from your
18 testimony you were talking about the whole mine. Now we
19 find out it's taken from one sample point.
20 A It's taken from one sample point.
21 Q And you don't know what the age is at other
22 points under the mine?
23 A That is correct.
24 Q Thank you.
25 I want to try to understand a little bit

1 better about this sandstone channel we've been talking
2 about. I'm not a geologist. I'm not a hydrologist. How
3 big is this sandstone channel that we're talking about?
4 A I don't know. I believe the length of it is
5 going to basically run from the Blind Canyon Fault to the
6 big bounding fault on the east side. Its width I don't
7 understand, and I don't understand what its depth is.
8 I've seen the bottom of it, portions of the bottom of it,
9 so I know that it's clearly a channel. You see this
10 thing coming down like this (Indicating).
11 Q Can you point out the boundaries of it on this
12 exhibit? I believe this is Exhibit 1. Can you point out
13 the boundaries?
14 A I have seen the channel here and I have seen
15 the channel here (Indicating). And I believe someone
16 else will present testimony that they've seen the channel
17 here (Indicating).
18 Is that true or not?
19 MR. REYNOLDS: We never quite hit that channel.
20 THE WITNESS: Never quite hit the channel there.
21 Q (BY MR. SMITH) You show a boundary on here.
22 This is similar to some of the questions you asked Mr.
23 Nielsen about boundaries and coals, so I guess you
24 understand what I'm getting at.
25 A Absolutely.

1 Q Do you know that this boundary exists here
2 (Indicating)? Is that just a guess?
3 A That's a fault and I've seen it in -- right
4 here (Indicating).
5 Q Okay.
6 A So I physically have seen it right here
7 (Indicating).
8 Q And you believe the fault is a boundary for
9 the channel?
10 A In this part of the world, where -- yes. And
11 that's based on ages of water I get on the one side and
12 the age of the water in the sandstone channel itself, and
13 also looking at the fault gouge itself.
14 And do not take Exhibit 1-C as being
15 necessarily a true and accurate depiction of where these
16 channels are. When you look at the channels, this is --
17 in class I call it a cartoon, but here I'll call it a
18 diagram, illustrating it for illustrative purposes what
19 we think is going on.
20 Q Okay. And so there's another fault along this
21 edge here (Indicating)?
22 A The Bear Canyon Fault runs -- am I correct? --
23 down in here, down in here (Indicating), and runs --
24 Q So the channel can continue down here
25 (Indicating)? You just don't know?

A That is correct. And I did not use this in an area calculation, okay? I did not try to approach the problem of how much water flux may be going through this on the basis of an area calculation because I don't have enough data on the area of the channel.

Q Tell me what sorts of testing you could do that would be helpful -- testing or data collection that would help you to better understand this channel.

MR. CARTER: Drill 50 holes in it.

THE WITNESS: If we knew the geometry of the channel, that would be extremely helpful. That would help you define the volume of the rock. Then you -- I understand something about porosity of the rock and get some idea of what the specific retention of the rock will be. Then we can get a better idea of the volume of water that's in this thing.

MR. HANSEN: I suppose someone could go in and mine out the entire thing and you'd know exactly what it was.

MR. SMITH: Mark, come on. Are you going to -- well --

MR. HANSEN: This is informal.

MR. SMITH: Well, I know it's informal.

MR. CARTER: Let me make an observation. I have geology in my background as well. So the way -- I

1 think I understand that Dr. Mayo would not testify that
2 based on observation points he would verify that there is
3 no possibility that something else could be happening.
4 But I understand his testimony to be that "I looked at
5 these six pieces of information and then tried to make
6 them fit in some sort of coherent puzzle."
7 And to answer your question, I said
8 facetiously, "50 drills holes." That's what all
9 geologists want is a well density of about ten meters so
10 you got the thing drilled like Swiss cheese. Then you
11 know exactly what you got and where it is. And I
12 understand the argument that the water users are making.
13 They're saying, "This is too skeletal to be able to draw
14 the conclusion that you did." But also, I don't believe
15 that I understood Dr. Mayo to say he's verifying the
16 exact configuration of the channel and so forth. He's
17 saying, "Here's my theory based on what I'm observing."
18 THE WITNESS: I wish I'd said that.
19 MR. SMITH: Thanks Jim. That's helpful.
20 MR. HANSEN: Incorporated my reference.
21 Q (BY MR. SMITH) I'm trying to understand the
22 channel in a 3-D way. Do you know how deep it goes, or
23 we don't know?
24 A I don't know how deep it goes. There's
25 literature on what a lot of these channels look like.

1 And we've looked at the literature, but I don't know what
2 -- the geometry of this. But I feel fairly comfortable
3 the thing has got some length to it and I feel fairly
4 comfortable what its orientation is, but in terms of the
5 rest of the geometry of the channel, I don't understand it.
6 Q Okay. That's helpful to know.
7 Now, do you know where the channel is being
8 recharged --
9 A I don't know.
10 Q -- from?
11 A I believe my direct testimony -- I said I
12 don't know where it's being recharged from and I don't
13 know where it's discharging. But I -- based on the data
14 we have, I feel that we have an idea what the flux
15 through the channel is.
16 Q But water is moving --
17 A Oh, absolutely.
18 Q -- through the channel?
19 So you believe it is discharging somewhat?
20 A It's flowing somewhere. And that by
21 reference, that means ultimately it has to discharge
22 somewhere, right. There is no giant sink, no black hole
23 for groundwater out here.
24 MR. CARTER: It's going somewhere.
25 THE WITNESS: It comes out somewhere.

1 Q (BY MR. SMITH) And it's recharging
2 somewhere. We just don't know?
3 A That's correct.
4 Q When you talked about the mines being dry,
5 you're talking about this area of the mine (Indicating),
6 not the area in blue?
7 A That is correct.
8 Q And you wouldn't have the same testimony for
9 the so-called wet area of the mine?
10 A No, that -- you walk in there and water will
11 fall on your head.
12 Q How would you compare the wet area of the mine
13 to other mines you've been in in this area?
14 A In the light blue, fairly comfortable. Where
15 we hit the sandstone channel itself, I've seen those
16 kinds of flows in a couple of other mines. You know,
17 they're localized, though. When you find any large
18 discharge, it's typically localized.
19 And you've heard testimony -- and I'll
20 collaborate that -- that what happens normally is, you'll
21 be mining along. There will be some water in some of the
22 sands above you, but the water drains out because it's
23 not a very thick saturated sequence. It drains out.
24 Then it becomes dry. And that's -- you know, I think
25 this mine pretty much fits that pattern until you run

1 into this big channel. And I think that channel itself
2 is being dewatered right now as we speak.
3 MR. CARTER: Let me ask a question. One of
4 the concepts -- my own concept, at least, here was that
5 the water in the north end of the mine was coming from
6 the floor or from the working face. It's now clear that
7 the water is coming from above --
8 THE WITNESS: From above.
9 MR. CARTER: -- at that point of the mine.
10 THE WITNESS: If I could draw a cartoon here --
11 MR. SMITH: Go ahead. I'm going to sit down
12 and look at my notes; so you go ahead and draw a cartoon.
13 THE WITNESS: If we were to do a north-south
14 cross section of the channel, if we start right in here
15 somewhere (Indicating), here's the roof of the mine going
16 like this (Indicating); then you come down to where the
17 channel is and it kind of truncates like this (Indicating).
18 I think what's going on, we've got this
19 channel here and we've got this kind of overbank area of
20 the channel, something like this (Indicating). Am I
21 doing okay? And so when they hit the -- you hit the
22 smaller amount of water, you're at -- I'm not sure what
23 the thickness of this thing is -- but you're in this part
24 of it (Indicating), you know, and when you get into where
25 the bigger quantity of it -- you're in the thicker part

1 of the channel.

2 So I think we're looking at one of the edges

3 of this big stream of some sort with smaller overbank

4 flow going off to the south end. And when you run out of

5 water, I think we're pretty much out of that overbank

6 flow area. But I don't know what the rest of the

7 geometry of this thing looks like.

8 MR. CARTER: These are custom coals, right? I

9 mean, this is a stream delta --

10 THE WITNESS: That's right.

11 MR. CARTER: -- into a lake? Freshwater?

12 Saltwater? An ocean?

13 Q (BY MR. SMITH) Okay. Let me move on. I want

14 to ask you a question about Exhibit 3, if you want to

15 look at that, Dr. Mayo. As I've said before, and I'll

16 say many times during the course of this hearing, I'm no

17 geologist, no hydrologist, but if I look at the age of

18 the water here for your various tests, it appears to me

19 that Birch Spring is very similar in age to what you call

20 the channel water.

21 A Yes, uh-huh (Affirmative).

22 Q Can you draw any inferences from that similar

23 age or not?

24 A Well, if you want to have -- and I forget what

25 the discharge out of Birch Spring is -- you're going to

1 have to have a pretty incredible conduit to get that
2 quantity of water out to Birch Spring that rapidly.
3 MR. CARTER: Is Birch Spring west of the Blind
4 Canyon Fault or on the fault?
5 Q (BY MR. SMITH) Yeah, can you point out where
6 Birch Spring is on that map, Dr. Mayo? That may be
7 helpful.
8 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure it shows up on that
9 map.
10 MR. HANSEN: It's quite a bit west. Eight
11 hundred feet west.
12 MR. CARTER: So it would be off that map to
13 the top, right?
14 MR. NIELSEN: The top corner.
15 Q (BY MR. SMITH) So it would be like in this
16 area right in here (Indicating)? You know, I'm just
17 wondering if Birch Spring intercepts the same channel
18 water from another part of the channel.
19 A Well, at least where we see the water in the
20 channel, I don't think that the fault is transmitting
21 water across it. And I think you look at the age of the
22 water just on the other side of it, I think that's what's
23 going on. When we go further to the south, I don't know
24 -- I don't know how the fault would behave there in
25 terms of barrier/nonbarrier, because I just don't have

any data.

MR. CARTER: Craig, I understand your question to be, Could the Birch Spring water be channel water maybe from that portion of the channel on the west side of the fault even --

MR. SMITH: Uh-huh (Affirmative).

MR. CARTER: -- whether or not it's crossing the fault?

THE WITNESS: If we did that kind of calculation, though, and we did the calculation looking at fluxes of water versus age of water, we'd run out of water really fast if Birch Spring was what was draining -- was being fed by that channel, because the channel -- the hydrograph looks like we're dewatering the channel. So Birch Spring should have dewatered it a long time ago because it's discharging a lot greater rate than 1.2 gallons a minute.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) That gets to my next question. Exhibit 4 is the discharge from the channel, and you say it's going down, but it seems to have gone up and down. And actually, the last measurement looks fairly steady. The last five measurements are fairly steady. Maybe we've hit a steady state.

A You also had another period in there where it appears to have hit steady state and gone up. If you

1 look at this hydrograph in its totality, we did decline
2 on it, and in another year we'll have more data on it and
3 I believe that you can see this thing is declining.
4 There's also -- Charles -- Mr. Reynolds is
5 going to have to testify about, you know, how they do
6 their measurements and those kinds of things. And
7 there's always a certain amount of plus or minus values
8 when you're doing a measurement. And these are --
9 Q Well, as I understand your chart, the line on
10 here above the year '93, that's when you say --
11 A Actually exposed the bare part of the channel,
12 yes.
13 Q And then right after they expose it, it
14 dropped down?
15 A Well, remember, they encountered it in two
16 different times. And Mr. Reynolds will have to testify
17 exactly how their mining related to this. But, you know,
18 they drove tunnels into the channel at two different
19 times and I don't believe those were simultaneous. I'd
20 be surprised if they are. But Mr. Reynolds will have to
21 testify about that.
22 Q Okay. It's been up and down but they were
23 also encountering a fair amount of water before they hit
24 the channel. What water is that?
25 A That would be the water that is in the light

1 blue area here (Indicating).
2 Q Is that water shown on your Exhibit 3?
3 A Which is -- yes, that would be before the line
4 -- oh, no, that would be -- let's see.
5 Q I'm trying to correlate your exhibits and
6 Exhibit 3 to Exhibit 4.
7 A Where was our --
8 MR. ERIK PETERSEN: I'm lost here. Which
9 exhibit are we after?
10 MR. CARTER: Exhibit 3 is the chemistry.
11 MR. HANSEN: The diagram that Mr. Mayo is
12 holding in his hand is Exhibit 1. The one that is posted
13 on the clipboard is Exhibit 2.
14 THE WITNESS: Which one is -- is it going to
15 be --
16 MR. HANSEN: And Exhibit 2 is basically
17 Exhibit 1 without the blue coloration. Is that clarified?
18 MR. SMITH: That's fine. I guess my question
19 to Dr. Mayo --
20 THE WITNESS: We don't really have -- I don't
21 have a sample from that because both of our -- of our
22 sandstones in the channel were from the main body or --
23 from the main body or near the main body of the channel.
24 One was a drill hole that went up into it and the other
25 was on the face of it.

1 Q (BY MR. SMITH) What was -- I'll call it the
2 prechannel water, just for identification -- what was the
3 relationship of the prechannel water to the channel
4 water?
5 A I would think it's part of the same hydrologic
6 system. That's the assumption that I'm going to make here.
7 Q I see. And do we know the age of the
8 prechannel water --
9 A No. I think we just covered that. Don't have
10 a good sample because a lot of that drainage, remember,
11 already went on before we --
12 MR. HANSEN: Is that area pretty well
13 dewatered now?
14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. There are some drips.
15 There are not enormous flows coming out of it. There are
16 some drips that one encounters. And others would have to
17 testify about, you know, the decline of those as you look
18 at the drips.
19 MR. HANSEN: So there really isn't any water
20 in that area to sample at this point; is that accurate?
21 THE WITNESS: Well, as you get closer to the
22 main body of the channel, then more drips start to occur,
23 but there were no really good flows coming out of it.
24 Q (BY MR. SMITH) But you could have found
25 enough water to sample. We're just talking about a

1 bottle of water.

2 A I'm not sure I could have gotten a good sample

3 for tritium analysis, if that's your question, because

4 tritium -- you've got to be careful with tritium because

5 you've got the atmosphere in small drips, and lots of bad

6 things can happen to your analysis -- to your sample.

7 Q Did you try to take a sample of what I'm

8 calling the prechannel water?

9 A No.

10 Q And you didn't look to take one? I mean, you

11 weren't looking for a source to take that?

12 A If there had been a really good source of

13 water, I would have grabbed it.

14 Q At least in the parts of the mine that you

15 went into?

16 A That's correct. I'm not in the business --

17 well, never mind. We try to get data is what we try to

18 get.

19 Q You know, if you read -- and I'm sure you know

20 these much better than I do -- there's been a number of

21 USGS studies -- and I don't know if we'll go through some

22 of those, but there's been a number of USGS studies done

23 on coal mining and aquifers and things, and they always

24 talk about the regional aquifer.

25 A And they're wrong. I'm going to make a

statement right here: They're wrong.

Q Explain to us how they're wrong.

A There's been a lot of discussion in some of the literature about regional aquifers and Price River Formation, for example. Go try to find one. You can't find any. Some of these formations will carry water really well and others will not carry water really well. The Flagstaff will carry water.

There's commonly discharge of springs at the contact between the North Horn and the Price River. It's very difficult to find many springs in the Blackhawk Formation -- very difficult. And the reason is, the Blackhawk Formation doesn't transmit a lot of water. Ages of water in the Blackhawk, particularly when you get away from a mine face commonly go up -- very large numbers: ten, twelve thousand years. When you get into the sandstone beneath the Blackhawk, you commonly can find quite a bit of water in it. So the idea of these big regional aquifers, it's just not a true concept.

And we're in the process now of doing a big study on a regionwide basis and at some point in the not-too-distant future, we're going to be describing what we think is going on with these systems. Some of them will carry water; some will not carry water. But the idea you got to saturate a thickness from the top of the

1 would characterize that? I want to try to fit it in with
2 some of the other literature I've been reading in my
3 spare moments.
4 A Yeah, I think in the context of the literature
5 that you've been reading, I think "perched" would
6 probably be the way to describe that.
7 Q Okay. And when you say the water's moving
8 through the channel -- which directions? Do you know
9 which directions? Is it moving vertically or
10 horizontally or both?
11 A Horizontally.
12 Q Is it also moving vertically as well?
13 A Well, now it is, out the bottom, moving
14 horizontally in a major way. I don't believe that those
15 coal seams prior to this would allow it to be moving much
16 -- to be moving vertically. And then if we look at the
17 calculations I did on flux, you can't have a lot of water
18 moving through this thing at all.
19 Q Except through -- I guess, if there were
20 faults and fractures in the coal seams?
21 A We're still down to -- what is this? A one-
22 gallon bucket?
23 MR. HANSEN: Looks like it.
24 THE WITNESS: A couple of these a year -- a
25 couple of those a minute.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Of water moving vertically? Is that what you're saying?

MR. HANSEN: Totally.

THE WITNESS: Total water.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) I'm sorry. I'm lost.

A Total water. Total water flowing through it by whatever mechanism you want. And if you look at rocks in general, if you look at the vertical versus the horizontal hydraulic conductivity, unless there's some big fault, some vertical avenue where you get increased vertical hydraulic conductivity, the horizontal is -- in almost every sedimentary rock is going to be substantially greater than the vertical, orders of magnitude difference in hydraulic conductivity. And that's really what's going to control the flow of water. And horizontal doesn't mean tangentially to the surface of the earth. It's going to move parallel to whatever the structures are.

MR. CARTER: Just for my own understanding as much as for anything, the way to say this would be that the water that's in the channel is not 20,000-year-old isolated water that was laid down --

THE WITNESS: At the end of the last glaciation.

MR. CARTER: -- a long time ago. There is

1 flow in there?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 MR. CARTER: But that the age of the water and

4 the projected, basically, decline curve that you would

5 project based on what's been discharged and what you

6 anticipate the discharge to be would suggest to you it's

7 only moving at the rate of a gallon or two a minute, that

8 the rate is very slow?

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

10 MR. CARTER: It's not absolutely static?

11 THE WITNESS: That's right.

12 MR. CARTER: It's not moderate either?

13 THE WITNESS: That's right.

14 Q (BY MR. SMITH) You said that it was likely

15 the faults inhibit the flow in the channel. Can you give

16 me a little more detail on that?

17 A Well, where we looked at the fault, at the

18 Blind Canyon Fault, on the one side of the fault we've

19 got water which is 5,000 years old. On the other side in

20 the channel I had younger water. I looked at the fault

21 gouge itself, brought back a few pieces. The fault gouge

22 shows -- was dry. There wasn't water coming up out of

23 the floor, out of the roof opposite the fault gouge. It

24 was a dry fault zone.

25 So in that part of the world it looked as if

1 the faulting has caused a substantial amount of gouge.
2 And that gouge may be -- it appears to be playing a
3 role. It could be something else on the other side of
4 the fault that is causing this as well, but at least we
5 do have no evidence of water coming across that and we
6 have a lot of gouge.
7 Then you go to the big bounding fault on the
8 east side. It's got several hundred feet of
9 displacement. And I haven't encountered that inside of
10 the mine, so I can't give you any direct testimony on it
11 other than it's got an awful lot of displacement on it,
12 which is going -- to get water to flow across it, you're
13 going to, one, not have a fault gouge like this that's
14 going to prevent flow; and secondly, you're going to have
15 to get two rock units opposite each other that have high
16 enough hydraulic conductivity so water can flow through
17 it.
18 In my experience looking at -- when you go
19 inside a mine, once in a while we'll find water
20 associated with a fault but it's not flowing through the
21 gouge itself; it's flowing on one side or the other. The
22 gouge is soft -- I mean, if you have water flowing
23 through the gouge, it dissolves.
24 Q Okay. Were you asked to look at the decline
25 of flow of Birch or Big Bear Spring?

1 A I did not analyze that. I mean, I looked at
2 it but I didn't analyze it from the perspective of
3 presenting any testimony.
4 Q So you have no testimony to present on why
5 those springs are producing less water now than they were
6 a few years ago?
7 A No. I'll let others do that.
8 Q That was just outside the scope of your
9 responsibility?
10 A Yes.
11 Q That's outside of what you were asked to do.
12 Is any of the information that you presented
13 -- is that found in the PHC?
14 A I don't believe so. Well, I'm not sure.
15 Maybe some of the things about the sandstone channel and
16 dripping out of the sandstone channel, that sort of
17 thing. But the isotopic information is not in the PHC.
18 And it's not in most PHCs, except for mine.
19 Q Except for the ones you do?
20 MR. CARTER: I'm making notes here.
21 MR. ERIK PETERSEN: This is an advertisement.
22 THE WITNESS: That was a blatant advertisement.
23 Q (BY MR. SMITH) Can you tell me whether the
24 conclusions of the PHC conform to your conclusions or not?
25 A No, I cannot.

1 Q You cannot say whether they do or not?
2 A I haven't reviewed the PHC in terms of "Is
3 this PHC adequate?" and that sort of thing.
4 Q That's been outside the scope of --
5 A Right.
6 MR. SMITH: I think that's all the questions I
7 have. I'm sure Mr. Appel has a couple of questions.
8 EXAMINATION
9 BY MR. APPEL:
10 Q Have a seat, Dr. Mayo. Take a load off your
11 feet.
12 A I don't mind.
13 Q I do mind. I know you're used to standing and
14 talking to your students, but we're not your students.
15 Okay. Looking at Exhibit C-4, you've drawn a
16 delineation of mining up to the sandstone channel. Are
17 you with me?
18 A I'm with you. That's the hydrograph.
19 Q There are still some fairly significant flows
20 prior to mining up to the sandstone channel, correct?
21 A Yes. Yes.
22 Q And you're aware of Mr. Reynolds' testimony
23 that the mine was dry for eight years then suddenly they
24 began to encounter water?
25 A Yes.

1 Q Isn't it likely that at the point where they
2 began to encounter water they were intercepting a leading
3 edge of the potentiometric surface?
4 MR. HANSEN: What potentiometric surface?
5 MR. APPEL: See if he can answer the question.
6 THE WITNESS: I don't think so.
7 Q (BY MR. APPEL) Why not?
8 A Because this water is coming out of a
9 sandstone channel out of the roof.
10 Q Which water?
11 A The water that is in this hydrograph, C-4.
12 That water's coming out of the roof. And the
13 potentiometric surface that you're referring to would be
14 water which would be in underlying sandstones beneath the
15 coal.
16 Q Now. I'm talking about then. Can't the
17 potentiometric surface be changed by the interception of
18 water? Can't the leading edge be moved back?
19 A Yeah, I think we'll have testimony that will
20 discuss that very issue in considerable depth.
21 Q Well, let me ask you what you think.
22 A I don't have an opinion on that.
23 Q Okay. Do you think that the water intercepted
24 before the sandstone channel was isolated relic water or
25 is it part of the hydrologic flow regime in this area

1 that supports the potentiometric surface?

2 MR. HANSEN: I'm going to object. The

3 question's vague and ambiguous. When I tried to bring

4 that out before, I don't think I succeeded very well.

5 Mr. Appel is talking about a potentiometric surface. In

6 that context, I have no idea what he's talking about.

7 MR. APPEL: But I think Dr. Mayo may. I'm not

8 certain I have to satisfy your expectations.

9 MR. HANSEN: I think we have to have something

10 to let your question be clear enough that somebody other

11 than yourself and Dr. Mayo knows what's going on here.

12 Q (BY MR. APPEL) Even after coaching by your

13 counsel, you understand what I'm asking you.

14 A I lost the question.

15 MR. HANSEN: I'd like Mr. Carter to rule on my

16 objection.

17 MR. CARTER: I think I need to say something

18 that would clarify something I said earlier, a sort of

19 interjection, that was at the time that -- there was a

20 time -- and I can't give you the specific dates -- I'd

21 have to look at the file -- the Division was aware, based

22 on the drilling of the test wells and the sampling of the

23 test wells, that there was a potentiometric surface that

24 the experts for Co-Op mapped as being below the active

25 workings of the Blind Canyon Seam, but that they

1 projected that continued northward mining would, at some
2 point, intercept that surface as they projected it based
3 upon the drill data they had available to them.
4 So when I said we knew Co-Op was mining toward
5 water, it was a very general statement that you could
6 just project two straight lines and see an intersection
7 of this potentiometric surface which lay beneath the coal
8 seam that they were in and the direction they were mining
9 would intersect at some point to the north.
10 So that's, you know, a very generalized --
11 there was not -- the information with regard to the
12 channel and all those sorts of things was not in the
13 hands of the Division at the time, saying, "Oh, yeah, we
14 knew they were mining toward water." But I wanted to say
15 that in order to clarify what I had said earlier,
16 especially in light of what Dr. Mayo is testifying here to.
17 So the Division did not think there were --
18 well, never mind. I've said what I wanted to say on
19 that. In terms of -- I'm not sure I understand your
20 objection exactly, but I was trying to --
21 MR. HANSEN: Maybe if I can clarify: We've
22 heard testimony in this proceeding, and also in previous
23 proceedings, that was conflicting. Some testimony given
24 by the water users was that there was a single aquifer
25 with a single potentiometric surface, one straight line

1 going all the way up and that that potentiometric surface
2 was encountered at some point. There's been other
3 testimony that there are three separate potentiometric
4 surfaces.
5 And so there's at least four separate
6 potentiometric surfaces that have been testified to with
7 respect to this kind of proceeding. And I don't know if
8 there's any more, but there are at least those four
9 surfaces. And so when a question is asked regarding the
10 potentiometric surface, I have no idea which one is being
11 referred to, and I don't think anyone else does either.
12 MR. CARTER: Mr. Appel, do you mean the
13 potentiometric surface that I just described, the one --
14 MR. APPEL: Yes.
15 MR. CARTER: -- we saw beneath the floor of
16 the current workings at some point in the past?
17 MR. APPEL: Do you want to say something?
18 MR. NIELSEN: The potentiometric surface we're
19 talking about is the one defined in the Co-Op permit
20 written by EarthFax, which is the Star Point sandstone
21 and the two other sandstones of the Star Point
22 sandstone. That's what they call the potentiometric
23 surface regionally in this area. It's in the permit and
24 by EarthFax.
25 MR. APPEL: Actually, I was asking. That's --

1 MR. NIELSEN: That's the surface we're talking
2 about. That's what surface is in this Exhibit 5 you're
3 talking about.
4 MR. HANSEN: I just want to be clear what
5 we're talking about.
6 MR. NIELSEN: That's the surface we're talking
7 about. That's why I show on Exhibit 5 the same surface
8 Co-Op and EarthFax put together in their mine permit.
9 Q (BY MR. APPEL) Are you with us, Dr. Mayo?
10 A Ask your question again, would you please.
11 Q Mr. Nielsen has defined "potentiometric
12 surface." And that's a surface where you encounter water
13 if you mine back into a mine?
14 A Well, no, that isn't true. That isn't true at
15 all. This is a point I'm trying to make. When you
16 encounter a potentiometric surface does not mean that
17 you've encountered water; it means you've hit a surface,
18 if you drill down at that point, water would rise in a
19 well to that point. It doesn't mean that there's
20 actually water coming out of the bottom of anything.
21 There's a real difference here between a potentiometric
22 surface and a water table. And you got to -- you can't
23 treat a potentiometric surface like it's a water table.
24 It's a confined aquifer, not an unconfined aquifer.
25 Q Has this mining intercepted the regional water

table?

A Well, it gets back to the thing about the USGS. It has not encountered water that's in the underlying sandstones.

Q The water under the mine?

A Under the mine. It has not encountered that water.

Q But has it encountered water that has been recharged from the surface?

A Yes.

Q So --

MR. CARTER: Thank you all.

MR. HANSEN: Glad we cleared that up.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) So it's water recharged from the surface that would make its way down until it encountered something that would prohibit it from moving down?

A Yes.

Q And then it would move out or somewhere?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So as the mining moves back into the hill, for lack of a better term -- mountain -- I guess it's a mountain -- it is encountering water that could support recharge down farther in the stratigraphy because of faults and fractures, correct?

A You're really mixing things up now.

Q Let's leave "potentiometric surface" alone. The recharge from the surface would support the groundwater table you would see throughout the sequence, correct?

A Recharge from the surface supports all the groundwater that we find from the top of the hill anywhere to the Mancos Shale or even below, yes.

Q And how would you account for the difference between no flow in the 1980s mining and the substantial flows that we've seen even before the sandstone channel was encountered?

A They started hitting the edge of the sandstone channel because, as I drew the cartoon, it's a big fat channel with an overbank flow area. And when they started mining into that, they started getting water, and that's where their first water was encountered.

Q How wide is the sandstone channel?

A I don't know.

Q How deep and thick is it?

A I don't know.

Q This particular exhibit says that they encountered that water before they mined up to the sandstone channel.

A But they --

1 MR. CARTER: I think I understand the
2 concept. I mean -- well, I won't put words in your
3 mouth. My understanding of your testimony was, all this
4 water that Co-Op has seen coming out of this roof, or the
5 great majority of it, is coming from the channel --
6 THE WITNESS: That's right.
7 MR. CARTER: -- from the system.
8 THE WITNESS: A main body of the channel --
9 MR. CARTER: But until 1993 it didn't hit the
10 sand and see it.
11 THE WITNESS: Right.
12 MR. CARTER: Before that, the channel was
13 above them and they were mining under -- this is a large
14 dish like this (Indicating). They were down here under
15 the lip of the dish. There was water above them starting
16 to drip, starting to drip. Then when they hit the bowl,
17 the side of the bowl, they hit pay dirt. That's when it
18 really started to flow.
19 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
20 MR. CARTER: Is that -- okay.
21 THE WITNESS: Absolutely correct.
22 MR. CARTER: That was my concept of what his
23 testimony was. So all of this is channel water. None of
24 this -- I won't say -- it's all part of this system.
25 THE WITNESS: I view this water that they

75 feet.

Q Will water in this particular vicinity move down vertically through these sandstones because of fractures?

A You mean the sandstone channel?

Q The sandstones in the area. We'll get to the channel.

A If you're near the surface, water will move really readily down through them. But you've got a series of intervening clays in the Blackhawk Formation. We're going to have clay layers in here, and those things make the groundwater flow in the Blackhawk discontinuous.

Q They slow it down?

A No, I mean discontinue it. They stop it.

Q The water piles up on top of them?

A What happens is, you can even run into sandstone channels are that completely dry. This is not uncommon.

Q Let's talk about the other feature you just discussed. You say the water would pile up on top of what?

A I didn't say the water would pile up. You're putting words in my mouth.

Q Well, you're saying you were encountering something other than sandstone?

A That's right, and we have a flux of water.

Q What is that?

A A flux? A flow.

Q No, no, no.

MR. CARTER: Let me see if I can short-circuit this, because I think there's a great deal of testimony that the horizontal permeability in this area generally is, in order of magnitude, higher than vertical. I think there's also agreement that there is some vertical permeability.

I mean, from my perspective, fracturing the sandstone is not the most important thing. The question is, Is there fracturing through the shales? I mean, the sandstones are vertical and -- vertically and horizontally permeable, presumably, and it's the shales that present the barriers to the vertical permeability.

MR. APPEL: Let me explain why I think this is important. If the sandstones are fractured, then it's less of a channel than he's telling us. The water is going to move from the top down through it as well as through the rock itself on a horizontal basis.

MR. CARTER: Let me ask you this geological question: You've got a channel and you have relatively permeable material underneath and we don't particularly care what's up above. Then it's not the fracturing in

1 the channel that is of interest. It's whether the water
2 passing through the channel vertically into the less --
3 into the coal, through the coal into the next sand, and
4 through that sand into the next -- through the shale and
5 -- I mean, it's vertical. Isn't that really the issue,
6 what the degree of vertical permeability is? Well, don't
7 let me tell you what your case is, but . . .
8 MR. APPEL: Okay. I understand what you're
9 saying.
10 THE WITNESS: That's my understanding of it
11 too. That's the way I view it.
12 MR. CARTER: Let me say this too, because at
13 the end of this I'm going to ask for some briefing
14 because I think this goes to a legal question, and that
15 is, that everything on the planet is connected to
16 everything else.
17 And the question is -- I mean, I think in one
18 sense certainly this is a hydrologic system. It's the
19 Wasatch Plateau. It's the drainage of this river. The
20 question really is whether mining activity in this mine
21 has -- I'll pull the terminology from our new water
22 replacement law -- interrupted, diminished, or
23 contaminated the water supply. That's kind of the crux
24 of this investigation.
25 So there's going to be a question at some

1 point, which I think is a legal question. Let's assume
2 for a minute the rate of discharge of this system is a
3 gallon a minute. Let's assume for a minute that gallon
4 was making its way to Birch Creek or Bear Spring. I
5 think that raises a legal question. I'm not saying
6 that's the truth, but I'm saying that raises a legal
7 question: Does the diminution of flow depriving Big Bear
8 a gallon a minute constitute one of those three things
9 we're trying to prevent?
10 You don't have to answer now, but I think I'm
11 going to need the attorneys to tell me. Is there a de
12 minimis rule here or is there any connection -- is there
13 a factual determination or any connection? Must the
14 Division take action? Must replacement take place?
15 And I think a related question is -- I made
16 notes to myself and I threw them away and I shouldn't
17 have done that -- must the water users quantify -- are
18 the water users or water interest owners entitled to a de
19 minimis exception? How accurately must they quantify the
20 interruption?
21 Do you see where I'm headed? The bigger
22 question here is how -- if one gallon a minute -- whether
23 someone's liable to do something or has to do something
24 based on one gallon a minute, does that also put a burden
25 on the water users to demonstrate -- what's the order of

1 magnitude water users would need to show? Would they
2 need to show us one or five or in the neighborhood of a
3 thousand? Would you have it make a showing of quantity?
4 The analogy here, I think, is damages. You
5 know, you back into my car. The first question is, Are
6 you responsible? Is there a causal connection? The
7 second question is, How much? You know, what's the
8 injury and what are you entitled to in terms of
9 reparations?
10 So the caveat here is I'm not making -- I'm
11 not saying I've decided anything about the science or the
12 causal relationships or so forth, but I think whatever
13 the evidence is, whatever the facts are, we're still
14 going to have to have some briefing and argument on does
15 1 gallon a minute or 1.2 gallons a minute matter. So be
16 thinking along those lines.
17 Go ahead.
18 MR. APPEL: But that doesn't take care of the
19 issues concerning the adequacy of the PHC or --
20 MR. CARTER: Thank you.
21 MR. APPEL: You recognize that?
22 MR. CARTER: Thank you. That's another
23 question, objection which has been raised: Did the
24 Division, at the time it approved the PHC or purported to
25 approve the renewal, have in its possession the sufficient

1 information to make the conclusions it did? Are those
2 conclusions adequate to support the issuance? You're
3 right. Thanks.
4 Okay. So I think that's the context of what
5 the Division has to wrestle with: the interference
6 question and the "did-we-have-what-we-needed-when-we-did-
7 what-we-did?" question.
8 MR. APPEL: Okay.
9 MR. CARTER: Did that derail a train of
10 thought?
11 MR. APPEL: Several.
12 MR. HANSEN: You were talking about --
13 MR. APPEL: I know what I was talking about.
14 MR. HANSEN: -- sandstone fractures.
15 MR. APPEL: Maybe you could just take over.
16 MR. HANSEN: No further questions.
17 MR. CARTER: Dr. Mayo, I understand you need
18 to leave at some point.
19 THE WITNESS: Yes, I need to leave as soon as
20 possible. I need to catch a plane to --
21 MR. CARTER: I think in terms of this as well,
22 I wanted to provide the opportunity for Co-Op to present
23 additional verbal information and this sort of
24 information as well. If there's a sense when we close
25 that we need another affidavit or we need some other

description, we can do that, but I think we probably ought to finish with Dr. Mayo as quickly as reasonably possible so we can let him go.

I'm sorry to use that precious time speechifying.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) You don't know what the source of water for the sandstone channel is other than surface recharge?

A That is correct. Let me clarify that. Well, that's -- yeah, that's correct. I don't know -- I -- I -- I don't understand the flow path from the surface to the channel.

Q Okay. But it could be roughly vertical from where the precipitation fell above?

A I have some trouble with that because of the nature of the Blackhawk Formation.

Q What trouble is that?

A The Blackhawk Formation, unless you're near cliff faces, does not transmit water very well.

MR. CARTER: Vertically?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, vertically or horizontally. It has real trouble. You get in a sandstone channel, you find some water, it has real trouble transmitting water because of the nature of the Blackhawk itself.

1 Q (BY MR. APPEL) And the Blackhawk, does that
2 help create perched aquifers?
3 A Yes, whenever you get a sand that's in
4 hydraulic connection somehow with some surface. And I
5 think most of it's going on near cliff faces.
6 Q Now, you testified that you found water on the
7 west side of the -- which fault is it?
8 A Big Bear.
9 Q Big Bear Fault. But --
10 A Oh, no. Blind Canyon Fault.
11 Q Blind Canyon Fault. That's right. But not on
12 the east side. Isn't that a little odd to have one side
13 of a fault that is as extensive as this carrying water
14 and not the other side?
15 A I don't know if that's odd or not. I think
16 that's kind of in keeping with how many faults will
17 operate. You're offsetting stratigraphy. You're putting
18 in fault gouge.
19 Q Answer the question. If you want to get out
20 of here, you're going to have to confine your answers;
21 otherwise, you'll be taking another plane.
22 A I'll try to curb myself.
23 Q I knew that would work.
24 Well, you've drawn on Exhibit 5 -- you have
25 your sandstone channel, although we know it doesn't have

to be that wide and we don't know how deep it is or thick, is bound by the faults. Is it possible that that sandstone channel is intercepting water that moved down the fault?

A It's a possibility, but I don't think so.

Q If there's water available that gets to the east side of that fault, it will move down the fault. Nothing especially odd about this fault, is there? --

A No, I would not say that it would move down the fault.

Q Parallel to?

A I feel more comfortable with "parallel to a fault" rather than "down a fault."

Q When I said "down," I meant downgrade in this instance. But it will move parallel to the lines of the fault on both sides of the fault?

A If both sides are saturated.

Q And I'm asking you to assume there's water on the east side of this fault. Do you believe there is water on the east side of that fault farther up into the mountain?

A At what stratigraphic horizon?

Q Any stratigraphic horizon.

A Well, because it's going to depend on which stratigraphic horizon you're in. Some of these will be

1 dry; some will have water in them.
2 Q What is the throw on that fault?
3 A Is it 150 feet? Hundred to a hundred fifty
4 feet.
5 Q And there is a superficial expression --
6 A Yes.
7 Q -- on the top of Gentry Mountain. So as it
8 passes down through the various stratigraphic layers, if
9 water's in that stratigraphic layer, will the fault
10 intercept and move water?
11 A I'm not inclined to think of the fault as
12 being this big conduit for water. The fault's full of
13 gouge.
14 Q So this fault is not a conduit for water?
15 A Where I've visited this fault, it is not a
16 conduit for water. Now, there could be some -- I need to
17 elaborate a little bit -- there could be some sequence
18 somewhere where you've got two good pieces of sand
19 opposite each other and no gouge. Then the fault would
20 be invisible to water or invisible to -- it would be an
21 invisible barrier, be no barrier.
22 MR. HANSEN: Do we know that that ever occurs
23 one way or the other?
24 THE WITNESS: It occurs some places.
25 Q (BY MR. APPEL) Based upon the information you

1 have, has mining changed the historic underground flow of
2 water?
3 A To the extent of 1.2 gallons a minute. I feel
4 comfortable saying that.
5 Q And that's because of your sandstone channel?
6 A That's because of the sandstone channel.
7 Q And you're saying it's impossible for the
8 mining efforts to have intercepted water that would
9 historically recharge either Birch or Big Bear Spring?
10 A I feel really comfortable that it hasn't
11 intercepted Big Bear Spring. And I don't see evidence,
12 because of the fault and where the water is inside of the
13 mine that they've encountered, that there is evidence to
14 demonstrate that it has affected Birch Spring.
15 Q You, I think, testified that the creek is
16 recharging Big Bear Spring?
17 A That's my opinion.
18 Q Tell me how that's going to work.
19 A Well, you've got a layer of rock that's really
20 fractured because it's near the surface, and you follow
21 the outcrop of the rock and it runs into the creek up
22 gradient, and water flows down the creek and flows into
23 the fractures and flows down and discharges out the face
24 of the spring.
25 Q So you're saying that creek right there is a

losing stream?

A I'm saying that it loses enough -- loses some water to get into -- to recharge the sandstone where the sandstone crosses it. It doesn't mean it's a losing creek at all times.

Q But there's no hydraulic head from that creek into that, is there?

A Well, the creek's higher.

Q Okay. But the creek is also flowing past that?

A Yes, uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q You're saying that the water that is coming down through the mountain from actual recharging in these fractures is going to be displaced by creek water?

A I think you're adding some things into my testimony that I didn't have in there.

Q Well, isn't water also moving down through these fractures --

A There will be some water --

Q -- naturally?

A -- naturally near the face of the cliff. It can move down. But if you look --

Q Stop right there. So isn't that water actually recharging the creek rather than vice versa? Isn't that some of the creek flow?

A It depends where you are. Some of it may be.

1 I'm not going to let you twist this too much before I
2 tell the story here.
3 Q I'm not trying to twist it. I just find it
4 interesting that you have a source of -- the source of
5 water for the creek is the surrounding stratigraphy,
6 correct?
7 A Yeah, snowmelt, upstream discharges. You
8 know, you look at the hydrograph of the creek. It
9 clearly mirrors what's going on with snowmelt and storm
10 events and that sort of thing; so clearly it's what's
11 going on in the near surface and the drainage area of the
12 creek. That's where creeks get their water.
13 Q But that same snowmelt is moving down through
14 the fractures in the rock as well, correct?
15 A When you're near the cliff faces, you're going
16 to get some of that going in. But you've also got to
17 look at what is your opportunity for snow to stick on a
18 cliff face. It's not an easy task.
19 Q Let's get back to the point. There would have
20 to be room in that fracture for the creek to enter,
21 correct?
22 A Yes.
23 Q How far is the creek from the spring? Where
24 do you think it enters?
25 A Oh, I don't know. Within a half mile. There

1 will be some testimony more specifically about that.
2 MR. LEEMASTER: Mr. Carter, can I ask a
3 question about that?
4 MR. CARTER: Sure. Oh, yeah.
5 MR. LEEMASTER: For the record, my name is
6 Darrel Leemaster, Castle Valley Special Services.
7 So, Mr. Mayo, this point where you're saying
8 the water would enter from the creek into the sandstone
9 formation then move to our springs, is that below the
10 discharge point for the Co-Op Mine?
11 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.
12 MR. CARTER: We can piece that together.
13 THE WITNESS: That wouldn't be hard to figure
14 out.
15 MR. LEEMASTER: What he's testifying here --
16 if this were true, this would be a terrible tragedy in
17 that spring because the Division of Drinking Water would
18 say that spring is surface-influenced, therefore you
19 can't use it as a groundwater source; it has to be
20 treated as a surface water source and I would have to
21 treat that through a treatment plant.
22 MR. CARTER: What's the distance from recharge
23 area to spring? I know there's a limitation because all
24 springs are surface-influenced.
25 MR. LEEMASTER: And I don't know how they

1 would define that. If it's as close as what we're
2 talking from that creek source to my spring, I'm sure
3 they would tell me that I can't use that as a groundwater
4 source, it has to be surface-water treated and therefore
5 would have to go through complete conventional treatment
6 before I could use it. So this would be terrible for me.
7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: How could it get past
8 Birch? It --
9 MR. HANSEN: This isn't the two springs; this
10 is just Big Bear.
11 MR. LEEMASTER: Just Big Bear.
12 Q (BY MR. APPEL) Do you know whether or not the
13 water encountered in the mine moves toward the east? It
14 does generally, doesn't it?
15 A It's my impression that water in that channel
16 -- the natural grading would be toward the east.
17 Q And water encountered in the mine because of
18 the mine workings all goes to the east?
19 A I think generally.
20 Q You didn't really visit the eastern areas of
21 this mine, did you?
22 A Not the closed areas.
23 Q Well, do you know from conversations if it's
24 wetter over there because of water that's been
25 encountered in the mine that's made its way there?

A I'm not sure.

Q Do you know whether the workings that have been closed have water in them, from your conversations?

A I'm not sure if they do or not.

MR. APPEL: Do you want to ask it?

MR. NIELSEN: Yeah. Been waiting for this.

MR. APPEL: This is it, Peter. Go for it.

MR. NIELSEN: Stewing for three months.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. NIELSEN:

Q Just a couple of questions on this hydrograph here. If I look at it after the intercept which was in the channel in '93, I see a steady decline in water which is, you know, transient state, dewatering the sandstone, but I also see peaks occurring roughly between May and June which corresponds to peaks in Bear Spring and every other spring in this area. Does this indicate a local recharge or is this a function of metering problems or what?

A I don't know. I don't think it represents local recharge. I think the age of the water is --

Q Well, that's true. I understand that. But you got a mixing phenomenon going on here. I also look at this and I say, well, I got peaks occurring quite often in June.

A I think we ought to ask Mr. Reynolds if he can help us.

Q So is it this or the fact that we have problems with metering?

A It could be a metering problem. It could be where they were actually going in and -- let's take some more --

Q The point I want to make is, we got to be careful with this graph because of the way the water was monitored.

A Yeah. I would not take any one of these points and say these are the absolute numbers.

Q That's the point I wanted to make. If you're hitting water at different sections, we've either got to say there are peaks on the decline which resembles all the springs in this area and Bear Creek or we got problems with metering. They may not be accurate.

MR. CARTER: I understood this to be offered to show -- and I'll be very general -- from the line to the last dot is a decline.

THE WITNESS: Is a decline, yes.

MR. NIELSEN: I agree it's a decline with peaks in it that occur in June. And then it declines.

MR. HANSEN: We're also talking about 1,500-year-old water.

MR. NIELSEN: Well, yeah. But that's what occurs in Bear Spring and all the other springs we looked at as well. It happens in Bear Canyon stream. It happens in Huntington Creek. I'm just pointing that out. We've got two things we're looking at here.

MR. SMITH: I've got one question on the chart, if I could interject.

MR. CARTER: Sure.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMITH:

Q Dr. Mayo looking at your chart -- this is Exhibit 4 -- and then looking at the PHC and the CHIA, we have different numbers. Can you explain why the PHC and CHIA have different mine discharge numbers than your chart?

A No, I can't.

Q Where you did you take your numbers from?

A These numbers were provided by Mr. Reynolds.

Q And you have no testimony as to why they're at variance with the figures that are in the PHC?

A I have none.

MR. CARTER: Do you have questions, Mr. Hansen, or should we send Dr. Mayo away?

MR. HANSEN: No questions. I have a few questions, but I believe I can get them through my other

witnesses. I don't want to hold Dr. Mayo up.

MR. CARTER: Dr. Mayo, thank you very much.

(Luncheon recess was taken.)

MR. HANSEN: One thing I forgot: We'd like to have this fault gouge from the Blind Canyon Seam designated as Exhibit C-6.

MR. CARTER: Okay. How we're going to put this in the file, I'm not sure.

MR. SMITH: Which map's that, Mark?

MR. HANSEN: It's the rocks.

MR. SMITH: Yeah. How are you going to . . .

MR. CARTER: Well, we may take photographs of it.

MR. APPEL: Could you provide us with copies? Yeah. I'd like to whack these rocks into four pieces.

MR. HANSEN: And put it through the replicator.

MR. CARTER: Okay. We'll figure out how we're going to go with that or how we'll work it.

Mr. Hansen?

MR. HANSEN: Co-Op Mine calls Chris Hansen -- I forgot. I'm sorry. Been a long day. Been a long week. Chris White -- Chris Hansen.

MR. APPEL: Are you two related?

MR. HANSEN: No. It has been a very long week

for me. I offer my apologies.

MR. APPEL: I think we'll all just sort of disassemble at some point. Everyone's had a long week.

CHRIS D. HANSEN,

called as a witness for and on behalf of Co-Op Mining Company, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANSEN:

Q Could you spell your name, please?

A Sure, I think I can do that.

Q Would you give your full name for the record, please?

A It's Chris D. Hansen. C-h-r-i-s, H-a-n-s-e-n.

Q And where are you employed?

A EarthFax Engineering.

Q Can you give us your brief employment history?

A Okay. I can start with my educational background. I have a bachelor's and master's in geology from Brigham Young University. I received my bachelor's in '81 and my master's in '88. My master's work was the geology of the Jump Creek 7 1/2-minute quadrangle, which is located about 15 miles north of the Co-Op area. It included the structure and stratigraphic interpretation of the geology in that area.

As far as my professional background, I spent

1 after school about eight years working in Nevada and Utah
2 doing geologic studies not only in the coal industry but
3 in the oil and gas business. I performed several
4 stratigraphic and structural basinal studies. I've been
5 at EarthFax since 1992. I've been working with several
6 coal mines in the area. I participated in the
7 preparation of PHCs for those mines -- for several mines,
8 including the Co-Op Mine, Genwal, some of the Coastal
9 mines.
10 Q What mines have you been in in the area?
11 A In this area, the active mines I've been in
12 are the Genwal and the Co-Op Mine.
13 Q Have you been in other coal mines?
14 A Abandoned mines -- one in Nevada and Horse
15 Canyon Mine.
16 Q Have you had an opportunity to examine the
17 Co-Op Mine?
18 A Yes, I have.
19 Q Would you tell us what you did there.
20 A On the same day that Alan Mayo went in --
21 underground, I accompanied him -- he and Erik -- and
22 essentially went to the same places he did.
23 Q And what did you observe?
24 A Well, I guess at this point it'd be easy to
25 maybe start talking a little about the geology of the

area, start with the stratigraphy and structure of the site.

Q Why don't we do that.

A Okay. Now, I don't want to spend a lot of time going over what Peter has already presented as far as stratigraphy, because it hasn't changed since he was out there. And --

MR. SMITH: I hope not.

THE WITNESS: -- and what I would like to do is put up a cross section. It's a general cross section that -- that we took from north to south through the permit area.

MR. HANSEN: Could we have this diagram marked as Exhibit C-7 for demonstrative purposes?

MR. CARTER: Certainly.

THE WITNESS: We took our cross section starting just north of the Co-Op drill hole SDH-2.

MR. SMITH: It's okay. My eyes aren't that good to be able to see your writing on this.

THE WITNESS: We purposely made it small.

MR. APPEL: Is it in Greek?

MR. SMITH: And I got my new glasses too.

THE WITNESS: Started up here by SDH-2 and essentially went down to Huntington Creek. The permit boundary -- the northern permit boundary is located here

(Indicating). The southern part of the boundary is approximately here (Indicating).

Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Now, this isn't completely to scale, is it?

A Not -- not totally, because we had to take a little bit of artistic license with the topography and the thicknesses of the formations because they have a tendency to change as you go.

Q And the vertical and horizontal scales are different?

A Yes. The horizontal scale is 1 inch equals 500 feet, and the vertical scale is 1 inch equals 200 feet.

Just briefly, the North Horn Formation -- in this area (Indicating) we encountered about 600 feet of North Horn where it consists of interbedded limestone, sandstone, shales, claystones, mudstones. Overlies approximately 230 to 260 feet of the Price River Formation, which consists of interbedded sandstones and shales.

Castlegate Sandstone, which is about 150 to 250 feet -- it's a conglomeratic sandstone and sandstone interbedded, a fluvial system.

The Blackhawk Formation, which is 600 to 800 feet thick, not necessarily on this cross section but in

this area (Indicating). And it consists of interbedded fluvial sands, shales, mudstones, claystones, discontinuous sands, small -- relatively small channels. I don't want to put a size to them. The Blackhawk includes the major coal seams in the area.

Co-Op has mined in this area -- the Tank Seam, the Blind Canyon Seam, and then the Hiawatha Seam. The Hiawatha Seam and the base of the Blackhawk sit on top of the Spring Canyon member of the Star Point Formation.

And the Star Point Formation consists of essentially three tongues of sandstone interbedded or intertonguing -- intertongued by Mancos Shale. Thicknesses we used for these sandstone tongues come from the in-mine drill holes that Co-Op has put in and that EarthFax was involved in drilling.

And one thing I want to point out is, even though I've colored these yellow as sandstones, it's important to note that each one of these members is not just sandstone. It's a transitional sandstone that starts out at the base as a shale, siltstone, mudstone, and coarsens upward and becomes a fairly clean fine-to-course grain, well-washed sand. And then the cycle is repeated. You have shale that grades upward into more course material. It goes on up through the Spring Canyon.

On this cross section (Indicating), we've noted where the Birch and Big Bear Springs issue. And they're essentially coming from the base of the Panther Sandstone member and the Star Point.

And in fact, while I've got this up here, I'll just briefly touch on it: When these three holes were drilled in-mine, they were drilled through the three sandstone members of the Star Point Formation and into the Mancos Shale. And during the drilling of each one, the -- or of each well, each member of the Star Point was isolated while drilling, with packers set at the shale/sandstone contact. And the water level in the well was measured to determine what the potentiometric surface of the water within the sandstone was at that time. And I've depicted those measured potentiometric surfaces or that potentiometric point with this line (Indicating).

Q Now, Dr. Mayo testified that the potentiometric surface and the water table aren't exactly the same thing. Can you explain what he was talking about there?

A Well, as he said, the -- this point, for example (Indicating), is the potentiometric surface of the Panther Sandstone at this location (Indicating). That is not to say that the groundwater surface is the same thing. This point is a representation of the head

of the water in the Panther Sandstone. The Mancos Shale in this area may or may not be saturated.

And what we're really seeing is that the Mancos Shale is acting as a confining unit and the water in the Panther Sandstone -- the water is in the Panther Sandstone. It rose up in the well to that elevation. It doesn't say that it's rising through the Mancos Shale to that point. It's just pressure of the water in the Panther Sandstone.

Does that explain what you were --

Q I believe so.

A We also put on here the potentiometric surface of the Spring Canyon sandstone member.

I think I'll just go on to the structure because I'm not sure that anything more can be said about the stratigraphy than what has already been talked about.

MR. CARTER: Let me ask you a question about potentiometric surfaces. I think I understand the concept, but with regard to that chart, the potentiometric surface that we have been talking about, the one I referred to that was first discussed several years ago as being the one we needed to be paying attention to, which was beneath the floor of the workings in the Blind Canyon Seam, is that depicted there.

THE WITNESS: It's this line that we've drawn

(Indicating).

MR. CARTER: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Right through here (Indicating), at this point and north, it's under confined conditions. From this point south (Indicating), it becomes unconfined. And again, this is not to say if I went to that point that there would be water in this formation. The water's in this member (Indicating), not up here (Indicating).

MR. CARTER: This is like being below the waterline in the hull of a ship?

THE WITNESS: Yep.

MR. CARTER: There's no water in there unless you poke a hole in the bottom?

THE WITNESS: Exactly.

Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Did you find more than one potentiometric surface?

A Well, we found -- in these drill holes we found a separate potentiometric surface for each of the members.

Q Now, this is just a general cross section diagram, correct?

A Yeah.

Q It doesn't purport to show everything you've encountered in the mountain if you cut the mountain in

half?

A No, it -- no, it's not that detailed.

Q Do we see this sandstone channel that's been discussed on this diagram?

A At this scale, no, I do not depict it. And at this scale it might be fairly small. It might -- if -- something that Dr. Mayo did not mention is that we have some idea of the width of this channel just from drilling the -- Charles is aware of that -- they did at the face -- the mine face. And so if we were to show the location of that channel, it would be probably in this region right here (Indicating).

Q Would it be better for you or Charles to describe what we do know about that channel?

A I can -- the only thing I can tell you about it is I have seen it. It is definitely a fluvial channel. It is fairly -- at the part that I saw, the sandstone was fine-grained, well-sorted, clean, moderately well-cemented.

And then as Dr. Mayo pointed out on this exhibit, we saw it in two different faces, so we're assuming that it essentially cuts across east to west through this area (Indicating) with the flow -- the direction of the channel itself. I'm not saying the water in the channel. But when the channel was laid

1 down, it was laid down probably in the east-west
2 direction.
3 Q Do we know whether that channel dips below the
4 bottom level of the Blind Canyon Seam?
5 A I have been told that the channel does not
6 completely cut out the Blind Canyon Seam.
7 Q Could you go on, please?
8 A Sure.
9 MR. CARTER: Let me ask to clarify: I know
10 the answer to this question, but I'm hoping to clarify
11 for the group here, and maybe for the record as well,
12 that my conception -- tell me if I'm right.
13 My conception of the creation of this channel
14 we're talking about is that we have an environment in
15 which coal is being deposited, organic material is being
16 deposited, but it's in a transitional zone so that
17 sometimes it's in a shallow embayment, where organic
18 material is dying and falling into it, but it's also in
19 an area where there are rivers basically meandering
20 adjacent to a large body of water. And so at some point
21 in this vicinity, a river meandered its way over to where
22 the channel is now, scoured out some of the coal, filled
23 it with sand, and then moved on. So that's basically the
24 depositional environment for which the channel occurred.
25 THE WITNESS: Yeah. You could liken it to the

1 Mississippi River delta, where you have cut off which
2 once was the main channel and now has been abandoned and
3 eventually, if the Corps of Engineers has their way, fill
4 back in.
5 MR. LEEMASTER: Mr. Carter, I'm a little bit
6 fuzzy about that too. Would it be possible for him to
7 sketch in where that layer is where so we can tell where
8 the coal layers is you're drawing?
9 MR. CARTER: Everything below that line is
10 coal, right? Draw in the floor would be the thing to do.
11 THE WITNESS: If we were going to -- it's --
12 that would be the floor of the coal (Indicating), and
13 from what I've been told -- let me draw it down here a
14 little better.
15 If this is the channel geometry (Indicating)
16 -- and I don't know what this distance is here
17 (Indicating). And if this -- we'll call this the coal
18 seam (Indicating). It's essentially that geometry
19 (Indicating).
20 MR. LEEMASTER: So your understanding is, that
21 channel doesn't go quite to the bottom of the coal area
22 but that it does spill out over the top --
23 THE WITNESS: Yeah. We were able to, in the
24 mines, see distance back from this face (Indicating)
25 sandstones that were related to this channel that would

be part of the channel sandstone.

MR. CARTER: That's a good picture to illustrate. This was a revelation to me as well, that the water that's occurring in the north end of the mine is coming, again, from above, it's thought, out of the -- of a channel rather than welling up from the floor.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. To maybe help -- and I don't know. I'm not going to draw this to scale, but somewhere down here (Indicating) is the Spring Canyon that has water under pressure --

MR. CARTER: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: -- in it.

Q (BY MR. HANSEN) That line you've marked as and labeled "SC," that is the water table that feeds the spring?

A No, not necessarily.

Q What is that?

A That's this member (Indicating) of the Star Point Formation.

Q Is there water between that line that you've labeled "SC" to the bottom of the Blind Canyon Seam?

A Is there water in here (Indicating)?

Q In that space, yes.

A In the shale? Well, I'm sure that there's water in the porous bases of the shale. But if you ask

me whether it's moving through there --

Q Do we have any evidence that there's water in that area that is coming up through the floor into the Blind Canyon Seam?

A I did not see any water coming up through the floor. All the water I saw coming into the mine through this area was coming through here (Indicating) or coming (Indicating) . . .

MR. CARTER: Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Briefly, I'll move on to the structure. As Peter talked about in his presentation, this area of the Wasatch Plateau has several in-echelon normal faults that are trending north and offsetting to the east. What I mean "offset in echelon pattern": they tend to start stacking to the east.

The permit area is bounded on the west by the Blind Canyon Fault and on the east by the Bear Canyon Fault. And we've made an attempt at a three-dimensional diagram here that --

MR. HANSEN: Can we mark this Exhibit C-8 for demonstrative purposes?

I assume you're going to want copies of all these, correct?

MR. APPEL: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Blind Canyon Fault is located

here on this diagram (Indicating). If this is -- if this is north (Indicating), the Blind Canyon Fault trends to the north. This is just the fault trace on the surface. There's another fault located parallel to it. Birch Springs is on the west side of both of these faults. Bear Canyon Fault -- the fault trace is located parallel to a portion of Bear Canyon, cuts across the canyon floor, and then comes up the other side of the mountain, but, of course, we couldn't quite illustrate that. The green area is very roughly where they've mined.

Q By that, do you mean in the Blind Canyon Seam?

A Yes, I'm sorry, the Blind Canyon Seam. This does not illustrate the Hiawatha or the Tank Seam.

In this area I'm going to have to mark on this map or on this diagram. As Peter stated, there's a system of joints and fractures that are not necessarily parallel to the faulting but are at an oblique angle to the faulting. And if I were to draw it on here, they run about 15 to 17 degrees east of north. So they're -- they'd be something like -- if I can do this -- in that angle (Indicating).

Now, there's a second set, a minor set of joints that run at about 60 degrees east of north. So if I were to try and draw that, they would be something in that orientation (Indicating), maybe a little less. I'll

1 mess it up if I do any more. But that pattern seems to
2 be common throughout this area.
3 Now, the structure at the springs themselves
4 -- Big Bear Spring is issuing from a joint within the
5 Panther Sandstone. The joint trends basically 15 degrees
6 east of north, so it's within this system of joints.
7 There are joints all along this face of the Panther
8 Sandstone that -- broad or wide intervals of the
9 secondary joints that cut through the whole mountain.
10 Birch Springs is similar in that it's
11 associated with a fracture and there's a minor amount of
12 offset; it appears to be less than 10 feet. And it's --
13 there are also the secondary set of joints in that spring
14 location.
15 Dr. Mayo has already discussed this fault
16 where -- they encountered in the Blind Canyon Seam. I
17 visited the same location. I can confirm that it was
18 dry. I don't know that I can really say anything more
19 about that.
20 I have observed fractures -- observed
21 fractures within the mine -- actually, in both the Tank
22 and the Blind Canyon Seam -- and there was no water
23 coming through these fractures. And, in fact, the
24 fractures had no evidence of water having moved through
25 them except in the Blind Canyon Seam in that wet area.

Q Could you point out where that area would be on this Exhibit C-8?

A Sure. That would be up -- up in this area right here (Indicating), up on the north end of the mine workings.

Q Is that the same area where the channel would be?

A Yes. But not knowing the exact geometry of that channel, I didn't feel comfortable putting that in.

Q But the channel would cut approximately in an east-west direction?

A Across here (Indicating).

Q Towards the top of where the green area is shown on that exhibit?

A Yes.

And one thing I would like to say about both the stratigraphy and the structure in this area is, it's important to realize that when this area has undergone tectonic stresses -- folding and faulting, compressional, tensional forces -- the sandstones have reacted brittle; they'll break. The shales, the mudstones, the claystones act more plastically and they'll flex. They will fracture but not at the same rate that the sandstones will. Plus, when the shales and the claystones are exposed to water, they have a tendency to swell and

reheal themselves.

Q Is that just theory or has that been observation?

A That's been my observation, my observation at the outcrop, my observation from drill hole data that -- and drilling logs from the wells that Co-Op drilled on top of the mountain up here, plus my own experience in drilling in these same formations.

Other than that, I don't have much more to say about the geology.

MR. HANSEN: Gentlemen, do you have any questions? I'm sure you do.

MR. APPEL: Is this the end of his testimony?

THE WITNESS: Oh, well, let me back up for one minute. I did create a geologic map of the area following Doelling's presentation. This is the Bear Canyon Fault (Indicating), Blind Canyon (Indicating). And then the Pleasant Valley Fault is over here (Indicating), which is probably the major bounding fault for this area. The Bear -- Big Bear Springs is located in this area (Indicating), about a quarter of a mile from where Bear Canyon Creek crosses over the Panther Sandstone.

And Birch Springs would be -- this is easy; it's already marked -- would be in this area

1 (Indicating). Woops. No, it's not. Over here
2 (Indicating). It wasn't so easy after that.
3 Can I have your eraser?
4 MR. HANSEN: May we mark that diagram, Exhibit
5 C-9?
6 MR. APPEL: For demonstrative purposes?
7 MR. HANSEN: Certainly.
8 MR. CARTER: You are doing too many trials,
9 Jeff.
10 MR. APPEL: If you think that, imagine how I
11 feel.
12 MR. SMITH: Is that it?
13 THE WITNESS: I think that's it.
14 MR. APPEL: Do you have any other purposes
15 this witness can serve?
16 MR. HANSEN: He's all yours, gentlemen.
17 EXAMINATION
18 BY MR. APPEL:
19 Q I don't want you to think I'm Dr. Mayo because
20 I'm standing up on you.
21 (A discussion was held off the record.)
22 Q (BY MR. APPEL) You mentioned -- just trying
23 to become more conversant with this cross section -- I
24 appreciate your willingness to give us a copy of all
25 these exhibits. You testified you only know the

1 thicknesses of these various sequences at the site of the
2 drill hole?
3 A Uh-huh (Affirmative).
4 Q And you have a total of, I guess, four drill
5 holes?
6 A Three which penetrated the full thickness of
7 the Star Point Formation.
8 Q Okay. And the width between the two faults is
9 how far?
10 A The width between the Blind Canyon and the
11 Bear Canyon fault?
12 Q Yes.
13 A I can measure that.
14 Q You can tell me -- well, why don't you measure
15 it; then we can have that.
16 A 3,250 feet, according to Dr. Mayo's work.
17 Q And how wide are each of these drill holes?
18 A How wide? What diameter of the drill holes?
19 Q The diameter of the drill holes.
20 A I would assume they're 4 inches in diameter.
21 Q Is there a map that shows where the drill
22 holes are?
23 There we go.
24 A Yeah.
25 Q So referring to what is Exhibit 1 --

MR. CARTER: I think that's on the water users' Exhibit 5.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) It doesn't show four.

Let's put this one up, then (Indicating). This is referring to Exhibit 1. Where would 3 be, the abandoned drill hole?

Did you find that the precise thickness of each of these sequences -- does this reflect that you found a precise thickness of each sequence in each of these drill holes?

A With -- probably within a foot or two.

Q Okay. So you believe on that basis that it's continuous throughout this section moving through the mountain?

A Yes, based on the drill hole data and the outcrop that I observed in the area.

Q Okay. Now, don't the shale layers tend to vary in thickness within these --

A Yes, they will vary between zero and several hundred feet. But the dramatic change occurs in the east -- or west-to-east direction, not the north-to-south. The depositional strike of the Star Point Sandstone is from west to east. As you go to the west toward Joe's Valley, the sandstone members begin to intertongue more closely, the Mancos Shale begins to pinch out. As you go

east from that area, the Mancos Shale tongues tend to thicken. So if you're on a north-to-south trend, the thickness of the tongues does not vary dramatically. Does that make sense?

Q It does.

Is there a place where sandstone could rest on sandstone with no Mancos Shale?

A It's always possible, but it's unlikely, given the depositional environment for those sandstones.

Q But if it did, the Mancos Shale would not bear and provide a confinement layer the way you've interpreted it here?

A If there is no Mancos Shale tongue --

Q Uh-huh (Affirmative).

A -- there would be no confining layer?

Q Uh-huh (Affirmative).

A That would be true.

Q And this is all based upon a depositional sequence, so if there was a material providing a basis for the Mancos Shale to be deposited and it's deposited in thicker layers someplace and thinner layers in other places, it's because of nature?

A Yes.

Q Same with the sandstone?

A True.

MR. CARTER: I got a question. For instance, if this is an area characterized by channels -- or we found a channel?

THE WITNESS: Yes, but that's in the Blackhawk Formation, not -- the difference between the Blackhawk and the Spring Canyon is, the Blackhawk Formation is a littoral, brackish water --

MR. CARTER: -- back bay lagoon.

THE WITNESS: The Star Point Formation is a strandline beach, prodeltaic system, which tend to be more uniform because you're looking at transgressive/regressive sequences.

MR. CARTER: So it's unlikely that the channel formation we find in the Blind Canyon Seam would be present in the lower sand units?

THE WITNESS: In this area, yes.

MR. CARTER: Which could conceivably create a hydraulic connection through more -- I mean, in this area if that channel were deeper -- let's say if the scouring had gone all the way through the coal into sand below, it would be limited in aerial extent but there could be a connection?

THE WITNESS: It's possible, but I think that is yet to be seen on the Wasatch Plateau. There are no deep channels like that that we've observed.

1 MR. CARTER: Thank you.
2 Q (BY MR. APPEL) Do you believe the water moves
3 between the various members of the Star Point Formation?
4 A Given enough time and -- that could occur, but
5 you're talking permeabilities that are exceedingly low.
6 Q What if they are bound together by common
7 joints and fractures?
8 A It's unlikely because of -- the common joints
9 and fractures would seal themselves in the shale.
10 Q What if it's a very thin layer of shale
11 between the two of --
12 A How thin is thin?
13 Q What if it's one inch thick?
14 A Then it's probably likely to go through. But
15 in my opinion, there's no shale here that's only one inch
16 thick.
17 Q So water entering the Star Point (Indicating)
18 -- I'm sorry -- the Spring Canyon member -- will never
19 converse with the Storrs member or the Panther Sandstone
20 member. Is that what you're saying?
21 A Not never. That's pretty absolute.
22 Q Would it have someplace else it would rather
23 go, "it" being the water?
24 A Yes.
25 Q Where would it rather go?

A The conductivity in these members is -- well, let me back up. It would go laterally, or horizontally, instead of vertically.

Q So it would move down this way (Indicating) in each of these sandstones and find the air here?

A Yes.

Q Have you seen any springs here?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q How large are they?

A They're not significant. I wouldn't -- I wouldn't guess a flow, but you're not talking 40 or 50 gallons a minute.

Q Have you seen them in the permit area?

A No. What I'm saying is, in the permit area there are springs, but these are insignificant flows.

Q Okay. More like seeps?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q Okay. Same situation --

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q -- with the Storrs member?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q The same situation from the Panther Sandstone member?

A With the exception of Birch and Big Bear.

Q Are there any other springs of the size of

Birch and Big Bear in this area above them?

A In that formation?

Q Uh-huh (Affirmative).

A Not that I'm aware of.

Q Any other formation?

A There are significant springs in the North Horn Formation.

Q Up here (Indicating)?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q Okay. And is it the Price River Formation that drives those?

A No, it would be the shale members within the North Horn Formation that drive them up.

Q And water, recharged water is going to land on the North Horn Formation here, and is it going to run off as surface water or is some of it going to move down?

A A small percentage of it may move down.

Q Okay. And that would cause some of the springs you mentioned here?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative). Yes.

Q Stand up, if you would, and pretend to be Dr. Mayo again. I'm sorry. I just can't resist.

A I wouldn't even pretend to do that.

Q Your hair's too short.

A It's almost gray enough.

1 Q Water falls here (Indicating). Show me where,
2 based upon your geologic inquiry of this area, you think
3 the water's going to be.
4 A Ninety percent of it's going to run off at the
5 surface. Ten percent or less may come in here
6 (Indicating), hit an impermeable unit, come out here
7 (Indicating). It may never daylight to the surface. It
8 may fall through the soils down to some point in here
9 (Indicating). Say that happens to another 80 percent of
10 the 10 percent. Now we're down to 2 percent of the
11 water. And it may travel a little bit farther and come
12 out here (Indicating). Then we got another smaller
13 percent that eventually, over -- in geologic time we're
14 talking, perhaps, not a significant amount of time, but
15 in your time -- in our understanding of time, it would
16 take thousands of years to get down to here (Indicating).
17 Q Okay. So what water is forming this
18 potentiometric surface you've drawn here? Is that the
19 groundwater table, in your estimation?
20 A No, it's not the groundwater table. It's the
21 potentiometric surface.
22 Q Where would the groundwater table be? Higher?
23 A No. It depends on each location. You could
24 have these perch zones where you would have a separate
25 and distinct groundwater surface, but as far as the

1 groundwater table goes, it's -- you really cannot say the
2 potentiometric surface is the groundwater table.
3 Q Okay. What do you mean by "potentiometric
4 surface" when you draw it here?
5 A If I were to drill a hole -- well, such as
6 this one -- into the Spring Canyon, this water will rise
7 within that well to this point (Indicating).
8 Q So is there water moving through the Blackhawk
9 Formation at all down?
10 A At an incredibly slow rate.
11 Q Where does the water come from that provides
12 the source for Birch and Big Bear Spring?
13 A The only answer I can give to that is that it
14 comes somewhere north of the permit area.
15 Q And here's your permit boundary here
16 (Indicating)?
17 A Uh-huh (Affirmative).
18 Q Do you believe some of this water's moving
19 down?
20 A No.
21 Q No?
22 A Not -- okay. Yes and no. A very small
23 percentage, incredibly small percentage of it is moving
24 down.
25 MR. CARTER: Let me ask a question because

we're only looking at one dimension here. That is, if you look at the geologic map -- and anyone correct me if I'm wrong here -- it is a general proposition rock units that are aquifers get most of their recharge -- or the best opportunity to recharge is where those units are exposed at the surface.

The classic would be the Navajo Sandstone, which is recharging way out to the east of us here but then plunges beneath the Wasatch Plateau. The surface out there is 7,000 feet, where Texaco has its injection well. And the -- that is not being recharged because it's overlain where Texaco has its well by Mancos Shale and a whole bunch of other stuff.

Most of the water, if not all of the water that's getting into that, just in terms of large percentages, is coming from where it's cropping out, where the snow lands there, melts, and gets into it.

So isn't it likely, or possible at least, that if it's having difficulty coming straight down through a bunch of very low permeability layers, that if you look at the geologic map, you can see that it crops out up the canyons, where the canyons are incised. And where it's -- and the best way that I've got: impermeable layer, permeable layer, impermeable layer.

Just relatively speaking, the best place to

1 get water into it is from the sides rather than from the
2 top or the bottom, so the most effective source would be
3 -- I mean, I don't -- this is not testimony, but I'm
4 just remembering my geology. You got to put the water
5 into the container where there's an opening.
6 MR. APPEL: Maybe I can ask a question and
7 we'll follow up on that.
8 Q (BY MR. APPEL) You see an outcrop of any of
9 these formations up-canyon?
10 A Yes.
11 Q Where?
12 A Tie Fork, which is -- it would be on this map
13 (Indicating). This area up here (Indicating).
14 Q Which formations do you see?
15 A Well, it's -- see the Mancos Shale, Star
16 Point, Blackhawk, Castleview, Price River. The whole
17 sequence is essentially exposed in this area.
18 Q How far above stream level are they?
19 A Depends on where you are, because the canyon
20 is not level. As you go up-canyon, you're crossing each
21 one of these outcrops. For example, the Star Point is an
22 outcropping up into this area (Indicating). The
23 Blackhawk is exposed in the bottom of the canyon here
24 (Indicating).
25 Q And that's outside of our graben area, isn't

it?

A No, it's actually within the graben, this being the boundary of fault (Indicating).

Q So you're saying in here (Indicating)?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative), though it isn't drawn on there.

Q And you think that's the recharge area for the Birch and Big Bear springs?

A No. I'm saying a potential recharge area, not necessarily for these springs but for this formation. It could recharge that formation.

Q Have you done a water budget?

A No, I have not.

Q Has anyone?

A Not that I'm aware of.

Q Okay. Is there a water budget in the PHC?

A Not that I'm aware.

Q Okay. Did you do any work on the existing PHC?

A No. I have only reviewed it.

Q Who did that work?

A John Garr and some other employees at EarthFax.

Q Who were the other employees?

A Rich White and --

1 Anybody else?
2 MR. WHITE: It was predominantly who it was.
3 Q (BY MR. APPEL) Do you know who did the field
4 work?
5 A Field work as far as the in-mine drilling?
6 Q Well, and additionally, to determine whether
7 or not there were the fractures and jointings occurred on
8 the surface.
9 A For the PHC, John Garr would have done that
10 work.
11 Q Does the PHC reference regional faulting and
12 jointing?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Throughout the stratigraphic sequence?
15 A In the general sense, yeah.
16 Q Do you believe that's a correct conclusion?
17 A That --
18 Q -- there is regional faulting and jointing
19 throughout this entire sequence.
20 A Oh, yeah.
21 Q You mentioned that you saw water coming from
22 fractures in the north end of the mine, which was here
23 (Indicating)?
24 A Uh-huh (Affirmative).
25 Q Where is that on this map, on Exhibit C-7?

A That would be approximately up in here (Indicating). Water is -- or the -- yeah, the water was coming out of the roof.

Q Okay. And that's from the sandstone channel, as you've referred to it?

A Yes.

Q How does the water get to the sandstone channel?

A I'm not sure that I know where it comes from.

Q Do you agree with Dr. Mayo's conclusion it is coming from the surface?

A Only in the fact that all water in the groundwater surface -- or groundwater system comes from the surface.

Q Do you think it could have come from the surface directly above?

A No.

Q So it is coming from unknown destinations or sources to the north too?

A It's -- in my opinion, it is coming from sources that would be north of the permit area.

Q What do you base that opinion on?

A As we discussed earlier, the extremely low permeability of the overlaying units.

Q Okay. Do you know if that sandstone channel

is continuous with other sandstone channels?

A No.

Q Okay. What's it connected to that would put so much water in it?

A A gallon and a half a minute? It could be exposed to the surface where a stream is currently running over it. It could be coming from another discontinuous sand. There's a potential circuitous route. I'm not sure what it would be.

Q So is it your testimony that the Blackhawk Formation is -- what's a good word to use? -- there's an impermeable barrier between the Blackhawk and the Star Point Formation?

A It is an impermeable barrier.

Q Is there any communication between those two that would allow water to move down?

A At a very incredibly slow rate.

Q What about if there were fractures?

A The fractures would have a tendency to heal themselves.

Q But what if you have a whole series of sandstone channels? Could those rest on the Star Point Formation?

A Yes, but you would -- it would be highly unlikely to have a series of sandstone channels that

1 would stack themselves the length of the Blackhawk
2 Formation or the width -- the thickness, rather, of the
3 Blackhawk Formation.
4 Q Doesn't Dr. Mayo's drawing of the sandstone
5 channel show that it's discontinuous within two fault
6 boundaries?
7 A He's only shown those as boundaries, not that
8 the channel is only here at this location. He's saying
9 that this is the location of the channel between these
10 two faults.
11 Q Do you think it's on the other side of the
12 faults?
13 A It's reasonable to assume that.
14 Q Okay. The same stratigraphic level?
15 A Same stratigraphic level but not the same
16 structural level.
17 Q In any event, the mine is very wet up in here
18 (Indicating)?
19 A There is water that is coming out of a channel
20 and near the face, roof dripping.
21 MR. APPEL: That's all I have.
22 MR. SMITH: I just got a few questions.
23 EXAMINATION
24 BY MR. SMITH:
25 Q This is Exhibit C-7. Before I ask you about

1 this, let me ask you, What were you asked to do by Co-Op
2 in connection with why you're here today?
3 A To review the geology and structure of the
4 area, to determine what effect faulting would have on
5 this area, do a little surface mapping, and generally
6 present any information that I observed in the mine.
7 Q Did you gather new data or just reevaluate
8 existing data?
9 A I did some surface work, reviewed existing
10 data.
11 Q When you say "surface work" --
12 A Surface mapping.
13 Q Any other data gathering besides that?
14 A Other than adding in, helping collect in the
15 isotopic samples, I wouldn't say -- yes, I would say "No"
16 to that.
17 Q Okay. Now, Birch and Big Bear Spring, they
18 both issue from the Panther Sandstone; is that correct?
19 A The base or near the base of the Panther.
20 Q Then I take it they both issue out of faults
21 or fractures?
22 A Yes, on top of the Mancos Shale.
23 Q So that's a fracture in the Panther Sandstone;
24 is that correct?
25 A Right. It's sealed -- if it actually

1 continued into the Mancos Shale, it's apparently sealed
2 itself, so it kicks out at the contact of the two
3 formations.
4 Q That's at the bottom, right? You're saying
5 that the Mancos Shale is kind of a floor and that's where
6 it comes out there; is that --
7 A Right.
8 Q Do I understand that?
9 Did you make any effort to try to follow the
10 fractures from -- let's take Birch Spring, for example.
11 Did you follow the fracture from Birch Spring?
12 A On the ground I was not able to, but with
13 aerial photographs I tried to trace it.
14 Q Does it continue into the next layer, the
15 shale layer above the Panther Sandstone?
16 A There are fractures within the overlying
17 sandstone units that appear to be in the same vertical
18 location, though between the sandstone members, the shale
19 is not exposed -- not well exposed, so I couldn't say for
20 certain that those were the same joints or fractures.
21 Q So you couldn't tell but thought there were
22 joints that extended through the shale into the next
23 sandstone layer?
24 A I guess what I'm saying is, there were joints
25 in the sandstone that appear to be vertically in line

with the joints -- joints in the Storrs that are vertically in line with those joints that are in the Panther.

Q I see. So there were joints in the Storrs that are in line with the Panther Sandstone?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative), but I cannot say they were exactly the same joint.

Q Is the Mancos Shale -- is that exposed? Did you see that?

A In certain areas, yes. Not all at the springs.

Q Okay.

A I mean, there is Mancos Shale exposed at Birch Springs. There is some Mancos Shale and shale tongues exposed in the area of Big Bear.

Q Now, there aren't any major springs that issue out of the Storrs Sandstone?

A Not that are flowing 30, 40 gallons a minute.

Q And I take it the same's true out of the Spring Canyon Sandstone?

A In this area, no.

Q Any reason why the two major springs in this area both come out of the Panther Sandstone?

A It could be several areas: One, the Spring Canyon and the Storrs are less permeable than the Panther Sandstone. And that's from information that we got --

intercepted in the permit area that would eventually end up at Birch Spring?

A It would have to be coming vertically through the mine area to reach that point.

Q Well, doesn't your map show that the water -- let's take the potentiometric surface -- moves, you know, vertically, there's a vertical aspect of the potentiometric surface as well as horizontal aspect?

A That's only a pressure head, a pressure representation. That's not a representation of the actual water surface.

Q Why don't you show me on this map where the mine's encountering water, because it is encountering water, correct?

A On the north end near the sandstone channel, which would be in this area right here (Indicating) -- well, let me back up, maybe make it a little bit --

Q That's very near where you show the potentiometric surface. Is that just by coincidence they happen to be together?

A I think unfortunately, yes, because that water comes out of the roof, not out of the floor.

Q Which way is the water moving? Dr. Mayo said the water was moving. Which direction is that water moving?

1 A It's a good question. It's moving out of the
2 channel somewhere. And at this point it's moving into
3 the mine. Prior to mining up against it, it was moving
4 through there at a gallon and a half or less -- assumed
5 gallon and a half or less to some location. And it may
6 not have been daylighting. It may have been going into
7 another formation.
8 Q Well, you just don't know. Is that your answer?
9 A I just don't know what?
10 Q You just don't know where the water was moving
11 prior to the mining?
12 A That's probably -- I couldn't put my finger on
13 where -- on a direction where it was moving. But I
14 believe what he has calculated to be accurate: in the
15 realm of a gallon and a half a minute, more or less.
16 Q And explain that so I understand what "gallon
17 and a half" means.
18 MR. HANSEN: For clarification, the actual
19 number was 1.2.
20 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. That's just
21 the throughput.
22 Q (BY MR. SMITH) Throughput. Gallon and a half
23 moving through what?
24 MR. CARTER: From one end of this channel
25 segment to the other. Based upon the approximate amount

1 that had been discharged out of the channel and the age
2 of the water in the channel and the curve, I think he was
3 saying we have a vessel that holds about a billion
4 gallons of water and it's moving through the vessel --
5 it's not static but it's moving at the rate of about 1.2
6 gallons a minute.
7 I mean, he was trying to match age, residence
8 time, velocity, and volume to come up with a -- you know,
9 when it comes in, how long does it take to move through
10 and how fast is it going?
11 THE WITNESS: Right.
12 Q (BY MR. SMITH) Now, Dr. Mayo's figures were
13 based on flow, groundwater discharge figures that are
14 shown on Exhibit 4; is that correct?
15 MR. CARTER: Well, my understanding was, he
16 was utilizing this exhibit as the basis for determining
17 -- basically -- it's like a decline curve sort of
18 analysis, trying to determine what the volume of the
19 vessel was.
20 Q (BY MR. SMITH) So that's what he based his
21 calculation on was those discharge figures; isn't that
22 correct?
23 A Well, I'm not sure -- I don't feel comfortable
24 answering that question because I -- it's not my
25 testimony.

1 Q Let's go on to the next question. Can you
2 explain to me why the numbers are different on this
3 exhibit than they are on the PHC and the CHIA as far as
4 the discharge?
5 A Well, the CHIA is a state document. The CHIA
6 is supposed to be prepared by DOGM.
7 Q How about the PHC?
8 A I have no -- I have no opinion on that. That
9 is something you could ask Charles.
10 Q I guess we'll have to ask him that question
11 why we have different numbers.
12 A Are you sure that we do?
13 Q I'm happy to point them out. I've got the
14 documents here.
15 MR. CARTER: What are they?
16 MR. SMITH: Well, they're as high as 300 --
17 MS. MATTSO: Can I ask a point of -- Liane
18 Mattso, Forest Service. Is what you're thinking of is
19 that discharge water what they're actually pumping out of
20 the mine and what they're talking about is the water
21 that's flowing in from the channel? Is that where we're
22 getting caught up in semantics?
23 MR. SMITH: No, it really isn't. The
24 difference is, we have two sets of numbers that, for
25 whatever reason, Dr. Mayo relied on and what's been

reported in the PHC to the CHIA, and also in the CHIA, as to what the flows are encountered in the mine.

MR. CARTER: What flows do they report? As high as --

MR. SMITH: As high as 300 and nothing anywhere near 300. And that's a total. We're talking about total both used in the mine and discharged out of the mine.

MS. MATTSO: Well, my question -- what I'm trying to -- because I get confused listening to you guys. What -- I'm wondering if these guys are talking about discharge from this channel that's coming into the mine and what you're referring to is what is being discharged from the mine and being measured outside.

MR. SMITH: What I believe I'm referring to is just the total water that's measured -- used in the mine or discharged out of the mine. I thought, you know, Dr. Mayo's numbers were the same, but they seem to be different than what's in those documents. That's why I'm trying to --

MR. REYNOLDS: Maybe I can clarify this right now. These numbers are the numbers that have flowed from --

MR. HANSEN: "These numbers," meaning numbers in Exhibit C-4?

1 MR. REYNOLDS: Yes.

2 -- from the channel. The maximum number of

3 300 gallon a minute you're referring to -- in my

4 testimony I did mention another point, SPC-10, that we

5 mined into for a short period. We encountered about 250

6 gallon a minute, which immediately dried up.

7 MR. CARTER: Oh, that was the fault-related

8 water?

9 MR. REYNOLDS: It was not -- it was over near

10 a fault on the east side. It was also near this channel,

11 but we did not encounter either -- we just ran into

12 basically what appeared to be a perched aquifer that

13 drained.

14 And the figures you're looking at are just --

15 in other words, the figures in the PHC represent average

16 numbers. This 300 gallon a minute you're talking about

17 represents at one point in time the maximum that has ever

18 flowed from the whole mine together from all points in

19 the mine.

20 MR. CARTER: So I understand, Exhibit C-4 is

21 intended to show the water coming from the channel --

22 MR. REYNOLDS: That's correct.

23 MR. CARTER: -- and not supposed to show all

24 the water coming out of the mine, just the channel?

25 MR. REYNOLDS: It is -- in fact, at this point

in time, it is -- 95 percent of what's coming into the mine is coming out of the channel right now, but --

MR. APPEL: And the rest comes from where? Roof drips?

MR. REYNOLDS: I mentioned we do have a small bit of water that has just started flowing out of the gob that we've begun monitoring.

MR. CARTER: That's on the east side of the mine?

MR. REYNOLDS: Yes. It's --

MR. HANSEN: Do you have any problem with this, just to clear things up?

MR. SMITH: No, I'm happy to let Charles clear things up.

MR. CARTER: While we're on it, I said two or three times the last few times that this was informal. It's gotten quite formal. And as long as the parties are comfortable with that, you can do that. But I think it's helpful to clarify the record as we get to these issues.

MR. HANSEN: I would certainly prefer clarity over formality.

MR. CARTER: Okay. Let's have both.

Go ahead. Sorry.

MR. REYNOLDS: Just within the last five, six months we've encountered some water. I think our last

measurement was around 18 gallon a minute, what we estimated that, flowing out of this gob.

MR. APPEL: Going back into the area you're mining now?

MR. REYNOLDS: Back in the mine.

MR. APPEL: Which indicates there's quite a bit of water in your gob?

MR. REYNOLDS: Which would indicate it's probably flowing through the entries. In other words, we did have about 20 gallon a minute that was flowing here at the time that we pulled pillars. And we suspect that water has just flowed through the workings and is now coming back into the mine.

MR. CARTER: It's made its way back to the mine.

THE WITNESS: So it's not crossing the faults.

MR. REYNOLDS: No, it doesn't appear to be crossing the faults.

MR. APPEL: Isn't the slope of the floor in those workings toward the east?

MR. REYNOLDS: It is.

MR. APPEL: So in order for that to be coming down and filling up here (Indicating), you have to fill up the levels down to the east, right?

MR. REYNOLDS: No, not necessarily. When we

1 mined this, we did have floor coal. And in pulling these
2 pillars, the way we'd pull it is, after we'd make a --
3 we've got a pillar here. Mine the pillar. First thing
4 you do is you split it in half; then you turn and make
5 cuts into that pillar about like that (Indicating). And
6 what happens is, they'd mine that; then they'd come back
7 and mine out the floor.
8 THE WITNESS: Now, is this looking down or
9 cross section?
10 MR. REYNOLDS: This is looking -- a plan view
11 of the pillar.
12 And so what you do is, you create basically
13 some bowls in the floor, small sumps that may fill up as
14 the water moves. Depending on how much coal or where you
15 filled up would determine the direction it's going.
16 And then also where we were mining against
17 this in-mine fault and we did not penetrate that fault,
18 that would also be a barrier for any water from moving to
19 the east. It would hold it this way. We do also
20 in-mine.
21 Although the general trend's that way, this
22 map shows it a little better (Indicating). The actual
23 contours of the floor are actually shown right here, and
24 we do have quite a bit of variance right here. We have a
25 low point; then we had a high point, which came through

here (Indicating). And it was actually downhill, then back uphill, then downhill right in the mine. So you have all kinds of variations like that that are going to influence the direction the water's going to flow.

This is the only place we've had water flowing out of the gob. We do have a --

MR. APPEL: Is it flowing through a pipe or is it just seeping?

MR. REYNOLDS: It's seeping --

MR. APPEL: So there's a head behind it?

MR. REYNOLDS: -- onto the floor of the mine.

MR. APPEL: Is there a head behind it?

MR. REYNOLDS: I would say no. As this area's kind of filled up, the water -- it's just an open flow along the floor.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You have not sealed that section, then?

MR. REYNOLDS: We've built -- sealed, but we have not finished sealing this off yet. We have been in the process of sealing, but we did get some water flowing back out of it that we have begun monitoring.

We do also -- I didn't mention it before -- we also monitor this portal that was sealed on the east side, which has always been dry. We've never encountered any water flowing out of that east side, which would

1 indicate that this is probably not filling up with water,
2 because if it was, you'd have water seeping out of that
3 area.
4 Hopefully that'll clarify.
5 MR. SMITH: Yeah, that's helpful. Thank you.
6 MR. REYNOLDS: Other than that flow, all the
7 flow we're encountering is coming out of here (Indicating).
8 MR. CARTER: Where did the other flow that was
9 250 gpm, then dropped off -- where was that coming from?
10 MR. REYNOLDS: That was coming out of this
11 section right here (Indicating).
12 MR. CARTER: That's the same place. So now --
13 MR. REYNOLDS: Yeah.
14 MR. CARTER: -- it's down to 20? You pulled
15 the pillars?
16 MR. REYNOLDS: Dropped down to about 22 when
17 we pulled pillars and discontinued that.
18 MR. CARTER: And now you've got 18 coming out
19 of the gob?
20 MR. REYNOLDS: It appears to be about 18. It
21 varies somewhat, but . . .
22 Q (BY MR. SMITH) The three wells -- and this is
23 the fourth well that was drilled?
24 A Uh-huh (Affirmative).
25 Q Why has that not been drilled all the way

through?

A I really do not know the answer to that.

MR. WHITE: I can testify to that later.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Who decided about the number and placement of wells to do these tests?

A That would be something I think Mr. White could probably discuss.

Q And your answer would be you don't know?

A No.

Q I'm not trying to get you to answer questions for other people. All you have to say is, "I don't know," and then we'll move on to something else.

A I just didn't want you to think nobody was going to answer it.

I think it's important to note, too, that there are three separate and distinct potentiometric heads in the Star Point Formation. If we were communicating between those three sandstones, they would have the same head and not different heads.

Q Were these sandstones about the same thickness?

A They varied but they're close -- within 10, 20, 30 feet.

Q How about the shale?

A Are they the same thicknesses?

Q Uh-huh (Affirmative).

1 A Not exactly.

2 Q For example, was the same thickness found at

3 DH-3 as DH-1 of the member of the shale or was it found

4 to be different thicknesses at each point?

5 A Within -- within tens of feet. I would say

6 plus or minus 20 feet.

7 Q And how thick are these, generally, these

8 Mancos Shale tongues?

9 A At this location?

10 Q At this location.

11 A At the moment, I cannot recall, but we can

12 measure them. Fifty to eighty feet thick. But that's

13 not an exact number.

14 Q Have you spent any time on the issue of the

15 pump, when water was pumped? You've heard earlier

16 testimony today about when the water was pumped into the

17 worked-out areas of the mine. Have you spent any time on

18 that issue?

19 A Not to date, or not really at all.

20 Q So that's not something you're prepared to

21 offer any testimony about?

22 A Huh-uh (Negative).

23 Q Do you know whether the fault that Birch

24 Spring water comes out of is connected with either of

25 these major faults that are shown on this Exhibit 8 --

1 A All I know.
2 Q -- the Blind Canyon Fault?
3 A I'm sorry.
4 Q I'm trying to make sure we're getting a good
5 record.
6 The Blind Canyon Fault.
7 A All I know is that the fracture the Birch
8 Springs issues from in that area is parallel to those
9 faults. I don't know what it does farther to the north a
10 great distance.
11 Q I see. So you don't know if it connects to
12 the Blind Canyon Fault or not?
13 A Not -- I -- I do not know that.
14 Q Okay. How about these two faults that you
15 show as the -- one of them's Blind Canyon Fault and the
16 other one's a fault that basically parallels that? Are
17 they connected by fracturing or faults that go back and
18 forth between those?
19 A I would assume that there are fractures that
20 exist in the rock between those two faults, as there are
21 between the Bear Canyon and the Blind Canyon Fault.
22 Q And I take it the Bear Canyon Fault, where the
23 Bear Canyon Spring is, do you know -- how close does that
24 fault come around, or that fault is not close at all?
25 A It's at least a quarter of a mile away.

Q Now, going back to this exhibit, when you say there are three different potentiometric surfaces, does that mean you found water at three different places, or tell me -- because I have to admit I'm a little bit confused when you say "potentiometric surface" doesn't mean you find water there.

A Right. If I'm drilling through this -- if I'm drilling this hole -- this is going to be difficult -- if I'm drilling this hole (Indicating) and I'm in this shale (Indicating), I am not hitting this water (Indicating). Does that make -- I am not going to encounter this water (Indicating) until I move in the Storrs member.

MR. CARTER: I know this is a great oversimplification, but is it fair to say there are something like three different pressures --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. CARTER: -- I mean, in terms of millimeters of mercury above sea level?

THE WITNESS: Yes, psi.

MR. HANSEN: I think to explain this as a layman, if that would help -- I didn't understand that; now I do, if you want me to give it a shot. If you don't, I won't, but I think I could clarify it in laymen's terms.

MR. SMITH: Why don't you go ahead.

1 MR. HANSEN: I'm just offering to --

2 MR. CARTER: This is informal. I like this.

3 Good. Good.

4 MR. HANSEN: Our experts can correct me if I'm

5 wrong. Picture this, that we have a tank of water

6 sitting here --

7 MR. CARTER: This will be a --

8 MR. HANSEN: -- and it's buried in the sand,

9 okay? So this is all sand out in here (Indicating). And

10 suppose we have a pipe that comes out of this tank that

11 comes this way (Indicating) and is sealed off, okay?

12 Now, if we were to take and drill a well here,

13 you drill a well down to here, you find nothing; you

14 drill the well on down to here, it's still dry; you drill

15 the well and tap onto this pipe and the water's going to

16 rise to this level (Indicating) -- that's the

17 potentiometric surface. There's no water anywhere in

18 here. Is that accurate? Does that explain it?

19 MR. SMITH: It's what I understood, so I guess

20 I'm as smart a layman as you are.

21 MR. HANSEN: That's the difference between the

22 water table and the potentiometric surface.

23 Is that -- Erik? Yes.

24 Q (BY MR. SMITH) So that leads me to my next

25 question: Where is the water table in this area?

A Well, the water table describes that area where it's unconfined, which means where there's no potentiometric surface, there are potential -- pressure potential. At this point, this is the groundwater surface for the Panther Sandstone member, from here on out (Indicating). From here, this is the potentiometric surface (Indicating). This was all saturated (Indicating); this is not (Indicating).

Q Now, why are the lines -- I mean, you say the line goes from the Panther potentiometric surface, goes up, you take it into the Storrs, then you stop it?

A Well --

Q Why doesn't it just continue on up into the Blackhawk Formation? I mean, that's where the recharge has got to come through.

A No, it doesn't. As I think I've testified several times, this is not a groundwater service. The water is in this formation; it's not up here (Indicating). This line does not represent the water surface, an actual water table surface (Indicating).

Q I understand that.

MR. CARTER: It's the pressure surface.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) I understand that's where the pressure is. I guess what I'm trying to say is -- why do you take it through the one and then stop it here? is

1 what I'm trying to say.
2 A Well, we have this point (Indicating) that we
3 measured, the potentiometric surface --
4 Q Okay.
5 A -- in this well, but we have no --
6 Q Oh, you just don't have the information for
7 this? Is that what you're saying?
8 A Well, the end of the mine is here (Indicating).
9 Q But this well could have drilled more, then
10 continued this?
11 A Oh, yeah, but there's no reason to believe at
12 this point that it's going to suddenly pop up.
13 Q Okay. Now, the Panther Sandstone is just --
14 how much did you say? -- about 100 feet thick? Is that
15 how thick that is?
16 A Well, let's pick a hole here and maybe I can
17 tell you.
18 Q Why don't we talk about how thick that is.
19 A It's about 100 feet.
20 Q Okay. And --
21 MR. HANSEN: Just for clarification for the
22 record, where are we getting this information?
23 THE WITNESS: This is coming out of the
24 hydrogeologic evaluation portion of the PHC.
25 Q (BY MR. SMITH) All right. So if it's that

thick -- and where does its recharge come from?

A Somewhere other than the permit area.

Q But you don't know where?

A I could not put my finger on it, no. The reason I say that is because, again, the vertical permeability in this area is so low.

Q And even if it were out on the surface somewhere -- do you know where the Panther Sandstone is on the surface?

A All along the face of Huntington Canyon.

Q But that's a vertical there. It can't get much water through that, can it?

A Where streams and creeks cross it, yes.

Q And where is that?

A Anywhere you see a stream and creek crossing the Panther Sandstone on that map. That's a -- but that's not to say that's exactly where it's coming from.

Q Well, in Birch Spring we have --

A -- 1,500.

Q -- 1,500 years old --

A Whatever it is.

Q I guess we'd just have to leave it: You don't know whether it's recharged through the Panther Sandstone?

A I cannot say that without some significant

1 studies being performed.

2 Q And do you know where the water that's being

3 pumped out of the mine -- where it would go without the

4 mining?

5 A If the mine was not there?

6 Q The mine was not there.

7 A Really couldn't say.

8 Q Really couldn't say.

9 MR. SMITH: Thanks. That's all I have.

10 MR. CARTER: Okay. Jeff has one more.

11 MR. APPEL: Two.

12 MR. CARTER: He has more, too, or is this for

13 us?

14 MR. HESS: They've made the statement several

15 times that water is transmitted horizontally many times

16 greater than vertically. Can't we use a slope gradient

17 and start at the point where the water's coming out and

18 draw -- use that slope gradient to establish where that

19 surface precipitation is penetrating through the ground?

20 MR. CARTER: This probably is a graph

21 exercise, but the -- I mean, you could say, if it's an

22 order of magnitude, then 10 feet vertically is 100 feet

23 horizontally and it's two orders, it's 10 and 1,000.

24 MR. HESS: That's going to give us an

25 approximation.

MR. CARTER: Except it wouldn't follow a linear path, right? I mean, these guys are the experts.

THE WITNESS: That would work if it was a homogeneous situation.

MR. CARTER: Right. Thanks.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. APPEL:

Q The wells that you drilled, including SDH-1 -- which of these wells attempted to determine if there was water in the Blackhawk Formation?

A I would -- since I was not there when they drilled it, I would assume that they were looking for water when they started in the Blackhawk Formation. I guess -- what I'm saying is, as soon as they spud the hole, I'm sure they were looking for water. And they spud within the lower Blackhawk Formation.

Q But did they do any tests the way they did in these other sequences?

A Not that I'm aware of. Permeability or slug test?

Q Yes.

A There was no water there, so they couldn't.

Q Obviously, they didn't run into a sandstone channel?

A Now, they could have. It just didn't have any

water.

Q Okay. Now, you mentioned -- let me just back up -- that studies will be necessary to determine the recharge area. If you were to design studies to determine what the recharge area was that Mr. Smith was discussing, what would you do?

A I would probably ask a hydrogeologist to do it.

Q What, based upon your knowledge, would you suggest?

A I'm not sure I'd feel comfortable answering that, simply because I'm not here as an expert on hydrogeology but more as geologist.

Q What are you here as an expert for?

MR. HANSEN: I think that's been his entire area of testimony.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) What would you call yourself an expert in?

A Probably the stratigraphy and structural geologic interpretations of this area.

Q Okay. In any event, in order to determine where the water actually comes from, you need additional studies, in your estimation?

A If that was important, yes. I think for this hearing what's important is whether or not the mine is in the recharge area.

Q In any event, that information is not in the PHC?

A I think it is. I think that the statement that the mine is not within the recharge area is in the PHC.

Q I'm referring to the studies you alluded to --

A No.

Q -- in response to Mr. Smith's question.

A No, there are no additional studies we haven't already discussed.

MR. APPEL: Okay. Thanks.

MR. CARTER: I would -- to take this back to what I think the statutory obligations of the Division are -- well, that's okay. I think the very narrow question really is much more prosaic, and that is, Are these mining operations causing diminution, interference or -- the other word --

MR. HANSEN: Contamination.

MR. CARTER: -- contamination of a water source or source of supply? And whether it's in or out of the recharge area is not particularly material to me, it seems. I mean, convince me if I'm wrong. The question is, Is this operation interfering with the --

MR. APPEL: It's intercepting the water.

MR. CARTER: And then the other question,

which is, Did the Division have enough information in front of it to do it in the first place?

MR. APPEL: You just realistically answered that question.

MR. CARTER: But because there have been -- and I remember Craig saying either last time or the time before -- well, I think it was the opening argument -- saying if there was any connection, then the Division must require Co-Op to do something. I'm ready to be convinced that's true, but I don't think it's true. I think what we're looking for here is, Is the mining activity interfering with the water users' source?

And one of the things I said earlier in the day: Is a diminution of a gallon a minute interference, diminution -- I mean, does that fall -- do we need -- well, I'm repeating myself.

MR. SMITH: Let me explain why I think the recharge is important, and I think that might be helpful. Our theory is that the water that's being intercepted by Co-Op is part of the recharge water that's recharging those springs.

MR. CARTER: And they're intercepting water that would have made its way to the spring?

MR. SMITH: Water that would have made its way to the spring or --

MR. CARTER: I mean, the shorthand version is --

MR. APPEL: That supports the recharge.

MR. SMITH: You have a big bathtub of water and the --

MR. CARTER: Either it's the exact water or it's an interference with a flow system that's letting you get your water.

MR. APPEL: It's a disruption in the historic flow patterns that would have otherwise recharged this spring.

MR. HANSEN: And I think our theory on the recharge might be easier on the blackboard. Suppose the recharge area is here (Indicating). The water that gets to Co-Op Mine comes down this way (Indicating). The water that gets to the springs comes down this way (Indicating). Even if there was a common recharge area, the water that's coming this way (Indicating) would never go over there, and so it wouldn't matter.

MR. CARTER: I wanted to make sure I understood the arguments, that there was not an argument being made that just because they're in the same hydrologic system or area that they -- well, never mind. I think I understand it.

MR. HANSEN: Our point is that at a certain point, the water that is going this way (Indicating) has

no hydrologic connection with the water that's going this way (Indicating).

MR. CARTER: The thornier question is, If there is some hydrologic connection, what is the quantum of that connection or the quantum of the effect of the connection that triggers a need to require something further of the operator? I mean, I think that may be a legal question you just need to brief.

MR. APPEL: And that's part of it.

MR. HANSEN: I think the determining --

MR. APPEL: I'm going to wait on this.

MR. CARTER: Yeah, we're getting --

MR. HANSEN: I understand we'll probably all submit a memorandum when this is concluded, is my understanding.

MR. CARTER: I was going to ask if you wanted to do that, but --

MR. HANSEN: My understanding of the basic -- is the Division regulation -- is the mining operation designed to prevent material damage to the hydrologic balance outside the permit area? I think that's the question.

MR. CARTER: That's one. And with the new law, we have a -- well, never mind. That's what brought us here.

1 MR. SMITH: I have just a couple of follow-up
2 questions for Mr. Hansen.
3 MR. HANSEN: For me?
4 MR. SMITH: No.
5 MR. HANSEN: Oh, that other Mr. Hansen.
6 MR. CARTER: This is based on your theory of
7 potentiometrics.
8 FURTHER EXAMINATION
9 BY MR. SMITH:
10 Q The question I have is, Did you do any
11 research or any investigation, I should say, into what
12 the cause was for the diminution of Birch Spring and --
13 let's start with Birch Spring. With Birch Spring.
14 A Yes, and I think that Mr. White will probably
15 testify to that.
16 Q Okay. So do you have an opinion on this or
17 does he have the opinion on that?
18 A Well, yes, I have an opinion, but for what
19 it's worth, I guess. My opinion is, it's precipitation-
20 related.
21 Q Precipitation-related? Is that the same for
22 Big Bear Spring?
23 A Yes.
24 Q And what kind of investigation did you do?
25 A We compared precipitation flow rates --

precipitation amounts and flow rates.

MR. SMITH: Okay. That's all I have.

MR. NIELSEN: I had a couple of questions too.

MR. CARTER: Sure.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. NIELSEN:

Q I've been listening to this, and you said that vertical fracturing is insignificant in the Blackhawk --

A No.

Q -- only in the sandstone units?

A No. No.

Q What did you say, then?

A I said there is fracturing.

Q Okay. There's fracturing but there's not an important hydrologic connection vertically? Vertically, I mean.

A Let's back up and take this as a whole. From the top of the mountain to the Mancos Shale, the area may be fractured and your sandstones may be -- permeability may be enhanced in those because there's no mechanism for those to reseal within themselves. But the shales, claystones, mudstones, etc., seal themselves.

Q Right. The problem I'm having here: You say that but then you come down and tell me that the Panther Sandstone is significantly fractured, that it's

1 recharging from Bear Creek Spring, or the stream, through
2 more Mancos Shale than the thickness between -- if you
3 look at the map --
4 A Where is the Mancos Shale?
5 Q Mancos Shale's on the side of the canyon -- in
6 the middle of the canyon. It's cutting through a couple
7 hundred feet of Mancos Shale to recharge the Panther, or
8 it's got to be several miles above.
9 THE WITNESS: No.
10 MR. CARTER: I understood --
11 Q (BY MR. NIELSEN) The fracturing is
12 significant in the Panther, the recharge just was not
13 above. And I'm having a problem --
14 A You're talking about a location where the
15 Panther Sandstone is exposed at the surface.
16 Q Well, sure. That's a mile upward.
17 A No, it's a quarter of a mile.
18 MR. HANSEN: Are we talking about just the Big
19 Bear Spring? because the mechanisms for the two springs
20 are significantly different.
21 Q (BY MR. NEILSEN) Just the Big Bear. So I was
22 having a problem here with this fracturing thing. It's
23 significant for the Panther but not for anything else?
24 A No, it's significant for any sandstone.
25 Q That's our point.

A The fracturing within the shales you're trying to communicate water through aren't there. They may be fractured but they'll heal. The water running across the surface of the Panther Sandstone at the creek may be entering those joints and fractures because nothing's sealing them there. But as soon as you put some Mancos Shale on top of them, you're sealing it off.

Q Okay. The other question I have is, If you review the permits of all the other mines, plus you review all of the USGS reports and all these investigations of hydrology, they consider that Spring Canyon Sandstone and the lower Blackhawk essentially to be a water table aquifer, continuous.

A Yes.

Q How come it's different here than --

A On a regional scale --

Q When I worked at the Plateau Mine, we built the --

A Let me answer your first question.

MR. APPEL: The reporter will never get this. One person ask a question.

MR. HANSEN: Is Mr. Nielsen testifying or is he asking a question?

MR. CARTER: Well --

MR. NIELSEN: It changes all the time.

1 that it would be, though?

2 A From what I know, yeah.

3 MR. HANSEN: That's it.

4 MR. CARTER: I have one last question: What's

5 the flow of Big Bear Spring today, roughly?

6 MR. LEEMASTER: About 140 gallons a minute.

7 MR. CARTER: I thought it was in the same

8 order of magnitude as the 120-gallon-a-minute flow that

9 we're seeing from the channel, so okay.

10 MR. HANSEN: When was the last time that

11 measurement was actually taken?

12 MR. LEEMASTER: Today, but I don't know the

13 results today. But we take them on the 15th of the month

14 and the last day of the month.

15 MR. HANSEN: You're saying on February 15th it

16 was -- do you remember what the exact number was?

17 MR. LEEMASTER: No.

18 MR. HANSEN: You don't know if it was higher

19 than or lower than 140?

20 MR. LEEMASTER: It's been around 140 the last

21 couple of months. I could find those if we needed them.

22 MR. HANSEN: For the record, that was Darrel

23 Leemaster's statement.

24 MR. CARTER: All right. Thank you. Let's

25 take a break, and so we'll be off the record.

(A short recess was taken.)

MR. HANSEN: Next witness is Rich White.

RICHARD WHITE,

called as a witness for and on behalf of Co-Op Mining Company, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANSEN:

Q Tell us what you know.

A I'd like to just be able to say "What they said" and call it quits, but I don't think that would satisfy everybody's curiosity.

I want to give you a little bit of background for those who are not acquainted with my background. My name is Richard White. I'm the president of EarthFax Engineering, have been with EarthFax since its founding. Prior to that, worked for a couple of other consulting firms in the Salt Lake City area. My experience has been largely with mining operations, conducting hydrologic assessments and evaluating the hydrologic impacts of mining operations.

I have a bachelor of science degree in watershed science from Utah State University, received that in 1976, and a master of science degree in civil and environmental engineering from Utah State in 1977. I've been involved with Co-Op Mining since -- I believe it was

1 Those holes were drilled, as has been
2 testified, latter part of 1991, first part of 1992.
3 During the drilling of those holes, we paused at various
4 intervals to insert what are called packers into the hole
5 where you seal off the hole within a zone in the hole and
6 then we would allow the water levels to stabilize. We'd
7 measure periodic water levels in that packed-off zone to
8 assess that the water levels had stabilized and then
9 would conduct further drilling in each of those zones.
10 We also ran a series of tests to determine the hydraulic
11 conductivity of the various units which were encountered.
12 The drill holes were then completed as a
13 monitoring well in the Spring Canyon tongue. The
14 regulations require that the predominance of the efforts
15 in the hydrologic sense for groundwater be directed
16 toward the aquifer which immediately underlies the coal
17 seam. From our drilling program, we determined that that
18 was the Spring Canyon tongue, that there were deeper
19 aquifers in the Storrs and the Panther tongue. But each
20 of these wells were completed in the Spring Canyon tongue
21 since that was the aquifer that immediately underlined --
22 underlaid the coal seam that was being mined.
23 In 1994, as I recall, or the latter part of
24 '93, pillars were pulled in the area where DH-3 was
25 drilled, which meant that that hole was no longer

available for monitoring, and so at that point we assisted in the installation of DH-4. And having already gathered the data on the sandstone tongues beneath the coal seam from DH-1 through DH-3, we felt it was adequate to fully penetrate the Spring Canyon tongue with DH-4 but did not see the necessity of drilling that hole deeper.

In a -- somewhat in the same time frame -- a bit later than that, as I recall -- Co-Op was involved in the drilling of some surface drill holes that are shown on the cross section of Exhibit C-7, SDH-1 and SDH-2. Those holes were also drilled down into the Spring Canyon tongue. Co-Op was predominantly responsible themselves for the installation of those holes. We have evaluated the data that have come from those holes, but we were not directly involved in the field effort into the installation of those surface drill holes.

As we have evaluated the data, it has been our conclusion that based on the drill hole data, as has been stated, I think, by several people in this hearing, that groundwater in the Star Point Sandstone tongues flows generally from the north toward the south. We saw similar pressure gradients in each of the tongues. Each of the tongues of the Star Point exhibited that north-to-south flow with generally the same type of hydraulic gradient.

The -- each of the tongues of the Star Point, as you advance to the north, were under pressure. I think -- I'm hopeful that the concept of potentiometric surface versus water table has been beat to death. But basically, as we went to the north, we found that there was a sufficient pressure that once you hit the tongue, water level rose in the bore hole to an elevation higher than the top of the tongue. And that confinement, based on the data that have been collected within the permit area and to the north in the adjacent areas as exhibited by the surface drill holes had confinement seems to be pretty much consistent toward the north.

There is an area where each of the tongues of the Star Point are under water table conditions, if you will, where the water level did not rise above the top of the tongue. That's generally the southern portion of the permit area, essentially that area from about DH-1 to the south. DH-1 itself is pretty close to that contact where things go unconfined toward the south. But generally, toward the north, it's under confined conditions.

As far as the -- where the recharge for these various tongues comes from, based on the water level data, we know that the flow is from north to south; therefore, it would appear that there's a reasonably significant component of the recharge that is northward.

1 Whether that's northeast, northwest, or somewhere in
2 between, I don't know. Within the permit area, it's
3 pretty much a straight north-to-south flow. As you get
4 north of the permit area, where we have less data,
5 there's always the potential that there may be some east
6 or west component to that, but I think we can say that
7 generally the recharge areas lie to the north.
8 In the work that we did as we reviewed the
9 regulations and attempted to comply with the regulations,
10 it is our opinion that the hydrogeologic evaluation,
11 particularly the Probable Hydrogeologic Consequences
12 report that was prepared back in 1993 was adequate to
13 satisfy the regulations which were in force at that time,
14 that those regulations require that there be a discussion
15 of recharge and discharge areas, and we have presented
16 that discussion.
17 It is not my opinion that the regulations
18 require that you pinpoint every recharge area. Rather,
19 the regulations require that you discuss recharge
20 conditions. You discuss what that mechanism is. The PHC
21 does discuss that, indicates that recharge occurs
22 northward, outside of the permit area. And it's far
23 beyond the requirements of the regulation to require a
24 mining operator to continue to pursue that groundwater
25 information until you have assured yourself of reaching

1 that recharge area.

2 There are, admittedly, things that can be done

3 to pinpoint recharge areas. We've seen, I believe -- Mr.

4 Mayo -- Dr. Mayo indicated that the ages of the water in

5 the channel that were encountered near the northern

6 portion of the workings -- that those ages generally

7 tended to be about 1,500 years. We could do some tracer

8 studies, wait for 1,500 years only to find out that we

9 put our tracer in the wrong spot, go to another spot,

10 wait 1,500 years. I think all of us realize that that's

11 a little bit beyond the requirements of the regulations.

12 What the regulations, again, require is that

13 we identify what that general pattern is and that we more

14 specifically look at the potential impacts that mining

15 will have on the hydrologic system.

16 At the time that the PHC was prepared back in

17 1983 -- or 1993 -- excuse me -- the area of the channel

18 that has been discussed had already been encountered.

19 There was at least a reasonably significant amount of

20 water that was flowing into the mine through the roof

21 drippers.

22 The copy of the hydrogeologic evaluation that

23 I've got which served as the basis for the PHC is dated

24 April 26th of 1993. They hit the channel on April 27th,

25 and so the actual visualization and encountering of that

1 channel was shortly after the PHC was prepared. However,
2 for a period of about three years, they had been in a
3 situation where there were increased flows.
4 Those increased flows to the mine were
5 discussed and we knew where those were coming in from.
6 And as various updates to that evaluation had been made
7 -- for instance, with the installation of DH-4 and
8 various other bits of data have been collected -- that
9 evaluation has been revised as necessary at appropriate
10 times in order to satisfy various Division requests.
11 We looked at -- we've looked at a variety of
12 -- at the groundwater condition within the Bear Canyon
13 area from a variety of different angles. Dr. Mayo has
14 talked about a few of those that he looked at from his
15 perspective. We also with EarthFax collected a limited
16 number of tritium samples prior to the preparation of the
17 hydrogeologic evaluation and the PHC.
18 Although we don't purport to have the same
19 expertise in radioisotope dating that Dr. Mayo does by
20 any means, we came to the same general conclusion that
21 water issuing from Big Bear Spring is quite young, water
22 issuing from Birch Spring is quite old relative to the
23 water issuing from Big Bear. We did not have the
24 capability of putting dates on it as Dr. Mayo has done
25 since.

We also looked at -- looked at the flow data at Big Bear Spring. The -- there's -- there's a reasonable concern, I think, that the water users have expressed with regard to Big Bear Spring in particular because our data do indicate from the drill hole data that the flow is from the north to the south and Big Bear Spring is directly south of the mining operations. And that's a reasonable concern to express.

There has been also a reduction in flow in Big Bear Spring over the last several years. And if I could -- not wanting to confuse myself with Dr. Mayo by standing up, but if I could, I'd like to stand up. This is a graph of the flow of Big Bear Spring for the period of record that's available (Indicating).

Basically, everything from the general time frame of late '81, early '82 -- that's data from the water users association that they periodically provide to Co-Op. Prior to that point is some information that we obtained from -- actually, I guess it was probably about 1980 that was in here (Indicating) that the water users began gathering their periodic flow data.

The data that are present the -- back here (Indicating) for the time frame of 1978-1979 come from a report that the USGS prepared as they were looking at hydrologic conditions in the Huntington Creek area. And

they collected data from several springs in the general watershed of Huntington Creek and the surrounding areas. And that's a year or two earlier than where the water users had begun collecting their frequent data. So we wanted to augment the database.

They've correctly indicated in the past that since during the time frame that they've been collecting data that there's been a general decline in the flow of Big Bear Spring, that we do have time frames in the early '80s where it was not unusual to see peaks in the springtime that got up to 300 gallons a minute or even higher than that. Those naturally, of course, died off in the fall and you saw a -- typical annual variations in the flow of the spring that are not unusual for springs in this region.

Then they noted that beginning about in 1987 or so that there was a general decline in the flow from Big Bear Spring. And that caused some concerns because of Co-Op's advancement toward the north and their location directly south of the mining operations.

MR. HANSEN: Can we mark this as Exhibit C-10?

THE WITNESS: That works for me.

As you look at the historic database and include the information that were collected by -- the information that was collected by the GS, it's apparent

that significantly lower flows in Big Bear Spring have been measured prior to the beginning of mining activities. Mining began in 1982 in the area, and so for a period of three or four years before that, for a period of about one year, the geological survey collected data approximately every month from Big Bear Spring. And it was after that time frame that the water users began collecting their, I think, bimonthly data from the spring.

This -- these two horizontal lines (Indicating) running through the graph represent the high and the low of what was measured by the geological survey back in 1978 and 1979. And so you can see that at least for the first four or five years that the water users were collecting data they were in a period of high flow. And it was quite a bit higher than -- than what had been measured in the spring a few years prior to them collecting flow data and a few years prior to the mining by Co-Op. The latter data are, with a couple of exceptions, pretty much within the range of the historic flow data if you look at the 1978-through-1979 data.

This indicated to us that there is some historical record that indicates that flows in Big Bear Spring have been measured at the low rates that -- relatively low rates that they're seeing now.

1 Typical flow rates generally in the time frame
2 that the water users association started to gather
3 frequent data -- you typically had flow rates that were
4 around 200 gallons a minute. Those flow rates dropped
5 down to 120 or so gallons a minute. They're up to 140
6 gallons a minute now.
7 The range of data that were collected by the
8 U.S. Geological Survey varied from a low of 110 gallons a
9 minute in April and May of 1978 up to a high of 165
10 gallons a minute in October of 1978. So this is, again,
11 three and a half or four years before Co-Op began their
12 mining activities.
13 I think it's obvious from the historical data
14 that there's at least a historical precedent that flows
15 in the range of what they're seeing now have historically
16 been there, that the mere presence of low flows, lower
17 than what they had in the mid-1980s, should not indicate
18 that it's purely an impact from mining activities but
19 that -- that historically they've seen -- those types of
20 flows have been measured at Big Bear Spring.
21 The -- as we evaluated the data, the blue line
22 here (Indicating) is the spring flow data. The red line
23 is the precipitation data. And it's obvious from just
24 looking at the general trends that we had much higher
25 precipitation in the early to mid '80s than we've had

1 really even since the early to mid '80s. It's been a
2 good ten years since we had the types of precipitation in
3 this general region that we had back in that earlier time
4 frame.
5 The fact that we have very young water in Big
6 Bear Spring would suggest that there's a reasonably close
7 type of recharge area to that spring. That's not to say
8 that that's the only place that it's going to be
9 recharged. As I think Dr. Mayo pointed out and Mr.
10 Nielsen discussed, the -- any time you try to do age
11 dating, you're really dating the average of all the
12 waters that mixed, and so there's a reasonable chance
13 that some of this water is quite old. But in total, it
14 appears that most of the water is fairly young.
15 You couple that with the type of response that
16 we see in comparing the flow and the precipitation data
17 and that would, again, suggest that this spring is fairly
18 responsive to precipitation events, not so much
19 individual events as more multimonth or annual types of
20 precipitation records.
21 What happens when you get in a system where
22 you have springs that are discharging, you typically --
23 for want of a better explanation, you essentially have
24 this bathtub that has to overflow in order for the spring
25 to discharge. And it's apparent that that bathtub is

always overflowing somewhat because there's always water coming out of the spring.

But when you get a period of prolonged drought relative to prior seasons, it takes a while. As we start to get increased precipitation -- it takes a while to satisfy that deficit. As the water continues to flow, you're basically creating a water deficit in the system, and it takes a while for that to be satisfied.

So even though you may have fairly short responses, the early data suggested that just a period of a couple of months from peak precipitation to -- or peak flow in Huntington Creek to peak flow in Big Bear Spring was just a couple of months. Now, as we're back into that -- as we're kind of coming out of that prolonged dry period, that response is not near as rapid because you're trying to satisfy that water deficit.

We looked at Birch Spring also.

MR. HANSEN: Mark it C-11.

THE WITNESS: C-11.

MR. HANSEN: Do you want foundation? Or we're pretty informal.

MR. APPEL: For demonstrative purposes.

MR. HANSEN: Well, I think this is represented to be a graph of actual data.

THE WITNESS: I can tell you that the flow

1 data came from the water users as they provided the data
2 to Co-Op. And the precipitation data we obtained from
3 five -- took the average of five precipitation gauges in
4 the -- in the area. And so we tried to get -- rather
5 than looking at just one gauge that, because of elevation
6 differences out in this area, may be biased one way or
7 another, we looked at multiple gauges and averaged that,
8 so . . .
9 We didn't show on this -- on the blue line
10 here (Indicating) -- we didn't show the data that were
11 collected by the U.S. Geological Survey because of the --
12 because of the -- basically the short time frame over
13 which data had been collected by the water users.
14 If we extended this graph out to the left and
15 put the one-year worth of geological survey data on here,
16 everything would get scrunched into one little spot and
17 it would be hard to see. But we put -- the horizontal
18 lines on that, again, represents the maximum and minimum
19 flows that were measured by the geological survey at
20 Birch Spring back in 1978 and '79. They measured a low
21 in Birch Spring of 9.3 gallons a minute in July of '79
22 and a high of 23 gallons per minute in May of 1978.
23 As you look at the more recent data --
24 basically the last three or four years' worth of data
25 that have been collected from Birch Spring -- you're very

1 near what the geological survey reported as the peak flow
2 that they measured during that time frame that they were
3 evaluating the data.
4 Again, you look at -- you look at the
5 precipitation data. It's obvious -- since it's the same
6 precipitation data, it's obvious that we've had a lot
7 more precipitation in the early to mid '80s than we had
8 in the mid to late '90s. Those precipitation amounts are
9 starting to pick back up. There appears to be some kind
10 of a response at Birch Spring that's similar to Big Bear
11 in that respect, that long-term precipitation seems to
12 influence it.
13 Birch Spring is also completed differently, as
14 I believe a couple of the representatives of the water
15 users association have testified. Big Bear Spring comes
16 out of a handful of discrete fractures in the sandstone.
17 Birch Spring is basically a French drain at the base of a
18 sandstone ledge that's been backfilled with pea gravel
19 and perforated pipe, and is collected in that manner.
20 The area overlying Big Bear Spring is
21 basically a sandstone cliff. The area overlying Birch
22 Spring is a much flatter area, not totally flat by any
23 means, but a much more gentle slope. Soil, various --
24 various phreatophytes and other vegetation that may or
25 may not be affecting the flow of Big Bear Spring.

But it's apparent from looking, again, at the historical data that historically there have been flows out there that are actually quite a bit lower than what they're experiencing now. Those historical -- historic flows were measured three or four years before mining activities began there in Bear Canyon.

There's been a bit of discussion about the potential for recharge to occur vertically through the permit area. Various people have expressed their opinions about how tight or untight the formations are.

I looked through some information that Gregory Lines presented in a water supply paper that the geological survey prepared for the -- for the general vicinity. Most of his work was over on Trail Mountain, which is just to the southeast of where Big Bear -- where Bear Canyon is.

In the course of his investigation, he collected some samples, some core samples of rock coming out of the Blackhawk Formation and out of the Star Point Sandstone. He didn't identify in his logs, at least in the report, which tongue of the Star Point he was in, but he collected various units out of both of those formations.

Generally, the sandstones in both the Blackhawk Formation and the Star Point Formation where he

collected the samples -- I should back this up. He took the samples in for permeability tests in the laboratory, ran horizontal and vertical permeability tests on the samples he collected from these cores.

Generally, the sandstones, whether they were out of the Blackhawk or the Star Point Formation, the -- the hydraulic conductivities of these units were about 10^{-5} to 10^{-6} centimeters per second. As you got into the siltstones and the shales, with one exception, the permeability -- hydraulic conductivities were 10^{-11} to 10^{-12} centimeters per second. So that's about six orders of magnitude lower in permeability, a factor of a million lower in permeability than the sandstone units.

The one exception was reported by Mr. Lines as being impermeable. They applied a pressure of 5,000 pounds per square inch to the -- to the sample, both horizontally and vertically. This was a shale sample out of the Blackhawk Formation. And they could measure no permeability even at 5,000 psi. Everything has a permeability -- I recognize that -- but it was significantly lower than anything they had tried to measure.

So we're looking at sandstone, hydraulic conductivities that, again, were in the range of 10^{-5} to 10^{-6} centimeters per second; siltstone and shale

1 hydraulic conductivities that were 10^{-11} to 10^{-12}
2 centimeters per second. I report these in centimeters in
3 second. He reported them in feet per day.
4 But just as a point of reference, the
5 permeability that U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's
6 regulations require for liners on hazardous waste
7 impoundments is 10^{-7} centimeters per second. So we're at
8 a permeability four to five orders of magnitude lower
9 than -- than what I would be required to put in for --
10 for a hazardous waste landfill.
11 I think that's -- that's instructive not so
12 much that it's four to five or two to three or fifteen or
13 twenty. I think -- I think what's instructive is that
14 it's significantly lower -- significantly lower than that
15 liner requirement and significantly lower than the
16 hydraulic conductivity of the sandstone units.
17 These were, admittedly, laboratory samples.
18 The laboratory sample is going to take a look at that
19 2-inch diameter core and the sample's going to be 6
20 inches long, and that's all it looks at, and there's a
21 gazillion cubic yards of bedrock out there. But the data
22 were relatively consistent and the data are consistent
23 with what we see reported elsewhere in this type of a
24 formation.
25 We've worked not only here in the Wasatch

1 Plateau but also down around the Kaiparowits Plateau,
2 where the formations are called by a different name, but
3 it's basically the same set of units. And we see very
4 similar types of hydraulic conductivities.
5 I think that from that information and from
6 the observations that we can make as we go out and look
7 for seeps and springs in this area, it's obvious that the
8 siltstones and the shales have a significantly lower
9 hydraulic conductivity than the sandstones.
10 Most of the areas where you see springs
11 issuing are near those contacts of a sandstone overlying
12 a siltstone or a shale. That's the case at Birch Spring
13 and Big Bear Springs, where you have the thick sequence
14 of the Mancos Shale that underlies the Panther tongue of
15 the Star Point Formation.
16 We see that frequently within the North Horn
17 Formation, within the Blackhawk Formation, where you'll
18 get a sandstone lens. And where there's a seep that
19 occurs, it's often near that contact between a sandstone
20 overlying a siltstone or a shale.
21 You see that in the Castlegate Sandstone, if
22 you can find springs in that unit around the region,
23 where they're often discharging near that contact with
24 the Blackhawk Formation. There's just not a lot of water
25 that passes through -- vertically passes through this

1 sequence of formations, from what we've been able to see
2 both here at -- in the area of the Bear Canyon operation
3 and elsewhere around the region.
4 That's not to say that there's absolutely no
5 water. I don't like superlatives. That is always a
6 possibility, that something is going to make it through.
7 I think that's a -- that's obvious. All things are
8 possible. I hope we don't get into that line of
9 questioning and arguing. I'll tell you right now that
10 there is a possibility that some water will make it from
11 the top of this mountain down into the Panther. It will
12 pass through where the mine was and it will discharge at
13 Big Bear Springs. I think the quantity of that water is
14 extremely low. I'm not going to put a number on it, but
15 it's extremely low.
16 To give you an idea of the time frame, I took
17 the numbers, the high end of those shale hydraulic
18 conductivities at 10^{-11} centimeters per second, and as --
19 and calculated how long it would take under a unit
20 hydraulic gradient for water to flow through 1 inch of
21 shale; it was slightly less than 8,000 years.
22 Water undoubtedly gets from the top of this
23 mountain down into the Spring Canyon, down into the
24 Storrs, down into the Panther. It occurs. But again, I
25 think that quantity is so minuscule as to be

1 unmeasurable. But I'm sure if we could tag some
2 molecules and wait, we would find that they would arrive.
3 Where does the recharge occur for this area?
4 It's somewhere to the north. I don't know if it's
5 northeast, if it's northwest, if it's straight north.
6 Within the area that we have data, the flow is generally
7 north to south. As you get outside of the area of where
8 we have data, we may find that it's slightly trending to
9 the east, to the west. But the recharge area is
10 northward.
11 I think that given the low permeability of the
12 shales that are present out here, given the fact that
13 these shales tend to be less brittle, that if fractures
14 occur, they tend to heal themselves, there's a very low
15 likelihood that a significant amount of water is going to
16 be percolating from within the permit area down into the
17 formations surrounding the coal and into the aquifers
18 that are immediately below the coal.
19 Also, if you look at the -- just look at the
20 topography -- I'm looking at Exhibit C-8 -- the permit
21 area sits basically beneath a ridge line. And so adding
22 to the fact that these -- that the subsurface formations
23 tend to be low permeability, you've got the steep slopes,
24 so any water that melts, falls as rainfall, or falls as
25 snowfall and subsequently melts is going to run off

relatively quickly and have limited opportunity on those steeper slopes to recharge.

As has been stated here by others, and as I recall in my reviews of various geological survey reports, most -- that the most likely area for recharge is someplace where a formation of outcrops and the slope is relatively shallow. I don't know if that recharge occurs predominantly in Huntington Creek, predominantly in Tie Fork, predominantly in Bear Canyon.

All of those areas have full sections of each of the tongues of the Star Point that outcrop the -- there are joints and fractures that are very common in those sandstone units. Especially as you get near the surface, those joints and fractures become more common. It's reasonable to assume that there's a reasonable amount of recharge that's occurring in those areas.

For the purposes of the PHC, we felt that the regulations were satisfied to indicate that recharge is to the north and outside of the permit area, outside of the adjacent areas, and that it was not the responsibility of Co-Op to pinpoint an exact location.

I think that's also -- there's been a bit of discussion about potentiometric surfaces and water table surfaces. What -- what Chris Hansen identified as being a coincidence that this potentiometric surface in the

Spring Canyon tongue happened to intercept the mine workings at about the location of the sandstone channel that's up in the Blackhawk Formation -- I will echo Mr. Hansen's opinion that that is coincidence. This potentiometric surface line is measuring the potentiometric surface in the Spring Canyon tongue, not in the Blackhawk Formation.

We did not encounter significant water in drilling until we hit the Spring Canyon tongue. This is not representative of a potentiometric surface or a water table in the Blackhawk Formation.

As to where that water in that -- in that sandstone channel goes, I don't know. I think that there is a very high likelihood that it does not go to the south. If it was going to the south, then I should have encountered water significantly before we got into the channel. At least it does not go as far south in significant quantities as Big Bear Spring; otherwise, from the beginning of mining we should have been seeing something at least much earlier than we saw it.

We went through and did some calculations just to make some assumptions and see what would happen if that was the case; if water from that channel was destined to discharge at Big Bear Spring, where should I have run into that water and if I'm dealing, in fact,

with a water table condition out here. In other words, where I hit the potentiometric surface in the coal seam, is that where I'm going to run into water in the mine.

So we looked at the flow of Big Bear Spring. And generally, again, back in the early -- back in the mid-'80s, you're looking at a flow of around 200 gallons a minute or so -- not worrying about the peaks -- but you're looking at a base flow of around 200 gallons a minute. And then that eventually got down to a flow of around 120 gallons a minute -- some days higher, some days lower. But we went from 200 down to 120. That's a 40 percent decrease in the flow.

Hydrogeologically, the only way that that can occur, if all other things are equal -- if my recharge to the system is equal, there's been no other perturbations around that have affected me, then that can only occur if I've had a decrease in head, because the flow is equal to the hydraulic conductivity times the head times the area. My area stayed the same. My hydraulic conductivity hasn't changed. So I would have to change that gradient.

And so taking Big Bear Spring as the down-gradient point and taking the mine face of the -- at the channel as the up-gradient point, we had a distance of about 9,500 feet. The mine workings at the face are

at an elevation of about 7,550 feet. The elevation of Big Bear Spring is at about 7,120 feet. So there's a 430-foot difference. So based on that 430-foot difference and the distance of 9,500 feet, I said if this is one big water table system that's contributing there, we would have a hydraulic gradient of 4 1/2 percent.

Now, assuming that this water has been impacted by -- that the flows at Big Bear -- that the decrease in flows is due solely to the mining operation, that it's a result of impacts near that channel -- of course the flows started to decrease before they got into that water, but assuming that all that water came out of the channel, that that water would have all eventually made it to Big Bear either directly or, as I think Mr. Appel pointed out correctly, it could be just because of a release in pressure, that that pressure wasn't there anymore to push it out.

But making that assumption, accounting for that 40 percent decrease, that meant I should have had a head that was 40 percent higher initially before I started mining. That would have put me at an elevation 720 feet above Big Bear Spring at the channel.

So if I connect that point and I come back here (Indicating), instead of running into it here at the floor, I now would have run into it 720 -- actually about

1 300 -- 290 feet higher. And I draw a point back to Birch
2 Spring and I say that's my water table premining, then I
3 should have run into significant water at about DH-1-A,
4 and I didn't. We didn't run into water until we got back
5 here (Indicating), in what's been described before as the
6 wet area, which is basically back here around DH-4.
7 So essentially, about a -- about a mile or so
8 further to the south, Co-Op should have been running into
9 significant water if, again, the reduction in flow at Big
10 Bear can be totally ascribed to the loss of water -- to
11 the interception of water in the mine by -- at that
12 sandstone channel.
13 Based on that, based on the other evidence
14 concerning recharge through here, based on our sampling
15 -- on Dr. Mayo's sampling, I would have to agree with
16 the opinions that have been expressed by others that the
17 -- that there is a minimal potential for the Big Bear
18 Mine to adversely impact Big Bear Spring and Birch
19 Spring.
20 I think that given the historical data that
21 indicate that historically, flows have been there, given
22 the apparent response of the spring to precipitation, I
23 think that Dr. Mayo's calculations concerning slightly
24 over a gallon a minute may well be in the ballpark. If
25 there was any impact, I think -- I think he emphasized

1 the fact that that's merely 1.2 gallons per minute that
2 are discharging out of that channel.
3 Where it's discharging from, we don't know.
4 We don't know if it's going to Big Bear Spring. As I've
5 indicated: very low likelihood that it's flowing
6 anywhere to the south; reasonable likelihood it's not
7 flowing to the west, because right near that -- that
8 channel the fault is dry on the west; reasonable chance
9 that it's not flowing to the north, because the general
10 gradient is to the south. That leaves us somewhere
11 westward.
12 MR. HANSEN: Eastward?
13 THE WITNESS: Eastward, yeah. We go far
14 enough, we get back -- you got to go 2,500 miles to do
15 it. Eastward. Where it goes after it goes eastward? I
16 don't know. But I think the numbers indicate to me that
17 it's not going to Big Bear Spring, that the -- that
18 Co-Op's activities at the mine have not contributed to --
19 to impacts to Big Bear Spring or to Birch Spring.
20 I think that's about it. That's essentially
21 my spiel.
22 MR. HANSEN: I don't have any further questions.
23 I did do a quick calculation on permeability
24 of the shale. If we're talking about on the order of 1
25 inch per 8,000 years, that translates to about 2 million

1 years to go through 20 feet of shale. Pretty impermeable.
2 MR. CARTER: I understand the argument to be
3 that it's not the shale, to the extent there's
4 permeability; it's fracture permeability, as opposed to
5 permeability of the shale. But then the --
6 MR. NIELSEN: Yeah, there's no argument over
7 the shale permeability.
8 MR. CARTER: The counterargument to that would
9 be that the fracturing of the shale, because of the
10 potential of the clays and the relative plasticity of the
11 shale is less, even if you had a fracture that went
12 through shale and sandstone, there's higher possibility
13 that the fracture in the shale is healed than in the sand.
14 Is that -- I -- let me ask one question of
15 anyone. I don't think I'll ask Co-Op's experts because I
16 think I know what their answer will be. But if not a
17 drop of water had been encountered in this mine, would
18 you still believe that it is in the recharge area, the
19 path of recharge of the spring, if it were absolutely
20 bone dry?
21 Where I'm headed with this is, the testimony
22 seems to be that all the water is coming out of the
23 channel. I'm just saying that there's 18 or 20 gallons a
24 minute -- that wasn't specifically testified to -- have
25 been witnessed to have been coming out of the sand, but

1 it's coming out of the north end of the mine. I suppose
2 it could be coming up through the floor, but, I mean, not
3 -- not that you have to answer on the spot, but if you
4 got an answer, that's great.
5 Because I think -- my concept here of the
6 theory that the mine workings have interfered with
7 recharge is that the mine lies in the path of water that
8 is -- I mean, one of the -- that the mine lies in the
9 path of water that's moving to the spring and
10 intercepting it and carrying it someplace else.
11 In the other instance, the mining we talked
12 about this last time -- the mining and the related
13 subsidence and fracturing and so forth have altered the
14 flow pattern in the vicinity of the mine and therefore
15 adversely affected the spring. But in terms of the mine
16 itself being in the path of the recharge, it would seem
17 to me that if the mine had been bone dry, that would not
18 be a concern.
19 MR. APPEL: But we know that it wasn't, so I'm
20 wondering why that's pertinent. I'd be happy to try to
21 answer that.
22 MR. CARTER: Well, I think the geometry is
23 something at least I had not considered; maybe my
24 hydrologists had.
25 But if you try -- draw -- if there were a

homogeneous substance with a preferential permeability horizontally that was even one or two orders of magnitude higher than its vertical, you could drop a drop on the top and then trace its path and say it's going to go right here.

And then you could look at your vertical distances between the channel, or the source of the water in the mine, the spring, the horizontal distances -- you know, maybe the north end of the mine is in the path but the south end isn't.

MR. NIELSEN: Yeah. Yeah.

MR. CARTER: And charting curves of flows -- this is new to me anyway. Maybe the hydrologists for the Division have thought this all through. It seems to me if it was six orders of magnitude, that's a very flat curve. I mean, the water would have to go a whole long way.

MR. NIELSEN: We're not saying that the shales are permeable. We're saying that there's more vertical permeability.

MR. CARTER: That they're traveling along?

MR. NIELSEN: Yes, for various reasons.

MR. CARTER: No, I understand.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. APPEL:

Q Mr. White, are you with me?

A I am. Maybe I can sit over here so I can see you.

Q That'll work better.

Who from your office participated in the drilling in 1991 to '92?

A John Garr was in charge of that program. As I recall, Tony Magliocchino also was involved.

Q Were they there the entire time?

A I believe they were.

Q So they didn't tell Co-Op what to do and then leave?

A No. No. I mean, whatever time they weren't there was go to the surface and get a drink of water. But yeah, they were there constantly.

Q I'm not sure: Did they attempt to measure the water in the Blackhawk Formation?

A Yes. My understanding -- my recollection is that they -- they went in to identify water wherever they encountered water and did not have a preconceived notion as to where they would or would not be encountering water other than -- I would have to look back at some old notes to see if he even discusses it. But there was probably a

suspicion, looking at where springs occurred and whatnot, that there would be water in the various Star Point tongues, but that was not the total focus of the drilling program.

Q Do you know how far they penetrated into the Blackhawk in each of these wells?

A Whatever that distance is -- we can measure it if you like -- whatever that distance is from the bottom of the Blind Canyon Seam to the top of the --

Q Would it be in the PHC?

A Probably. I can measure it right now.

Q I just need to know where it is.

MR. CHRIS HANSEN: Hydrogeologic evaluation.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. There's logs. If it's critical to you, we can get you --

Q (BY MR. APPEL) Is that part of the PHC?

A It's part of what got submitted, if you have a copy -- I haven't got a copy.

Q Just answer my question: Is it part of the PHC?

A If you will provide me with a copy of the PHC, I will tell you yes or no.

Q You don't have a copy of the PHC in front of you?

A No, but I'm sure you do.

Q I'm not tying to trip you up. I'm just trying

to figure out --

A Well, then why don't you provide me a copy of the PHC?

Q Why don't you relax?

A Why don't you?

To my recollection, Mr. Appel, it is in the PHC; however, I cannot quote you the page.

Q Okay. And if water was measured or not measured in your drilling activities in the Blackhawk Formation would that be in the PHC?

A To my recollection. I would like to look at the PHC, but to my recollection.

Q I'm only asking you to the best of your recollection.

A That's the only way I can answer.

Q Did you testify that you found more pressure in the Star Point Sandstone layers as you moved to the north?

A That -- yes, in the sense that the potentiometric surface rose as you moved to the north. That's correct.

Q So you measured higher pressure to the north?

A Yes.

Q And lesser to the south?

A Yes.

1 what indicates that there's a lessening of pressure, if
2 you will, to the south.
3 Q Because it's being released somewhat? The
4 water is being released somewhat?
5 A Well, sure. I mean, if the flow was to the
6 south, ultimately, as you get on the south end everything
7 outcrops, can't go any further than that outcrop. So
8 somewhere on that southern end, things are no longer --
9 they can't move through air, so . . .
10 And we would have noticed also during the
11 drilling program -- as we did the water level
12 measurements, we noticed that, as I recall -- and I'd
13 have to look at the logs -- but as I recall, holes were
14 drilled in the order that they were numbered. And so a
15 hole that was drilled further to the south would have
16 been -- we would have noticed that the water level is not
17 rising above the top of the sandstone. Holes further to
18 the north, we would have noticed that it is rising above
19 the top of the sandstone. So from that data, it would
20 indicate to us that there was some pressure to the
21 north. But again, we had to have the elevation data to
22 actually draw that potentiometric surface.
23 Q So as you move to the south, the water is
24 being released somewhere?
25 A Yes.

1 Q And you testified that you did not look
2 outside the permit area to determine recharge in the PHC?
3 A Outside the permit and adjacent areas. That
4 is correct.
5 Q How do you define "adjacent"?
6 A I'd have to go into the PHC to give you a
7 number, but basically it's defined as the area that is
8 reasonably likely to be impacted by mining operation.
9 Q You mentioned two dates, April 26th -- as to
10 when the report was, I guess, submitted in 1993, the PHC
11 report; and then you testified that to your understanding,
12 Co-Op hit the sandstone channel the next day?
13 A Yes. I think I indicated that the
14 hydrogeologic evaluation report -- the date on that
15 report is April 26th. And so there were some -- the --
16 although we discussed the increase in flows to the mining
17 operation, because those had been encountered back in
18 1990 -- we discussed that in the report, but my
19 recollection is that the initial hydrogeologic evaluation
20 in the PHC did not specifically address the channel
21 because it hadn't been encountered at the time it had
22 been written.
23 Q And you also testified that for three years
24 prior that the mine had been experiencing increased flows?
25 A Right.

1 Q Do you know what material they were mining
2 then? Was it simply coal?
3 A Yes, other than, you know, occasional shale
4 stringers that may have been in the coal, but yeah.
5 Yeah. They were concentrating on the mining of coal.
6 Q Do you know how many feet of coal linearly
7 they removed before they got to the sandstone channel in
8 those three years?
9 A As I recall, that wet zone -- we can measure
10 it off one of those exhibits -- but as I recall, that wet
11 zone was about 1,000 feet in length, and, of course, they
12 had several panels that were driven in that length; so
13 total length of the mining would have been that 1,000
14 feet times all those panel lengths times that number of
15 panels.
16 Q How did the water move from the sandstone
17 channel boundary through that 1,000 feet of coal?
18 A Well, it didn't. I think what's been
19 testified to, perhaps not clearly enough, is that
20 basically this channel is a -- there's an overbank
21 portion very equivalent to a flood plain, if you will.
22 And so that -- that overbank portion extends over the top
23 of coal.
24 And the increased flow that they were getting
25 was coming out of the roof as they would drive roof bolts

1 into the roof and out of, you know, fractures and whatnot
2 in the roof. But it was coming out of that overbank
3 portion, not out of the main body of the channel itself.
4 They hadn't hit that yet. So it was mainly the roof
5 leaks that were --
6 Q And what information or data do you have,
7 indicating the extent of that overbank, which I presume
8 you're saying is sandstone as well?
9 A Right. Right. That's based on the -- based
10 on the flow data, based on the information that the roof
11 bolters would gain as they drilled those holes.
12 Q Did you review that information?
13 A As I recall, we did, yes.
14 Q Has anyone mapped the extent of that overbank
15 deposit?
16 A Not that I'm acquainted with.
17 Q That wouldn't be expressed in the PHC either?
18 A Not that I can recall.
19 MR. CARTER: Wouldn't the light blue area on
20 Exhibit C- whatever was --
21 THE WITNESS: That's the area we're talking
22 about. And I think what Mr. Appel is asking is if we
23 specifically went in and mapped sandstone deposits, which
24 I don't recall that we did. We did note that there was
25 increased water inflow, but I -- I don't recall there

1 locations, but it's in the watershed area of basically
2 Huntington -- the larger Huntington Creek watershed.
3 Q Who was responsible for maintaining it?
4 A They're maintained by various organizations.
5 As I recall -- didn't ask too many specific questions --
6 I'd want to look at the data. But as I recall, a couple
7 of them were maintained by Utah Power & Light. I believe
8 the Forest Service maintains one. I think Co-Op
9 maintains one. There were a few different entities that
10 were responsible for the data.
11 Q What's the farthest one away from our site?
12 A Let me find the data.
13 Q Okay. And if anybody out there knows, it
14 would sure speed this process.
15 MR. NIELSEN: I think it's the Mammoth/
16 Cottonwood site.
17 THE WITNESS: Okay. There's one at Electric
18 Lake, so that would be ten miles up the canyon; Hiawatha,
19 which is going to be probably about five miles to the
20 northeast; Stewart Ranger Station, which is, as I recall,
21 just up -- slightly up Huntington Canyon; Red Pine
22 Ridge. Can't recall off the top of my head. Mammoth/
23 Cottonwood is just, I believe, to the north -- to the
24 west five or six miles, something like that.
25 Q (BY MR. APPEL) Do you know if Lines took into

1 account the change in permeability for jointing and
2 fracturing and faulting in the area, or did he simply do
3 his measurements for permeability on the rock itself?
4 A On the samples that he did the lab
5 permeabilities on, he did whatever that rock was. There
6 was no indication in the report that those rocks were --
7 those samples were fractured. To the best of my
8 knowledge, if there was -- if there was any fracturing in
9 those samples, it would have just been microfractures
10 that -- that were not terribly significant.
11 Q So his conclusions would not take into account
12 water movement because of associated jointing,
13 fracturing, and faulting?
14 A In that -- in those samples, that's true. He
15 did some other evaluations. He did -- he developed a
16 model, a numerical model, groundwater flow model for the
17 area. And in that model, it had to make some assumptions
18 about the gross permeabilities of the Star Point
19 Sandstone and the Blackhawk Formation.
20 And in making those estimates of the gross
21 permeabilities, he accounted for the fact that much of
22 the rock out there is -- or that at least some of the
23 rock out there is of a permeability that was higher than
24 what he measured in his core samples.
25 As I recall, he had numbers that were -- if I

can convert them to centimeters per second, he had numbers on the order of about 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} centimeters per second, whereas the lab data indicated that the sandstone permeabilities were 10^{-5} to 10^{-6}. So he increased his permeabilities by about an order of magnitude as he developed the model.

Q Okay.

A He also -- just -- excuse me.

Q You testified to certain thicknesses of Mancos Shale. Do you know if they're continuous bodies?

A Yeah, I don't believe I did testify about how thick they are.

Q Of the existence of various thicknesses?

A They exist.

Q Okay. Between the two faults that we've discussed primarily today, the major ones that are in the permit area --

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q -- do you know if they're continuous bodies throughout?

A I would certainly hesitate to testify as a geologist, but that is my understanding, yes, that they are laterally continuous within the permit area.

Q Do you anticipate that they would vary in thickness throughout the permit area?

A Oh, certainly to some degree. In the drill hole data we have, there was some variation of thickness of a few feet from point to point.

Q And they could be nonexistent in certain places?

A As I've said, all things are possible. I think that given my understanding of geologic conditions, which, again, I would not want to present myself as an expert in, but given my understanding of the depositional conditions under which those formations were formed, it's -- it would be very unlikely that there would be a discontinuity within the permit area.

Q But you can't rule it out?

A No, not at all.

Q Do you know when the mine reached the approximate location of DH-1 as far as mining?

A It's on the map. As I recall -- I'd have to look at it, but it would have been mid-'80s: '86, '87. As I recall, Charles would be much better qualified to give you those dates.

MR. APPEL: That's all I have.

MR. CARTER: Craig?

EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMITH:

Q I was going to ask you some questions, make

1 sure I understand some things. Now, which formation did
2 Birch Springs discharge from?
3 A Birch Spring?
4 Q Yeah.
5 A It comes out of the base of the Panther tongue
6 of the --
7 Q Is that the same as Big Bear Spring?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And what formation does water in the Bear
10 Canyon Mine -- what formation is it intercepting water
11 from?
12 A That's in the Blackhawk Formation.
13 Q And do you have a position on the regional
14 aquifer question?
15 MR. HANSEN: That was the question --
16 THE WITNESS: No.
17 MR. HANSEN: -- to which Dr. Mayo responded.
18 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. I don't care for
19 semantics, so . . .
20 Q (BY MR. SMITH) So you don't have any position
21 at all on it? Is that your answer?
22 A That's my answer. I know what we found at
23 this site. And I -- it's a semantics issue to me. And I
24 don't --
25 Q Get away from the semantics issue. What did

you find at that site?

A Well, as we, I think -- several people have indicated, as we drilled the holes, found different potentiometric surfaces in each of the tongues of the Star Point Sandstone, that -- found that these -- that the tongues were -- that the sandstone tongues were hydraulically distinct, that they were not hydraulically connected. If that was the case, we would have been seeing similar water level elevations in each of those tongues, which we didn't. And so there are, beneath the -- beneath the Blind Canyon Seam in the Star Point Sandstone, there are three separate and distinct groundwater systems.

Q Okay. Is it your testimony the perched aquifers are hydraulically isolated from aquifers --

A From our semantics problem?

Q -- in the area of the Bear Canyon Mine?

A In general, yes. I mean, by definition, "perched aquifer" is something that is -- that is hydraulically separated. There's a -- there's a certain distance of unsaturated material beneath that perched aquifer and some other groundwater system, so yeah, they would be distinct.

And there's -- that's not to say that -- that there might be two perched aquifers that are nearby one

1 another, that one may be flowing off the edge and onto
2 another. But the -- again, looking at the relative low
3 permeability of the shales, the discontinuous nature of
4 the sandstones in the Blackhawk Formation, the sandstone
5 channel being a -- an obvious example, it's apparent that
6 there are -- that where these perched aquifers occur,
7 they are distinct and that it is not unusual for them to
8 be hydraulically discontinuous.
9 Q Okay. Do you consider the Panther tongue to
10 be a perched aquifer?
11 A No.
12 Q How about the Spring Canyon Sandstone? Do you
13 consider that to be a perched aquifer?
14 A No. And I don't want to get into another
15 semantics discussion. Obviously that is unsaturated
16 material below them. There's a -- there's a nebulous
17 distance out there where people say, "This is perched.
18 This is not perched."
19 Within the permit area the tongues of the Star
20 Point Sandstone appear to be continuous, appear to be --
21 from the data that we've selected, appear to be saturated
22 within the permit area. Somebody could argue
23 semantically that they're perched because there's some
24 unsaturated material beneath them, but they're
25 sufficiently laterally extensive for the purpose of the

permit area that I wouldn't call them perched.

Q Can you tell me where the recharge area is for the Spring Canyon Sandstone?

A Northward.

Q Is that same true --

A Northward.

Q -- with the Storrs?

A Yes.

Q Anything more precise than that?

A I can't tell you.

Q How about the Panther?

A Northward.

Q How about Birch Spring?

A Well, obviously it's a -- discharge is from the -- from the Panther tongue of the Star Point Sandstone, and so there is a reasonable chance that there's recharge coming northward.

Q And that would be the same with Big Bear Spring?

A Yeah. The -- again, the data indicate that Big Bear -- the water that discharges from Big Bear is much younger water than the water that discharges from Birch Spring, which indicates that there is a relatively recent component to the flow that -- which indicates that Big Bear occurs probably relatively closely to its

1 recharge area. And so it's -- it's reasonable for me to
2 conclude that the fractures that are in Bear Canyon as
3 surface water flows across those fractures, that that
4 could reasonably contribute to the flow at Big Bear
5 Spring. And so in that case you're looking at northeast
6 ward but still northward.
7 Q And that's as precise as we can get, I take it?
8 A That's as precise as I can get right now, yes.
9 Q Where's the recharge zone for the water that's
10 found in the mine?
11 A In the channel. Is that what you're talking
12 about?
13 Q Let's talk about whatever water's found in the
14 mine. The channel's a new thing we learned about today.
15 A Well, I'll talk about the channel. If you've
16 got other areas that you want to --
17 Q Let's talk about the channel first.
18 A Okay. It's -- it's -- in my opinion, probably
19 it's not southward.
20 Q Okay. Anything more precise than that?
21 A No. I would -- no, I really can't at this
22 point.
23 Q How about water that's not in the channel?
24 A I'm not sure where you're talking, so that's
25 what I -- I thought I'd better confine myself to the

1 channel.

2 Q Maybe I can ask a couple of questions and

3 clear this up. Is there water in the mine that's not

4 coming from the channel?

5 A Not that I'm aware of.

6 Q So all the water in the mine's coming from the

7 channel?

8 A Currently that's the case. Yes, that's my

9 understanding.

10 Q Is the channel discussed anywhere in the PHC?

11 A I thought we've been there.

12 Q Just want to be clear about this.

13 A As I indicated in my direct testimony and in

14 my discussions with Mr. Appel, the PHC was prepared

15 shortly before the channel was intercepted. There was

16 increased flow to the mine at that point. We've

17 discussed that increased flow. But I do not recall --

18 although I'd have to read the PHC to refresh my memory --

19 but I do not recall that the channel is specifically

20 discussed in the PHC, because it was prepared just prior

21 to that channel being encountered.

22 Q I take it that's a long way to say no?

23 A Well, I kind of felt like saying no the third

24 time wasn't going to answer your question, so I thought

25 I'd better use a little bit longer sentence and hopefully

1 get the question answered.

2 Q Okay. Is the coal seam in the location of

3 Trail Canyon Mine -- well, I guess -- is there -- we've

4 talked about -- is there a water table? Can you define

5 where the water table is around --

6 A You said "Trail Canyon." Are you talking

7 about Bear Canyon?

8 Q Bear Canyon. I'm sorry. Bear Canyon. Is

9 there a water table around the Bear Canyon Mine?

10 A Well, again, from a purely semantical issue,

11 typically where a groundwater system is under pressure,

12 that pressurized section is not referred to as a water

13 table. Typically where it is not under pressure, or not

14 confined, it is referred to as a water table. So in that

15 area generally south of DH-1-A, the various tongues of

16 the Star Point Sandstone were not under pressure; and so

17 in that area, I would say yes, there is a water table

18 beneath the mine.

19 Q Okay. But it's below where the mine --

20 A Below the mine.

21 Q Okay.

22 A Now, the system that's in the channel, I don't

23 have enough data to be able to say whether that's under

24 pressure or it's not under pressure, so I don't know if

25 that channel system is --

Q And do you know whether the channel system is interconnected with the water table?

A I would say that -- with a reasonable degree of scientific certainty that it is not, if you are discussing the water table -- the water tables that are in the tongues of the Star Point Sandstone beneath the mine.

Q Okay. And what do you base that on?

A Again, the fact that the -- as we drilled the holes -- well, number one, the flow coming into the mine from the channel's coming from the roof or directly from the channel face itself. Once that face was encountered, water is not coming from the floor.

There -- I -- I do not recall there being any water that was encountered in DH-4, which was drilled fairly close to the -- it was drilled in that wet zone, so it was just south of the sandstone channel. I do not recall there being water encountered in the Blackhawk Formation at that point until we got down into the Spring Canyon tongue.

The presence of a fair amount of Blackhawk Formation beneath the Blind Canyon Seam, with its attendant low permeabilities, the fact that within the Spring Canyon tongue we do see pressure as we move to the north, as we get closer to that channel sandstone, we're

1 seeing upward pressure above the top of -- above the top
2 of the mine.
3 And with an upward pressure at that location
4 in the Spring Canyon tongue, that indicates to me that
5 there's very limited likelihood that the sandstone
6 channel would be hydraulically connected to that -- to
7 that Spring Canyon tongue; so if it's not connected to
8 the Spring Canyon tongue, it's not going to be connected
9 to the Storrs or the Panther tongue.
10 Q Do you have any explanation other than
11 coincidence of why the water in Birch Spring and the
12 water in the channel is approximately the same age?
13 A No, I don't. I do know as I look through the
14 Mayo data and looked at the age of the water just to the
15 west of the Blind Canyon Fault that would be essentially
16 -- essentially in line with the channel -- it was just
17 south of where the channel was encountered that they took
18 the sample, significantly further north of Birch Spring
19 -- looking at the age of that water, it's roughly 5,000
20 years, as I recall, for the Spring Canyon. For the
21 channel sand to have to flow across that dry fault and
22 through that water that's 5,000 years ago and get down
23 into and come out at the same relative age is just -- it
24 doesn't make sense to me it would take that route to get
25 there.

1 Q Okay. Did you work with Co-Op during the time
2 when they were pumping water into their worked-out parts
3 of the mine?
4 A We were involved, I believe -- that was about
5 the time frame that we got involved with them. And I --
6 I believe that our first involvement on the -- on the
7 groundwater issues with the mine occurred after they had
8 already been pumping.
9 Q Are you familiar with which parts of the mine
10 they were pumping water into?
11 A As -- I'm going -- I don't want to sidestep
12 your question, but I'd have to look at a map to refresh
13 my memory. I didn't look at that before I came in.
14 Q Let's look at a map. Let's take a minute and
15 look at a map here. I think we've got a couple of maps
16 that show the inside workings of the mine. Why don't you
17 look at Exhibit 1. That's probably a good map. Do you
18 know what areas they were pumping water into?
19 A I don't. If -- you apparently do, so if you'd
20 like to point it out, we can --
21 Q Well --
22 A -- we can cut to the chase.
23 Q -- Mr. Reynolds probably knows that, if you
24 don't. Go ahead --
25 A I'd really prefer --

1 Q Mr. Reynolds can show us where they were
2 pumping water into the mine. I'm not trying to make
3 things difficult.
4 A I recognize -- and I don't want to answer the
5 wrong question, so --
6 MR. REYNOLDS: (Indicating)
7 Q (BY MR. SMITH) He's pointed to this here --
8 right here (Indicating).
9 Now, when they were pumping water in that part
10 of the mine, that's when they had those real high flows
11 on your chart --
12 A Yes, on Birch --
13 Q -- on Birch Spring.
14 A Yes.
15 Q Do you think those two events are connected?
16 A I -- I don't know. As I've -- I think that
17 there is -- it's my opinion that there's a very low
18 likelihood that they are connected.
19 Q Any other explanation you have for that, the
20 anomalous -- and also at the same time, the reduction in
21 water quality in Birch Spring. We're talking about this
22 period right here (Indicating) this spike.
23 A Right. The one time -- I had not been out to
24 Birch Spring, when samples had been collected, to observe
25 the sampling. I know Mr. Garr was out at Birch Spring

1 when samples were collected at the spring. And his
2 comment to me was that there was very poor quality
3 control on the sampling and that he had -- this was an
4 event that, as I recall, was in later time. It wasn't
5 during this peak; it was at a later time. But his
6 comment to me was that he was very concerned about the
7 quality control, and that did not leave him with a high
8 level of confidence in the data, so --
9 Q Were you here when we had the people testify
10 about the spike? Or maybe you weren't here for that part
11 of the hearing.
12 A I've been in other hearings where I've heard
13 them talk about it.
14 Q I guess my question is, Do you have an
15 explanation for this spike?
16 A No, I don't.
17 Q Okay. I understand it, Mr. White, that you're
18 the person who designed the testing that later became the
19 PHC.
20 A Mr. Garr and I did jointly, yes.
21 Q Did you take input from Co-Op on that or did
22 you come up with the testing yourself?
23 A As I recall, Co-Op basically asked us to
24 address some concerns and left the program generally up
25 to us. Of course, we interfaced with Co-Op because we

1 were going to have to be in their active mining
2 operations and wanted to make sure we were in areas that
3 were not going to create safety problems. And so we
4 interacted with them, but it was our recommendation as to
5 where the holes go, and they approved them based on,
6 again, making sure that we weren't going to be a hazard.
7 Q Was it your recommendation to do just three
8 holes?
9 A Yes.
10 Q So it wasn't where you went to Co-Op and said,
11 "We'd like to do six," and they said, "No, that's too
12 expensive. Do three"?
13 A No.
14 Q Three was the most you wanted to do?
15 A Yes.
16 Q And you feel like that was enough to do what?
17 A To characterize the hydrogeologic system.
18 Q And you're the person who made that decision?
19 A Again, Mr. Garr and I discussed that jointly.
20 Q And you were Mr. Garr's boss during that
21 period of time?
22 A We have a -- I don't want to get into an
23 extended management discussion here.
24 Q Well, I just want to know where the final
25 decision was.

1 A I don't order anybody, Mr. Nielsen.
2 Q My name's not Mr. Nielsen, but that's okay.
3 A Mr. whoever -- what's his name? -- Smith.
4 Q Thank you.
5 A I don't order anybody, Mr. Smith. We had a
6 discussion and Mr. Garr and I came to a conclusion. I
7 don't recall telling Mr. Garr that regardless of what his
8 opinions are, we will drill three holes and we will drill
9 them in these -- these locations.
10 Q I'm trying to -- I'm sorry.
11 A I do recall having a very congenial
12 conversation discussing what we thought was appropriate
13 to answer the concerns. From that came three drill
14 holes. I was comfortable with that; so was Mr. Garr.
15 Q I'm trying to understand who had the final
16 decision on these things. I don't care how your
17 management style is.
18 A You can say I did, if that would help. We
19 don't make decisions that way. We came to a joint
20 conclusion. And I don't recall having Mr. Garr stamp his
21 feet and say, "Absolutely this way." I don't recall
22 doing that myself. I think we jointly came to that
23 conclusion.
24 Q Okay. Have you performed any other analysis
25 since the PHC --

A Yes.

Q -- at the Co-Op Mine?

What have you done since the PHC?

A Of course, in preparation for this hearing we've updated the data. There was additional data that we were provided by the water users association. We've updated our database on the water quality information, the water level information that Co-Op collects. It's been three or four years since the PHC was prepared, so we had to update our database and reevaluate information as the data were collected to make sure that we weren't seeing anomalies that we couldn't explain. And, of course, as we've had our discussion here, there have been some numbers that I've presented that were numbers that got generated within the last week or so.

Q Okay. How about chemical analysis? Have you done any additional chemical analysis work?

A I personally have not. EarthFax has not collected any samples. Co-Op has a monitoring program where they periodically collect samples from various streams, springs, wells, and we have obtained copies of the data from them.

Q I see. Other than the tritium analysis that's been testified to today, any other tritium analysis we haven't heard about?

Q Then you'd have to go home.

This is on page 2-6, if you have that.

A I think we've got a copy. Let me --

(A discussion was held off the record.)

THE WITNESS: Before we take the break, just to make sure that we're reading off the same document: Up in the upper right-hand corner, does yours say, "April 30th, 1993"? Look at one of the text pages. Look at page 2-6, for instance.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Yeah, mine does.

A And down in the bottom in small type does it say, "Revised 1-31-95"?

Q Mine does not.

A So I have, I guess, an older copy. So if there are some differences, we'll note that.

Q On page 2-6 it says, "The Castlegate and the Start Point Sandstones are regionally continuous. Although the Castlegate Sandstone contains some water, it is not considered to be a regional aquifer." Do you agree with that statement?

A Yes.

Q "The Star Point Sandstone, together with the lower Blackhawk Formation (Blackhawk-Star Point Aquifer) are considered by Lines to be a regional aquifer." I guess you've already --

A I already agreed that Lines considers them to be a regional aquifer.

Q Down at the bottom of page 2-8, it says, "Danielson, et al., indicate that recharge to the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer from direct infiltration of snowmelt to formations which outcrop below the North Horn Formation is small in comparison to recharge through low relief surfaces on the North Horn Formation." Do you agree with that statement?

A Yes.

Q "In the study area, exposures of formations below the formation and above the coal outcrops are limited to steep canyons." Do you agree with that?

A Yes.

Q "Therefore, the potential for recharge through these formations to the regional groundwater system within the permit area is limited." Do you agree with that?

A Yes.

Q Down in the middle of the page, it's the second-to-the-last sentence. It says, "The two largest springs in the area (Big Bear Springs and Birch Springs)" --

A Right.

Q Do you find that?

A Okay. Got you.

Q "The two largest springs in the area (Big Bear Springs and Birch Springs) are associated with fault and joint zones and issue from the Panther Tongue of the Star Point Sandstone." You agree with that, I take it?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Skipping ahead, go to page 2-13, last paragraph on 2-13: "Prior to 1991, mine water inflow was small and often insufficient to meet the operational needs of the mine." I'll skip the citation. "During 1991, mining proceeded into the northern portion of the permit area and groundwater inflow to the mine increased." I take it that's a true statement?

A Yes.

Q "During 1991, Co-Op Coal Company began discharging between 30 and 60 gallons per minute from the mine. By January 1992, mine discharge increased to 300 gallons per minute and continued at this rate through March of 1992. Present total mine inflow is approximately 500 gallons per minute. Of this total, 200 gallons per minute is used in the mining operations, and 300 gallons a minute is discharged in Bear Canyon Creek." Is that --

A No, that's -- that's a revised -- that apparently was revised.

1 Q Okay. Why don't you tell me what the revised
2 statement is?
3 A The last two sentences there at the top of
4 page 2-14 said, "Present total mine inflow is
5 approximately 210 gallons a minute. Of this total, 30
6 gallons per minute is used in the mining operations and
7 180 gallons per minute is discharged to Bear Canyon Creek."
8 Q Okay. So you're reading that -- that corrects
9 the -- and you agree with that statement you've just read?
10 A Well, certainly as of January of '95. It's
11 been two years since, so those flows may be somewhat
12 different, but it was correct at the time.
13 Q Okay. Down at the bottom of page 2-14, it
14 says, ". . . the age of water from Big Bear Spring cannot
15 be determined." I take it that opinion would be revised
16 with Dr. Mayo's work?
17 A Yes. As I indicated, I would definitely defer
18 to Dr. Mayo for an interpretation of the radioisotope data.
19 Q And it says, ". . . (the) Birch Spring water
20 and the mine inflow are of similar age (pre-1953), and
21 are not significantly recharged by modern
22 precipitation." Do you agree with that statement?
23 A Yes, within the -- within the restrictions on
24 my understanding of radioisotope.
25 Q Okay. Go to page 2-31.

A That's a figure in my -- what's the --

Q We're in the section -- it is 2.2.2, "Groundwater Quantity Impact." 2.2.2, "Groundwater Quantity Impact." And on mine it's page 2-31, but I guess with the revised version it might have been changed.

A Oh, got you.

Q Okay. Where it says, "Drainage of water from faults and fractures produces the largest volume of water flowing into the mine." Is that a correct statement or not?

A I would say no, now that -- definitely now, the largest volume of water flowing into the mine is from the sandstone channel.

Q That would have been true then; you just didn't know about the sandstone channel at that time?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Are you familiar with the CHIA at all? Just have a couple of questions on that.

A Generally. I don't recall that I have ever fully read the CHIA, so . . .

Q Let me just ask the questions. I have a couple of questions from the CHIA. I know you didn't prepare that. I'll just read -- this talks about the Bear Canyon Seam, current mining. Just for the record,

1 I'll indicate this is on page 4 of the CHIA dated March
2 of 1994 -- I'm sorry -- August of 1989, revised on March
3 1994. I believe this is the latest CHIA that's in the
4 file, so we've got it just out of the Division's files a
5 little while ago.
6 On page 4, under "Current Mining in the Bear
7 Canyon Seam," it states, "Areas of encountered
8 groundwater within the mine are fractures which drain
9 over a period of several months as the mine advances
10 northward. This indicates a high degree of hydraulic
11 interconnection through fractures in the portion of the
12 Blackhawk Formation which overlies the mine." Do you
13 agree with that statement?
14 A For a -- for a limited aerial extent and a
15 limited vertical extent, I think that's probably a
16 reasonable -- the only place we really saw significant
17 inflow, again, was as the mining progressed near that
18 channel sandstone. And so in that area, either where
19 roof bolts encountered the sandstone or where there were
20 fractures in the roof, there was a reasonable amount of
21 inflow. And those fractures expanded vertically
22 somewhere, but I would not agree that it would be
23 appropriate to draw the conclusion that those fractures
24 extend all the way to the surface.
25 Q Okay. One more question and maybe we can take

a break. One more question on this. I have some more questions, but not on this document.

On page 7 of the CHIA, at the bottom of that page it states, "Mine inflow of approximately 300 gallons per minute is discharged to Bear Creek at the Bear Canyon Mine and the remainder is used in the mining operations. No discharge occurs at the Trail Canyon Mine. Mine water within the CIA represents groundwater depletion from storage in the Blackhawk Formation and the Star Point Sandstone and the interception of flow along faults/ fractures." Do you agree with that statement?

A I would agree that it represents interception of storage in the Blackhawk. I would not agree that it represents interception of storage from the Star Point.

Q So you disagree with that?

A Yes. Well, I agree with a part and disagree with a part.

MR. SMITH: Okay. Maybe this is a good time. We can take our five o'clock break.

MR. CARTER: Let me also ask what all we hope to accomplish before we break for the day and what else -- Craig, you indicated you've got --

Let's go off the record.

(A short recess was taken.)

MR. SMITH: I'll just get wrapped up. I have

a few more questions of Mr. White.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) And I just want to get your views on some of these publications that -- I'm sure you've seen the same ones. I don't have very many. I just have a couple. The one I'm reading from is "Hydrology of Area 56." Have you seen that before?

A I have.

Q It's published by the USGS, Open File Report 8338. And there on page 16, I'll just read the statement that says --

MR. HANSEN: Just for the record, can we get a publication date?

MR. SMITH: Oh, yeah, that'd be fine. It was published in 1984.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) And these are generally available. I'd give you a copy for you to look at but I only have one of these to read.

A Again, that's the purpose.

Q "Dewatering of coal mines changes the flow pattern through coal-bearing aquifers, and storage in the aquifers is reduced." Do you agree with that statement?

A Yes, generally.

Q I know these are general statements and a lot of these are in different areas. I want to try to focus on the Bear Canyon Mine, so tell me whether you agree

with that. Do you agree with that in connection with the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Certainly. As I indicated earlier, when -- as water is removed from the mine, they are removing water from storage from the Blackhawk Formation, so yes.

Q This report -- and this is one by Mr. Lines, who is well known in these sorts of reports --

A Which one is that, by the way?

Q This is the "Hydrology of Area 56" by Gregory Lines.

A That's the one we were just going through.

Q Yeah. And he says, "(The) groundwater storage has been reduced around all water-producing mines in the area." Would you agree with that statement as to the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Yes. Storage, yes. And, again, maybe if there's -- somebody's having a hard time with it, the storage is basically -- it's as though you have this bathtub. And so if you take something out of the bathtub, you've reduced the storage. So any time water is discharged from the mine, something has been removed from storage.

Q Okay.

A Assuming, again, it's under water table conditions and -- assuming that it is under unconfined

conditions, yes. It is not under confined conditions. Technically speaking, if it's under confined conditions, it's not released from storage; it's released from pressure.

Q Thanks for that clarification.

Page 18, Mr. Lines states, "Land subsidence and associated rock fracturing above underground mines can cause changes in the natural pattern of groundwater flow, can change the flow of springs, and locally can alter surface runoff." Any evidence of that occurring around the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Read that again.

Q "Land subsidence and associated rock fracturing above underground mines can cause changes in the natural pattern of groundwater flow, can change the flow of springs, and locally can alter surface runoff." Is that a concern around the Bear Canyon Mine?

A I'm not aware of subsidence effects that have impacted groundwater, nor am I aware of subsidence that has, on any significant scale, impacted surface water. I believe there have been a couple of subsidence cracks that developed that either naturally filled in or that Co-Op filled in. So at least on a short-term basis, yeah, I think there were some surface water impacts from subsidence -- for short-term. I'm not aware of any

1 groundwater impacts that there have been.
2 Q All right. Thank you.
3 The next publication is the "Hydrology of
4 Alkali Creek and Castle Valley Ridge Coal-Lease Tracts,
5 Central Utah, and Potential Effects of Coal Mining." Are
6 you familiar with that publication?
7 A Yes, I am.
8 Q And obviously we're going to talk about just a
9 couple of quotations on the Castle Valley Ridge, not the
10 Alkali Creek, which is somewhat removed.
11 A Yes.
12 Q For the record, which is Water Resources
13 Investigations Report 87-4186 -- and it has a publication
14 date of 1988 -- and going to page 1 of that. And the
15 authors are R.L. Seiler and R.L. Baskin. On page 1 it
16 states, "Groundwater in the Castle Valley Ridge area
17 occurs in perched aquifers." You would agree with that
18 statement?
19 A Yes. I'm not exactly sure what -- what their
20 boundaries are for the Castle Valley Ridge, but as I
21 recall, it's in that general vicinity.
22 Q Yeah, it's fairly close to the Bear Canyon
23 Mine?
24 A Yeah, that's -- I would agree with that.
25 Q "The Blackhawk Formation and Star Point

1 Sandstone form a regional aquifer in the southern Wasatch
2 Plateau coal field; however, this aquifer is a localized
3 aquifer in the Castle Valley Ridge area." Now, I know
4 we've done a lot on regional aquifers. Anything you
5 could say about that statement, whether it's to clarify
6 your position on that?
7 A I would have to follow the "however" with
8 probably another "however," that within the Bear Canyon
9 permit -- Bear Canyon permit mine area, that as we've
10 discussed, there are three distinct groundwater systems
11 beneath the coal. How regionally extensive those are
12 outside of the permit area, I don't know. Undoubtedly,
13 somewhere they fit into what -- into that report's first
14 "however." Out there, they'd probably fit into that
15 "non-however" statement.
16 Q Okay. Thank you.
17 Going to page 42 of the same publication, it
18 states, "Subsidence above the proposed mines on the
19 Alkali Creek and Castle Valley Ridge coal-lease tracts
20 probably will have the greatest effect on the hydrology
21 of the areas studied. Fractures caused by subsidence
22 could divert surface- and ground-water flow to lower
23 strata or to the mine workings. Subsidence caused by
24 mining could cause spring discharge to decrease or cease
25 and disrupt the major source of water to livestock and

1 wildlife in both areas." Has that been a concern for the
2 Bear Canyon Mine?
3 A Again, I'm not aware that those impacts have
4 occurred. That those are always possibilities, as the
5 report indicated, those are things that could happen.
6 And -- but I'm not aware that that has occurred within
7 the Bear Canyon area.
8 Q And so I take it that's not been addressed as
9 a probable hydrologic consequence?
10 A I believe we did address impacts in the
11 Probable Hydrologic Consequences. I just don't believe
12 we -- and I'd have to read it to be sure, but we may have
13 even made a statement that that could occur, because,
14 again, all things are possible. But I don't recall that
15 that impact has occurred.
16 Q Okay. And I take it however it's addressed,
17 you have no different view of the subsidence and how it's
18 addressed in the PHC? You take that to be correct as to
19 how subsidence is addressed?
20 A Yeah. And again, I'm not exactly sure,
21 without going back and reviewing it, what the -- what the
22 wording was that we used in the -- in the PHC. We could
23 look through there and figure that out. But we did
24 address the fact that subsidence was a potential impact
25 pathway.

Q Okay. Going next to the "Hydrology of the Coal-Resource Areas in the Upper Drainage of Huntington and Cottonwood Creeks, Central Utah," are you familiar with that publication?

A Yes.

Q And that's another one by the USGS. It's dated 1981. The authors are Terence Danielson, Michael ReMillard, and Richard H. Fuller. Going to page 1, it states, "The Star Point Sandstone and the lower coal-bearing part of the Blackhawk Formation, both of Cretaceous age, are saturated in some areas, and the aquifer yields water to underground coal mines." Is that true in the area of Bear Canyon Mine?

A The portion that says that the Blackhawk yields water to the coal mine, yes. That's where the water is coming out of that channel sandstone is out of the Blackhawk Formation.

Q How about where it says the Blackhawk's saturated? Would that be true in the Bear Canyon --

A Well, it is certainly at the Star Point Sandstone. Generally, no, I would not say that the Blackhawk is saturated.

Q "Most of the larger discharging springs in the study area issue from the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer where faulted." Is that true around the Bear Canyon Mine?

A What was that again?

Q "Most of the larger discharging springs in the study area issue from the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer where faulted."

A Again, you'd have to take the Star Point out of the equation at the Bear Canyon Mine. Definitely we've seen near the channel sandstone where fractures in the roof, as well as roof bolts, have been a source of inflow to the mine. I don't know as though I would classify those as faults. But again, the -- from looking at Bear Canyon Fault, essentially no water -- in fact, there is no water coming into the mine at the Bear Canyon Fault. And they haven't encountered the -- or, I mean, at the Blind Canyon Fault -- they haven't encountered the Bear Canyon Fault. Some minor fracturing does contribute, but I would not call it faulting.

Q Okay. The next sentence states, "Groundwater also occurs in several water-bearing zones above the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer."

A Yes. I'm assuming that what he's referring there to is these perched zones that occur within the Blackhawk Formation as well as up in the Castlegate and the Price River and the North Horn Formation.

Q Do you know if that's true in the area that's been mined by the Co-Op in the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Certainly there are, as I recall, some springs up in the North Horn, up in the upper formations, that are going to be in discontinuous systems. We -- we -- up in the area to the north of the permit area. We normally make the assumption that within the Blackhawk Formation itself that there are discontinuous perched aquifers, so it's reasonable to assume that they exist.

Q Okay. Still on page 1, "Dewatering of underground coal mines was the largest manmade discharge from the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer in the study area during 1979. The dewatering of mines has decreased the amount of water in storage in the aquifer, but water-level data were not available to define the extent of the depletion." Would you agree with that statement?

A Of course that's making a historical statement, and I'd have to agree that he's probably historically correct. Within the Bear Canyon area, yes, water that's discharged from the mine is encountered within the Blackhawk formation at the channel sandstone. And discharging water from that sandstone does deplete the storage that's in that sandstone.

Q Okay. "Other possible impacts due to mine dewatering include the diminution of spring flows and increases in groundwater recharge, both of which are more likely to occur where rocks have been fractured due to

1 subsidence above mines."

2 A That's quite possible. Again, we haven't seen

3 that at the Bear Canyon Mine. That is a potential impact.

4 Q Okay. Going on to page 37 of the same report,

5 it says, "Water discharged from underground mines

6 produces the same changes in the groundwater system as do

7 wells." Would you agree with that?

8 A Read that again.

9 Q "Water discharged from underground mines

10 produces the same changes in the groundwater system as do

11 wells."

12 A Okay. I think what he's saying is that when

13 you remove water from the mine, you're removing water

14 from storage. Just like when you pump water from a well,

15 you're removing water from storage. Assuming that's what

16 he means -- and maybe the sentences before or after might

17 explain it -- but assuming that's what he means, then

18 yes, it is correct.

19 Q I'm not trying to take things out of context.

20 A I understand.

21 Q He's referring to a 1957 report by a person

22 named Theis.

23 A Theis.

24 Q Theis. That's what he's referring to. You

25 may have read that report.

A Yeah. That particular paper, if it's the one I'm thinking of, does talk about the fact that when you pump a well, you're removing water from storage. So that's -- that's what he's probably referring to. And he's correct. Any water that comes out is going to -- under unconfined conditions is going to be removed from storage.

Q Okay. Then he goes on to say, "Groundwater in storage in the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer has decreased around all water-producing mines in the study area as indicated by the diminution of groundwater flow into the older working of active mines." Would you agree with that for this mine?

A I would agree that it does whatever the first part of the sentence said. I was in agreement. I think it was again talking about removal from storage, wasn't it?

Q Right.

A Yes, that would be the case.

Q It says then -- this is the kind of the last statement of the conclusions of this report -- it says, "To fully assess the hydrologic impacts of underground mining, comprehensive studies of the groundwater systems are needed in conjunction with monitoring of the quantity and quality of both surface and mine-discharged waters." Would you agree with that?

A Yes.

Q "Monitoring the discharge of individual springs to develop discharge-recession curves, in conjunction with water-level monitoring in properly constructed observation wells, is needed to detect possible unnatural changes in the groundwater system and to quantify unnatural changes in spring discharges." Would you agree with that?

A Yes, that would be appropriate.

Q And have you done those things with your PHC?

A Yes.

Q Okay. That's all out of the books. Just a couple of questions out of -- this is "Revised Hydrologic Evaluation of the Bear Canyon Permit and Proposed Expansion Areas." And I'm not sure if that -- that was done along with the PHC, I believe.

A Yeah, they were concurrent timewise.

Q How does this relate to the PHC, this revised hydrologic evaluation?

A They were -- the hydrogeologic evaluation was prepared as a -- as a -- basically a report of findings from the work that we did underground, the installation of the drill holes, and the data that were collected. It -- it -- it went, in a technical sense, beyond what's required for a Probable Hydrologic Consequences, but also

1 did not get into the specific issues that the regulations
2 require be addressed in Probable Hydrologic Consequences.
3 It was kind of a technical report to make sure
4 that all the data that we had collected got summarized
5 and got into a report. And then we used the information
6 presented there as a basis for the development of the
7 PHC. As I recall, both the hydrogeologic evaluation and
8 the PHC went in as appendices to the permit application,
9 so they were kind of supporting one another.
10 Q Okay. I had a couple of others, but we've
11 covered those, so I'm not going to reask the same
12 question. And this one I think we covered too, but just
13 to be cautious, I'll ask it and move on to page 2-14.
14 Talks about movement of water. It says -- I'll give you
15 a second to get up to that -- "The movement of
16 groundwater in the study area is strongly controlled by
17 faults and the dip of strata. Most of the water movement
18 in the study area is through fractures, faults, and
19 partings between the beds." Do you agree with that
20 statement?
21 A Yeah. Again, they were, of course, citing
22 Danielson in that lime-colored report that you -- that
23 you produced. And with the additional information that
24 we've collected since, I would have to say that the
25 influence of faults is probably relatively minor within

1 the permit area. I think the fractures and the bedding
2 planes, as well as the mere presence of less permeable
3 layers around the more permeable layers, are probably the
4 things that predominantly control groundwater flow.
5 Q So your position has been modified somewhat?
6 A Yeah. Not significantly, but somewhat. And I
7 may be making a distinction among geologists that I don't
8 need to, but my understanding of a fault is that it's
9 basically a fracture that has offset. So they are
10 similar in one sense but we don't see with -- we do see
11 some fractures that things are coming from, but whether
12 they're faults or not, we know that in the major faults
13 the Blind Canyon Fault there's no flow along that. So I
14 may be making -- making us a semantics problem here.
15 Q Okay. Going now to the chart that was
16 prepared on Birch Spring on the flows, and you talked
17 about the USGS measurements that had been done back in
18 the '70s --
19 A Right.
20 Q -- there's more than one spring around Birch
21 Spring. Do you know what was measured by the USGS for
22 that flow?
23 A It's -- it came out of that lime green report
24 of Danielson's.
25 Q Maybe you can direct me to the page, then.

A Yes. It begins on -- let's see -- the bottom of page 71 --

Q Okay.

A Spring 26 BCA-S1 is Birch Spring. And that -- there are a few measurements on the bottom of page 71 and some additional ones on the top of page 72.

Q Can you tell from that whether they measured all the springs that were there or just one of the springs that were there, or what was measured?

A From -- from my understanding, what they were measuring was the flow out of the collection system at the time, so whatever's included in the collection system in 1978 and 1979.

Q Okay. Do you know which parts were in the collection system that the time?

A I do not know what -- what, if any, changes have been made in the collection system that would have affected the area of flow between '78 and now.

MR. SMITH: Okay. That's all the questions I have.

MR. CARTER: Okay. Are we --

MR. APPEL: Mr. Reynolds.

MR. CARTER: All right. Let's move to that.

CHARLES REYNOLDS,

called as a witness for and on behalf of the Co-Op Mining

Company, was further examined and testified as follows:

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. APPEL:

Q Tell me as briefly as you can, realizing we're running short of time, how you set up your lines to your meters to catch the water from the mine. Where do you set them up? How do you collect?

A There are simply pipelines that are installed to the sumps. We have pumps in the sumps where the water's collected here (Indicating), at various locations. And they all come in through actually two separate pipelines that we've got installed in the mine. One is a supply line. One is a discharge line. They either go into one or the other. We do have a third line, which is our culinary water line. And the supply line has a meter on it. Culinary line has a meter on it. And then the discharge line, where it comes out and prior to discharging into Bear Creek, that's also got a flow meter to --

Q So you metered all three of the lines?

A Yes.

Q Where are those meters located? One is outside the portal, I guess?

A Yeah. The discharge meter is outside. The supply meter is just inside the portal, located up in

1 this area of the mine (Indicating). The culinary water
2 meter is located on the pipeline (Indicating).
3 Q Okay. So the lines follow that one main and
4 then take a right angle or --
5 A Yeah, they come out and then come down here
6 and out of the mine (Indicating).
7 Q There was some mention that you had drilled
8 the sandstone channel to find out how thick it was?
9 A Yes. We -- we did some horizontal drilling
10 through the channel to try to determine whether there was
11 coal still ahead of us or coal on the other side of the
12 channel anywhere close to us.
13 We -- the furthest we were able to drill out
14 was, we drilled about 450 feet, which was the extent of
15 what we could reach. We put several holes, which, in
16 fact, are the holes that the isotopic data out of the 3rd
17 West Bleeder were taken from. Those were the holes that
18 were drilled through the channel. We would drill some
19 horizontal holes at various angles to intercept the coal
20 underneath and ahead of the channel.
21 We did find at about 425 feet ahead of it that
22 the coal seam thickness began to increase. That was all
23 the information we found. The coal underneath the
24 channel got down to as low as six inches. The highest
25 that it come up to when it reached the extent we were

able to drill was near three feet.

Q Do you know how far above you, how far above the height of your coal shaft -- it's not a shaft; it's your main -- the sandstone exists? Did you drill up and find out where --

A No, we don't. We were primarily drilling for coal, to look at the coal. We did not drill up through there, the sandstone channel.

Q Did you encounter only sandstone in any of your holes?

A Sandstone.

Q Okay. Is there any hole that you didn't encounter coal in?

A That we were drilling horizontally there, no.

Q Okay. So you drilled until you hit coal?

A Yes. We would drill at a given angle until we penetrated the coal seam. They would -- then we would come back and drill at another angle. By surveying the holes and determining where we penetrated, we tried to draw a picture of what the coal looked like ahead of us.

Q Can you show us on the map where you did this drilling and then locate it so that if we -- by some coordinates that are nearby so when we look at the map, we can tell where you're talking about?

A It was in the 3rd West Bleeder. Like I

1 mentioned, it was -- the drill holes we drilled were the
2 holes that the isotopic samples were taken from in the
3 3rd West Bleeder. They were drilled here (Indicating) in
4 a generally northward direction at various lengths going
5 anywhere from 30 feet to 400 feet.
6 Q Okay. And that's the only place you did that
7 drilling?
8 A Yes. We -- we did -- the water was -- sampled
9 at this 3rd West South was also sampled out of a drill
10 hole that penetrated the Blind Canyon Fault to the west.
11 We did do some drilling on various angles, anywhere from
12 30 to 70 degree angles. Part of the purpose of that was
13 to -- we were trying to look at the possibility of any
14 Tank Seam coal that may be on the other side of the
15 fault. It was the primary purpose of that drilling.
16 Q Did you do any strictly horizontal drilling?
17 A Through the fault? No.
18 Q No -- I know you're thinking about the fault
19 -- through the sandstone mass.
20 A Through the sandstone channel? Not strictly
21 horizontal. As you're drilling horizontal, usually the
22 weight of your steel -- the further out you get, the more
23 your drill steel will begin to bend. So technically,
24 none of the holes are exactly horizontal. They're going
25 to be a curve as you're drilling. By drilling at various

1 angles, you get various curve out in front of it. But
2 the drilling was primarily horizontal. We were drilling
3 from the coal seam to the coal straight ahead of us.
4 Q Are you planning on mining beyond the
5 sandstone channel?
6 A It's not very profitable mining six inches of
7 coal. At this point we're not permitted to and we don't
8 plan on mining beyond it.
9 Q Did you take any cores or do any drilling that
10 indicates that there's an overlaying sandstone in the
11 section?
12 A We did not.
13 Q So you don't know if there's -- you heard the
14 testimony before that there's some sandstone overlaying
15 your coal seam?
16 A Yeah. We don't know how far out that comes
17 other than looking at where we encountered the water
18 coming in from the roof drips here (Indicating).
19 Q So you have not done any corings to determine
20 if it's actually coming from sandstone?
21 A Well, the roof bolting -- we have had several
22 roof falls in that area of the mine that have penetrated
23 up to -- we know there is sandstone above us.
24 Q Do you know how thick it is?
25 A We never -- we haven't tested in this area to

1 see just how thick it is.

2 Q Do you have anything to indicate there's

3 sandstone farther forward, in your mind, away from the

4 current working face?

5 MR. CARTER: South, you mean?

6 MR. APPEL: South. Thanks.

7 MR. CARTER: I saw which way your hand moved.

8 MR. APPEL: It was a twitch at this point.

9 THE WITNESS: There are -- there are some --

10 there are some sandstones throughout the area immediately

11 above the coal seam. Whether they're continuous, I don't

12 know. I do know at the Blind Canyon portals there's

13 sandstone immediately above the coal at the outcrop

14 there. We do know, in drilling from here into the Tank

15 Seam, that there are several layers of sandstone

16 throughout the Blackhawk Formation in between the seams,

17 that they're also interbedded with many layers of shale.

18 Q (BY MR. APPEL) Can you show us -- stay at the

19 map, if you would, for a moment -- where the face would

20 be that you were mining in 1989?

21 A Let's see. Right here (Indicating) the map

22 shows a date of November 28th, 1989.

23 Q That map references dates?

24 A Yes, this map shows dates of mining throughout

25 the mine.

Q Okay. And in 1990, is work advancing?

A We advanced a portion of it. The date indicates that we were here (Indicating) at November 20th, 1990. There again, mining was not continuous because we certainly normally advance further than that in a year. But because of the quality of the coal, we were having to blend it with coal from other areas.

We had advanced -- let's see -- from where that water shows, approximately four pillars by November 20th of 1990. The next date on here is the date at the end, which shows April 27th of '93.

Q How about the other main?

A Over here (Indicating?

Q Uh-huh (Affirmative).

A We do show this section (Indicating) was started in November of '89. First date on here shows right here (Indicating) of April of '90 -- April 12th, 1990. Where we mined into the face here was March -- March 30th, 1992.

And I might mention -- and I'm not a geologist, but I would anticipate, due to the elevation and slope -- we did not encounter a -- significant amounts of water in this area of the channel that we did in this area of the channel (Indicating). We did get some roof dripping, which has dried up currently. The

1 only water you see in that is water that's flowing out of
2 that drill hole going into the channel.
3 Q When did the mine encounter the location where
4 Drill Hole 1 is?
5 MR. HANSEN: Did the mining operation
6 encounter water at DH-1?
7 THE WITNESS: We did not. The time that we
8 mined through that -- we reached the end of that section
9 in April 21st of 1986, so I assume it would have been in
10 or of '86. It would have been in March -- February or
11 March of '86.
12 Q (BY MR. APPEL) You drilled two surface wells,
13 "you" meaning Co-Op?
14 A 1994 -- let's see. Actually, I think it was
15 in the fall of '93, we actually put three surface wells
16 that we drilled north of the permit area on federal
17 leases that we'd have. This was for the purpose of
18 evaluating and -- both geology and hydrology for the
19 purpose of generating potential permit application for
20 that area. That permit application has not been
21 generated. It's still under evaluation. And that is not
22 part of our permit area.
23 Q Do you have drill logs from that?
24 A We do.
25 Q Okay. Who did the drilling for you?

A Bob Beeman Drilling drilled the holes for us. They were supervised by Greg Hunt.

Q Were you looking for water?

A We were looking for water and coal, qual and quantity.

Q Where did you find water?

A Primarily we didn't encounter much water there. We did, as we were going down, intercept various perched aquifers, perched areas where there was water in the hole.

The primary purpose of the monitor wells that were installed was to monitor the Spring Canyon Sandstone, because that's the underlying aquifer of the coal seams. And so we -- they pretty much drilled right to the bottom. And we had already -- prior to drilling had designed to install the wells in the Spring Canyon -- in the Spring Canyon member of the Star Point Sandstone.

Q Do you have any current monitoring devices down in that member?

A Well, it's -- currently it's not part of our permit area and it's not part of our -- our required monitoring program. We do have well-level equipment installed in two of the wells. This well, we have -- we did get some data out of it the first year. We have since encountered a plug in there, in that well, which --

we're looking at the -- the options we have of being able to go back in and open it up.

Q Was there any formation you drilled in which you didn't encounter water?

A Oh, I'm sure there was.

Q You don't know what it was?

A We -- let's see. They were drilling with air through -- let's see -- SDH-3. Most of the hole was actually drilled with air, which means there was very little water encountered.

MR. HANSEN: If you don't remember, say you don't remember.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember. I would have to review the log.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) But Co-Op has those records in its possession?

A We do.

Q Were there formal reports prepared?

A There -- they will be prepared. When we look at permitting area.

Q Do you have an assemblage of information?

A No. Currently the drilling information is a confidential document.

Q Why?

MR. CARTER: Just proprietary information they

generated for the purposes of getting together an application, I would guess. I mean, when they file it with the Division, it will become public.

Is that about right?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

MR. CARTER: It's not something we ask them to do.

MR. APPEL: I understand. We'd like to review the information for the purposes of water. We're not in a competitive coal exploration venture.

Do you have any objection to that, Mark?

MR. HANSEN: I don't know exactly what the data is. I think we'd have to look at it and make that determination. We've stated that the holes were drilled for exploratory purpose and it is confidential and proprietary.

MR. APPEL: Well, he said two purposes. He said hydrogeology too.

MR. SMITH: That's right, and they've been made an issue in this proceeding. I believe you've had testimony that people have relied on information gathered there.

THE WITNESS: Currently -- maybe I'll add for clarification -- currently we have one single initial well measurement out of it. That is the data that

EarthFax has used to plot this. So that is the information that we have generated from it.

MR. APPEL: Okay.

MR. HANSEN: Just for the record: I'm not meaning to be difficult. I just haven't spoken with my client. Personally, I don't care one way or the other. But Charles says that Co-Op considers the information proprietary. I don't have authority to waive that privilege without speaking to them first.

MR. APPEL: And I'm simply asking that you speak to them and get back to us. Since your experts relied on some of their -- it's important.

THE WITNESS: Co-Op's position is, currently that really doesn't have any bearing on our existing permit, on our existing PHC.

MR. CARTER: I understood --

MR. HANSEN: I think the question is going to be, Does Co-Op Mine have a problem producing the hydrologic information it acquired from those two holes? Is that correct?

MR. APPEL: (Moves head up and down.)

THE WITNESS: I would have to consult -- we don't have a problem with the information that has been produced that's shown on this diagram.

MR. APPEL: We are interested in where you've

encountered other water.

MR. HANSEN: You're asking for the data underlying that chart, basically. Isn't that it?

MR. APPEL: Well, we're asking probably for the drill logs and any information derived from that that pertains to water.

MR. HANSEN: What are the drill numbers, hole numbers?

THE WITNESS: SDH-1, SDH-2, and SDH-3. We were not specifically looking for water in the upper formations. As I mentioned earlier, the purpose of the wells were to look at the underlying aquifer in the Star Point Sandstone.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) So one of the purposes -- I'll leave it alone.

MR. CARTER: They'll check and see if they're comfortable.

MR. APPEL: I have nothing further.

MR. CARTER: You can decide if it's a problem.

MR. HANSEN: Again, for the record: I have no problem. I haven't been authorized to release the data. I think I know exactly what data you request. I will bring it up with them and I will even encourage them to provide it.

MR. APPEL: And then let us know.

MR. HANSEN: And then let you know.

MR. SMITH: I have a couple of questions of Mr. Reynolds.

MR. CARTER: I was about to say as we approach 6:00, "If you had ten minutes, what would you do with it?" Keep that in mind as you ask your questions because I'm getting in deep trouble if I'm not back in Salt Lake by 8:30 or 8:45.

MR. SMITH: I have a couple of questions Mr. Reynolds.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMITH:

Q As I understand it, the monitoring of water inside the mine was -- you measured by meter the water that was used inside the mine, correct?

A (Witness moves head up and down.)

Q You need to say yes or no for the record.

A Yes. By "metering the water": discharged from the mine as well as the amount of water used in the mine.

Q That's right. And is that the same discharge records that were turned in as part of your discharge permit?

A Yes.

Q If someone were to testify that there was

1 three to four times that water actually discharged
2 because you're over your permit and didn't want to
3 disclose that to the governmental authorities, would you
4 say that's a true or a false statement?
5 A That is false. There is no limit to the
6 amount of water that can be discharged in a permit.
7 There never has been.
8 Q Okay. When was it you were discharging water
9 into the old workings, worked-out part of the mine? What
10 years was that?
11 A It would have been the year of 1990 and the
12 first part of 1991.
13 Q If someone were to testify it was the summer
14 of 1989, that would be wrong?
15 A I would have to say yes. We didn't even
16 encounter the water until -- till later, later -- later
17 in '89.
18 Q Well, I know that's what your maps say, but
19 I'm just saying, Are you sure that's true? I can draw a
20 map that says you encountered water in the year 2010.
21 But it's your testimony it was 1990, not 1989?
22 A That's correct.
23 Q And if someone were to testify it was 1989,
24 they would be in error?
25 A I would have to say yes. My records show it

1 was 1990.

2 Q How about your recollection? Do you have any

3 recollection of that?

4 MR. HANSEN: He's already said he wasn't there

5 at that time.

6 MR. SMITH: Just let me make my record, Mark;

7 then we'll be done.

8 Q (BY MR. SMITH) What's your recollection of

9 that, if any?

10 A Of discharging into the old workings?

11 Q Yes.

12 A When I first began working for them, they were

13 -- or working for Co-Op, they were discharging into the

14 old workings.

15 Q And when did you start working for Co-Op?

16 A In June of 1991. And it was in -- I don't

17 remember the exact date of the hearing which occurred in

18 1991, but it was shortly after that hearing. I believe

19 the hearing may have been May of 1991.

20 Q Now, how many --

21 A It was shortly after I began working that was

22 discontinued.

23 Q How many discharge points did Co-Op have from

24 the mine?

25 A From the mine?

1 Q Yeah.
2 A Just one.
3 Q So if someone were to testify that there was
4 one by the fan and there was one that went to the
5 ballpark, there was more than one, I guess your testimony
6 would be that's inaccurate?
7 A That's correct. There's not a discharge that
8 went by the fan. The water that goes to the ballpark is
9 off of our culinary water system. That is not part of
10 the discharge. That is addressed in our discharge
11 permit. But the state does not require a discharge
12 permit for culinary water.
13 Q I see. So your testimony would be there's
14 only one, there's not three, like someone else might
15 testify to?
16 A That's correct.
17 MR. CARTER: Just to make sure: Wasn't it
18 generally agreed that there was a short period of time
19 during which there was a discharge taking place at the
20 fan, or was that not clearly established? I don't know.
21 THE WITNESS: That statement was made by Mr.
22 Atwood. I am not aware of that ever occurring.
23 MR. HANSEN: That was not agreed to.
24 MR. CARTER: I see. Thank you.
25 MR. SMITH: That's all the questions I have.

MR. CARTER: All right.

MR. HANSEN: We're done.

MR. APPEL: Let me briefly call Mr. Leemaster.

MR. HANSEN: Oh, certainly.

MR. CARTER: Yeah, that's fine. Let's do that.

DARREL LEEMASTER,

called as a witness for and on behalf of the water users, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. APPEL:

Q Would you identify your name?

A Yes. My name's Darrel Leemaster.

Q And for whom do you work?

A I work for Castle Valley Special Services District, district manager.

Q Is one of your responsibilities water quality for Big Bear Springs?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And in the course of performing those duties, are you required to determine the source of that water?

A Not necessarily required to. We do have to do such things as source protection and make sure that we have areas that are protected. And if the Division of

1 Drinking Water were to require, we would have to show
2 them if it was groundwater- or surface-influenced water.
3 Q Were you present during Dr. Mayo's testimony
4 this morning?
5 A Yes.
6 Q Do you remember him saying that he believed
7 there was recharge along the fractures from the creek?
8 A Yes.
9 Q Which creek would that be?
10 A I think that he was talking about Bear Canyon
11 Creek. That's my understanding.
12 Q Do you agree with his conclusion?
13 A No, I don't.
14 Q You believe it to be completely erroneous?
15 A I do.
16 Q Why?
17 A Because we have run water quality samples on
18 the spring where we identified the particulates that were
19 in the water, specifically looking for anything that
20 would relate it to surface water, and we didn't find any.
21 Q And you've also tested the creek?
22 A We haven't tested the creek because we know it
23 is surface water and those particulates that we were
24 looking for would be in there because it was surface water.
25 Q And you're not finding any particulates from

that spring?

A We are not.

MR. CARTER: Let me ask a question. Wouldn't the movement of water through a quarter mile of sandstone have a tendency to change, if not the chemistry, at least the particulates, big bugs, and things like that? I'm asking based on my understanding that that's the technique that's being used to treat water in the sand filter and it's not a quarter mile of sand.

THE WITNESS: The technique that's being used is, as a large sample is collected, that sample is then examined for the kind of particulates that are in it. They're looking for such things as algae, pollen, amoeba, Giardia, Cryptosporidium -- any of those kinds of things.

Your question about the movement of water through sandstone -- I wouldn't be able to say how far it would have to move through sandstone before those things would be filtered out. But if it is close, as their testimony said, quarter of a mile away, you would expect that it would show up at this point.

MR. CARTER: Okay. I'm just asking that -- that's a lay sort of question, because I don't think I understood the testimony to be that -- well, I thought I understood the testimony to be that where the stream

1 crosses the sandstone upstream from the spring is a
2 possible recharge area. I think he said something like,
3 "I think I sensed there's a connection, and that seems
4 to me to be the kind of place that it would be
5 possible." I'm not sure he specifically said it's
6 definitely recharging. But I think I understand the
7 concern.
8 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure he specifically
9 said that either, but that's what he was implying, as
10 well as formations cross the creek -- where the creek
11 cross those, that that was the place where it'd be
12 recharged.
13 MR. CARTER: So having tested and compared
14 stream water with spring water, you see a difference?
15 THE WITNESS: Well, we didn't actually test
16 the water in the creek. What we did was test the water
17 in the spring. And in those tests we found no
18 particulates that showed they ever had any surface
19 contact.
20 MR. CARTER: Okay.
21 MR. HANSEN: Are you through with your direct?
22 MR. APPEL: Yes.
23 EXAMINATION
24 BY MR. HANSEN:
25 Q Mr. Leemaster, please tell us all your

1 background in geology.

2 A I'm a civil engineer. Geology class in high

3 school, geology class in college. I don't profess to be

4 a geologist.

5 Q You don't profess to be a geologist?

6 A I don't.

7 Q Would you give us your background in

8 hydrology, please.

9 MR. SMITH: I'm going to object. I think

10 we're wasting time.

11 MR. HANSEN: We're not. He's professing to

12 give an expert opinion --

13 MR. SMITH: He hasn't qualified as an expert.

14 He's reported on results of tests they've given. I just

15 think it's a waste of time.

16 MR. HANSEN: He's stated an opinion. I'm

17 entitled to find out the basis of his opinion.

18 MR. APPEL: No, he stated that he had data

19 that indicated something completely different from what

20 Dr. Mayo said rather cavalierly.

21 MR. CARTER: Here's why I asked my question,

22 because I think there's a difference between hydrology

23 and water biology or water quality for the purposes of

24 drinking water. And I understand the concern that you

25 can't rely on a surface source without treatment, but I

1 think there's a distinction.

2 What I was getting at is if the recharge area

3 were ten miles away, then there'd be no problem with a

4 concern that's -- you have surface influence, because the

5 water is, we've heard dozens of times -- is all coming

6 from the surface; it's not coming from down below. So at

7 some point, it's all been surface water. The thing is,

8 when it gets to the spring, it's suitable to drink.

9 And -- I mean, if his -- and so I guess where

10 I'm headed is, I think Mr. Hansen's questioning is okay

11 if the testimony is being offered to demonstrate a lack

12 of hydrologic connection.

13 MR. APPEL: No. What we're asking him to do

14 is testify what information he has gathered in the course

15 of his employment as to water quality of that spring and

16 whether he believes, based on reviewing that, that it's

17 the same as the creek water. That's it.

18 MR. CARTER: Let me see if I can short-circuit

19 this. Then he would be offering testimony for the

20 purpose of showing that the water that emerges at the

21 spring is not surface-influenced within the meaning of

22 the Safe Drinking Water Act regulations and those kinds

23 of things?

24 MR. APPEL: That's right.

25 MR. CARTER: That's what his tests led him to

conclude to be the case?

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. CARTER: Which I see as a different thing. It may be the same, but I don't see it exactly the same as hydrologic relationship.

MR. NIELSEN: Well, that depends if it's within a quarter mile or not.

MR. CARTER: True.

Well, let me -- in view of the late hour, let me close by asking -- what I will do is, we'll wait until we have a transcript, but I will ask that -- Mr. Hansen's going to check with his clients to see if providing the well logs on the two wells is okay. And if it is, that's fine. If it's not, you can decide what you'd like to say to me about that.

But I'll then likely -- depending on how long the transcript takes, I'll set a period of time for a simultaneous submittal of, basically, closing arguments. And in that letter to you, I'll ask several questions -- and I think I've hinted as to what those might be -- relating to the de minimis exceptions and those kinds of things. So that's basically how I plan to proceed. With any luck, the whole process wouldn't take longer than 45 or 60 days.

MR. APPEL: If we're looking at the 10 to 15,

then it's likely that I can live within 30 days, so I'll let you know, though.

And we also need copies of your exhibits shortly.

MR. HANSEN: We'll get them as soon as we can. We would also ask for copies of your Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and also the copies of --

MR. CARTER: I think I was going to do that, wasn't I?

MR. SMITH: That was the --

MR. HANSEN: And also a copy of the document that Mr. Leemaster was just referring to.

MR. CARTER: All right. Is that acceptable, just your test results with regard to the spring quality?

THE WITNESS: I don't see any problem providing that.

MR. CARTER: With that, we'll close.

MR. SMITH: Why don't we make those an exhibit, then, if we're going to be providing it to the other side?

MR. CARTER: If I can find out what number that would be --

(A discussion was held off the record.)

MR. CARTER: We'll make those an exhibit.

MR. HANSEN: It's fine with me to stipulate that it may be an exhibit and the water users may

1 determine what the next sequential exhibit number is and
2 give it that number.
3 MR. CARTER: And with that, we'll close. And
4 drive carefully.
5 (Proceedings adjourned at 6:15 p.m.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

State of Utah)
: ss.
County of Emery)

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, SCOTT M. KNIGHT, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, residing at West Jordan, Utah;

That said proceedings were reported by me in Stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting and that a full, true, and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed is set forth in the foregoing pages numbered from 4 to 303, inclusive.

I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof.

WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at West Jordan, Utah, this 26th day of March, 1997.

NOTARY PUBLIC
SCOTT M. KNIGHT
8358 So. Water Pointe Dr.
West Jordan, UT 84088
My Commission Expires
June 19, 2000
STATE OF UTAH

Scott M. Knight

Scott M. Knight, RPR
Utah License No. 92-110171-7801

My Commission Expires:
June 19, 2000

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
IN AND FOR THE STATE OF UTAH

* * *

IN THE MATTER OF THE FIVE-YEAR PERMIT RENEWAL FOR THE BEAR CANYON MINE, CO-OP MINING COMPANY, EMERY COUNTY, UTAH. : Cause No. ACT/015/025

* * *

Friday, February 28, 1997, commencing at the hour of 9:40 a.m., a continued hearing was held in the above matter before the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining, at the Emery County Courthouse, Commission Chambers, Castle Dale, Utah.

Reported by:
Scott M. Knight, RPR

A P P E A R A N C E S

For Co-Op Mining Company: F. Mark Hansen, Esq., 624 North 300 West, Suite 200, Salt Lake City, Utah 84103

For Water Users: Jeffrey W. Appel, Esq., APPEL & WARLAUMONT, 1100 Boston Building, 9 Exchange Place, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

For Water Users: J. Craig Smith, Esq., NIELSEN & SENIOR, Eagle Gate Plaza, Suite 1100, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Also Present: Peter J. Nielsen, NIELSEN HYDROGEOLOGIC SERVICES

* * *

I N D E X

Witness	Page
CHARLES REYNOLDS	
Examination by Mr. Hansen	5
Examination by Mr. Appel	9
Examination by Mr. Smith	18
Further Examination by Mr. Appel	278
Further Examination by Mr. Smith	291
ALAN MAYO	
Examination by Mr. Hansen	28
Examination by Mr. Smith	52
Examination by Mr. Appel	95
Examination by Mr. Nielsen	121
Further Examination by Mr. Smith	123
CHRIS D. HANSEN	
Examination by Mr. Hansen	125
Examination by Mr. Appel	142
Examination by Mr. Smith	158
Further Examination by Mr. Appel	183
Further Examination by Mr. Smith	189

I N D E X (Continued)

Witness	Page
CHRIS D. HANSEN (Continued)	
Examination by Mr. Nielsen	190
Further Examination by Mr. Hansen	193
RICHARD WHITE	
Examination by Mr. Hansen	196
Examination by Mr. Appel	227
Examination by Mr. Smith	239
DARREL LEEMASTER	
Examination by Mr. Appel	295
Examination by Mr. Hansen	298

* * *

RECEIVED
MAR 31 1997
DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

P R O C E E D I N G S

MR. CARTER: Let's go on the record, and we'll start with some preliminary introductory remarks. First, for those of you who are new to this process, this is the third day of the informal conference in the matter of permit renewal for the Bear Canyon Mine, Co-Op Mining Company, Emery County, Utah, Cause No. ACT/015/025.

And I'd like to start out by thanking everyone for making the extraordinary efforts that were necessary to get here today so that we could continue the hearing. This has been difficult to schedule, and I just want to let you know I very much appreciate everyone making their schedules fit so that we could do this. It was looking like we wouldn't be able to get together easily until April sometime. So I'm very pleased that you were able to do that. And thank you. I appreciate that.

We're here, I think, at, essentially, the request of Co-Op. At the close of our last session, I asked the question -- the water users had indicated that they had nothing further to present as a case in chief, if you will -- and I asked Co-Op if Co-Op was interested in presenting information to me as part of the informal conference; they indicated they were, so we're here for that purpose initially, and certainly for any other comments or information people want to submit. But with

that, I'll turn it over to Mr. Hansen to tell me what he'd like to do.

MR. HANSEN: We have four witnesses this morning. And I would like to begin by calling Charles Reynolds.

MR. CARTER: All right.

MR. HANSEN: Are we recording?

MR. CARTER: We are. Just for everyone's information, we have a court reporter with us this morning, so we'll take a verbatim transcript as we have in the past several days.

CHARLES REYNOLDS,

called as a witness for and on behalf of Co-Op Mining Company, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANSEN:

Q Would you please give your name for the record.

A My name is Charles Reynolds.

Q Where are you employed?

A I'm employed with Co-Op Mining Company at the Bear Canyon Mine.

Q Is Co-Op Mining Company your employer?

A Yes.

Q That's a change.

A Yeah. I have worked for Mangum Engineering Consultants in the past also, doing -- doing consulting work for Co-Op.

Q And how long did you do the consulting work for Co-Op for Mangum Engineering?

A I've been involved with Co-Op's compliance program since 1991, about June of 1991.

Q Did that include helping to prepare and review the permits?

A Yes, that did.

Q And is that still your responsibility since you've been employed by the Co-Op Mine?

A Yes, it is.

Q Did you review this current permit application?

A Yes.

Q Did your review include the hydrology portion of the permit application?

A Yes, it did, to the extent that -- in evaluating the work that was being done for us by the consultants.

Q Did you evaluate the contents of the permit to determine whether they satisfied the regulations?

A Yes, I did.

Q And do they?

A Yes, they do.

MR. SMITH: I would object to that. I don't know -- that's a legal conclusion.

I've been doing too many trials, Jim. I'm sorry.

MR. HANSEN: This is an informal conference. This witness can testify to his understanding.

MR. SMITH: We'll go ahead and do that with that understanding.

MR. CARTER: Okay. Thank you.

MR. APPEL: It would help if the questions were asked pertaining to his understanding.

MR. CARTER: Well, I'll factor that in those considerations.

MR. HANSEN: You're welcome to cross-examine him.

Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Can you give us a little bit of a background about the mine, the mining operation, and so on?

A Yes. I've been involved with Co-Op's compliance program since 1991. During that time, we've -- we have put together an extensive compliance program to ensure that the mine stays in compliance. This has included weekly inspections of both surface and underground areas to make sure that they remain in compliance. We do have a person employed full-time just

in maintaining the compliance issues on the surface. And then I also oversee the compliance for underground to the extent that it -- it involves the SMCRA regulations.

We've -- as we've mined north in the Blind Canyon Seam, we encountered -- the mine was very significantly dry for -- let's see -- about the first eight years of mining. It was in early 1990 when we first began encountering water on the north end, which the -- the other witnesses who follow me will discuss a little bit more of the -- the hydrology involved in that water.

But other than that, both our Blind Canyon Seam and our Tank Seam have been extremely dry mines with very little water encountered, with the exception of the water that comes out of the channel which I mentioned will be discussed further by the other witnesses.

Since that time, we've -- we did obtain a discharge permit, and that mine water discharge is monitored monthly and -- to ensure that that is in compliance with all of the required standards of our permit.

We do have an extensive water monitoring program with the other water -- the other springs, Bear Creek, and water in the mine that we do monitor quarterly. And, in fact, in the case of the two culinary

springs, Birch and Big Bear Springs, we were actually taking monthly baseline samples for several years through 1992 and 1993. And we also collected quarterly baseline information on those springs. 1994 and '95, during that time, we've -- during that monitoring we've never observed any impacts to the water quality. We do continue to monitor those springs. They're a part of our regular compliance monitoring program.

Q Have you ever observed the surface conditions at the west fan portal to the mine?

A Yes, I have.

Q Is there any evidence there of discharge out that portal?

A No.

MR. HANSEN: No further questions.

MR. CARTER: Okay. Mr. Appel.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. APPEL:

Q Mr. Reynolds, you indicated that you reviewed and assisted in the preparation of the current permit application?

A Yes.

Q Who assisted you with that?

A The -- assisted by Kim Mangum, who's a licensed professional engineer, and also EarthFax

Engineering as a consultant, doing all the PHC work and the hydrogeologic evaluation of the permit.

Q Okay. Which people at EarthFax did you --

A We were initially assisted by John Garr and Rich White. In the last year, Mr. Garr has left the employment of EarthFax, and Chris Hansen has picked up the work that he would do.

Q Okay. And who was in charge of collecting field data for that effort?

A John Garr collected much of the field data for the PHC. The actual water sampling, I've -- in the past I've done that personally on the other springs, taken regular quarterly samples. And then the -- most of the data for the PHC was collected by EarthFax in the -- the work that was done underground in evaluating the monitor wells, collecting baseline data from those wells and the design and placement of the wells.

Q Was that done by Mr. Garr?

A Mr. Garr and Mr. Rich White.

Q Who did the physical work in the field?

A Mr. Garr did much of the physical work in the field. The wells were also installed by a licensed well driller, Zimmerman Well Service, that did the actual installation work on those.

Q And those are the monitoring wells required by

the DOGM in 1991?

A Yes.

Q Are they still functioning?

A Yes, they are.

Q All three of them?

A One of them was in an area that has since been re-treat mine, and that was replaced by a fourth well, one that -- when that well was no longer accessible, we did drill a fourth well to replace that.

Q And they are continuously providing monitoring data?

A Yes, they are.

Q Have they been since 1991?

A The wells were -- the work on the wells were actually completed in 1992 and they've been monitored quarterly for quality data -- let's see -- beginning in 1993. The initial baseline data was taken from them in '92 and they've been monitored continuously since 1993.

Q I think you testified that your involvement began in 1991. Did you have any involvement with the mine operation prior to 1991?

A I don't -- I didn't have any direct involvement. I do maintain, keep track of all the records for the mine, which would include records of what went on prior to that.

Q Okay. You mentioned that the mine was dry for eight years prior to 1990. Is that a correct date?

A Let's see. It was -- I believe it was either in, I think, November of '89 -- November, December of '89 when we first began encountering water on that north end. There was some water there. There were minor roof drippers, fairly small flows ranging from 8 gallon a minute to 40 gallon a minute.

Q And then in late 1989, you encountered noticeably larger flows in the mine?

A Yes. They -- they -- when we initially encountered them, they were flowing -- let's see. I'm just recalling off the top of my head. We do have -- they were flowing around 120 gallon a minute when we first encountered water on the north end near that channel.

Q And there had been next to no flow before that?

A Yes. Let's see. There had been -- there had been -- when we first went in the mine, there was some water flowing near the surface. It varied between 8 and 40 gallon a minute.

Q And am I correct that you keep track of the records concerning water encountered in the mine?

A Yes.

Q Has the mine ever been dry since then?

A No.
Q Has the flow ever gone below 30 gallons per minute in the mine?
A No.
Q What's the lowest flow you've experienced in the mine since 1989?
A Let's see. We did -- it did drop down to around 80 gallon a minute in 1991 and then also again in 1992. This -- this occurred -- well, what happened is, as we'd advance north, as we were approaching that channel, they'd mine for a few months, and because of the quality of the coal, they would have to stop mining in that section and move to another section for a while in order to blend the coal qualities together.
And we did notice that as we pushed forward, as a section would sit, the flows would decrease coming out of the section. And then as we'd continue advancing north, they went up again to a certain extent. And each time we stopped mining, flows would steadily decline.
Q Okay. You were reading from some sort of a chart that indicates in-mine flows?
A Yes.
Q Could you tell us what the -- do you have average flows? I don't know if Mr. Hansen intends to make this an exhibit or not.

DR. MAYO: It will be an exhibit.
MR. APPEL: Okay.
Q (BY MR. APPEL) Tell me what the flows were. You mentioned '92 and '93 low flows. Can you tell me what the low and high flows you encountered were from 1990 through the present?
A Yeah. The low flows were -- we had -- low flow was about 81 gallon a minute in February of '91. Also, in October '92 it measured about 82 gallon a minute. When we initially hit it, it was flowing at 120 gallon a minute. As we advanced northward, it increased up to about 140 gallon a minute the first time, and then the second time it went up to about -- between 170 and 180 gallon a minute.
We did encounter some water initially over on the east side against the fault, near Bear Canyon Fault, which was our point SBC-10. That was encountered in '92. As we -- when we first hit the channel, it initially -- the initial flows that we ran into were about 250 gallon a minute, which immediately dropped off to -- within a couple years it was flowing at about 20 gallon a minute on that side. The significant amount of flow has always been coming from the north channel. Currently, it's flowing at about 125, 130 gallon a minute.
Q When you say "the north channel," what are you

referring to?
MR. HANSEN: We'll get into that more with another witness.
THE WITNESS: I'm referring to a geologic channel we've encountered on the north end of our mine which appears to be the source of the majority of the flow coming into the mine. That channel would be characterized and discussed by other witnesses.
Q (BY MR. APPEL) When you were testifying, I believe, on day one of this hearing, you mentioned that there was a period of time during which water was discharged into the old workings for about a year and a half. When did that begin?
A It began in -- at the first of 1990, shortly after we first encountered the water. There was discharge into the old workings of the mine up until we got our -- we were permitted to discharge into Bear Creek at the beginning of 1991, I believe it was. And that was pretty much discontinued -- discharge into the old workings -- at the end of '91 and beginning of '92, at the request of the Division.
Q You mentioned that you had an extensive monitoring program. Could you explain which sources you've monitored and where they're located?
A Yes. We -- we have three surface water

monitoring points. One is in Bear Creek above the mine site. The other's in Bear Creek below the mine site. And then we do have a third monitoring point in Right Fork of Bear Canyon above the mine site.
Groundwater monitor points include Birch Spring, Big Bear Spring, and we have a creek well near Bear Creek on the surface. And then we have four monitoring points underground in the Blind Canyon Seam. One is SBC-9, which is where the significant amounts of the flow come in. We also monitor the three monitor wells underground. And we -- at the beginning of this year, we have encountered some minor flows flowing out of our gob that we have begun monitoring as a fifth monitoring point.
Q And how many years have these been utilized, these particular points?
A Birch Spring and Big Bear Spring have been monitored, I believe, since -- 1984 is the oldest data that I have in my files that I've located. The SBC-9 begin monitoring in 1990, when we first encountered that water.
We did have some monitoring points, SBC-7 and SBC-8, which were monitored up till '91 that were the smaller flows near the surface which eventually dried up. They were monitored beginning -- I believe the

oldest data, there again, was 1984 that I have on file. And they were monitored through 1990 and 1991, when they dried up.

SBC-9 has been monitored continuously since 1990, and it's still being monitored. Monitor wells underground have been monitored since 1992, which is when they were installed.

Q Are you reading from something that's going to become an exhibit?

A I'm just recalling out of memory. The mine flow data that I was just looking at with SBC-9, I believe the graph will become an exhibit.

Q Okay. Now, I think that in response to Mr. Hansen's question, you said that at least for a time, you've been able to discern no impacts to water quality. Is that a fair statement?

A Yes.

Q Are you also testifying that there have been no impacts to water quantity?

A Yes, there have been -- not been.

Q Ever?

A Yes.

MR. APPEL: That's all I have.

MR. SMITH: I do have a few questions.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMITH:

Q Mr. Reynolds, now I just want to understand: You began working with the mine in 1990. Is that the year?

A 1991.

Q Okay. 1991. Did you have any association with the mine before that time?

A No.

Q So when we try to divide between what you may know from learning or what you may know from personal knowledge, your personal knowledge starts in 1991; is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Now, are you aware of any subsidence around the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Yes, I am.

Q And could you tell me where the subsidence is located?

A We've -- we've had some subsidence holes that have been -- affected the surface in -- on the south end of Blind Canyon Seam. It's in the -- quite high up in the drainage. Let's see. Just east of Blind Canyon. I guess it is a drainage above Birch Spring. That -- that subsidence was repaired and mitigation was provided for in 1994 and 1995. That has since been sealed.

There is also -- we have recently come to my attention there is some subsidence in Blind Canyon that is associated with the old Trail Canyon Mine that, near as we can tell, has been there for -- since prior to SMCRA, been there for some time.

Q Now, is the old Trail Canyon Mine -- is that part of your current permit area?

A That's a separate permit area from the Bear Canyon Mine.

Q Okay. So that's a separate permit area. So let's talk about just the subsidence that you said east of Blind Canyon. That area, is that subsidence?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q How big of an area are we talking about for subsidence?

A The actual area that was impacted by the surface, it's fairly small area -- it actually consisted of -- there was a hole that came to the surface. It was about 18 feet -- let's see -- about 12 to 18 feet diameter. And then there was also three sinkholes which formed -- one in the bottom of the drainage, and the other is up on the slope -- the slope west of the drainage, which -- all of those were repaired and filled in.

Q So they've been repaired by being filled in.

Is that the repair that was done?

A Yes. And we also restored the drainage channel and the drainage area going to the bottom of the canyon.

Q Any study made of the subsidence areas to see if there was an impact on hydrology, that you're aware of?

A It -- we looked at it some. As far as the impact on the hydrology of subsidence in general, the subsidence of the mine, that has been discussed in PHC and looked at. That particular drainage has always been dry. There are no springs up in that area which would have been impacted by that -- the localized subsidence there.

Q I see. Any study on subsidence associated with the Bear Canyon Mine beyond what we find in the PHC?

A No.

Q So if there's any study that's been done on subsidence, we would find it in the PHC if we looked there --

A Yes.

Q -- is that correct?

A Yes. The PHC does discuss the potential -- the anticipated impacts due to subsidence.

Q And you're not aware of any facts or information or data or expert opinion beyond that that

you're going to present today or that Co-Op has --
A No.
Q -- on the issue of subsidence and the hydrology?
A No.
Q You said there was a compliance person for the surface that worked for Co-Op Mine?
A We do have an individual employed doing all the on-site maintenance, making sure ditches stay clean, silt fences are maintained, culverts are kept free, making sure that the drainage devices function correctly.
Q What's that person's name?
A His name's Marlow Petersen.
Q And he worked full-time for Co-Op Mining?
A Yes.
Q Now, getting back to your experience, you said you worked for Mangum -- was it Mangum Engineering?
A Yes.
Q Did you work full-time for Co-Op as an employee of Mangum on Co-Op -- did you work full-time on Co-Op issues when you were employed by Mangum?
A Yes, I did. I've been on the site at the Co-Op Mine full-time since 1991 --
Q I see.
A -- both in the employment of Mangum

Engineering and in the employment of Co-Op Mining.
Q Is there any connection between Mangum Engineering and Co-Op Mining?
A No. They were just hired -- I wasn't hired with Mangum Engineering till 1991. I believe Mangum Engineering was actually employed by Co-Op as a consultant since late 1988, early 1989.
Q Is Mangum still a consultant for Co-Op?
A He does do some consulting work. He does all the reviewing of the engineering work that I do, all the -- the certifying of my work.
Q But Mangum Engineering's completely separate from Co-Op Mining?
A Yes.
Q Not owned by the same entities that own Co-Op Mining?
A That's correct.
Q I take it in your testimony you talked about as you mined north, you began to encounter more water. Was that your testimony?
A Yeah, in our North Main Section.
Q Okay. Was that -- I'm sorry.
A The primary flows came out of the North Main Section. There was -- we also pushed what we call our West Bleeder Section northward that we did not encounter

significant flows in.
MR. HANSEN: Can I interrupt just very briefly? Do you intend to be very much longer with Charles? If you are, I'd have to take Dr. Mayo out of order. He's going to be our second witness. He has to be out of here shortly after. He's got to catch a flight this afternoon.
MR. SMITH: I don't think I have -- five to ten minutes is all I have.
MR. HANSEN: Okay.
MR. SMITH: It just seems like a long time.
Q (BY MR. SMITH) Were you surprised by the encountering of the water or was that expected?
A I don't know. I think they were -- they were somewhat surprised. It was -- I personally wasn't there.
Q Okay.
A But the -- I don't know.
MR. CARTER: I can add something to this. When we were looking at the application, it was evident to the Division that the continued mining would move toward what was deemed to be the piezometric surface. So for the Division's perspective, we could see that they were headed toward water --
MR. SMITH: Okay.
MR. CARTER: -- just in general terminology.

This is not cross-examination.
MR. SMITH: That's helpful. That helps me move on.
Q (BY MR. SMITH) You mentioned water you encountered in the mine, correct?
A Yes.
Q And you measure all water that you encounter in the mine or just the water that you discharge out of the mine?
A We measure as best we can the water coming in the mine. What -- the primary way we determine that is by taking the water measurement of the water we discharge as well as the water we use. The actual source of the water is spread over such a large enough area it's really impossible to measure, make sure everything's measured as it's coming in. But we do measure what goes out.
Q Okay. So I understand, you measure the discharge of water?
Is that correct?
A Yes.
Q You need to say yes or no so we get it on our record here.
A Yes.
Q And you measure the water you use in the mine?
A Yes.

Q When you were talking with Mr. Appel about the amount of water, was that a total of those two measurements that you were talking about?
A Yes.
Q What kind of monitoring and metering do you have to measure those records -- measure that water? I'm sorry.
A We've got flow meters on our pipeline.
Q Mr. Appel asked you about the period of time that instead of discharging out of the mine, it was being put into the old workings. Do you recall those questions?
A Yes.
Q And was that water measured?
A My understanding is yes, it was. There was a meter -- I'm not sure how long the meter had been on there when I began working for them, whether there was a meter on that line.
Q Were you working with Co-Op at that time when the water's being pumped into the old workings?
A When I first started working there, there was -- it was at the time that they were still doing that right when I first started there. It was a result of a hearing which was held in 1991 that the Division requested that be discontinued, which it was at that time.

Q Did you notice any impacts on other water sources during the time you were discharging water into the old workings?
A No, we didn't.
Q How about on water coming out other new places around the mine?
A No.
Q Do you know why the Division asked you to quit pumping water into the old workings?
A Some of the -- the employees felt that there may be a potential for water seeping to the surface. And they were concerned with the potential effect on the springs and felt that by discontinuing that, that would eliminate that potential.
Q Yeah. Who felt that way?
A Tom Munson was the hydrologist. As far as specific ways that they felt, I think you'd have to talk to them.
Q These are individuals employed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining?
A Yes.
Q No one at Co-Op, I take it?
A That's correct. The request or the -- the request to evaluate that was made by Diane Nielsen. In her division order at that time, her staff felt the best

way to make sure -- make sure that there was no potential was to simply eliminate that discharge.
Q Did Co-Op ever do any studies to see if what you've called the potential ever occurred?
A We have -- we have studied and evaluated the data. And I know when the PHC was being generated, then those issues were looked at and discussed in the PHC.
Q So I'd also find those in the PHC?
A That's correct.
Q Any studies since that time?
A No.
Q When was the work that went into the PHC? When was the PHC completed? Do you recall when that was?
A Initially, it was completed in 1993. Either '92 or '93. And it was revised again in -- towards the end of '93, when we submitted our application for mining Tank Seam.
Q Have there been any studies on PHC issues since that time by Co-Op?
A We have done some isotopic evaluation, some additional hydrologic evaluation in preparation for this hearing --
Q I see.
A -- which will be presented by our other witnesses.

Q I guess that's what we'll hear later today?
A That's correct.
MR. SMITH: Okay. That's all I have. Thank you.
MR. HANSEN: I have a few questions, but to accommodate Dr. Mayo's schedule, I would like to reserve them and get Dr. Mayo on now. We would call Dr. Alan Mayo.
MR. APPEL: I'm sorry. Mr. Reynolds, could I ask one more question?
MR. CARTER: Sure.
MR. HANSEN: We'd be happy to call him back.
MR. APPEL: Why don't we call him back, then.
MR. HANSEN: Now or later. I'd just as soon you talk to him later.
MR. SMITH: As long as he's being called later, we'll talk to him then.
MR. CARTER: Don't leave the room, Charles -- or longer than five minutes. Let me put it that way.

ALAN MAYO,
called as a witness for and on behalf of Co-Op Mining Company, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANSEN:
Q Could you give your name for the record?
A My name is Alan Mayo, M-a-y-o.

Q And where are you employed?
A I'm a principal of Mayo & Associates. I'm a professor of hydrogeology at Brigham Young University.
Q Could you describe your educational background?
A I have a bachelor's and master's degrees in geology, a Ph.D. in hydrogeology.
Q Could you briefly describe your work background?
A I've worked for several years as an environmental planner for the County of San Diego; worked for a consulting firm in Georgia; taught at University of Colorado, Colorado Springs, where I started my consulting business; and am now teaching at BYU. I've continued my consulting business since then.
I have -- my business has done considerable work in the coal district. We've worked for Skyline Mine, SUFCO, Soldier Creek -- help me here --
MR. ERIK PETERSEN: Genwal.
THE WITNESS: -- Genwal, Energy West. We're doing work in Colorado. So we have considerable experience working at, understanding, and evaluating hydrogeologic systems in the coal district in Utah.
Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Have you been in these various mines?
A I've been in every one of these mines.
Q Have you been in the Co-Op Mine?

A Visited the Co-Op Mine on November 13th, 1996.
Q Describe for us what you saw at the Co-Op Mine.
A Should I just -- do you want me to take over?
Q It's an open-ended question.
A Okay. Let me start --
Q I would let it be your show rather than mine.
A Okay. On November 13th we made a tour of Co-Op Mine. And Erik Petersen and my staff and myself made the visit of the mine. And we visited inside of both the Blind Canyon Seam and the Tank Seam. We also visited Big Bear Spring and Birch Spring. And we also made a quick trip out the portal that's been discussed at considerable length.
My first observations going into the mine first when we went into the Blind Canyon Seam was that it was an incredibly dry mine. They were not mining in the seam at this time, so there was no dust suppression going on. And fortunately, we were in the front vehicle, not the second vehicle, so we had some visibility.
As we approached the north end of the mine -- if you can use the first graphic here -- so we went in and approached the north end of the mine.
Q Would you point which is north and which is south?

A This is north going in this direction (Indicating).
Q Point out where the entry portal is.
A I believe we came in here and went on up and went in this direction and then went to here, then came back and went over into here (Indicating), okay? So this area in here (Indicating) was just bone dry.
We looked up in the ceiling of the mine to see if there was any evidence -- I look for water having dripped in the mine once the mine has been opened for a while. I wanted telltale signs. You look for pyrite oxidation, the red rust.
Q For a quick break here, is this an accurate depiction of the mine?
A As best as I know.
Q And where did the source data come from?
A This was actually -- actually a graphic that EarthFax put together, so they should be the ones to describe the graphic. But as best as I know, it looks accurate.
MR. HANSEN: I would like to have this admitted as an exhibit. You can do it now or -- I think it'd be easier if we had it marked as an exhibit, we get it in.
MR. CARTER: Let's do that.

MR. REYNOLDS: I might mention, the information pertaining to -- information pertaining to the mine on the map comes from our ventilation map, which is what we submit to MSHA.
MR. CARTER: Is there going to be any difficulty with admitting this or do we need more foundation?
MR. APPEL: What's the purpose for its submission?
THE WITNESS: I'm going to be describing the hydrogeology, and this is going to be one of the exhibits I use to describe that.
MR. APPEL: So it's demonstrative?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. SMITH: I don't have -- I don't have a problem, as long as -- do you have copies of this for us?
MR. HANSEN: I don't know if we have a copy. We can certainly make you one.
MR. SMITH: Yeah, I think --
MR. HANSEN: I don't have copies of your Exhibits 1, 2, 3 either.
MR. SMITH: Well, I'm happy to provide them if you ask, Mark.
MR. HANSEN: I haven't asked. I know.
MR. SMITH: I'd like to have a copy of this. And I think that's typical, to be able to have a copy of

exhibits.

MR. HANSEN: Sure. Be happy to provide one.

MR. APPEL: Is that going to be No. 1?

MR. HANSEN: Yes, we mark that Exhibit 1, then.

THE WITNESS: Erik, do you want to do that? Make it look nice.

MR. APPEL: For demonstrative purposes.

MR. CARTER: Sure. When we say --

MR. APPEL: I mean, we're not agreeing to the accuracy of anything on there.

MR. CARTER: I understand.

THE WITNESS: So we entered the mine and made several stops, looking around for signs of water having been in various parts of the mine. When we approached this area right about in here (Indicating), where the lighter blue is, we started seeing roof drips -- not a lot of roof drip but some roof dripping coming on.

Then as we finally got to this area here (Indicating) -- it's called a low coal area -- there was clearly a sandstone seam, a sandstone channel in the roof of the mine. And the channel came down and became -- basically pinched off the coal bed. And in this sandstone channel --

Q (BY MR. HANSEN) It comes down from above, not coming from below?

A From above. As you walk into the area, the channel would be above your head; then it was coming down in this fashion (Indicating).

As we finally reached the channel itself, a considerable amount of water was draining out of the channel. And the first place we looked at it was in this area in here (Indicating). And then subsequently, we came over and looked at it in this area over in -- over in here (Indicating). So large quantities of water were coming out of the channel, but the rest of the area was really exceedingly dry.

We also went over and looked at the fault gouge here (Indicating). And I brought some of it with me, because we went and looked at the Blind Canyon Fault, and rocks -- right, geologists bring rocks. These are chunks of the fault gouge (Indicating). And the gouge itself was dry. It didn't show signs of really having a lot of water on it.

We did some sampling in here. And the sampling that we did -- let's find my second map.

MR. CARTER: Dr. Mayo, about where on the fault would this have come from?

THE WITNESS: It's going to come from right here (Indicating).

Right?

MR. ERIK PETERSEN: Right.

THE WITNESS: Right here (Indicating).

Q (BY MR. HANSEN) We're looking at another exhibit now?

A We're looking at another exhibit.

MR. HANSEN: Could we have this exhibit marked Exhibit 2 for demonstrative purposes?

MR. CARTER: Sure.

THE WITNESS: It's basically the same. It's basically the same map, except it says "3rd West South" on it, so it identifies some sampling locations.

MR. CARTER: All right.

THE WITNESS: It's the same graphic, though, without the coloration for the water on it.

So the fault gouge was in here (Indicating). And we -- we took a look at the fault gouge there and also collected a sample from a drill hole which would have been drilled through the Blind Canyon Seam, and collected water out of that drill hole and analyzed it for carbon 14 and for tritium.

And then there was no water leaking through the fault zone at this point right here (Indicating). But -- and like I say, the fault gouge was quite thick, maybe like this (Indicating), easy to get big chunks of it. You didn't have to use a rock hammer. Pull it off

with your hands.

Q Dr. Mayo, the court reporter can't take down this --

A Say 18 inches to 2 feet thick.

MR. CARTER: And orientation of the fault, roughly vertical?

THE WITNESS: Roughly vertical.

We then went to the 3rd West Bleeder area and collected a roof drip sample and analyzed that also for carbon 14 and for tritium. And this was water coming out of the sandstone channel.

Then proceeded down to SBC-9 Source, which is a drill hole drilled into the sandstone channel not quite horizontally inclined. Slightly up. I believe it's slightly up, isn't it? Yeah, slightly up. And sampled that for carbon 14 and for tritium as well.

And then proceeded back to DH-2 and looked at DH-2 and DH-2 was subsequently sampled for carbon 14 and tritium as well. Some development work had to be done to purge the well and that sort of thing.

From there, then we proceeded to the overlying seam, which was also an incredibly dry -- dry seam, and then went out the portal and went and looked at the two springs.

So that kind of summarizes our mine tour. We

went on -- what I'd like to do now is to start to show some of the results that -- that we have.

MR. CARTER: Let me ask one question so I can envision this. When you say "a channel," the thing that comes to mind is a channel of limited aerial extent 30 feet across or something like this. What you were describing is, the channel was evident in the north ends of both the western workings and the more easterly workings, so --

THE WITNESS: We envisioned this channel as being an east-west channel that extends the entire length of the open mine area. It's a really large sandstone channel.

MR. CARTER: So its orientation -- the flow, then, would have been east-west --

THE WITNESS: East-west.

MR. CARTER: -- rather than north-south?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

Okay. A really large sandstone channel with a lot of water in it. In many mines we encounter sandstone channels and they'll have some water in it but not a lot of water. And some of them will drill up to the roof and they'll encounter maybe two or three feet of saturated zone of the channel and the upper part will be dry. But this was a very large channel and it has an awful lot of

water in it.

What I'd like to do now is go over some of the results. What we've done here -- this chart (Indicating) summarizes the carbon 14 and tritium analysis that was done in the mine. Part of this data was presented by Peter earlier, and other portions we have collected. The Big Bear Spring, Little Bear Spring, Birch Spring, etc. -- these are data that Peter had presented, and these are essentially out of one of his figures.

Some of the other data -- we've collected carbon 14 on SBC-9 Source, 3rd West South, 3rd West Bleeder, and DH-2. SBC-9 Source is the sandstone channel and it's here (Indicating). It's the drill hole that goes up. 3rd West Bleeder, of course, would be here (Indicating). The 3rd West South is -- goes through the fault, so that's actually collecting water on the other side of the fault zone. Then DH-2 is collecting out of the sandstone beneath the coal seam.

What we find here -- found some really interesting results. We also resampled -- and I believe this is one that Mr. Peter Nielsen had done: 2.2 TU.

Is that correct? Yeah.

We went through and resampled that and sent that to the mining -- tritium laboratory and came up with zero TUs.

MR. HANSEN: Can we get this marked as Exhibit 3? In fact, to avoid confusion, can we have the Co-Op Mine exhibits begin with "C" -- C-1, C-2, C-3?

MR. CARTER: Fine. Let's do that.

THE WITNESS: What we found -- we found some really interesting things. First of all, we found that the water on the west side of the Blind Canyon Fault has a groundwater age somewhere in the neighborhood of 5,000 years. And this is the oldest water that we found in the area. It's considerably older than the water that we find in the sandstone channel and it's considerably older than the water that we find in the sandy horizon beneath the coal seam.

Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Now, this is the water to the west of the fault?

A The -- west of the Blind Canyon Fault, correct.

Q Outside of the area that's being mined?

A That's correct. This is water outside of the area being mined.

We found that the water in the sandstone channel appears to have a groundwater age of somewhere in the neighborhood of 1,500 years. And it's a large volume of water. And when we saw such a large volume of water in a fairly young -- fairly young age, it's pretty clear that some of this water is moving somewhere, because it's

not uncommon for us to find in mines water that's ten to twenty thousand years old in some of these overlying sandstone channels.

So this is -- relative to other mines that we find, this is younger water and large volume of water, so the water -- we've got some movement of water, and I'll talk about our analysis on that movement of water.

We find that the water in that overlying sandstone channel has a slightly different age than the water underlying the coal seam, which is about 1,000 years.

Okay. So those are just some raw data that we've come up with in terms -- to help us to start to understand how the system works.

The next thing we did, we wanted to get some idea of flow rates related to the sandstone channel, and so we required from Co-Op their data on discharge related to the sandstone channel. And this hydrograph -- here's the hydrograph of the discharge along that sandstone channel (Indicating). The early part of the hydrograph represents -- we believe represents water which, the associated water, was draining out of the lighter blue area on Exhibit C-1.

And what was our understanding -- and Mr. Reynolds can verify this -- is that they were mining up

41

1 into this area (Indicating), they were getting increased
2 roof drips out of -- out of the roof. And this area had
3 a little too much ash in it, so they would mine here,
4 take some coal, go blend it with some other coal.
5 And as they were mining in that area, then
6 they would get increased flows out of it. Then as they
7 would back out, the flow rate would decline. Then they
8 would mine some more into it and the flow would go up.
9 And as they'd back out, the flow rate would decline.
10 There's water clearly coming out of the roof.
11 And we believe, in all likelihood, the sandstone channel
12 will have the main body of the channel and there will be
13 an overbank area up above which is what you're
14 encountering in the light blue area. So it's really a
15 broad-based channel as well as being a long channel.
16 On April 27th is when they first mined right
17 up to the portion of the sandstone channel that starts
18 dropping down and pinching off the coal bed. When they
19 encountered that, the flow rate went up substantially.
20 They have mined up to that, and the location's shown on
21 the map on C-1 where the mine working's right up to the
22 channel. Then they haven't done any mining in there for
23 a considerable period of time.
24 All we see is, the hydrograph of the discharge
25 out of this channel is dropping off. And it looks to us

42

1 as if that they've already dewatered a substantial amount
2 of the water out of this channel. If we project this
3 hydrograph and let it decline to -- back down to a
4 near-zero drip rate, it probably will not -- I would not
5 want to draw a line at -- a straight line through this
6 data and come down to the zero line because it'll
7 probably approach an asymptotic value. It's the shape of
8 a curve.
9 Anyway, they've dewatered a substantial amount
10 of water out of this channel. And this water has an age
11 in -- within the neighborhood of, say, 1,500 years.
12 We wanted to, then, get an idea of "Well, what
13 does all this mean?"
14 MR. HANSEN: Have we marked this discharge
15 graph as Exhibit C-4?
16 MR. CARTER: Let's do that.
17 THE WITNESS: You know, my immediate concern
18 was: Here I've got a really large channel. It's
19 discharging an awful lot of water and the age isn't too
20 terribly old. We've got to have water in this thing
21 that's flowing somewhere. It's part of a flow system.
22 You know, flow system's got a recharge source. It's got
23 a flow path and a discharge. And I get some really old
24 waters in these mines and not much flow. I'm content.
25 We got some there in the Pleistocene and it's sitting

43

1 around.
2 But in this one, water's flowing and I wanted
3 to know something about "Well, what could this possibly
4 mean in terms of discharge to the outside world?" if it
5 were. It's not clear to me where this water is actually
6 flowing to. But I wanted to do some calculations to try
7 to understand. Well, let's assume that it's flowing to
8 the outside world instead of flowing along this channel
9 then continuing to stay in the subsurface. So we did
10 some calculations. We've made a little cartoon here.
11 Made a little cartoon. The width of the
12 channel -- certainly not to scale, but certainly to give
13 us some idea of what this thing might be looking like.
14 This is a map view. Of course, we have the sandstone
15 channel. It has some link. It's bounded by some faults.
16 It's my view that in this part of the world,
17 the Blind Canyon Fault is really a no-flow -- barrier to
18 flow. So I feel comfortable about that. The length on
19 the other end when it gets to the Bear Canyon Fault, it's
20 likely to have been displaced because the displacement
21 along that fault is so great there are some boundaries to
22 the width of this thing.
23 MR. CARTER: Can I ask you a question?
24 THE WITNESS: Sure.
25 MR. CARTER: The 3rd West South -- that is,

44

1 the well that sampled water on the west side of the fault
2 -- did you run into hydrostatic head? What I'm asking
3 is, Is the fault an aquiclude in the sense that there's
4 standing water on the west side of the fault under
5 pressure of some sort and you poke through the two feet
6 of gouge and got flow?
7 THE WITNESS: The drill hole, it's horizontal.
8 MR. REYNOLDS: It goes --
9 THE WITNESS: -- slightly up.
10 MR. REYNOLDS: -- slightly up.
11 THE WITNESS: So there has to be enough head
12 to let water flow out. But it wasn't like a garden
13 hose. Water is squirting out of this thing.
14 MR. CARTER: But there was water on the west
15 side and no water on the east side at the point you
16 sampled?
17 THE WITNESS: Where we sampled, that's
18 correct. And so, you know, I feel pretty confident, at
19 least in that part of the world, the Blind Canyon Fault,
20 where the coal seams are, is not transmitting water. So
21 let me get some idea of what may be happening in terms of
22 the natural flux of water, or flow of water, through this
23 channel area.
24 And so we did a pretty simple calculation.
25 First, we took and calculated how much water has

discharged out of the channel to date. And to date, we have had somewhere in the neighborhood of 9.6 X 108 gallons. A lot of water has come out of the channel.

MR. CARTER: So 9.6 X 108?

THE WITNESS: Yes, which would be nine hundred sixty-eight -- almost a billion gallons of water.

Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Has come out or is in the channel?

A Has discharged.

Q Your calculation shows --

A I'm sorry. Half. Am I doing this wrong? Yes. Half of that. A half a billion gallons of water has discharged out of the channel.

I then looked at this hydrograph and tried to get some idea how much water might be in this channel. And it appears to me that if this hydrograph continues to decline the way it is, it would be a reasonable assumption that approximately half the water has already discharged out of the channel, another half is left to discharge out of the channel.

If that's the case, then I have some idea of how much water the channel held before the mining encountered it. And by doubling that half a million -- half a billion gallons of water to almost a billion gallons of water and dividing it by the mean age of the

water, I get a flux rate of water flowing through this channel and discharging out at some location of in the neighborhood of 1.2 gallons per minute.

Now, when you take a large quantity of water and we think of it in terms of the time frame of our own experience -- you know, the leaking toilet or the dripping facet -- you know, that's a short time frame. But when you think of it in terms of 1,500 years and you calculate out the number of minutes that you have, suddenly the flow rates go way down.

And so that's the kind of flux that appears to us is a reasonable number for water to be flowing through this. And that becomes significant because if this water -- the flow of this water would naturally appear to the outer world somewhere, that's the kind of amount -- quantities of water that would be discharging at some spring somewhere. So --

Q At a spring or --

A Wherever. To a creek. Whatever the location.

Q Could it discharge to the surface and simply effloresce?

A It could discharge to the surface. Depending on how it's discharging to the surface, it could go up as evapotranspiration. And I'm not making any claims where this water went. I'm just simply trying to get an idea

of the kind of flux that could be going through this channel and to kind of -- you know, that sort of thing.

MR. CARTER: Let me see if I can capsulate this. I think I grasp what you're saying. And I think this relates to Mr. Nielsen's testimony as well, that the age dating of water is not an absolute thing. That doesn't tell you that the water is static just because it's old. As Mr. Nielsen was saying, there were variations and gradations of age that suggest mixing, travel, so forth. You're suggesting that is also the case --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. CARTER: -- and then attempting to attach some numbers to the extent to which that's happening -- the rates and the volumes and so forth?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

MR. CARTER: And this is helpful to me because I have to confess that earlier on in the process, I was thinking if water were 1,500 years old, it was in place 1,500 years ago and hasn't moved since. Not the case. If the water were 20,000 years old, you might draw that conclusion. But the difference between modern water and 1,500-year-old water and 5,000-year-old water and 20,000-year-old water is significant.

THE WITNESS: It is a significant difference,

particularly when you start factoring in volumes of water which may be involved.

MR. HANSEN: Can we mark this as Exhibit C-5 so we don't lose track?

THE WITNESS: Okay. So that's what we have worked up with -- with the -- looking at the isotopic data. So what I'd like to do now is how I envision the flow system inside of the mine and what it might mean. And I would like to talk briefly about the two springs in question and what we've looked at in terms of the two springs in question.

Because the mine -- the roof of the mine is dry and has all appearances of not transmitting substantial quantities of water, and even after it's been opened doesn't have the appearance of transmitting substantial quantities of water until we get in the northern area where this sandstone channel is, I find it difficult to believe that you'd really be getting any appreciable recharge from the overlying bedrock at the surface down through the mine to the underlying formations, because we just don't have any evidence of water vertically flowing through there.

Plus, you have the problem of how do you get water through these coal seams. But just looking in terms of potential water coming through the roof, we

1 don't see any evidence that there really has been any
2 appreciable amount of vertical recharge in that portion
3 of the mine.
4 I don't see any evidence that there is a
5 hydrologic connection between the various coal seams in
6 the portions of the mine that are completely dry. It
7 appears to me that the Blind Canyon Fault does not
8 transmit water, in other words, acts as a barrier for
9 groundwater which will be in overlying rocks and likely
10 underlying rocks associated with the coal seams. It is
11 likely that the large fault up Bear Canyon is -- also
12 inhibits the flow of groundwater.
13 So we have a system in here in which we've got
14 some mechanism for recharging at a slow rate, 1.2 gallons
15 a minute. This channel, this east-west channel -- that
16 channel is hydrologically not connected to other
17 hydrologic systems within the mine. And if we look at
18 the ages of the water, we look at the age of the water in
19 DH-2 relative to the age of the water in the overlying
20 channel, the water in the channel really is pretty much
21 older than the water that we find in DH-2.
22 Okay. So that's kind of the view that I have
23 of what's going on inside of the mine.
24 We then went and looked at Big Bear Spring.
25 And Big Bear Spring's an interesting spring. It's near

1 the creek. If you were to track -- chase out the contact
2 of the sandstone that Big Bear Spring discharges from and
3 you were to walk that contact out, next thing you know,
4 you're in Bear Creek.
5 And the rocks that are fractured in that area
6 -- the fracturing of that sandstone -- you know, it's my
7 view that that stream or that creek -- that spring has a
8 pretty good hydrologic connection with the creek. And
9 we'll present some testimony later to talk about the
10 relationship between the hydrograph in that one and the
11 hydrograph of what goes on in the creek. And I will -- I
12 won't touch upon that any more other than my observation
13 is, it looks like you have a really good opportunity for
14 a hydrologic connection in that area and I'm hard-pressed
15 when I go in the mine to find how am I going to be
16 getting these mining activities to be affecting what's
17 going on at Big Bear.
18 Birch Spring is a water that has some age to
19 it, and it's a water that has -- if I find the right
20 number here -- water that -- it's in the neighborhood of
21 1,900 years -- a small amount -- it's -- a small amount
22 of tritium, which may or may not indicate that you've got
23 a component of some modern water in this thing.
24 I don't see that there's a hydrologic
25 connection. And I have a hard time visualizing the

1 hydrologic connection between Birch Spring and where they
2 have actually encountered the large quantity of water in
3 the mine, which is that sandstone channel. And because
4 of the faulting of the Blind Canyon Fault and the -- I
5 just don't quite see how we get this connection, to go
6 from this basically 1,500-year-old water and then go
7 through basically 1,000-year-old water lying beneath that
8 and then have the water discharge out at Birch Spring.
9 Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Didn't you say this was
10 5,000-year-old water?
11 A We've got -- we've got 1,500-year-old water in
12 the channel. We've got 1,000-year-old water in the
13 sandstone that's beneath the channel. And it appears
14 that there's likely a hydrologic disconnect between this
15 channel and that sandstone beneath it. And there will be
16 other testimony that will be presented that will be
17 talking about that sandstone beneath it. I won't go into
18 any detail on that. Those kinds of connections -- we
19 don't really see that evidence that I like to see to make
20 that type of connection.
21 I won't talk about Birch Spring any more.
22 I'll let others talk about what the -- physically what
23 you see when you go to Birch Spring and some ideas about
24 that.
25 So that's kind of -- I think that is about all

1 I really need to say.
2 MR. CARTER: Okay. Thank you. Questions?
3 Questions?
4 Mr. Hansen, anything further?
5 MR. HANSEN: No.
6 MR. CARTER: All right. Mr. Appel. Mr. Smith.
7 MR. SMITH: I guess I'll go ahead and ask a
8 few questions first.
9 EXAMINATION
10 BY MR. SMITH:
11 Q You've been retained by Co-Op, as I
12 understand, Dr. Mayo?
13 A That's correct.
14 Q And what were you retained specifically to do?
15 A To help them evaluate the origins and sources
16 of water that they're encountering in the mine and to
17 look at the springs and to help them to understand any
18 relationship that may exist between the hydrogeologic
19 system that we find inside of the mine and the hydrologic
20 systems which are causing the discharge at the two springs.
21 Q Okay. Now, you did visit the mine?
22 A Yes.
23 Q And you spent approximately how long inside
24 the mine?
25 A Oh, three or four hours.

Q And which parts of the mine did you visit? Did you go through the whole mine? Can we just take a minute and look at the chart? Show us which ones --

A Enters through here (Indicating). I believe we made a turn, went through here (Indicating). Came along here (Indicating), visited the fault, visited the face of the channel, came back and visited in here (Indicating), then went up to the overlying Tank Seam. And I don't think we have a graphic of the Tank Seam. Is it down here? And then visited -- get myself oriented -- I believe we went to about in here, in the Tank Seam (Indicating).

MR. CARTER: May I ask a question? Did the Tank Seam workings extend as far north as the Blind Canyon Seam workings?

MR. REYNOLDS: Not quite, no.

MR. CARTER: But close?

MR. REYNOLDS: Close.

MR. CARTER: Five hundred feet? A thousand feet? I'm sorry.

MR. REYNOLDS: Yeah, there was -- let's see. There's roughly a little over a thousand foot difference.

MR. CARTER: All right.

THE WITNESS: We didn't see evidence of roof drip water coming out the Tank Seam. And it's my

understanding -- and others can testify -- that they don't have large discharges out of the Tank Seam.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Do you know what the discharges are out of the Tank Seam?

A I don't know what it is.

Q Okay. Did you visit this area in the mine in here (Indicating)?

A No. I believe that's closed. Isn't that a gob?

Q No knowledge about this area?

How about this part of the mine (Indicating)?

A Other than we don't have a lot of water flowing out of there.

Q How do you know that?

A Well, because there's no discharge of it. They keep -- I'm assuming that the records that the mine keeps are reasonably accurate records of the amount of water which is discharging out of various portions of the mine.

Q That's a fairly major assumption, isn't that, Dr. Mayo? And let me explain why I think it is: They only measure what they think they use and what they discharge. They don't measure anything else.

A No.

Q Isn't that a pretty big assumption?

A It's my understanding that they measure water

that -- let me back up. If it's not discharging out of there, then they wouldn't measure it. And if it's not discharging out of there, then we don't have any evidence of there being water in there.

Q But all they measure -- let me make sure I understand -- make sure you understand my question -- all they measure is what they discharge outside of the mine; is that correct? -- and what they use inside the mine; is that correct?

A Process water and discharge water. That is correct.

Q There are no measurements of any other water?

A You have to understand the water doesn't -- if there's water in a mine, it's either filling up a down-grading end of the mine or it's -- they're using it as process water or it's discharging.

Q Or it's moving into other parts of the mine that maybe aren't being worked?

A Well, at some point, I would assume that if you have any substantial water in there, you would fill that up. I was just in a mine where they had -- where we encountered a fault and suddenly after, this mine was full of water.

Q Okay. Now, also, does water come out with the coal when the coal's mined?

A That's the process water and that's part of the process water they keep track of.

Q How do they measure that water?

A You'll have to ask Mr. Reynolds, and he'll -- he's going to have to give you the details of how they do their actual in-mine --

MR. HANSEN: Mr. Reynolds testified that was already monitored with the flow meter. That was part of his testimony.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Is there water in the coal seams at all or are they absolutely dry?

A Until we -- until we got to the sandstone channel, the coal was dry. I saw no evidence of water.

Let me tell you what I look for when I go into a mine.

Q Okay.

A I look for water in the roof, any signs of present or past water in the roof. And one good example of water in the roof: You walk in and drips fall on your head. Clearly, something's going on.

I look for signs of pyrite oxidation because if there's much water dripping out of the coal in the roof, all this coal has pyrite in it and some of that will rust and we'll see that.

I then look at the floor. And if they've been

-- they put the powder on the walls of the mines so they prevent fires and explosions and that sort of thing, if -- if you've got water coming out of the floor, you can see a capillary fringe on the bottom of that, or you can see puddles of water.

There's always evidence wherever you go in a mine whether there's water or not. And it's real easy to figure out when you're in a dry part of the mine or in a wet part of the mine.

Q Let's talk about the part of the mine up here (Indicating).

MR. CARTER: That's the north end?

Q (BY MR. SMITH) North end, for the record, that's the wet part of the mine, correct?

A Yes.

Q All your statements about it being dry, they don't apply to that part?

A They don't apply to that part.

Q Is the coal wet in this part of the mine?

A Is there water coming out the coal itself?

Q Is there water in the coal or is the coal dry? That was my question.

A I did not see water coming out of the coal other than where you've got -- you've mined near -- out of the roof, where it's coming through fractures. But

out of the ribs, I didn't see water coming out of the ribs out of this. I saw no evidence of the coal itself being an aquifer.

Q And that's based on your visual observations?

A Visual observation, correct. I've seen a lot of coal in my --

Q How long did you spend in this part of the mine, of the three or four hours you were in the whole mine?

A Probably a couple of hours.

Q Two of the four hours were spent in this area (Indicating), in the north end of the mine?

A Yeah, because once you get in there, you start looking around and you start talking, and the next thing you know, you're eating your Snickers for lunch, and it goes on and on.

Q So you would say -- is the coal an aquitard that prevents water from moving through it?

A Yes.

Q Is that true in every mine?

A "Every" is a really strong statement.

Q Every mine that you've been in.

A I know of one mine -- well, let me think. I think we can make the general statement that these coals -- before you come in and mine them and get heaving in

the floor and fracturing in the roof, that if you have a thick sequence of coal, that the coal is going to really inhibit the vertical movement of groundwater.

Q Did you look at any of the water meters in the mine?

A I did not.

Q So can you tell me where the meters are, where the water's metered in the mine?

A I don't know where they are.

Q You do know?

A I do not.

Q You do not know where they are?

A I do not know. You have to talk to others to --

Q I just want to ask what you know. We'll get to others later, Dr. Mayo. We'll take our time with you and find out what you know. Believe me, I'll ask the others.

A All of the flow data was data that was provided to me by Co-Op.

Q Did you do anything to verify any of that data?

A I did nothing to verify that data.

Q Did you gather any data yourself?

A I gathered samples for isotopic analysis.

Q And that was on November 13th?

A That is correct.

Q And how many samples did you gather?

A I believe four. Yeah, it's four.

Q Four samples. Can you show on the chart where you gathered each of those four samples?

A DH-2, 3rd West South through the drill hole, the roof drip here (Indicating), and SBC-9 Source.

Q And you took one sample from each of those locations?

A That is correct -- well, it was multiple samples for different kinds of work but basically one collection.

Q And is that all the samples you wanted to take?

A Yes.

Q You didn't feel like you should have taken any more or would have had a better database if you had taken more samples?

A If there had been more roof drips in other places, I would have wanted to have more water. I went and gathered representative samples of those locations.

Q So you took all the samples you felt like you wanted to take?

A Yes. And don't forget, we already had some sampling that Mr. Nielsen had done in other places and we knew we had that data as well. So we added and enlarged the existing database. That was the purpose. That was

the purpose of my sampling.
Q You've been in all the other mines in this area, it sounds like, pretty much?
A Many of them, yes.
Q How would you compare Co-Op's -- let's take the Skyline Mine, for example. You've been in the Skyline Mine?
A Yes.
Q How would you compare Co-Op's Mine, their Bear Canyon Mine here that we're talking about, their water database or water sampling compared to the Skyline Mine? Is it pretty comparable?
A What do you mean? I'm not trying to dodge the question. I need some clarification.
Q I'm happy to clarify my question. I just want to know if the Co-Op Mine and the kind of sampling and wells that it has and the data-collection system that it has is similar to what we find in other mines or better than what we find in other mines or maybe not as good as what we find in other mines in the area. That's why I want to give this a little comparison. We're going to go there.
A On the in-mine, I think it's comparable. You need to understand that the in-mine sampling is not something that is routinely required of any of the mines.

Now, water level measurements and some of the piezometers inside may be required, but in terms of working with the chemistry of these things, that's not something that's required. Chemistry of surface sites -- springs and streams -- that'll be in their mine permit and they'll have a quarterly or semiannually or annually basis on which they have to do that.
So I'd say the Co-Op was pretty much in the same state that other mines that we've been in -- and frankly, we are the ones that have initiated the ideas of doing isotopic work inside of mines and most of the surface of mines. We've really created the large database that now exists throughout the coal district. And yeah, I think it's not fundamentally different.
Q How about outside the mine?
A I haven't looked at other than the two springs.
Q Okay.
A I haven't looked at their hydrographs that we could develop from surface waters. I haven't looked at the chemistries and those kinds of things, so I can't say. I will know -- at least I can reasonably assume that they have a permit which requires them to do monitoring and that permit's been --
Q Let me stop you. If you're making assumptions, I don't want to hear it. I want to hear

things you can either know -- you understand you have to testify to your knowledge or give us an opinion that's based on a reasonable degree of scientific certainty?
A This is a reasonable degree of scientific certainty because they have to have a permit; those permits always have to have --
Q I'm going to stop you again.
MR. HANSEN: I object to what Mr. Smith's trying to do.
MR. SMITH: I'm not --
MR. HANSEN: Can I make my objection?
MR. SMITH: Yeah, but don't make a speaking objection. Just make your objection.
MR. HANSEN: I need to describe my objection, sir. I'm entitled to do that. And I'm not required to let you limit what my objection is, okay?
I object to Mr. Smith trying to interpret the law for Mr. Mayo as to what data, what information he is entitled to rely on in forming his expert opinion. That would go to the weight of his opinion, not the admissibility of it.
MR. SMITH: I don't think I did that. I don't know what you're talking about, Mark. But I'll go on. All I'm saying is: Dr. Mayo can give his opinions. He can give his knowledge. When he starts assuming what's

in their permit, I think we're wasting our time. I'd like to avoid that kind of testimony.
MR. CARTER: Let me just make an observation for the record and see if people agree. One of the contentions of the water users is that there's insufficient information today in the Division's documents to support the decisions the Division has made to date. That's basically one of the arguments. So I'm just making that observation and acknowledging that's an argument, so I will not react in an overly defensive way.
THE WITNESS: I know I'm not supposed to volunteer, but let me volunteer something and get us out of this: I have not reviewed their surface monitoring plan, so I cannot tell you whether that plan is adequate or not. Does that help you?
Q (BY MR. SMITH) That's helpful. We're just trying to understand, Dr. Mayo, the areas that you know about and the areas you don't know about. We know there are other witnesses and we know we'll have a chance to get to those. But we have you here. I take it you'll be leaving us very soon.
A Yes.
Q We want to just go through the things you know.
Did you visit the three places where test wells were drilled in the mine?

A I only visited DH-2.
Is that right?
MR. ERIK PETERSEN: Uh-huh (Affirmative).
Q (BY MR. SMITH) Is this one right up here (Indicating)?
A I walked up to the well, took a look at it.
Q Did you visit any others?
A I did not.
Q And why not?
A Well, if you go look at a well in a mine, it's just a pipe coming out of the ground. It didn't -- it isn't like you can really gain some great insight from looking at a pipe.
Q Do you know why those wells were drilled?
A I would have to assume.
Q Okay.
A To understand -- to be looking at potentiometric surfaces or potentiometric pressures in underlying water-bearing horizons.
Q And if you were going to design a plan to do that for Co-Op, could you tell us how many wells you would drill inside the mine and where you would locate those wells?
A I haven't thought about that.
Q So you don't have any opinion at all on that?

A I don't have an opinion on that right now. That's right.
Q And so you don't have any opinion on potentiometric surfaces below the mine?
A I have an opinion -- you know, I have looked at the potentiometric data.
Q Well, I think you'd have to answer my question. You say you don't have an opinion on that yet you're relying on that data?
A Well --
Q You've got to give me an opinion on whether you think there's enough data there.
A Well, I didn't -- I don't believe my direct testimony really got into the idea of what those potentiometric surfaces were doing. Did it?
MR. ERIK PETERSEN: That's right.
THE WITNESS: I don't want to be an attorney.
MR. HANSEN: If you want to ask him about that, you can ask him.
MR. CARTER: Find out if he's got an opinion.
Q (BY MR. SMITH) So you have no opinion on the potentiometric surfaces?
A Well, I do have some opinions.
Q Tell what me what your opinion on the potentiometric surfaces is.

A If we could have some of the earlier graphics -- this is the exhibit I want right here (Indicating). This is Exhibit 5.
MR. CARTER: This is the water users' Exhibit 5.
THE WITNESS: Water users' Exhibit 5, yes.
I have some opinions about this graphic. One of them --
Q (BY MR. SMITH) Well, before you get into that, I haven't asked you any opinions about that.
A I thought that's where you were going.
MR. HANSEN: I thought you asked him if he had an opinion about the potentiometric surface and what were those opinions.
Q (BY MR. SMITH) Let me see if I can get us through this a little easier. Do you have an opinion about the potentiometric surface in the Bear Canyon Mine?
A You use the word "surface." And there are several horizons that transmit water, and each of those have a different water level, so there's really going to be, first of all, more than one potentiometric point --
Q Okay.
A -- at any given location. And I think we're operating from the idea here -- which I don't necessarily totally agree with -- is that based on -- I have to think of the best way to describe this --

MR. CARTER: May I interject something?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. CARTER: I don't know if this is helpful or not, but I think there was testimony last time or the very first time that there was a zone of saturation and a surface level of the zone of saturation. And there was a lot of discussion about that surface level of that zone of saturation lying at a point beneath the floor of the mine.
And I don't know, Craig, whether you're headed toward "Is it saturated from there to the center of the earth?" or, you know, how thick -- I'm not sure what's happening. But it seemed to me we were talking about the potentiometric surface being -- or a major one being one that lay beneath the floor of the mine. And where -- I remember where it intercepted the workings, and all those sorts of things.
MR. SMITH: Yeah, that's kind of like where we're heading. That is where we're heading.
THE WITNESS: All right. You have some sandstone layers, and where those sandstone layers are continuous and where there is enough hydraulic conductivity -- horizontal hydraulic conductivity, you can have -- that entire layer may be saturated with water.
Where you're dealing with the coal sequences,

we find we don't have this kind of horizontal hydraulic conductivity even just above the coal sequence because you have clays and you have sandstone channels. So when you're in the vicinity of the coal sequences in the Blackhawk, we find really discontinuous bodies of water. And that's what we find everywhere: discontinuous bodies of water. When you get deeper into some of the more continuous sandstones, you can find a more continuous body of water.

Now, the thing that I think would be a mistake to be jumping to is that if you've got a potentiometric surface that implies that all the rock from where you -- that the point where you're measuring the water -- that all the rock above that up to the potentiometric surface is saturated. It doesn't mean that at all. It means there's a pressure point there.

If I'm standing on the downstream side of the dam, the potentiometric surface of the dam of the water is above me and I'm at the low potentiometric point, which means water will run down, but I'm dry. And I think we need to keep that idea clearly in mind, the difference between potential and flow. Potential's the pressure.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Okay. I think that's helpful. Let's go back to the chart for a minute. Let

me ask you this question, Dr. Mayo: Did you rely on data from the three wells -- or now I guess there's four wells that were drilled inside the mine. Did you rely on that data in the formation of any of your opinions today?

A Not opinions that I presented. The opinions that I presented today were to try to look at -- based on observations that I made where I physically see water in the mine -- whatever we know about the ages of the water, what can I infer about faults, what can I infer about this particular channel that we saw. And those would be the opinions that I really presented today.

Q Okay. Make sure I understand where we're at. There was data taken from those wells about age of water below the coal?

A DH-2.

Q Okay. And I take it the age of the water below the coal helped you to form your opinion? At least you testified to that?

A Yes. It's about 1,000 years old. That's a mean age now, remember. Mean age.

Q Would it have been helpful to have more than one point to have taken that data from throughout the mine to come to the conclusion about the age of water below the coal?

A At that point the mean age is in the

neighborhood of 1,000 years. If we had more points, then we would have more data and -- yes.

Q If you were doing an academic exercise, wouldn't you have gotten more points to help you have a better opinion?

A I viewed this as an academic exercise.

Q Okay.

A When I do science, when I do a project -- this is hard to convince clients at first, is -- I'm going to go in and I'm going to do it, treat this like a science and try to understand the system, and then I'll try to help you understand what it means to whatever your problem is.

Q Okay. Let me put it this way: If you would have had the choice of picking any points of taking water for age testing below the coal, would you have just picked one?

A I just picked one.

Q Would you have just picked one, though, if you had had that opportunity, just take it from anywhere?

A I made those decisions.

Q So it's your opinion -- and I want to make sure we understand this -- that one point is sufficient --

A This --

Q -- to form your conclusion?

A -- this one point is sufficient to help me relate what's going on beneath the coal here and the area where we have water. And that's where I was looking at, trying to understand those relationships, because you remember what I was doing was not a full-blown characterization of this system; it's more of a limited --

Q Well, tell me how limited your opinion is.

A Well, I think I made direct testimony as to what -- to what I think -- what my opinions are and what the direct data and -- and what my calculations are. I think they were pretty clear.

Q Is it possible, Dr. Mayo, the age of water -- say we picked a point right here (Indicating) below the coal, than a point right here (Indicating).

A Yes.

Q What about right here (Indicating)? Could it be different age right here?

A Yes.

Q You just don't know?

A Well, I think the way you say "You just don't know" is -- when you're dating water, what you're getting is the collection of all those water molecules that recharge at different times under different -- whatever the mechanisms are, they all come together and we've got

this chunk of water. And we're not dating a single molecule, so you can have slight differences that are going on.

It's like when you presented -- came down here and you collected Bear Spring at one point. We could make the same argument that maybe you should have been sampling Bear Spring every month and doing carbon 14 and tritium on it for every month for five years and then we should have this area --

Q All I want to make clear, Dr. Mayo, is, you said the age of the water under the coal is a certain age.

A At that point.

Q And your testimony, was not limited to that, as I recall. You said the age of the water under the coal -- there was not any limitation in your testimony, Dr. Mayo. And you infer -- at least I infer from your testimony you were talking about the whole mine. Now we find out it's taken from one sample point.

A It's taken from one sample point.

Q And you don't know what the age is at other points under the mine?

A That is correct.

Q Thank you.

I want to try to understand a little bit

better about this sandstone channel we've been talking about. I'm not a geologist. I'm not a hydrologist. How big is this sandstone channel that we're talking about?

A I don't know. I believe the length of it is going to basically run from the Blind Canyon Fault to the big bounding fault on the east side. Its width I don't understand, and I don't understand what its depth is. I've seen the bottom of it, portions of the bottom of it, so I know that it's clearly a channel. You see this thing coming down like this (Indicating).

Q Can you point out the boundaries of it on this exhibit? I believe this is Exhibit 1. Can you point out the boundaries?

A I have seen the channel here and I have seen the channel here (Indicating). And I believe someone else will present testimony that they've seen the channel here (Indicating).

Is that true or not?

MR. REYNOLDS: We never quite hit that channel.

THE WITNESS: Never quite hit the channel there.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) You show a boundary on here. This is similar to some of the questions you asked Mr. Nielsen about boundaries and coals, so I guess you understand what I'm getting at.

A Absolutely.

Q Do you know that this boundary exists here (Indicating)? Is that just a guess?

A That's a fault and I've seen it in -- right here (Indicating).

Q Okay.

A So I physically have seen it right here (Indicating).

Q And you believe the fault is a boundary for the channel?

A In this part of the world, where -- yes. And that's based on ages of water I get on the one side and the age of the water in the sandstone channel itself, and also looking at the fault gouge itself.

And do not take Exhibit 1-C as being necessarily a true and accurate depiction of where these channels are. When you look at the channels, this is -- in class I call it a cartoon, but here I'll call it a diagram, illustrating it for illustrative purposes what we think is going on.

Q Okay. And so there's another fault along this edge here (Indicating)?

A The Bear Canyon Fault runs -- am I correct? -- down in here, down in here (Indicating), and runs --

Q So the channel can continue down here (Indicating)? You just don't know?

A That is correct. And I did not use this in an area calculation, okay? I did not try to approach the problem of how much water flux may be going through this on the basis of an area calculation because I don't have enough data on the area of the channel.

Q Tell me what sorts of testing you could do that would be helpful -- testing or data collection that would help you to better understand this channel.

MR. CARTER: Drill 50 holes in it.

THE WITNESS: If we knew the geometry of the channel, that would be extremely helpful. That would help you define the volume of the rock. Then you -- I understand something about porosity of the rock and get some idea of what the specific retention of the rock will be. Then we can get a better idea of the volume of water that's in this thing.

MR. HANSEN: I suppose someone could go in and mine out the entire thing and you'd know exactly what it was.

MR. SMITH: Mark, come on. Are you going to -- well --

MR. HANSEN: This is informal.

MR. SMITH: Well, I know it's informal.

MR. CARTER: Let me make an observation. I have geology in my background as well. So the way -- I

1 think I understand that Dr. Mayo would not testify that
2 based on observation points he would verify that there is
3 no possibility that something else could be happening.
4 But I understand his testimony to be that "I looked at
5 these six pieces of information and then tried to make
6 them fit in some sort of coherent puzzle."
7 And to answer your question, I said
8 facetiously, "50 drills holes." That's what all
9 geologists want is a well density of about ten meters so
10 you got the thing drilled like Swiss cheese. Then you
11 know exactly what you got and where it is. And I
12 understand the argument that the water users are making.
13 They're saying, "This is too skeletal to be able to draw
14 the conclusion that you did." But also, I don't believe
15 that I understood Dr. Mayo to say he's verifying the
16 exact configuration of the channel and so forth. He's
17 saying, "Here's my theory based on what I'm observing."
18 THE WITNESS: I wish I'd said that.
19 MR. SMITH: Thanks Jim. That's helpful.
20 MR. HANSEN: Incorporated my reference.
21 Q (BY MR. SMITH) I'm trying to understand the
22 channel in a 3-D way. Do you know how deep it goes, or
23 we don't know?
24 A I don't know how deep it goes. There's
25 literature on what a lot of these channels look like.

1 And we've looked at the literature, but I don't know what
2 -- the geometry of this. But I feel fairly comfortable
3 the thing has got some length to it and I feel fairly
4 comfortable what its orientation is, but in terms of the
5 rest of the geometry of the channel, I don't understand it.
6 Q Okay. That's helpful to know.
7 Now, do you know where the channel is being
8 recharged --
9 A I don't know.
10 Q -- from?
11 A I believe my direct testimony -- I said I
12 don't know where it's being recharged from and I don't
13 know where it's discharging. But I -- based on the data
14 we have, I feel that we have an idea what the flux
15 through the channel is.
16 Q But water is moving --
17 A Oh, absolutely.
18 Q -- through the channel?
19 So you believe it is discharging somewhat?
20 A It's flowing somewhere. And that by
21 reference, that means ultimately it has to discharge
22 somewhere, right. There is no giant sink, no black hole
23 for groundwater out here.
24 MR. CARTER: It's going somewhere.
25 THE WITNESS: It comes out somewhere.

1 Q (BY MR. SMITH) And it's recharging
2 somewhere. We just don't know?
3 A That's correct.
4 Q When you talked about the mines being dry,
5 you're talking about this area of the mine (Indicating),
6 not the area in blue?
7 A That is correct.
8 Q And you wouldn't have the same testimony for
9 the so-called wet area of the mine?
10 A No, that -- you walk in there and water will
11 fall on your head.
12 Q How would you compare the wet area of the mine
13 to other mines you've been in in this area?
14 A In the light blue, fairly comfortable. Where
15 we hit the sandstone channel itself, I've seen those
16 kinds of flows in a couple of other mines. You know,
17 they're localized, though. When you find any large
18 discharge, it's typically localized.
19 And you've heard testimony -- and I'll
20 collaborate that -- that what happens normally is, you'll
21 be mining along. There will be some water in some of the
22 sands above you, but the water drains out because it's
23 not a very thick saturated sequence. It drains out.
24 Then it becomes dry. And that's -- you know, I think
25 this mine pretty much fits that pattern until you run

1 into this big channel. And I think that channel itself
2 is being dewatered right now as we speak.
3 MR. CARTER: Let me ask a question. One of
4 the concepts -- my own concept, at least, here was that
5 the water in the north end of the mine was coming from
6 the floor or from the working face. It's now clear that
7 the water is coming from above --
8 THE WITNESS: From above.
9 MR. CARTER: -- at that point of the mine.
10 THE WITNESS: If I could draw a cartoon here --
11 MR. SMITH: Go ahead. I'm going to sit down
12 and look at my notes; so you go ahead and draw a cartoon.
13 THE WITNESS: If we were to do a north-south
14 cross section of the channel, if we start right in here
15 somewhere (Indicating), here's the roof of the mine going
16 like this (Indicating); then you come down to where the
17 channel is and it kind of truncates like this (Indicating).
18 I think what's going on, we've got this
19 channel here and we've got this kind of overbank area of
20 the channel, something like this (Indicating). Am I
21 doing okay? And so when they hit the -- you hit the
22 smaller amount of water, you're at -- I'm not sure what
23 the thickness of this thing is -- but you're in this part
24 of it (Indicating), you know, and when you get into where
25 the bigger quantity of it -- you're in the thicker part

of the channel.

So I think we're looking at one of the edges of this big stream of some sort with smaller overbank flow going off to the south end. And when you run out of water, I think we're pretty much out of that overbank flow area. But I don't know what the rest of the geometry of this thing looks like.

MR. CARTER: These are custom coals, right? I mean, this is a stream delta --

THE WITNESS: That's right.

MR. CARTER: -- into a lake? Freshwater? Saltwater? An ocean?

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Okay. Let me move on. I want to ask you a question about Exhibit 3, if you want to look at that, Dr. Mayo. As I've said before, and I'll say many times during the course of this hearing, I'm no geologist, no hydrologist, but if I look at the age of the water here for your various tests, it appears to me that Birch Spring is very similar in age to what you call the channel water.

A Yes, uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q Can you draw any inferences from that similar age or not?

A Well, if you want to have -- and I forget what the discharge out of Birch Spring is -- you're going to

have to have a pretty incredible conduit to get that quantity of water out to Birch Spring that rapidly.

MR. CARTER: Is Birch Spring west of the Blind Canyon Fault or on the fault?

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Yeah, can you point out where Birch Spring is on that map, Dr. Mayo? That may be helpful.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure it shows up on that map.

MR. HANSEN: It's quite a bit west. Eight hundred feet west.

MR. CARTER: So it would be off that map to the top, right?

MR. NIELSEN: The top corner.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) So it would be like in this area right in here (Indicating)? You know, I'm just wondering if Birch Spring intercepts the same channel water from another part of the channel.

A Well, at least where we see the water in the channel, I don't think that the fault is transmitting water across it. And I think you look at the age of the water just on the other side of it, I think that's what's going on. When we go further to the south, I don't know -- I don't know how the fault would behave there in terms of barrier/nonbarrier, because I just don't have

any data.

MR. CARTER: Craig, I understand your question to be, Could the Birch Spring water be channel water maybe from that portion of the channel on the west side of the fault even --

MR. SMITH: Uh-huh (Affirmative).

MR. CARTER: -- whether or not it's crossing the fault?

THE WITNESS: If we did that kind of calculation, though, and we did the calculation looking at fluxes of water versus age of water, we'd run out of water really fast if Birch Spring was what was draining -- was being fed by that channel, because the channel -- the hydrograph looks like we're dewatering the channel. So Birch Spring should have dewatered it a long time ago because it's discharging a lot greater rate than 1.2 gallons a minute.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) That gets to my next question. Exhibit 4 is the discharge from the channel, and you say it's going down, but it seems to have gone up and down. And actually, the last measurement looks fairly steady. The last five measurements are fairly steady. Maybe we've hit a steady state.

A You also had another period in there where it appears to have hit steady state and gone up. If you

look at this hydrograph in its totality, we did decline on it, and in another year we'll have more data on it and I believe that you can see this thing is declining.

There's also -- Charles -- Mr. Reynolds is going to have to testify about, you know, how they do their measurements and those kinds of things. And there's always a certain amount of plus or minus values when you're doing a measurement. And these are --

Q Well, as I understand your chart, the line on here above the year '93, that's when you say --

A Actually exposed the bare part of the channel, yes.

Q And then right after they expose it, it dropped down?

A Well, remember, they encountered it in two different times. And Mr. Reynolds will have to testify exactly how their mining related to this. But, you know, they drove tunnels into the channel at two different times and I don't believe those were simultaneous. I'd be surprised if they are. But Mr. Reynolds will have to testify about that.

Q Okay. It's been up and down but they were also encountering a fair amount of water before they hit the channel. What water is that?

A That would be the water that is in the light

blue area here (Indicating).
Q Is that water shown on your Exhibit 3?
A Which is -- yes, that would be before the line -- oh, no, that would be -- let's see.
Q I'm trying to correlate your exhibits and Exhibit 3 to Exhibit 4.
A Where was our --
MR. ERIK PETERSEN: I'm lost here. Which exhibit are we after?
MR. CARTER: Exhibit 3 is the chemistry.
MR. HANSEN: The diagram that Mr. Mayo is holding in his hand is Exhibit 1. The one that is posted on the clipboard is Exhibit 2.
THE WITNESS: Which one is -- is it going to be --
MR. HANSEN: And Exhibit 2 is basically Exhibit 1 without the blue coloration. Is that clarified?
MR. SMITH: That's fine. I guess my question to Dr. Mayo --
THE WITNESS: We don't really have -- I don't have a sample from that because both of our -- of our sandstones in the channel were from the main body or -- from the main body or near the main body of the channel. One was a drill hole that went up into it and the other was on the face of it.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) What was -- I'll call it the prechannel water, just for identification -- what was the relationship of the prechannel water to the channel water?
A I would think it's part of the same hydrologic system. That's the assumption that I'm going to make here.
Q I see. And do we know the age of the prechannel water --
A No. I think we just covered that. Don't have a good sample because a lot of that drainage, remember, already went on before we --
MR. HANSEN: Is that area pretty well dewatered now?
THE WITNESS: Yeah. There are some drips. There are not enormous flows coming out of it. There are some drips that one encounters. And others would have to testify about, you know, the decline of those as you look at the drips.
MR. HANSEN: So there really isn't any water in that area to sample at this point; is that accurate?
THE WITNESS: Well, as you get closer to the main body of the channel, then more drips start to occur, but there were no really good flows coming out of it.
Q (BY MR. SMITH) But you could have found enough water to sample. We're just talking about a

bottle of water.
A I'm not sure I could have gotten a good sample for tritium analysis, if that's your question, because tritium -- you've got to be careful with tritium because you've got the atmosphere in small drips, and lots of bad things can happen to your analysis -- to your sample.
Q Did you try to take a sample of what I'm calling the prechannel water?
A No.
Q And you didn't look to take one? I mean, you weren't looking for a source to take that?
A If there had been a really good source of water, I would have grabbed it.
Q At least in the parts of the mine that you went into?
A That's correct. I'm not in the business -- well, never mind. We try to get data is what we try to get.
Q You know, if you read -- and I'm sure you know these much better than I do -- there's been a number of USGS studies -- and I don't know if we'll go through some of those, but there's been a number of USGS studies done on coal mining and aquifers and things, and they always talk about the regional aquifer.
A And they're wrong. I'm going to make a

statement right here: They're wrong.
Q Explain to us how they're wrong.
A There's been a lot of discussion in some of the literature about regional aquifers and Price River Formation, for example. Go try to find one. You can't find any. Some of these formations will carry water really well and others will not carry water really well. The Flagstaff will carry water.
There's commonly discharge of springs at the contact between the North Horn and the Price River. It's very difficult to find many springs in the Blackhawk Formation -- very difficult. And the reason is, the Blackhawk Formation doesn't transmit a lot of water. Ages of water in the Blackhawk, particularly when you get away from a mine face commonly go up -- very large numbers: ten, twelve thousand years. When you get into the sandstone beneath the Blackhawk, you commonly can find quite a bit of water in it. So the idea of these big regional aquifers, it's just not a true concept.
And we're in the process now of doing a big study on a regionwide basis and at some point in the not-too-distant future, we're going to be describing what we think is going on with these systems. Some of them will carry water; some will not carry water. But the idea you got to saturate a thickness from the top of the

hill down to the Mancos Shale is simply wrong.
Q Did you do any effort to identify what water that was coming out of -- let's take Birch Spring, for example -- where Birch Springs -- the water is discharging out of Birch Spring, where that's coming from?
A No.
Q How about Big Bear Spring?
A Big Bear Spring was a lot more -- I don't want to use the word "obvious." If you look at it, it is a pretty clear geologic explanation for Big Bear Spring. You map out where -- you walk over to the spring and you look at where the rocks are and you follow the contact of the outcrop and you walk up and you walk into the creek. And then you look at the fracturing on this guy. And it would be really easy for water to get out of that creek and to flow through these fractures and discharge into the spring. And then you look at the carbon 14 data and the tritium data. And this guy, just from those perspectives, clearly has a lot of water in it -- lots and lots of water -- so much that if there is a contribution from older water sources, it's mass.
Q I think I have just a couple more questions. Oh. When you call the sandstone channel -- would you characterize that as a perched aquifer? Is that how you

would characterize that? I want to try to fit it in with some of the other literature I've been reading in my spare moments.
A Yeah, I think in the context of the literature that you've been reading, I think "perched" would probably be the way to describe that.
Q Okay. And when you say the water's moving through the channel -- which directions? Do you know which directions? Is it moving vertically or horizontally or both?
A Horizontally.
Q Is it also moving vertically as well?
A Well, now it is, out the bottom, moving horizontally in a major way. I don't believe that those coal seams prior to this would allow it to be moving much -- to be moving vertically. And then if we look at the calculations I did on flux, you can't have a lot of water moving through this thing at all.
Q Except through -- I guess, if there were faults and fractures in the coal seams?
A We're still down to -- what is this? A one-gallon bucket?
MR. HANSEN: Looks like it.
THE WITNESS: A couple of these a year -- a couple of those a minute.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Of water moving vertically? Is that what you're saying?
MR. HANSEN: Totally.
THE WITNESS: Total water.
Q (BY MR. SMITH) I'm sorry. I'm lost.
A Total water. Total water flowing through it by whatever mechanism you want. And if you look at rocks in general, if you look at the vertical versus the horizontal hydraulic conductivity, unless there's some big fault, some vertical avenue where you get increased vertical hydraulic conductivity, the horizontal is -- in almost every sedimentary rock is going to be substantially greater than the vertical, orders of magnitude difference in hydraulic conductivity. And that's really what's going to control the flow of water. And horizontal doesn't mean tangentially to the surface of the earth. It's going to move parallel to whatever the structures are.
MR. CARTER: Just for my own understanding as much as for anything, the way to say this would be that the water that's in the channel is not 20,000-year-old isolated water that was laid down --
THE WITNESS: At the end of the last glaciation.
MR. CARTER: -- a long time ago. There is

flow in there?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. CARTER: But that the age of the water and the projected, basically, decline curve that you would project based on what's been discharged and what you anticipate the discharge to be would suggest to you it's only moving at the rate of a gallon or two a minute, that the rate is very slow?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. CARTER: It's not absolutely static?
THE WITNESS: That's right.
MR. CARTER: It's not moderate either?
THE WITNESS: That's right.
Q (BY MR. SMITH) You said that it was likely the faults inhibit the flow in the channel. Can you give me a little more detail on that?
A Well, where we looked at the fault, at the Blind Canyon Fault, on the one side of the fault we've got water which is 5,000 years old. On the other side in the channel I had younger water. I looked at the fault gouge itself, brought back a few pieces. The fault gouge shows -- was dry. There wasn't water coming up out of the floor, out of the roof opposite the fault gouge. It was a dry fault zone.
So in that part of the world it looked as if

93

the faulting has caused a substantial amount of gouge. And that gouge may be -- it appears to be playing a role. It could be something else on the other side of the fault that is causing this as well, but at least we do have no evidence of water coming across that and we have a lot of gouge.

Then you go to the big bounding fault on the east side. It's got several hundred feet of displacement. And I haven't encountered that inside of the mine, so I can't give you any direct testimony on it other than it's got an awful lot of displacement on it, which is going -- to get water to flow across it, you're going to, one, not have a fault gouge like this that's going to prevent flow; and secondly, you're going to have to get two rock units opposite each other that have high enough hydraulic conductivity so water can flow through it.

In my experience looking at -- when you go inside a mine, once in a while we'll find water associated with a fault but it's not flowing through the gouge itself; it's flowing on one side or the other. The gouge is soft -- I mean, if you have water flowing through the gouge, it dissolves.

Q Okay. Were you asked to look at the decline of flow of Birch or Big Bear Spring?

94

A I did not analyze that. I mean, I looked at it but I didn't analyze it from the perspective of presenting any testimony.

Q So you have no testimony to present on why those springs are producing less water now than they were a few years ago?

A No. I'll let others do that.

Q That was just outside the scope of your responsibility?

A Yes.

Q That's outside of what you were asked to do.

Is any of the information that you presented -- is that found in the PHC?

A I don't believe so. Well, I'm not sure. Maybe some of the things about the sandstone channel and dripping out of the sandstone channel, that sort of thing. But the isotopic information is not in the PHC. And it's not in most PHCs, except for mine.

Q Except for the ones you do?

MR. CARTER: I'm making notes here.

MR. ERIK PETERSEN: This is an advertisement.

THE WITNESS: That was a blatant advertisement.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Can you tell me whether the conclusions of the PHC conform to your conclusions or not?

A No, I cannot.

95

Q You cannot say whether they do or not?

A I haven't reviewed the PHC in terms of "Is this PHC adequate?" and that sort of thing.

Q That's been outside the scope of --

A Right.

MR. SMITH: I think that's all the questions I have. I'm sure Mr. Appel has a couple of questions.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. APPEL:

Q Have a seat, Dr. Mayo. Take a load off your feet.

A I don't mind.

Q I do mind. I know you're used to standing and talking to your students, but we're not your students.

Okay. Looking at Exhibit C-4, you've drawn a delineation of mining up to the sandstone channel. Are you with me?

A I'm with you. That's the hydrograph.

Q There are still some fairly significant flows prior to mining up to the sandstone channel, correct?

A Yes. Yes.

Q And you're aware of Mr. Reynolds' testimony that the mine was dry for eight years then suddenly they began to encounter water?

A Yes.

96

Q Isn't it likely that at the point where they began to encounter water they were intercepting a leading edge of the potentiometric surface?

MR. HANSEN: What potentiometric surface?

MR. APPEL: See if he can answer the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't think so.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) Why not?

A Because this water is coming out of a sandstone channel out of the roof.

Q Which water?

A The water that is in this hydrograph, C-4. That water's coming out of the roof. And the potentiometric surface that you're referring to would be water which would be in underlying sandstones beneath the coal.

Q Now. I'm talking about then. Can't the potentiometric surface be changed by the interception of water? Can't the leading edge be moved back?

A Yeah, I think we'll have testimony that will discuss that very issue in considerable depth.

Q Well, let me ask you what you think.

A I don't have an opinion on that.

Q Okay. Do you think that the water intercepted before the sandstone channel was isolated relic water or is it part of the hydrologic flow regime in this area

that supports the potentiometric surface?

MR. HANSEN: I'm going to object. The question's vague and ambiguous. When I tried to bring that out before, I don't think I succeeded very well. Mr. Appel is talking about a potentiometric surface. In that context, I have no idea what he's talking about.

MR. APPEL: But I think Dr. Mayo may. I'm not certain I have to satisfy your expectations.

MR. HANSEN: I think we have to have something to let your question be clear enough that somebody other than yourself and Dr. Mayo knows what's going on here.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) Even after coaching by your counsel, you understand what I'm asking you.

A I lost the question.

MR. HANSEN: I'd like Mr. Carter to rule on my objection.

MR. CARTER: I think I need to say something that would clarify something I said earlier, a sort of interjection, that was at the time that -- there was a time -- and I can't give you the specific dates -- I'd have to look at the file -- the Division was aware, based on the drilling of the test wells and the sampling of the test wells, that there was a potentiometric surface that the experts for Co-Op mapped as being below the active workings of the Blind Canyon Seam, but that they

projected that continued northward mining would, at some point, intercept that surface as they projected it based upon the drill data they had available to them.

So when I said we knew Co-Op was mining toward water, it was a very general statement that you could just project two straight lines and see an intersection of this potentiometric surface which lay beneath the coal seam that they were in and the direction they were mining would intersect at some point to the north.

So that's, you know, a very generalized -- there was not -- the information with regard to the channel and all those sorts of things was not in the hands of the Division at the time, saying, "Oh, yeah, we knew they were mining toward water." But I wanted to say that in order to clarify what I had said earlier, especially in light of what Dr. Mayo is testifying here to.

So the Division did not think there were -- well, never mind. I've said what I wanted to say on that. In terms of -- I'm not sure I understand your objection exactly, but I was trying to --

MR. HANSEN: Maybe if I can clarify: We've heard testimony in this proceeding, and also in previous proceedings, that was conflicting. Some testimony given by the water users was that there was a single aquifer with a single potentiometric surface, one straight line

going all the way up and that that potentiometric surface was encountered at some point. There's been other testimony that there are three separate potentiometric surfaces.

And so there's at least four separate potentiometric surfaces that have been testified to with respect to this kind of proceeding. And I don't know if there's any more, but there are at least those four surfaces. And so when a question is asked regarding the potentiometric surface, I have no idea which one is being referred to, and I don't think anyone else does either.

MR. CARTER: Mr. Appel, do you mean the potentiometric surface that I just described, the one --

MR. APPEL: Yes.

MR. CARTER: -- we saw beneath the floor of the current workings at some point in the past?

MR. APPEL: Do you want to say something?

MR. NIELSEN: The potentiometric surface we're talking about is the one defined in the Co-Op permit written by EarthFax, which is the Star Point sandstone and the two other sandstones of the Star Point sandstone. That's what they call the potentiometric surface regionally in this area. It's in the permit and by EarthFax.

MR. APPEL: Actually, I was asking. That's --

MR. NIELSEN: That's the surface we're talking about. That's what surface is in this Exhibit 5 you're talking about.

MR. HANSEN: I just want to be clear what we're talking about.

MR. NIELSEN: That's the surface we're talking about. That's why I show on Exhibit 5 the same surface Co-Op and EarthFax put together in their mine permit.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) Are you with us, Dr. Mayo?

A Ask your question again, would you please.

Q Mr. Nielsen has defined "potentiometric surface." And that's a surface where you encounter water if you mine back into a mine?

A Well, no, that isn't true. That isn't true at all. This is a point I'm trying to make. When you encounter a potentiometric surface does not mean that you've encountered water; it means you've hit a surface, if you drill down at that point, water would rise in a well to that point. It doesn't mean that there's actually water coming out of the bottom of anything. There's a real difference here between a potentiometric surface and a water table. And you got to -- you can't treat a potentiometric surface like it's a water table. It's a confined aquifer, not an unconfined aquifer.

Q Has this mining intercepted the regional water

table?
A Well, it gets back to the thing about the USGS. It has not encountered water that's in the underlying sandstones.
Q The water under the mine?
A Under the mine. It has not encountered that water.
Q But has it encountered water that has been recharged from the surface?
A Yes.
Q So --
MR. CARTER: Thank you all.
MR. HANSEN: Glad we cleared that up.
Q (BY MR. APPEL) So it's water recharged from the surface that would make its way down until it encountered something that would prohibit it from moving down?
A Yes.
Q And then it would move out or somewhere?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So as the mining moves back into the hill, for lack of a better term -- mountain -- I guess it's a mountain -- it is encountering water that could support recharge down farther in the stratigraphy because of faults and fractures, correct?

A In a theoretical sense, yes. But in a practical sense in this case, I don't think it's supporting appreciable, if any, downward flow from the bottom of the sandstone channel.
Q We're talking about before we get to the sandstone channel now.
A I don't know what the mechanism is for this water getting in. I mean, it clearly has moved somehow to get into this channel. As you get closer to the edge of the --
Q Forget the channel. We're not there yet.
A Okay.
Q Appreciable flows have been encountered in mining beginning in 1990, as Mr. Reynolds testified, a significant difference. Now, under your model, was that water recharged from the surface?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Can we call that a leading edge of recharged water? Is that a term you could be conversant with?
A I'm conversant with it but I wouldn't apply that to this.
Q You don't like using the term "potentiometric surface." I would have called it the leading edge of the potentiometric surface. Would you be conversant with that?

A You're really mixing things up now.
Q Let's leave "potentiometric surface" alone. The recharge from the surface would support the groundwater table you would see throughout the sequence, correct?
A Recharge from the surface supports all the groundwater that we find from the top of the hill anywhere to the Mancos Shale or even below, yes.
Q And how would you account for the difference between no flow in the 1980s mining and the substantial flows that we've seen even before the sandstone channel was encountered?
A They started hitting the edge of the sandstone channel because, as I drew the cartoon, it's a big fat channel with an overbank flow area. And when they started mining into that, they started getting water, and that's where their first water was encountered.
Q How wide is the sandstone channel?
A I don't know.
Q How deep and thick is it?
A I don't know.
Q This particular exhibit says that they encountered that water before they mined up to the sandstone channel.
A But they --

MR. CARTER: I think I understand the concept. I mean -- well, I won't put words in your mouth. My understanding of your testimony was, all this water that Co-Op has seen coming out of this roof, or the great majority of it, is coming from the channel --
THE WITNESS: That's right.
MR. CARTER: -- from the system.
THE WITNESS: A main body of the channel --
MR. CARTER: But until 1993 it didn't hit the sand and see it.
THE WITNESS: Right.
MR. CARTER: Before that, the channel was above them and they were mining under -- this is a large dish like this (Indicating). They were down here under the lip of the dish. There was water above them starting to drip, starting to drip. Then when they hit the bowl, the side of the bowl, they hit pay dirt. That's when it really started to flow.
THE WITNESS: That's correct.
MR. CARTER: Is that -- okay.
THE WITNESS: Absolutely correct.
MR. CARTER: That was my concept of what his testimony was. So all of this is channel water. None of this -- I won't say -- it's all part of this system.
THE WITNESS: I view this water that they

first encountered that's on this hydrograph as being part of this sandstone channel.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) Is the sandstone that you believe is a channel fractured?

A I don't know.

Q Do the sandstones fracture in this area?

A We see -- when we find sandstones near the faces, they're really fractured. And when you find them at greater depth, you don't find that kind of fracture.

Q Isn't this area subject to regional fracture?

A There's some regional fracture.

Q Regional jointing?

A When I looked at the channel, I didn't see any fractures.

Q How many feet of the channel did you look at?

A Oh, a width of maybe total -- is a hundred feet -- is that a reasonable --

Q Answer from your memory.

A Well, okay. My memory would be 100 feet. We can have others testify.

Q In segments or continuous?

A In two segments.

Q How big were the segments, to the best of your approximation?

A One segment, maybe 25 feet; maybe one segment,

75 feet.

Q Will water in this particular vicinity move down vertically through these sandstones because of fractures?

A You mean the sandstone channel?

Q The sandstones in the area. We'll get to the channel.

A If you're near the surface, water will move really readily down through them. But you've got a series of intervening clays in the Blackhawk Formation. We're going to have clay layers in here, and those things make the groundwater flow in the Blackhawk discontinuous.

Q They slow it down?

A No, I mean discontinue it. They stop it.

Q The water piles up on top of them?

A What happens is, you can even run into sandstone channels are that completely dry. This is not uncommon.

Q Let's talk about the other feature you just discussed. You say the water would pile up on top of what?

A I didn't say the water would pile up. You're putting words in my mouth.

Q Well, you're saying you were encountering something other than sandstone?

A That's right, and we have a flux of water.

Q What is that?

A A flux? A flow.

Q No, no, no.

MR. CARTER: Let me see if I can short-circuit this, because I think there's a great deal of testimony that the horizontal permeability in this area generally is, in order of magnitude, higher than vertical. I think there's also agreement that there is some vertical permeability.

I mean, from my perspective, fracturing the sandstone is not the most important thing. The question is, Is there fracturing through the shales? I mean, the sandstones are vertical and -- vertically and horizontally permeable, presumably, and it's the shales that present the barriers to the vertical permeability.

MR. APPEL: Let me explain why I think this is important. If the sandstones are fractured, then it's less of a channel than he's telling us. The water is going to move from the top down through it as well as through the rock itself on a horizontal basis.

MR. CARTER: Let me ask you this geological question: You've got a channel and you have relatively permeable material underneath and we don't particularly care what's up above. Then it's not the fracturing in

the channel that is of interest. It's whether the water passing through the channel vertically into the less -- into the coal, through the coal into the next sand, and through that sand into the next -- through the shale and -- I mean, it's vertical. Isn't that really the issue, what the degree of vertical permeability is? Well, don't let me tell you what your case is, but . . .

MR. APPEL: Okay. I understand what you're saying.

THE WITNESS: That's my understanding of it too. That's the way I view it.

MR. CARTER: Let me say this too, because at the end of this I'm going to ask for some briefing because I think this goes to a legal question, and that is, that everything on the planet is connected to everything else.

And the question is -- I mean, I think in one sense certainly this is a hydrologic system. It's the Wasatch Plateau. It's the drainage of this river. The question really is whether mining activity in this mine has -- I'll pull the terminology from our new water replacement law -- interrupted, diminished, or contaminated the water supply. That's kind of the crux of this investigation.

So there's going to be a question at some

point, which I think is a legal question. Let's assume for a minute the rate of discharge of this system is a gallon a minute. Let's assume for a minute that gallon was making its way to Birch Creek or Bear Spring. I think that raises a legal question. I'm not saying that's the truth, but I'm saying that raises a legal question: Does the diminution of flow depriving Big Bear a gallon a minute constitute one of those three things we're trying to prevent?

You don't have to answer now, but I think I'm going to need the attorneys to tell me. Is there a de minimis rule here or is there any connection -- is there a factual determination or any connection? Must the Division take action? Must replacement take place?

And I think a related question is -- I made notes to myself and I threw them away and I shouldn't have done that -- must the water users quantify -- are the water users or water interest owners entitled to a de minimis exception? How accurately must they quantify the interruption?

Do you see where I'm headed? The bigger question here is how -- if one gallon a minute -- whether someone's liable to do something or has to do something based on one gallon a minute, does that also put a burden on the water users to demonstrate -- what's the order of

magnitude water users would need to show? Would they need to show us one or five or in the neighborhood of a thousand? Would you have it make a showing of quantity?

The analogy here, I think, is damages. You know, you back into my car. The first question is, Are you responsible? Is there a causal connection? The second question is, How much? You know, what's the injury and what are you entitled to in terms of reparations?

So the caveat here is I'm not making -- I'm not saying I've decided anything about the science or the causal relationships or so forth, but I think whatever the evidence is, whatever the facts are, we're still going to have to have some briefing and argument on does 1 gallon a minute or 1.2 gallons a minute matter. So be thinking along those lines.

Go ahead.

MR. APPEL: But that doesn't take care of the issues concerning the adequacy of the PHC or --

MR. CARTER: Thank you.

MR. APPEL: You recognize that?

MR. CARTER: Thank you. That's another question, objection which has been raised: Did the Division, at the time it approved the PHC or purported to approve the renewal, have in its possession the sufficient

information to make the conclusions it did? Are those conclusions adequate to support the issuance? You're right. Thanks.

Okay. So I think that's the context of what the Division has to wrestle with: the interference question and the "did-we-have-what-we-needed-when-we-did-what-we-did?" question.

MR. APPEL: Okay.

MR. CARTER: Did that derail a train of thought?

MR. APPEL: Several.

MR. HANSEN: You were talking about --

MR. APPEL: I know what I was talking about.

MR. HANSEN: -- sandstone fractures.

MR. APPEL: Maybe you could just take over.

MR. HANSEN: No further questions.

MR. CARTER: Dr. Mayo, I understand you need to leave at some point.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I need to leave as soon as possible. I need to catch a plane to --

MR. CARTER: I think in terms of this as well, I wanted to provide the opportunity for Co-Op to present additional verbal information and this sort of information as well. If there's a sense when we close that we need another affidavit or we need some other

description, we can do that, but I think we probably ought to finish with Dr. Mayo as quickly as reasonably possible so we can let him go.

I'm sorry to use that precious time speechifying.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) You don't know what the source of water for the sandstone channel is other than surface recharge?

A That is correct. Let me clarify that. Well, that's -- yeah, that's correct. I don't know -- I -- I -- I don't understand the flow path from the surface to the channel.

Q Okay. But it could be roughly vertical from where the precipitation fell above?

A I have some trouble with that because of the nature of the Blackhawk Formation.

Q What trouble is that?

A The Blackhawk Formation, unless you're near cliff faces, does not transmit water very well.

MR. CARTER: Vertically?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, vertically or horizontally. It has real trouble. You get in a sandstone channel, you find some water, it has real trouble transmitting water because of the nature of the Blackhawk itself.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) And the Blackhawk, does that help create perched aquifers?
A Yes, whenever you get a sand that's in hydraulic connection somehow with some surface. And I think most of it's going on near cliff faces.
Q Now, you testified that you found water on the west side of the -- which fault is it?
A Big Bear.
Q Big Bear Fault. But --
A Oh, no. Blind Canyon Fault.
Q Blind Canyon Fault. That's right. But not on the east side. Isn't that a little odd to have one side of a fault that is as extensive as this carrying water and not the other side?
A I don't know if that's odd or not. I think that's kind of in keeping with how many faults will operate. You're offsetting stratigraphy. You're putting in fault gouge.
Q Answer the question. If you want to get out of here, you're going to have to confine your answers; otherwise, you'll be taking another plane.
A I'll try to curb myself.
Q I knew that would work.
Well, you've drawn on Exhibit 5 -- you have your sandstone channel, although we know it doesn't have

to be that wide and we don't know how deep it is or thick, is bound by the faults. Is it possible that that sandstone channel is intercepting water that moved down the fault?
A It's a possibility, but I don't think so.
Q If there's water available that gets to the east side of that fault, it will move down the fault. Nothing especially odd about this fault, is there? --
A No, I would not say that it would move down the fault.
Q Parallel to?
A I feel more comfortable with "parallel to a fault" rather than "down a fault."
Q When I said "down," I meant downgrade in this instance. But it will move parallel to the lines of the fault on both sides of the fault?
A If both sides are saturated.
Q And I'm asking you to assume there's water on the east side of this fault. Do you believe there is water on the east side of that fault farther up into the mountain?
A At what stratigraphic horizon?
Q Any stratigraphic horizon.
A Well, because it's going to depend on which stratigraphic horizon you're in. Some of these will be

dry; some will have water in them.
Q What is the throw on that fault?
A Is it 150 feet? Hundred to a hundred fifty feet.
Q And there is a superficial expression --
A Yes.
Q -- on the top of Gentry Mountain. So as it passes down through the various stratigraphic layers, if water's in that stratigraphic layer, will the fault intercept and move water?
A I'm not inclined to think of the fault as being this big conduit for water. The fault's full of gouge.
Q So this fault is not a conduit for water?
A Where I've visited this fault, it is not a conduit for water. Now, there could be some -- I need to elaborate a little bit -- there could be some sequence somewhere where you've got two good pieces of sand opposite each other and no gouge. Then the fault would be invisible to water or invisible to -- it would be an invisible barrier, be no barrier.
MR. HANSEN: Do we know that that ever occurs one way or the other?
THE WITNESS: It occurs some places.
Q (BY MR. APPEL) Based upon the information you

have, has mining changed the historic underground flow of water?
A To the extent of 1.2 gallons a minute. I feel comfortable saying that.
Q And that's because of your sandstone channel?
A That's because of the sandstone channel.
Q And you're saying it's impossible for the mining efforts to have intercepted water that would historically recharge either Birch or Big Bear Spring?
A I feel really comfortable that it hasn't intercepted Big Bear Spring. And I don't see evidence, because of the fault and where the water is inside of the mine that they've encountered, that there is evidence to demonstrate that it has affected Birch Spring.
Q You, I think, testified that the creek is recharging Big Bear Spring?
A That's my opinion.
Q Tell me how that's going to work.
A Well, you've got a layer of rock that's really fractured because it's near the surface, and you follow the outcrop of the rock and it runs into the creek up gradient, and water flows down the creek and flows into the fractures and flows down and discharges out the face of the spring.
Q So you're saying that creek right there is a

losing stream?
A I'm saying that it loses enough -- loses some water to get into -- to recharge the sandstone where the sandstone crosses it. It doesn't mean it's a losing creek at all times.
Q But there's no hydraulic head from that creek into that, is there?
A Well, the creek's higher.
Q Okay. But the creek is also flowing past that?
A Yes, uh-huh (Affirmative).
Q You're saying that the water that is coming down through the mountain from actual recharging in these fractures is going to be displaced by creek water?
A I think you're adding some things into my testimony that I didn't have in there.
Q Well, isn't water also moving down through these fractures --
A There will be some water --
Q -- naturally?
A -- naturally near the face of the cliff. It can move down. But if you look --
Q Stop right there. So isn't that water actually recharging the creek rather than vice versa? Isn't that some of the creek flow?
A It depends where you are. Some of it may be.

I'm not going to let you twist this too much before I tell the story here.
Q I'm not trying to twist it. I just find it interesting that you have a source of -- the source of water for the creek is the surrounding stratigraphy, correct?
A Yeah, snowmelt, upstream discharges. You know, you look at the hydrograph of the creek. It clearly mirrors what's going on with snowmelt and storm events and that sort of thing; so clearly it's what's going on in the near surface and the drainage area of the creek. That's where creeks get their water.
Q But that same snowmelt is moving down through the fractures in the rock as well, correct?
A When you're near the cliff faces, you're going to get some of that going in. But you've also got to look at what is your opportunity for snow to stick on a cliff face. It's not an easy task.
Q Let's get back to the point. There would have to be room in that fracture for the creek to enter, correct?
A Yes.
Q How far is the creek from the spring? Where do you think it enters?
A Oh, I don't know. Within a half mile. There

will be some testimony more specifically about that.
MR. LEEMASTER: Mr. Carter, can I ask a question about that?
MR. CARTER: Sure. Oh, yeah.
MR. LEEMASTER: For the record, my name is Darrel Leemaster, Castle Valley Special Services.
So, Mr. Mayo, this point where you're saying the water would enter from the creek into the sandstone formation then move to our springs, is that below the discharge point for the Co-Op Mine?
THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.
MR. CARTER: We can piece that together.
THE WITNESS: That wouldn't be hard to figure out.
MR. LEEMASTER: What he's testifying here -- if this were true, this would be a terrible tragedy in that spring because the Division of Drinking Water would say that spring is surface-influenced, therefore you can't use it as a groundwater source; it has to be treated as a surface water source and I would have to treat that through a treatment plant.
MR. CARTER: What's the distance from recharge area to spring? I know there's a limitation because all springs are surface-influenced.
MR. LEEMASTER: And I don't know how they

would define that. If it's as close as what we're talking from that creek source to my spring, I'm sure they would tell me that I can't use that as a groundwater source, it has to be surface-water treated and therefore would have to go through complete conventional treatment before I could use it. So this would be terrible for me.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: How could it get past Birch? It --
MR. HANSEN: This isn't the two springs; this is just Big Bear.
MR. LEEMASTER: Just Big Bear.
Q (BY MR. APPEL) Do you know whether or not the water encountered in the mine moves toward the east? It does generally, doesn't it?
A It's my impression that water in that channel -- the natural grading would be toward the east.
Q And water encountered in the mine because of the mine workings all goes to the east?
A I think generally.
Q You didn't really visit the eastern areas of this mine, did you?
A Not the closed areas.
Q Well, do you know from conversations if it's wetter over there because of water that's been encountered in the mine that's made its way there?

A I'm not sure.
Q Do you know whether the workings that have been closed have water in them, from your conversations?
A I'm not sure if they do or not.
MR. APPEL: Do you want to ask it?
MR. NIELSEN: Yeah. Been waiting for this.
MR. APPEL: This is it, Peter. Go for it.
MR. NIELSEN: Stewing for three months.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. NIELSEN:
Q Just a couple of questions on this hydrograph here. If I look at it after the intercept which was in the channel in '93, I see a steady decline in water which is, you know, transient state, dewatering the sandstone, but I also see peaks occurring roughly between May and June which corresponds to peaks in Bear Spring and every other spring in this area. Does this indicate a local recharge or is this a function of metering problems or what?
A I don't know. I don't think it represents local recharge. I think the age of the water is --
Q Well, that's true. I understand that. But you got a mixing phenomenon going on here. I also look at this and I say, well, I got peaks occurring quite often in June.

A I think we ought to ask Mr. Reynolds if he can help us.
Q So is it this or the fact that we have problems with metering?
A It could be a metering problem. It could be where they were actually going in and -- let's take some more --
Q The point I want to make is, we got to be careful with this graph because of the way the water was monitored.
A Yeah. I would not take any one of these points and say these are the absolute numbers.
Q That's the point I wanted to make. If you're hitting water at different sections, we've either got to say there are peaks on the decline which resembles all the springs in this area and Bear Creek or we got problems with metering. They may not be accurate.
MR. CARTER: I understood this to be offered to show -- and I'll be very general -- from the line to the last dot is a decline.
THE WITNESS: Is a decline, yes.
MR. NIELSEN: I agree it's a decline with peaks in it that occur in June. And then it declines.
MR. HANSEN: We're also talking about 1,500-year-old water.

MR. NIELSEN: Well, yeah. But that's what occurs in Bear Spring and all the other springs we looked at as well. It happens in Bear Canyon stream. It happens in Huntington Creek. I'm just pointing that out. We've got two things we're looking at here.
MR. SMITH: I've got one question on the chart, if I could interject.
MR. CARTER: Sure.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMITH:
Q Dr. Mayo looking at your chart -- this is Exhibit 4 -- and then looking at the PHC and the CHIA, we have different numbers. Can you explain why the PHC and CHIA have different mine discharge numbers than your chart?
A No, I can't.
Q Where you did you take your numbers from?
A These numbers were provided by Mr. Reynolds.
Q And you have no testimony as to why they're at variance with the figures that are in the PHC?
A I have none.
MR. CARTER: Do you have questions, Mr. Hansen, or should we send Dr. Mayo away?
MR. HANSEN: No questions. I have a few questions, but I believe I can get them through my other

witnesses. I don't want to hold Dr. Mayo up.
MR. CARTER: Dr. Mayo, thank you very much.
(Luncheon recess was taken.)
MR. HANSEN: One thing I forgot: We'd like to have this fault gouge from the Blind Canyon Seam designated as Exhibit C-6.
MR. CARTER: Okay. How we're going to put this in the file, I'm not sure.
MR. SMITH: Which map's that, Mark?
MR. HANSEN: It's the rocks.
MR. SMITH: Yeah. How are you going to . . .
MR. CARTER: Well, we may take photographs of it.
MR. APPEL: Could you provide us with copies? Yeah. I'd like to whack these rocks into four pieces.
MR. HANSEN: And put it through the replicator.
MR. CARTER: Okay. We'll figure out how we're going to go with that or how we'll work it.
Mr. Hansen?
MR. HANSEN: Co-Op Mine calls Chris Hansen -- I forgot. I'm sorry. Been a long day. Been a long week. Chris White -- Chris Hansen.
MR. APPEL: Are you two related?
MR. HANSEN: No. It has been a very long week

for me. I offer my apologies.

MR. APPEL: I think we'll all just sort of disassemble at some point. Everyone's had a long week.

CHRIS D. HANSEN,

called as a witness for and on behalf of Co-Op Mining Company, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANSEN:

Q Could you spell your name, please?

A Sure, I think I can do that.

Q Would you give your full name for the record, please?

A It's Chris D. Hansen. C-h-r-i-s, H-a-n-s-e-n.

Q And where are you employed?

A EarthFax Engineering.

Q Can you give us your brief employment history?

A Okay. I can start with my educational background. I have a bachelor's and master's in geology from Brigham Young University. I received my bachelor's in '81 and my master's in '88. My master's work was the geology of the Jump Creek 7 1/2-minute quadrangle, which is located about 15 miles north of the Co-Op area. It included the structure and stratigraphic interpretation of the geology in that area.

As far as my professional background, I spent

after school about eight years working in Nevada and Utah doing geologic studies not only in the coal industry but in the oil and gas business. I performed several stratigraphic and structural basinal studies. I've been at EarthFax since 1992. I've been working with several coal mines in the area. I participated in the preparation of PHCs for those mines -- for several mines, including the Co-Op Mine, Genwal, some of the Coastal mines.

Q What mines have you been in in the area?

A In this area, the active mines I've been in are the Genwal and the Co-Op Mine.

Q Have you been in other coal mines?

A Abandoned mines -- one in Nevada and Horse Canyon Mine.

Q Have you had an opportunity to examine the Co-Op Mine?

A Yes, I have.

Q Would you tell us what you did there.

A On the same day that Alan Mayo went in -- underground, I accompanied him -- he and Erik -- and essentially went to the same places he did.

Q And what did you observe?

A Well, I guess at this point it'd be easy to maybe start talking a little about the geology of the

area, start with the stratigraphy and structure of the site.

Q Why don't we do that.

A Okay. Now, I don't want to spend a lot of time going over what Peter has already presented as far as stratigraphy, because it hasn't changed since he was out there. And --

MR. SMITH: I hope not.

THE WITNESS: -- and what I would like to do is put up a cross section. It's a general cross section that -- that we took from north to south through the permit area.

MR. HANSEN: Could we have this diagram marked as Exhibit C-7 for demonstrative purposes?

MR. CARTER: Certainly.

THE WITNESS: We took our cross section starting just north of the Co-Op drill hole SDH-2.

MR. SMITH: It's okay. My eyes aren't that good to be able to see your writing on this.

THE WITNESS: We purposely made it small.

MR. APPEL: Is it in Greek?

MR. SMITH: And I got my new glasses too.

THE WITNESS: Started up here by SDH-2 and essentially went down to Huntington Creek. The permit boundary -- the northern permit boundary is located here

(Indicating). The southern part of the boundary is approximately here (Indicating).

Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Now, this isn't completely to scale, is it?

A Not -- not totally, because we had to take a little bit of artistic license with the topography and the thicknesses of the formations because they have a tendency to change as you go.

Q And the vertical and horizontal scales are different?

A Yes. The horizontal scale is 1 inch equals 500 feet, and the vertical scale is 1 inch equals 200 feet.

Just briefly, the North Horn Formation -- in this area (Indicating) we encountered about 600 feet of North Horn where it consists of interbedded limestone, sandstone, shales, claystones, mudstones. Overlies approximately 230 to 260 feet of the Price River Formation, which consists of interbedded sandstones and shales.

Castlegate Sandstone, which is about 150 to 250 feet -- it's a conglomeratic sandstone and sandstone interbedded, a fluvial system.

The Blackhawk Formation, which is 600 to 800 feet thick, not necessarily on this cross section but in

this area (Indicating). And it consists of interbedded fluvial sands, shales, mudstones, claystones, discontinuous sands, small -- relatively small channels. I don't want to put a size to them. The Blackhawk includes the major coal seams in the area.

Co-Op has mined in this area -- the Tank Seam, the Blind Canyon Seam, and then the Hiawatha Seam. The Hiawatha Seam and the base of the Blackhawk sit on top of the Spring Canyon member of the Star Point Formation.

And the Star Point Formation consists of essentially three tongues of sandstone interbedded or intertonguing -- intertongued by Mancos Shale. Thicknesses we used for these sandstone tongues come from the in-mine drill holes that Co-Op has put in and that EarthFax was involved in drilling.

And one thing I want to point out is, even though I've colored these yellow as sandstones, it's important to note that each one of these members is not just sandstone. It's a transitional sandstone that starts out at the base as a shale, siltstone, mudstone, and coarsens upward and becomes a fairly clean fine-to-course grain, well-washed sand. And then the cycle is repeated. You have shale that grades upward into more course material. It goes on up through the Spring Canyon.

On this cross section (Indicating), we've noted where the Birch and Big Bear Springs issue. And they're essentially coming from the base of the Panther Sandstone member and the Star Point.

And in fact, while I've got this up here, I'll just briefly touch on it: When these three holes were drilled in-mine, they were drilled through the three sandstone members of the Star Point Formation and into the Mancos Shale. And during the drilling of each one, the -- or of each well, each member of the Star Point was isolated while drilling, with packers set at the shale/sandstone contact. And the water level in the well was measured to determine what the potentiometric surface of the water within the sandstone was at that time. And I've depicted those measured potentiometric surfaces or that potentiometric point with this line (Indicating).

Q Now, Dr. Mayo testified that the potentiometric surface and the water table aren't exactly the same thing. Can you explain what he was talking about there?

A Well, as he said, the -- this point, for example (Indicating), is the potentiometric surface of the Panther Sandstone at this location (Indicating). That is not to say that the groundwater surface is the same thing. This point is a representation of the head

of the water in the Panther Sandstone. The Mancos Shale in this area may or may not be saturated.

And what we're really seeing is that the Mancos Shale is acting as a confining unit and the water in the Panther Sandstone -- the water is in the Panther Sandstone. It rose up in the well to that elevation. It doesn't say that it's rising through the Mancos Shale to that point. It's just pressure of the water in the Panther Sandstone.

Does that explain what you were --

Q I believe so.

A We also put on here the potentiometric surface of the Spring Canyon sandstone member.

I think I'll just go on to the structure because I'm not sure that anything more can be said about the stratigraphy than what has already been talked about.

MR. CARTER: Let me ask you a question about potentiometric surfaces. I think I understand the concept, but with regard to that chart, the potentiometric surface that we have been talking about, the one I referred to that was first discussed several years ago as being the one we needed to be paying attention to, which was beneath the floor of the workings in the Blind Canyon Seam, is that depicted there.

THE WITNESS: It's this line that we've drawn

(Indicating).

MR. CARTER: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Right through here (Indicating), at this point and north, it's under confined conditions. From this point south (Indicating), it becomes unconfined. And again, this is not to say if I went to that point that there would be water in this formation. The water's in this member (Indicating), not up here (Indicating).

MR. CARTER: This is like being below the waterline in the hull of a ship?

THE WITNESS: Yep.

MR. CARTER: There's no water in there unless you poke a hole in the bottom?

THE WITNESS: Exactly.

Q (BY MR. HANSEN) Did you find more than one potentiometric surface?

A Well, we found -- in these drill holes we found a separate potentiometric surface for each of the members.

Q Now, this is just a general cross section diagram, correct?

A Yeah.

Q It doesn't purport to show everything you've encountered in the mountain if you cut the mountain in

half?

A No, it -- no, it's not that detailed.

Q Do we see this sandstone channel that's been discussed on this diagram?

A At this scale, no, I do not depict it. And at this scale it might be fairly small. It might -- if -- something that Dr. Mayo did not mention is that we have some idea of the width of this channel just from drilling the -- Charles is aware of that -- they did at the face -- the mine face. And so if we were to show the location of that channel, it would be probably in this region right here (Indicating).

Q Would it be better for you or Charles to describe what we do know about that channel?

A I can -- the only thing I can tell you about it is I have seen it. It is definitely a fluvial channel. It is fairly -- at the part that I saw, the sandstone was fine-grained, well-sorted, clean, moderately well-cemented.

And then as Dr. Mayo pointed out on this exhibit, we saw it in two different faces, so we're assuming that it essentially cuts across east to west through this area (Indicating) with the flow -- the direction of the channel itself. I'm not saying the water in the channel. But when the channel was laid

down, it was laid down probably in the east-west direction.

Q Do we know whether that channel dips below the bottom level of the Blind Canyon Seam?

A I have been told that the channel does not completely cut out the Blind Canyon Seam.

Q Could you go on, please?

A Sure.

MR. CARTER: Let me ask to clarify: I know the answer to this question, but I'm hoping to clarify for the group here, and maybe for the record as well, that my conception -- tell me if I'm right.

My conception of the creation of this channel we're talking about is that we have an environment in which coal is being deposited, organic material is being deposited, but it's in a transitional zone so that sometimes it's in a shallow embayment, where organic material is dying and falling into it, but it's also in an area where there are rivers basically meandering adjacent to a large body of water. And so at some point in this vicinity, a river meandered its way over to where the channel is now, scoured out some of the coal, filled it with sand, and then moved on. So that's basically the depositional environment for which the channel occurred.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. You could liken it to the

Mississippi River delta, where you have cut off which once was the main channel and now has been abandoned and eventually, if the Corps of Engineers has their way, fill back in.

MR. LEEMASTER: Mr. Carter, I'm a little bit fuzzy about that too. Would it be possible for him to sketch in where that layer is where so we can tell where the coal layers is you're drawing?

MR. CARTER: Everything below that line is coal, right? Draw in the floor would be the thing to do.

THE WITNESS: If we were going to -- it's -- that would be the floor of the coal (Indicating), and from what I've been told -- let me draw it down here a little better.

If this is the channel geometry (Indicating) -- and I don't know what this distance is here (Indicating). And if this -- we'll call this the coal seam (Indicating). It's essentially that geometry (Indicating).

MR. LEEMASTER: So your understanding is, that channel doesn't go quite to the bottom of the coal area but that it does spill out over the top --

THE WITNESS: Yeah. We were able to, in the mines, see distance back from this face (Indicating) sandstones that were related to this channel that would

be part of the channel sandstone.

MR. CARTER: That's a good picture to illustrate. This was a revelation to me as well, that the water that's occurring in the north end of the mine is coming, again, from above, it's thought, out of the -- of a channel rather than welling up from the floor.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. To maybe help -- and I don't know. I'm not going to draw this to scale, but somewhere down here (Indicating) is the Spring Canyon that has water under pressure --

MR. CARTER: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: -- in it.

Q (BY MR. HANSEN) That line you've marked as and labeled "SC," that is the water table that feeds the spring?

A No, not necessarily.

Q What is that?

A That's this member (Indicating) of the Star Point Formation.

Q Is there water between that line that you've labeled "SC" to the bottom of the Blind Canyon Seam?

A Is there water in here (Indicating)?

Q In that space, yes.

A In the shale? Well, I'm sure that there's water in the porous bases of the shale. But if you ask

me whether it's moving through there --

Q Do we have any evidence that there's water in that area that is coming up through the floor into the Blind Canyon Seam?

A I did not see any water coming up through the floor. All the water I saw coming into the mine through this area was coming through here (Indicating) or coming (Indicating) . . .

MR. CARTER: Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Briefly, I'll move on to the structure. As Peter talked about in his presentation, this area of the Wasatch Plateau has several in-echelon normal faults that are trending north and offsetting to the east. What I mean "offset in echelon pattern": they tend to start stacking to the east.

The permit area is bounded on the west by the Blind Canyon Fault and on the east by the Bear Canyon Fault. And we've made an attempt at a three-dimensional diagram here that --

MR. HANSEN: Can we mark this Exhibit C-8 for demonstrative purposes?

I assume you're going to want copies of all these, correct?

MR. APPEL: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Blind Canyon Fault is located

here on this diagram (Indicating). If this is -- if this is north (Indicating), the Blind Canyon Fault trends to the north. This is just the fault trace on the surface. There's another fault located parallel to it. Birch Springs is on the west side of both of these faults. Bear Canyon Fault -- the fault trace is located parallel to a portion of Bear Canyon, cuts across the canyon floor, and then comes up the other side of the mountain, but, of course, we couldn't quite illustrate that. The green area is very roughly where they've mined.

Q By that, do you mean in the Blind Canyon Seam?

A Yes, I'm sorry, the Blind Canyon Seam. This does not illustrate the Hiawatha or the Tank Seam.

In this area I'm going to have to mark on this map or on this diagram. As Peter stated, there's a system of joints and fractures that are not necessarily parallel to the faulting but are at an oblique angle to the faulting. And if I were to draw it on here, they run about 15 to 17 degrees east of north. So they're -- they'd be something like -- if I can do this -- in that angle (Indicating).

Now, there's a second set, a minor set of joints that run at about 60 degrees east of north. So if I were to try and draw that, they would be something in that orientation (Indicating), maybe a little less. I'll

mess it up if I do any more. But that pattern seems to be common throughout this area.

Now, the structure at the springs themselves -- Big Bear Spring is issuing from a joint within the Panther Sandstone. The joint trends basically 15 degrees east of north, so it's within this system of joints. There are joints all along this face of the Panther Sandstone that -- broad or wide intervals of the secondary joints that cut through the whole mountain.

Birch Springs is similar in that it's associated with a fracture and there's a minor amount of offset; it appears to be less than 10 feet. And it's -- there are also the secondary set of joints in that spring location.

Dr. Mayo has already discussed this fault where -- they encountered in the Blind Canyon Seam. I visited the same location. I can confirm that it was dry. I don't know that I can really say anything more about that.

I have observed fractures -- observed fractures within the mine -- actually, in both the Tank and the Blind Canyon Seam -- and there was no water coming through these fractures. And, in fact, the fractures had no evidence of water having moved through them except in the Blind Canyon Seam in that wet area.

Q Could you point out where that area would be on this Exhibit C-8?

A Sure. That would be up -- up in this area right here (Indicating), up on the north end of the mine workings.

Q Is that the same area where the channel would be?

A Yes. But not knowing the exact geometry of that channel, I didn't feel comfortable putting that in.

Q But the channel would cut approximately in an east-west direction?

A Across here (Indicating).

Q Towards the top of where the green area is shown on that exhibit?

A Yes.

And one thing I would like to say about both the stratigraphy and the structure in this area is, it's important to realize that when this area has undergone tectonic stresses -- folding and faulting, compressional, tensional forces -- the sandstones have reacted brittle; they'll break. The shales, the mudstones, the claystones act more plastically and they'll flex. They will fracture but not at the same rate that the sandstones will. Plus, when the shales and the claystones are exposed to water, they have a tendency to swell and

reheal themselves.

Q Is that just theory or has that been observation?

A That's been my observation, my observation at the outcrop, my observation from drill hole data that -- and drilling logs from the wells that Co-Op drilled on top of the mountain up here, plus my own experience in drilling in these same formations.

Other than that, I don't have much more to say about the geology.

MR. HANSEN: Gentlemen, do you have any questions? I'm sure you do.

MR. APPEL: Is this the end of his testimony?

THE WITNESS: Oh, well, let me back up for one minute. I did create a geologic map of the area following Doelling's presentation. This is the Bear Canyon Fault (Indicating), Blind Canyon (Indicating). And then the Pleasant Valley Fault is over here (Indicating), which is probably the major bounding fault for this area. The Bear -- Big Bear Springs is located in this area (Indicating), about a quarter of a mile from where Bear Canyon Creek crosses over the Panther Sandstone.

And Birch Springs would be -- this is easy; it's already marked -- would be in this area

(Indicating). Woops. No, it's not. Over here (Indicating). It wasn't so easy after that.

Can I have your eraser?

MR. HANSEN: May we mark that diagram, Exhibit C-9?

MR. APPEL: For demonstrative purposes?

MR. HANSEN: Certainly.

MR. CARTER: You are doing too many trials, Jeff.

MR. APPEL: If you think that, imagine how I feel.

MR. SMITH: Is that it?

THE WITNESS: I think that's it.

MR. APPEL: Do you have any other purposes this witness can serve?

MR. HANSEN: He's all yours, gentlemen.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. APPEL:

Q I don't want you to think I'm Dr. Mayo because I'm standing up on you.

(A discussion was held off the record.)

Q (BY MR. APPEL) You mentioned -- just trying to become more conversant with this cross section -- I appreciate your willingness to give us a copy of all these exhibits. You testified you only know the

thicknesses of these various sequences at the site of the drill hole?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q And you have a total of, I guess, four drill holes?

A Three which penetrated the full thickness of the Star Point Formation.

Q Okay. And the width between the two faults is how far?

A The width between the Blind Canyon and the Bear Canyon fault?

Q Yes.

A I can measure that.

Q You can tell me -- well, why don't you measure it; then we can have that.

A 3,250 feet, according to Dr. Mayo's work.

Q And how wide are each of these drill holes?

A How wide? What diameter of the drill holes?

Q The diameter of the drill holes.

A I would assume they're 4 inches in diameter.

Q Is there a map that shows where the drill holes are?

There we go.

A Yeah.

Q So referring to what is Exhibit 1 --

MR. CARTER: I think that's on the water users' Exhibit 5.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) It doesn't show four.

Let's put this one up, then (Indicating). This is referring to Exhibit 1. Where would 3 be, the abandoned drill hole?

Did you find that the precise thickness of each of these sequences -- does this reflect that you found a precise thickness of each sequence in each of these drill holes?

A With -- probably within a foot or two.

Q Okay. So you believe on that basis that it's continuous throughout this section moving through the mountain?

A Yes, based on the drill hole data and the outcrop that I observed in the area.

Q Okay. Now, don't the shale layers tend to vary in thickness within these --

A Yes, they will vary between zero and several hundred feet. But the dramatic change occurs in the east -- or west-to-east direction, not the north-to-south. The depositional strike of the Star Point Sandstone is from west to east. As you go to the west toward Joe's Valley, the sandstone members begin to intertongue more closely, the Mancos Shale begins to pinch out. As you go

east from that area, the Mancos Shale tongues tend to thicken. So if you're on a north-to-south trend, the thickness of the tongues does not vary dramatically. Does that make sense?

Q It does.

Is there a place where sandstone could rest on sandstone with no Mancos Shale?

A It's always possible, but it's unlikely, given the depositional environment for those sandstones.

Q But if it did, the Mancos Shale would not bear and provide a confinement layer the way you've interpreted it here?

A If there is no Mancos Shale tongue --

Q Uh-huh (Affirmative).

A -- there would be no confining layer?

Q Uh-huh (Affirmative).

A That would be true.

Q And this is all based upon a depositional sequence, so if there was a material providing a basis for the Mancos Shale to be deposited and it's deposited in thicker layers someplace and thinner layers in other places, it's because of nature?

A Yes.

Q Same with the sandstone?

A True.

MR. CARTER: I got a question. For instance, if this is an area characterized by channels -- or we found a channel?

THE WITNESS: Yes, but that's in the Blackhawk Formation, not -- the difference between the Blackhawk and the Spring Canyon is, the Blackhawk Formation is a littoral, brackish water --

MR. CARTER: -- back bay lagoon.

THE WITNESS: The Star Point Formation is a strandline beach, prodeltaic system, which tend to be more uniform because you're looking at transgressive/regressive sequences.

MR. CARTER: So it's unlikely that the channel formation we find in the Blind Canyon Seam would be present in the lower sand units?

THE WITNESS: In this area, yes.

MR. CARTER: Which could conceivably create a hydraulic connection through more -- I mean, in this area if that channel were deeper -- let's say if the scouring had gone all the way through the coal into sand below, it would be limited in aerial extent but there could be a connection?

THE WITNESS: It's possible, but I think that is yet to be seen on the Wasatch Plateau. There are no deep channels like that that we've observed.

MR. CARTER: Thank you.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) Do you believe the water moves between the various members of the Star Point Formation?

A Given enough time and -- that could occur, but you're talking permeabilities that are exceedingly low.

Q What if they are bound together by common joints and fractures?

A It's unlikely because of -- the common joints and fractures would seal themselves in the shale.

Q What if it's a very thin layer of shale between the two of --

A How thin is thin?

Q What if it's one inch thick?

A Then it's probably likely to go through. But in my opinion, there's no shale here that's only one inch thick.

Q So water entering the Star Point (Indicating) -- I'm sorry -- the Spring Canyon member -- will never converse with the Storrs member or the Panther Sandstone member. Is that what you're saying?

A Not never. That's pretty absolute.

Q Would it have someplace else it would rather go, "it" being the water?

A Yes.

Q Where would it rather go?

A The conductivity in these members is -- well, let me back up. It would go laterally, or horizontally, instead of vertically.

Q So it would move down this way (Indicating) in each of these sandstones and find the air here?

A Yes.

Q Have you seen any springs here?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q How large are they?

A They're not significant. I wouldn't -- I wouldn't guess a flow, but you're not talking 40 or 50 gallons a minute.

Q Have you seen them in the permit area?

A No. What I'm saying is, in the permit area there are springs, but these are insignificant flows.

Q Okay. More like seeps?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q Okay. Same situation --

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q -- with the Storrs member?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q The same situation from the Panther Sandstone member?

A With the exception of Birch and Big Bear.

Q Are there any other springs of the size of

149

Birch and Big Bear in this area above them?
A In that formation?
Q Uh-huh (Affirmative).
A Not that I'm aware of.
Q Any other formation?
A There are significant springs in the North Horn Formation.
Q Up here (Indicating)?
A Uh-huh (Affirmative).
Q Okay. And is it the Price River Formation that drives those?
A No, it would be the shale members within the North Horn Formation that drive them up.
Q And water, recharged water is going to land on the North Horn Formation here, and is it going to run off as surface water or is some of it going to move down?
A A small percentage of it may move down.
Q Okay. And that would cause some of the springs you mentioned here?
A Uh-huh (Affirmative). Yes.
Q Stand up, if you would, and pretend to be Dr. Mayo again. I'm sorry. I just can't resist.
A I wouldn't even pretend to do that.
Q Your hair's too short.
A It's almost gray enough.

150

Q Water falls here (Indicating). Show me where, based upon your geologic inquiry of this area, you think the water's going to be.
A Ninety percent of it's going to run off at the surface. Ten percent or less may come in here (Indicating), hit an impermeable unit, come out here (Indicating). It may never daylight to the surface. It may fall through the soils down to some point in here (Indicating). Say that happens to another 80 percent of the 10 percent. Now we're down to 2 percent of the water. And it may travel a little bit farther and come out here (Indicating). Then we got another smaller percent that eventually, over -- in geologic time we're talking, perhaps, not a significant amount of time, but in your time -- in our understanding of time, it would take thousands of years to get down to here (Indicating).
Q Okay. So what water is forming this potentiometric surface you've drawn here? Is that the groundwater table, in your estimation?
A No, it's not the groundwater table. It's the potentiometric surface.
Q Where would the groundwater table be? Higher?
A No. It depends on each location. You could have these perch zones where you would have a separate and distinct groundwater surface, but as far as the

151

groundwater table goes, it's -- you really cannot say the potentiometric surface is the groundwater table.
Q Okay. What do you mean by "potentiometric surface" when you draw it here?
A If I were to drill a hole -- well, such as this one -- into the Spring Canyon, this water will rise within that well to this point (Indicating).
Q So is there water moving through the Blackhawk Formation at all down?
A At an incredibly slow rate.
Q Where does the water come from that provides the source for Birch and Big Bear Spring?
A The only answer I can give to that is that it comes somewhere north of the permit area.
Q And here's your permit boundary here (Indicating)?
A Uh-huh (Affirmative).
Q Do you believe some of this water's moving down?
A No.
Q No?
A Not -- okay. Yes and no. A very small percentage, incredibly small percentage of it is moving down.
MR. CARTER: Let me ask a question because

152

we're only looking at one dimension here. That is, if you look at the geologic map -- and anyone correct me if I'm wrong here -- it is a general proposition rock units that are aquifers get most of their recharge -- or the best opportunity to recharge is where those units are exposed at the surface.
The classic would be the Navajo Sandstone, which is recharging way out to the east of us here but then plunges beneath the Wasatch Plateau. The surface out there is 7,000 feet, where Texaco has its injection well. And the -- that is not being recharged because it's overlain where Texaco has its well by Mancos Shale and a whole bunch of other stuff.
Most of the water, if not all of the water that's getting into that, just in terms of large percentages, is coming from where it's cropping out, where the snow lands there, melts, and gets into it.
So isn't it likely, or possible at least, that if it's having difficulty coming straight down through a bunch of very low permeability layers, that if you look at the geologic map, you can see that it crops out up the canyons, where the canyons are incised. And where it's -- and the best way that I've got: impermeable layer, permeable layer, impermeable layer.
Just relatively speaking, the best place to

get water into it is from the sides rather than from the top or the bottom, so the most effective source would be -- I mean, I don't -- this is not testimony, but I'm just remembering my geology. You got to put the water into the container where there's an opening.

MR. APPEL: Maybe I can ask a question and we'll follow up on that.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) You see an outcrop of any of these formations up-canyon?

A Yes.

Q Where?

A Tie Fork, which is -- it would be on this map (Indicating). This area up here (Indicating).

Q Which formations do you see?

A Well, it's -- see the Mancos Shale, Star Point, Blackhawk, Castleview, Price River. The whole sequence is essentially exposed in this area.

Q How far above stream level are they?

A Depends on where you are, because the canyon is not level. As you go up-canyon, you're crossing each one of these outcrops. For example, the Star Point is an outcropping up into this area (Indicating). The Blackhawk is exposed in the bottom of the canyon here (Indicating).

Q And that's outside of our graben area, isn't

it?

A No, it's actually within the graben, this being the boundary of fault (Indicating).

Q So you're saying in here (Indicating)?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative), though it isn't drawn on there.

Q And you think that's the recharge area for the Birch and Big Bear springs?

A No. I'm saying a potential recharge area, not necessarily for these springs but for this formation. It could recharge that formation.

Q Have you done a water budget?

A No, I have not.

Q Has anyone?

A Not that I'm aware of.

Q Okay. Is there a water budget in the PHC?

A Not that I'm aware.

Q Okay. Did you do any work on the existing PHC?

A No. I have only reviewed it.

Q Who did that work?

A John Garr and some other employees at EarthFax.

Q Who were the other employees?

A Rich White and --

Anybody else?

MR. WHITE: It was predominantly who it was.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) Do you know who did the field work?

A Field work as far as the in-mine drilling?

Q Well, and additionally, to determine whether or not there were the fractures and jointings occurred on the surface.

A For the PHC, John Garr would have done that work.

Q Does the PHC reference regional faulting and jointing?

A Yes.

Q Throughout the stratigraphic sequence?

A In the general sense, yeah.

Q Do you believe that's a correct conclusion?

A That --

Q -- there is regional faulting and jointing throughout this entire sequence.

A Oh, yeah.

Q You mentioned that you saw water coming from fractures in the north end of the mine, which was here (Indicating)?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q Where is that on this map, on Exhibit C-7?

A That would be approximately up in here (Indicating). Water is -- or the -- yeah, the water was coming out of the roof.

Q Okay. And that's from the sandstone channel, as you've referred to it?

A Yes.

Q How does the water get to the sandstone channel?

A I'm not sure that I know where it comes from.

Q Do you agree with Dr. Mayo's conclusion it is coming from the surface?

A Only in the fact that all water in the groundwater surface -- or groundwater system comes from the surface.

Q Do you think it could have come from the surface directly above?

A No.

Q So it is coming from unknown destinations or sources to the north too?

A It's -- in my opinion, it is coming from sources that would be north of the permit area.

Q What do you base that opinion on?

A As we discussed earlier, the extremely low permeability of the overlaying units.

Q Okay. Do you know if that sandstone channel

is continuous with other sandstone channels?
A No.
Q Okay. What's it connected to that would put so much water in it?
A A gallon and a half a minute? It could be exposed to the surface where a stream is currently running over it. It could be coming from another discontinuous sand. There's a potential circuitous route. I'm not sure what it would be.
Q So is it your testimony that the Blackhawk Formation is -- what's a good word to use? -- there's an impermeable barrier between the Blackhawk and the Star Point Formation?
A It is an impermeable barrier.
Q Is there any communication between those two that would allow water to move down?
A At a very incredibly slow rate.
Q What about if there were fractures?
A The fractures would have a tendency to heal themselves.
Q But what if you have a whole series of sandstone channels? Could those rest on the Star Point Formation?
A Yes, but you would -- it would be highly unlikely to have a series of sandstone channels that

would stack themselves the length of the Blackhawk Formation or the width -- the thickness, rather, of the Blackhawk Formation.
Q Doesn't Dr. Mayo's drawing of the sandstone channel show that it's discontinuous within two fault boundaries?
A He's only shown those as boundaries, not that the channel is only here at this location. He's saying that this is the location of the channel between these two faults.
Q Do you think it's on the other side of the faults?
A It's reasonable to assume that.
Q Okay. The same stratigraphic level?
A Same stratigraphic level but not the same structural level.
Q In any event, the mine is very wet up in here (Indicating)?
A There is water that is coming out of a channel and near the face, roof dripping.
MR. APPEL: That's all I have.
MR. SMITH: I just got a few questions.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. SMITH:
Q This is Exhibit C-7. Before I ask you about

this, let me ask you, What were you asked to do by Co-Op in connection with why you're here today?
A To review the geology and structure of the area, to determine what effect faulting would have on this area, do a little surface mapping, and generally present any information that I observed in the mine.
Q Did you gather new data or just reevaluate existing data?
A I did some surface work, reviewed existing data.
Q When you say "surface work" --
A Surface mapping.
Q Any other data gathering besides that?
A Other than adding in, helping collect in the isotopic samples, I wouldn't say -- yes, I would say "No" to that.
Q Okay. Now, Birch and Big Bear Spring, they both issue from the Panther Sandstone; is that correct?
A The base or near the base of the Panther.
Q Then I take it they both issue out of faults or fractures?
A Yes, on top of the Mancos Shale.
Q So that's a fracture in the Panther Sandstone; is that correct?
A Right. It's sealed -- if it actually

continued into the Mancos Shale, it's apparently sealed itself, so it kicks out at the contact of the two formations.
Q That's at the bottom, right? You're saying that the Mancos Shale is kind of a floor and that's where it comes out there; is that --
A Right.
Q Do I understand that?
Did you make any effort to try to follow the fractures from -- let's take Birch Spring, for example. Did you follow the fracture from Birch Spring?
A On the ground I was not able to, but with aerial photographs I tried to trace it.
Q Does it continue into the next layer, the shale layer above the Panther Sandstone?
A There are fractures within the overlying sandstone units that appear to be in the same vertical location, though between the sandstone members, the shale is not exposed -- not well exposed, so I couldn't say for certain that those were the same joints or fractures.
Q So you couldn't tell but thought there were joints that extended through the shale into the next sandstone layer?
A I guess what I'm saying is, there were joints in the sandstone that appear to be vertically in line

with the joints -- joints in the Storrs that are vertically in line with those joints that are in the Panther.

Q I see. So there were joints in the Storrs that are in line with the Panther Sandstone?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative), but I cannot say they were exactly the same joint.

Q Is the Mancos Shale -- is that exposed? Did you see that?

A In certain areas, yes. Not all at the springs.

Q Okay.

A I mean, there is Mancos Shale exposed at Birch Springs. There is some Mancos Shale and shale tongues exposed in the area of Big Bear.

Q Now, there aren't any major springs that issue out of the Storrs Sandstone?

A Not that are flowing 30, 40 gallons a minute.

Q And I take it the same's true out of the Spring Canyon Sandstone?

A In this area, no.

Q Any reason why the two major springs in this area both come out of the Panther Sandstone?

A It could be several areas: One, the Spring Canyon and the Storrs are less permeable than the Panther Sandstone. And that's from information that we got --

that EarthFax generated when they did their slug tests within these drill holes. They found the Panther is more permeable than the Storrs and Spring Canyon.

Q Okay. Any other thinking on that?

A Well, I think, going back to what Dr. Mayo said, at least for Big Bear Spring, we appear to have a direct communication between the creek and the spring itself through a system of fractures and joints.

Q But that's obviously not true of Birch Spring?

A Right. There is no communication from Big -- or Bear Canyon Creek and Birch.

Q And Birch is above Huntington Creek?

A (Witness moves head up and down.)

Q Where do you believe that the recharge is for Birch Spring?

A I can tell you that I do not know where it is, other than I do not believe that it's in the permit area.

Q Okay. And why don't you believe that?

A Because the vertical permeability is so much less than the lateral, horizontal, permeability of the formations.

Q So you think it's somewhere farther north, or whatever direction this is?

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q That doesn't mean the water can't be

intercepted in the permit area that would eventually end up at Birch Spring?

A It would have to be coming vertically through the mine area to reach that point.

Q Well, doesn't your map show that the water -- let's take the potentiometric surface -- moves, you know, vertically, there's a vertical aspect of the potentiometric surface as well as horizontal aspect?

A That's only a pressure head, a pressure representation. That's not a representation of the actual water surface.

Q Why don't you show me on this map where the mine's encountering water, because it is encountering water, correct?

A On the north end near the sandstone channel, which would be in this area right here (Indicating) -- well, let me back up, maybe make it a little bit --

Q That's very near where you show the potentiometric surface. Is that just by coincidence they happen to be together?

A I think unfortunately, yes, because that water comes out of the roof, not out of the floor.

Q Which way is the water moving? Dr. Mayo said the water was moving. Which direction is that water moving?

A It's a good question. It's moving out of the channel somewhere. And at this point it's moving into the mine. Prior to mining up against it, it was moving through there at a gallon and a half or less -- assumed gallon and a half or less to some location. And it may not have been daylighting. It may have been going into another formation.

Q Well, you just don't know. Is that your answer?

A I just don't know what?

Q You just don't know where the water was moving prior to the mining?

A That's probably -- I couldn't put my finger on where -- on a direction where it was moving. But I believe what he has calculated to be accurate: in the realm of a gallon and a half a minute, more or less.

Q And explain that so I understand what "gallon and a half" means.

MR. HANSEN: For clarification, the actual number was 1.2.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. That's just the throughput.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Throughput. Gallon and a half moving through what?

MR. CARTER: From one end of this channel segment to the other. Based upon the approximate amount

that had been discharged out of the channel and the age of the water in the channel and the curve, I think he was saying we have a vessel that holds about a billion gallons of water and it's moving through the vessel -- it's not static but it's moving at the rate of about 1.2 gallons a minute.

I mean, he was trying to match age, residence time, velocity, and volume to come up with a -- you know, when it comes in, how long does it take to move through and how fast is it going?

THE WITNESS: Right.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) Now, Dr. Mayo's figures were based on flow, groundwater discharge figures that are shown on Exhibit 4; is that correct?

MR. CARTER: Well, my understanding was, he was utilizing this exhibit as the basis for determining -- basically -- it's like a decline curve sort of analysis, trying to determine what the volume of the vessel was.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) So that's what he based his calculation on was those discharge figures; isn't that correct?

A Well, I'm not sure -- I don't feel comfortable answering that question because I -- it's not my testimony.

Q Let's go on to the next question. Can you explain to me why the numbers are different on this exhibit than they are on the PHC and the CHIA as far as the discharge?

A Well, the CHIA is a state document. The CHIA is supposed to be prepared by DOGM.

Q How about the PHC?

A I have no -- I have no opinion on that. That is something you could ask Charles.

Q I guess we'll have to ask him that question why we have different numbers.

A Are you sure that we do?

Q I'm happy to point them out. I've got the documents here.

MR. CARTER: What are they?

MR. SMITH: Well, they're as high as 300 --

MS. MATTSO: Can I ask a point of -- Liane Mattso, Forest Service. Is what you're thinking of is that discharge water what they're actually pumping out of the mine and what they're talking about is the water that's flowing in from the channel? Is that where we're getting caught up in semantics?

MR. SMITH: No, it really isn't. The difference is, we have two sets of numbers that, for whatever reason, Dr. Mayo relied on and what's been

reported in the PHC to the CHIA, and also in the CHIA, as to what the flows are encountered in the mine.

MR. CARTER: What flows do they report? As high as --

MR. SMITH: As high as 300 and nothing anywhere near 300. And that's a total. We're talking about total both used in the mine and discharged out of the mine.

MS. MATTSO: Well, my question -- what I'm trying to -- because I get confused listening to you guys. What -- I'm wondering if these guys are talking about discharge from this channel that's coming into the mine and what you're referring to is what is being discharged from the mine and being measured outside.

MR. SMITH: What I believe I'm referring to is just the total water that's measured -- used in the mine or discharged out of the mine. I thought, you know, Dr. Mayo's numbers were the same, but they seem to be different than what's in those documents. That's why I'm trying to --

MR. REYNOLDS: Maybe I can clarify this right now. These numbers are the numbers that have flowed from --

MR. HANSEN: "These numbers," meaning numbers in Exhibit C-4?

MR. REYNOLDS: Yes.

-- from the channel. The maximum number of 300 gallon a minute you're referring to -- in my testimony I did mention another point, SPC-10, that we mined into for a short period. We encountered about 250 gallon a minute, which immediately dried up.

MR. CARTER: Oh, that was the fault-related water?

MR. REYNOLDS: It was not -- it was over near a fault on the east side. It was also near this channel, but we did not encounter either -- we just ran into basically what appeared to be a perched aquifer that drained.

And the figures you're looking at are just -- in other words, the figures in the PHC represent average numbers. This 300 gallon a minute you're talking about represents at one point in time the maximum that has ever flowed from the whole mine together from all points in the mine.

MR. CARTER: So I understand, Exhibit C-4 is intended to show the water coming from the channel --

MR. REYNOLDS: That's correct.

MR. CARTER: -- and not supposed to show all the water coming out of the mine, just the channel?

MR. REYNOLDS: It is -- in fact, at this point

in time, it is -- 95 percent of what's coming into the mine is coming out of the channel right now, but --

MR. APPEL: And the rest comes from where? Roof drips?

MR. REYNOLDS: I mentioned we do have a small bit of water that has just started flowing out of the gob that we've begun monitoring.

MR. CARTER: That's on the east side of the mine?

MR. REYNOLDS: Yes. It's --

MR. HANSEN: Do you have any problem with this, just to clear things up?

MR. SMITH: No, I'm happy to let Charles clear things up.

MR. CARTER: While we're on it, I said two or three times the last few times that this was informal. It's gotten quite formal. And as long as the parties are comfortable with that, you can do that. But I think it's helpful to clarify the record as we get to these issues.

MR. HANSEN: I would certainly prefer clarity over formality.

MR. CARTER: Okay. Let's have both.

Go ahead. Sorry.

MR. REYNOLDS: Just within the last five, six months we've encountered some water. I think our last

measurement was around 18 gallon a minute, what we estimated that, flowing out of this gob.

MR. APPEL: Going back into the area you're mining now?

MR. REYNOLDS: Back in the mine.

MR. APPEL: Which indicates there's quite a bit of water in your gob?

MR. REYNOLDS: Which would indicate it's probably flowing through the entries. In other words, we did have about 20 gallon a minute that was flowing here at the time that we pulled pillars. And we suspect that water has just flowed through the workings and is now coming back into the mine.

MR. CARTER: It's made its way back to the mine.

THE WITNESS: So it's not crossing the faults.

MR. REYNOLDS: No, it doesn't appear to be crossing the faults.

MR. APPEL: Isn't the slope of the floor in those workings toward the east?

MR. REYNOLDS: It is.

MR. APPEL: So in order for that to be coming down and filling up here (Indicating), you have to fill up the levels down to the east, right?

MR. REYNOLDS: No, not necessarily. When we

mined this, we did have floor coal. And in pulling these pillars, the way we'd pull it is, after we'd make a -- we've got a pillar here. Mine the pillar. First thing you do is you split it in half; then you turn and make cuts into that pillar about like that (Indicating). And what happens is, they'd mine that; then they'd come back and mine out the floor.

THE WITNESS: Now, is this looking down or cross section?

MR. REYNOLDS: This is looking -- a plan view of the pillar.

And so what you do is, you create basically some bowls in the floor, small sumps that may fill up as the water moves. Depending on how much coal or where you filled up would determine the direction it's going.

And then also where we were mining against this in-mine fault and we did not penetrate that fault, that would also be a barrier for any water from moving to the east. It would hold it this way. We do also in-mine.

Although the general trend's that way, this map shows it a little better (Indicating). The actual contours of the floor are actually shown right here, and we do have quite a bit of variance right here. We have a low point; then we had a high point, which came through

here (Indicating). And it was actually downhill, then back uphill, then downhill right in the mine. So you have all kinds of variations like that that are going to influence the direction the water's going to flow.

This is the only place we've had water flowing out of the gob. We do have a --

MR. APPEL: Is it flowing through a pipe or is it just seeping?

MR. REYNOLDS: It's seeping --

MR. APPEL: So there's a head behind it?

MR. REYNOLDS: -- onto the floor of the mine.

MR. APPEL: Is there a head behind it?

MR. REYNOLDS: I would say no. As this area's kind of filled up, the water -- it's just an open flow along the floor.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You have not sealed that section, then?

MR. REYNOLDS: We've built -- sealed, but we have not finished sealing this off yet. We have been in the process of sealing, but we did get some water flowing back out of it that we have begun monitoring.

We do also -- I didn't mention it before -- we also monitor this portal that was sealed on the east side, which has always been dry. We've never encountered any water flowing out of that east side, which would

173

1 indicate that this is probably not filling up with water,
2 because if it was, you'd have water seeping out of that
3 area.
4 Hopefully that'll clarify.
5 MR. SMITH: Yeah, that's helpful. Thank you.
6 MR. REYNOLDS: Other than that flow, all the
7 flow we're encountering is coming out of here (Indicating).
8 MR. CARTER: Where did the other flow that was
9 250 gpm, then dropped off -- where was that coming from?
10 MR. REYNOLDS: That was coming out of this
11 section right here (Indicating).
12 MR. CARTER: That's the same place. So now --
13 MR. REYNOLDS: Yeah.
14 MR. CARTER: -- it's down to 20? You pulled
15 the pillars?
16 MR. REYNOLDS: Dropped down to about 22 when
17 we pulled pillars and discontinued that.
18 MR. CARTER: And now you've got 18 coming out
19 of the gob?
20 MR. REYNOLDS: It appears to be about 18. It
21 varies somewhat, but . . .
22 Q (BY MR. SMITH) The three wells -- and this is
23 the fourth well that was drilled?
24 A Uh-huh (Affirmative).
25 Q Why has that not been drilled all the way

174

1 through?
2 A I really do not know the answer to that.
3 MR. WHITE: I can testify to that later.
4 Q (BY MR. SMITH) Who decided about the number
5 and placement of wells to do these tests?
6 A That would be something I think Mr. White
7 could probably discuss.
8 Q And your answer would be you don't know?
9 A No.
10 Q I'm not trying to get you to answer questions
11 for other people. All you have to say is, "I don't
12 know," and then we'll move on to something else.
13 A I just didn't want you to think nobody was
14 going to answer it.
15 I think it's important to note, too, that
16 there are three separate and distinct potentiometric
17 heads in the Star Point Formation. If we were
18 communicating between those three sandstones, they would
19 have the same head and not different heads.
20 Q Were these sandstones about the same thickness?
21 A They varied but they're close -- within 10,
22 20, 30 feet.
23 Q How about the shale?
24 A Are they the same thicknesses?
25 Q Uh-huh (Affirmative).

175

1 A Not exactly.
2 Q For example, was the same thickness found at
3 DH-3 as DH-1 of the member of the shale or was it found
4 to be different thicknesses at each point?
5 A Within -- within tens of feet. I would say
6 plus or minus 20 feet.
7 Q And how thick are these, generally, these
8 Mancos Shale tongues?
9 A At this location?
10 Q At this location.
11 A At the moment, I cannot recall, but we can
12 measure them. Fifty to eighty feet thick. But that's
13 not an exact number.
14 Q Have you spent any time on the issue of the
15 pump, when water was pumped? You've heard earlier
16 testimony today about when the water was pumped into the
17 worked-out areas of the mine. Have you spent any time on
18 that issue?
19 A Not to date, or not really at all.
20 Q So that's not something you're prepared to
21 offer any testimony about?
22 A Huh-uh (Negative).
23 Q Do you know whether the fault that Birch
24 Spring water comes out of is connected with either of
25 these major faults that are shown on this Exhibit 8 --

176

1 A All I know.
2 Q -- the Blind Canyon Fault?
3 A I'm sorry.
4 Q I'm trying to make sure we're getting a good
5 record.
6 The Blind Canyon Fault.
7 A All I know is that the fracture the Birch
8 Springs issues from in that area is parallel to those
9 faults. I don't know what it does farther to the north a
10 great distance.
11 Q I see. So you don't know if it connects to
12 the Blind Canyon Fault or not?
13 A Not -- I -- I do not know that.
14 Q Okay. How about these two faults that you
15 show as the -- one of them's Blind Canyon Fault and the
16 other one's a fault that basically parallels that? Are
17 they connected by fracturing or faults that go back and
18 forth between those?
19 A I would assume that there are fractures that
20 exist in the rock between those two faults, as there are
21 between the Bear Canyon and the Blind Canyon Fault.
22 Q And I take it the Bear Canyon Fault, where the
23 Bear Canyon Spring is, do you know -- how close does that
24 fault come around, or that fault is not close at all?
25 A It's at least a quarter of a mile away.

Q Now, going back to this exhibit, when you say there are three different potentiometric surfaces, does that mean you found water at three different places, or tell me -- because I have to admit I'm a little bit confused when you say "potentiometric surface" doesn't mean you find water there.

A Right. If I'm drilling through this -- if I'm drilling this hole -- this is going to be difficult -- if I'm drilling this hole (Indicating) and I'm in this shale (Indicating), I am not hitting this water (Indicating). Does that make -- I am not going to encounter this water (Indicating) until I move in the Storrs member.

MR. CARTER: I know this is a great oversimplification, but is it fair to say there are something like three different pressures --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. CARTER: -- I mean, in terms of millimeters of mercury above sea level?

THE WITNESS: Yes, psi.

MR. HANSEN: I think to explain this as a layman, if that would help -- I didn't understand that; now I do, if you want me to give it a shot. If you don't, I won't, but I think I could clarify it in laymen's terms.

MR. SMITH: Why don't you go ahead.

MR. HANSEN: I'm just offering to --

MR. CARTER: This is informal. I like this. Good. Good.

MR. HANSEN: Our experts can correct me if I'm wrong. Picture this, that we have a tank of water sitting here --

MR. CARTER: This will be a --

MR. HANSEN: -- and it's buried in the sand, okay? So this is all sand out in here (Indicating). And suppose we have a pipe that comes out of this tank that comes this way (Indicating) and is sealed off, okay?

Now, if we were to take and drill a well here, you drill a well down to here, you find nothing; you drill the well on down to here, it's still dry; you drill the well and tap onto this pipe and the water's going to rise to this level (Indicating) -- that's the potentiometric surface. There's no water anywhere in here. Is that accurate? Does that explain it?

MR. SMITH: It's what I understood, so I guess I'm as smart a layman as you are.

MR. HANSEN: That's the difference between the water table and the potentiometric surface.

Is that -- Erik? Yes.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) So that leads me to my next question: Where is the water table in this area?

A Well, the water table describes that area where it's unconfined, which means where there's no potentiometric surface, there are potential -- pressure potential. At this point, this is the groundwater surface for the Panther Sandstone member, from here on out (Indicating). From here, this is the potentiometric surface (Indicating). This was all saturated (Indicating); this is not (Indicating).

Q Now, why are the lines -- I mean, you say the line goes from the Panther potentiometric surface, goes up, you take it into the Storrs, then you stop it?

A Well --

Q Why doesn't it just continue on up into the Blackhawk Formation? I mean, that's where the recharge has got to come through.

A No, it doesn't. As I think I've testified several times, this is not a groundwater service. The water is in this formation; it's not up here (Indicating). This line does not represent the water surface, an actual water table surface (Indicating).

Q I understand that.

MR. CARTER: It's the pressure surface.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) I understand that's where the pressure is. I guess what I'm trying to say is -- why do you take it through the one and then stop it here? is

what I'm trying to say.

A Well, we have this point (Indicating) that we measured, the potentiometric surface --

Q Okay.

A -- in this well, but we have no --

Q Oh, you just don't have the information for this? Is that what you're saying?

A Well, the end of the mine is here (Indicating).

Q But this well could have drilled more, then continued this?

A Oh, yeah, but there's no reason to believe at this point that it's going to suddenly pop up.

Q Okay. Now, the Panther Sandstone is just -- how much did you say? -- about 100 feet thick? Is that how thick that is?

A Well, let's pick a hole here and maybe I can tell you.

Q Why don't we talk about how thick that is.

A It's about 100 feet.

Q Okay. And --

MR. HANSEN: Just for clarification for the record, where are we getting this information?

THE WITNESS: This is coming out of the hydrogeologic evaluation portion of the PHC.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) All right. So if it's that

thick -- and where does its recharge come from?
A Somewhere other than the permit area.
Q But you don't know where?
A I could not put my finger on it, no. The reason I say that is because, again, the vertical permeability in this area is so low.
Q And even if it were out on the surface somewhere -- do you know where the Panther Sandstone is on the surface?
A All along the face of Huntington Canyon.
Q But that's a vertical there. It can't get much water through that, can it?
A Where streams and creeks cross it, yes.
Q And where is that?
A Anywhere you see a stream and creek crossing the Panther Sandstone on that map. That's a -- but that's not to say that's exactly where it's coming from.
Q Well, in Birch Spring we have --
A -- 1,500.
Q -- 1,500 years old --
A Whatever it is.
Q I guess we'd just have to leave it: You don't know whether it's recharged through the Panther Sandstone?
A I cannot say that without some significant

studies being performed.
Q And do you know where the water that's being pumped out of the mine -- where it would go without the mining?
A If the mine was not there?
Q The mine was not there.
A Really couldn't say.
Q Really couldn't say.
MR. SMITH: Thanks. That's all I have.
MR. CARTER: Okay. Jeff has one more.
MR. APPEL: Two.
MR. CARTER: He has more, too, or is this for us?
MR. HESS: They've made the statement several times that water is transmitted horizontally many times greater than vertically. Can't we use a slope gradient and start at the point where the water's coming out and draw -- use that slope gradient to establish where that surface precipitation is penetrating through the ground?
MR. CARTER: This probably is a graph exercise, but the -- I mean, you could say, if it's an order of magnitude, then 10 feet vertically is 100 feet horizontally and it's two orders, it's 10 and 1,000.
MR. HESS: That's going to give us an approximation.

MR. CARTER: Except it wouldn't follow a linear path, right? I mean, these guys are the experts.
THE WITNESS: That would work if it was a homogeneous situation.
MR. CARTER: Right. Thanks.
FURTHER EXAMINATION
BY MR. APPEL:
Q The wells that you drilled, including SDH-1 -- which of these wells attempted to determine if there was water in the Blackhawk Formation?
A I would -- since I was not there when they drilled it, I would assume that they were looking for water when they started in the Blackhawk Formation. I guess -- what I'm saying is, as soon as they spud the hole, I'm sure they were looking for water. And they spud within the lower Blackhawk Formation.
Q But did they do any tests the way they did in these other sequences?
A Not that I'm aware of. Permeability or slug test?
Q Yes.
A There was no water there, so they couldn't.
Q Obviously, they didn't run into a sandstone channel?
A Now, they could have. It just didn't have any

water.
Q Okay. Now, you mentioned -- let me just back up -- that studies will be necessary to determine the recharge area. If you were to design studies to determine what the recharge area was that Mr. Smith was discussing, what would you do?
A I would probably ask a hydrogeologist to do it.
Q What, based upon your knowledge, would you suggest?
A I'm not sure I'd feel comfortable answering that, simply because I'm not here as an expert on hydrogeology but more as geologist.
Q What are you here as an expert for?
MR. HANSEN: I think that's been his entire area of testimony.
Q (BY MR. APPEL) What would you call yourself an expert in?
A Probably the stratigraphy and structural geologic interpretations of this area.
Q Okay. In any event, in order to determine where the water actually comes from, you need additional studies, in your estimation?
A If that was important, yes. I think for this hearing what's important is whether or not the mine is in the recharge area.

Q In any event, that information is not in the PHC?

A I think it is. I think that the statement that the mine is not within the recharge area is in the PHC.

Q I'm referring to the studies you alluded to --

A No.

Q -- in response to Mr. Smith's question.

A No, there are no additional studies we haven't already discussed.

MR. APPEL: Okay. Thanks.

MR. CARTER: I would -- to take this back to what I think the statutory obligations of the Division are -- well, that's okay. I think the very narrow question really is much more prosaic, and that is, Are these mining operations causing diminution, interference or -- the other word --

MR. HANSEN: Contamination.

MR. CARTER: -- contamination of a water source or source of supply? And whether it's in or out of the recharge area is not particularly material to me, it seems. I mean, convince me if I'm wrong. The question is, Is this operation interfering with the --

MR. APPEL: It's intercepting the water.

MR. CARTER: And then the other question,

which is, Did the Division have enough information in front of it to do it in the first place?

MR. APPEL: You just realistically answered that question.

MR. CARTER: But because there have been -- and I remember Craig saying either last time or the time before -- well, I think it was the opening argument -- saying if there was any connection, then the Division must require Co-Op to do something. I'm ready to be convinced that's true, but I don't think it's true. I think what we're looking for here is, Is the mining activity interfering with the water users' source?

And one of the things I said earlier in the day: Is a diminution of a gallon a minute interference, diminution -- I mean, does that fall -- do we need -- well, I'm repeating myself.

MR. SMITH: Let me explain why I think the recharge is important, and I think that might be helpful. Our theory is that the water that's being intercepted by Co-Op is part of the recharge water that's recharging those springs.

MR. CARTER: And they're intercepting water that would have made its way to the spring?

MR. SMITH: Water that would have made its way to the spring or --

MR. CARTER: I mean, the shorthand version is --

MR. APPEL: That supports the recharge.

MR. SMITH: You have a big bathtub of water and the --

MR. CARTER: Either it's the exact water or it's an interference with a flow system that's letting you get your water.

MR. APPEL: It's a disruption in the historic flow patterns that would have otherwise recharged this spring.

MR. HANSEN: And I think our theory on the recharge might be easier on the blackboard. Suppose the recharge area is here (Indicating). The water that gets to Co-Op Mine comes down this way (Indicating). The water that gets to the springs comes down this way (Indicating). Even if there was a common recharge area, the water that's coming this way (Indicating) would never go over there, and so it wouldn't matter.

MR. CARTER: I wanted to make sure I understood the arguments, that there was not an argument being made that just because they're in the same hydrologic system or area that they -- well, never mind. I think I understand it.

MR. HANSEN: Our point is that at a certain point, the water that is going this way (Indicating) has

no hydrologic connection with the water that's going this way (Indicating).

MR. CARTER: The thornier question is, If there is some hydrologic connection, what is the quantum of that connection or the quantum of the effect of the connection that triggers a need to require something further of the operator? I mean, I think that may be a legal question you just need to brief.

MR. APPEL: And that's part of it.

MR. HANSEN: I think the determining --

MR. APPEL: I'm going to wait on this.

MR. CARTER: Yeah, we're getting --

MR. HANSEN: I understand we'll probably all submit a memorandum when this is concluded, is my understanding.

MR. CARTER: I was going to ask if you wanted to do that, but --

MR. HANSEN: My understanding of the basic -- is the Division regulation -- is the mining operation designed to prevent material damage to the hydrologic balance outside the permit area? I think that's the question.

MR. CARTER: That's one. And with the new law, we have a -- well, never mind. That's what brought us here.

MR. SMITH: I have just a couple of follow-up questions for Mr. Hansen.
MR. HANSEN: For me?
MR. SMITH: No.
MR. HANSEN: Oh, that other Mr. Hansen.
MR. CARTER: This is based on your theory of potentiometrics.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMITH:

Q The question I have is, Did you do any research or any investigation, I should say, into what the cause was for the diminution of Birch Spring and -- let's start with Birch Spring. With Birch Spring.

A Yes, and I think that Mr. White will probably testify to that.

Q Okay. So do you have an opinion on this or does he have the opinion on that?

A Well, yes, I have an opinion, but for what it's worth, I guess. My opinion is, it's precipitation-related.

Q Precipitation-related? Is that the same for Big Bear Spring?

A Yes.

Q And what kind of investigation did you do?

A We compared precipitation flow rates --

precipitation amounts and flow rates.
MR. SMITH: Okay. That's all I have.
MR. NIELSEN: I had a couple of questions too.
MR. CARTER: Sure.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. NIELSEN:

Q I've been listening to this, and you said that vertical fracturing is insignificant in the Blackhawk --

A No.

Q -- only in the sandstone units?

A No. No.

Q What did you say, then?

A I said there is fracturing.

Q Okay. There's fracturing but there's not an important hydrologic connection vertically? Vertically, I mean.

A Let's back up and take this as a whole. From the top of the mountain to the Mancos Shale, the area may be fractured and your sandstones may be -- permeability may be enhanced in those because there's no mechanism for those to reseal within themselves. But the shales, claystones, mudstones, etc., seal themselves.

Q Right. The problem I'm having here: You say that but then you come down and tell me that the Panther Sandstone is significantly fractured, that it's

recharging from Bear Creek Spring, or the stream, through more Mancos Shale than the thickness between -- if you look at the map --

A Where is the Mancos Shale?

Q Mancos Shale's on the side of the canyon -- in the middle of the canyon. It's cutting through a couple hundred feet of Mancos Shale to recharge the Panther, or it's got to be several miles above.
THE WITNESS: No.
MR. CARTER: I understood --

Q (BY MR. NIELSEN) The fracturing is significant in the Panther, the recharge just was not above. And I'm having a problem --

A You're talking about a location where the Panther Sandstone is exposed at the surface.

Q Well, sure. That's a mile upward.

A No, it's a quarter of a mile.
MR. HANSEN: Are we talking about just the Big Bear Spring? because the mechanisms for the two springs are significantly different.

Q (BY MR. NEILSEN) Just the Big Bear. So I was having a problem here with this fracturing thing. It's significant for the Panther but not for anything else?

A No, it's significant for any sandstone.

Q That's our point.

A The fracturing within the shales you're trying to communicate water through aren't there. They may be fractured but they'll heal. The water running across the surface of the Panther Sandstone at the creek may be entering those joints and fractures because nothing's sealing them there. But as soon as you put some Mancos Shale on top of them, you're sealing it off.

Q Okay. The other question I have is, If you review the permits of all the other mines, plus you review all of the USGS reports and all these investigations of hydrology, they consider that Spring Canyon Sandstone and the lower Blackhawk essentially to be a water table aquifer, continuous.

A Yes.

Q How come it's different here than --

A On a regional scale --

Q When I worked at the Plateau Mine, we built the --

A Let me answer your first question.
MR. APPEL: The reporter will never get this. One person ask a question.
MR. HANSEN: Is Mr. Nielsen testifying or is he asking a question?
MR. CARTER: Well --
MR. NIELSEN: It changes all the time.

MR. CARTER: -- whatever it is, one at a time.
THE WITNESS: Let me answer your first question. For the region, they're calling this a regional aquifer, and that -- on that scale, you cannot see the difference between this potentiometric surface and this potentiometric surface (Indicating), when you're looking at something that's 100 miles wide and 200 miles long. And honestly, I have a hard time calling it the regional groundwater surface.
MR. NIELSEN: Okay. We're done.
MR. CARTER: This'd be an opportune time for a break --
MR. HANSEN: I have just a couple of questions.
MR. CARTER: -- as soon as we're through.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANSEN:

Q Chris, we were talking about the potentiometric surface in the Storrs Sandstone member and the Spring Canyon Sandstone member.

A I'm sorry. Run that by me again.

Q You talked about there being two different potentiometric surfaces, different surfaces in the Storrs member and the Spring Canyon member?

A They have a different potentiometric surface, at least in the mine area.

Q Is there water flowing within those two sandstone members?

A There -- is there water flowing through the members?

Q First, is there water in those members?

A Yes.

Q Is the water in those members moving?

A At some -- some rate, yes.

Q Do you have an opinion as to where that water moves to, ultimately?

A To the outcrop in this area.

Q And so where does that water come out?

A Along the face of the contact between the Mancos tongue and the individual sandstone members.

Q And what happens to that water when it reaches those faces?

A It can evapotranspirate. It can not even come to the surface but can run down through the soils, vegetation.

Q Is surface evaporation and soil absorption sufficient mechanisms to account for all the water that would be in those members?

A I haven't done a water budget, so I'm not sure I can answer that.

Q It would be consistent with your observation

that it would be, though?

A From what I know, yeah.

MR. HANSEN: That's it.
MR. CARTER: I have one last question: What's the flow of Big Bear Spring today, roughly?
MR. LEEMASTER: About 140 gallons a minute.
MR. CARTER: I thought it was in the same order of magnitude as the 120-gallon-a-minute flow that we're seeing from the channel, so okay.
MR. HANSEN: When was the last time that measurement was actually taken?
MR. LEEMASTER: Today, but I don't know the results today. But we take them on the 15th of the month and the last day of the month.
MR. HANSEN: You're saying on February 15th it was -- do you remember what the exact number was?
MR. LEEMASTER: No.
MR. HANSEN: You don't know if it was higher than or lower than 140?
MR. LEEMASTER: It's been around 140 the last couple of months. I could find those if we needed them.
MR. HANSEN: For the record, that was Darrel Leemaster's statement.
MR. CARTER: All right. Thank you. Let's take a break, and so we'll be off the record.

(A short recess was taken.)
MR. HANSEN: Next witness is Rich White.

RICHARD WHITE,

called as a witness for and on behalf of Co-Op Mining Company, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANSEN:

Q Tell us what you know.

A I'd like to just be able to say "What they said" and call it quits, but I don't think that would satisfy everybody's curiosity.

I want to give you a little bit of background for those who are not acquainted with my background. My name is Richard White. I'm the president of EarthFax Engineering, have been with EarthFax since its founding. Prior to that, worked for a couple of other consulting firms in the Salt Lake City area. My experience has been largely with mining operations, conducting hydrologic assessments and evaluating the hydrologic impacts of mining operations.

I have a bachelor of science degree in watershed science from Utah State University, received that in 1976, and a master of science degree in civil and environmental engineering from Utah State in 1977. I've been involved with Co-Op Mining since -- I believe it was

1990, '91, in that time frame that we first began working with Co-Op.

The work that we've been talking about here, we were asked to assist Co-Op in the preparation of a hydrologic -- hydrogeologic evaluation and to prepare a Probable Hydrologic Consequences report in support of their permit to mine. The drill holes that have been discussed at the mining operation were part of that responsibility on this project.

I was the principal in charge of the project. The fellow who has been mentioned, John Garr, was the project manager. John is no longer with us. John was a geologist and he was responsible for the field implementation of the project. I was responsible for reviewing the data, providing general oversight of the project.

The locations of the drill holes, holes DH-1 through DH-3, those were recommended initially by us to Co-Op. Co-Op, then, agreed with those locations. We wanted to make sure we were going to be in areas that were going to be reasonably accessible for a period of time. The drilling and testing program was developed jointly by Mr. Garr and myself. As I indicated, Mr. Garr was responsible for the field implementation of that program.

Those holes were drilled, as has been testified, latter part of 1991, first part of 1992. During the drilling of those holes, we paused at various intervals to insert what are called packers into the hole where you seal off the hole within a zone in the hole and then we would allow the water levels to stabilize. We'd measure periodic water levels in that packed-off zone to assess that the water levels had stabilized and then would conduct further drilling in each of those zones. We also ran a series of tests to determine the hydraulic conductivity of the various units which were encountered.

The drill holes were then completed as a monitoring well in the Spring Canyon tongue. The regulations require that the predominance of the efforts in the hydrologic sense for groundwater be directed toward the aquifer which immediately underlies the coal seam. From our drilling program, we determined that that was the Spring Canyon tongue, that there were deeper aquifers in the Storrs and the Panther tongue. But each of these wells were completed in the Spring Canyon tongue since that was the aquifer that immediately underlined -- underlaid the coal seam that was being mined.

In 1994, as I recall, or the latter part of '93, pillars were pulled in the area where DH-3 was drilled, which meant that that hole was no longer

available for monitoring, and so at that point we assisted in the installation of DH-4. And having already gathered the data on the sandstone tongues beneath the coal seam from DH-1 through DH-3, we felt it was adequate to fully penetrate the Spring Canyon tongue with DH-4 but did not see the necessity of drilling that hole deeper.

In a -- somewhat in the same time frame -- a bit later than that, as I recall -- Co-Op was involved in the drilling of some surface drill holes that are shown on the cross section of Exhibit C-7, SDH-1 and SDH-2. Those holes were also drilled down into the Spring Canyon tongue. Co-Op was predominantly responsible themselves for the installation of those holes. We have evaluated the data that have come from those holes, but we were not directly involved in the field effort into the installation of those surface drill holes.

As we have evaluated the data, it has been our conclusion that based on the drill hole data, as has been stated, I think, by several people in this hearing, that groundwater in the Star Point Sandstone tongues flows generally from the north toward the south. We saw similar pressure gradients in each of the tongues. Each of the tongues of the Star Point exhibited that north-to-south flow with generally the same type of hydraulic gradient.

The -- each of the tongues of the Star Point, as you advance to the north, were under pressure. I think -- I'm hopeful that the concept of potentiometric surface versus water table has been beat to death. But basically, as we went to the north, we found that there was a sufficient pressure that once you hit the tongue, water level rose in the bore hole to an elevation higher than the top of the tongue. And that confinement, based on the data that have been collected within the permit area and to the north in the adjacent areas as exhibited by the surface drill holes had confinement seems to be pretty much consistent toward the north.

There is an area where each of the tongues of the Star Point are under water table conditions, if you will, where the water level did not rise above the top of the tongue. That's generally the southern portion of the permit area, essentially that area from about DH-1 to the south. DH-1 itself is pretty close to that contact where things go unconfined toward the south. But generally, toward the north, it's under confined conditions.

As far as the -- where the recharge for these various tongues comes from, based on the water level data, we know that the flow is from north to south; therefore, it would appear that there's a reasonably significant component of the recharge that is northward.

1 Whether that's northeast, northwest, or somewhere in
2 between, I don't know. Within the permit area, it's
3 pretty much a straight north-to-south flow. As you get
4 north of the permit area, where we have less data,
5 there's always the potential that there may be some east
6 or west component to that, but I think we can say that
7 generally the recharge areas lie to the north.
8 In the work that we did as we reviewed the
9 regulations and attempted to comply with the regulations,
10 it is our opinion that the hydrogeologic evaluation,
11 particularly the Probable Hydrogeologic Consequences
12 report that was prepared back in 1993 was adequate to
13 satisfy the regulations which were in force at that time,
14 that those regulations require that there be a discussion
15 of recharge and discharge areas, and we have presented
16 that discussion.
17 It is not my opinion that the regulations
18 require that you pinpoint every recharge area. Rather,
19 the regulations require that you discuss recharge
20 conditions. You discuss what that mechanism is. The PHC
21 does discuss that, indicates that recharge occurs
22 northward, outside of the permit area. And it's far
23 beyond the requirements of the regulation to require a
24 mining operator to continue to pursue that groundwater
25 information until you have assured yourself of reaching

1 that recharge area.
2 There are, admittedly, things that can be done
3 to pinpoint recharge areas. We've seen, I believe -- Mr.
4 Mayo -- Dr. Mayo indicated that the ages of the water in
5 the channel that were encountered near the northern
6 portion of the workings -- that those ages generally
7 tended to be about 1,500 years. We could do some tracer
8 studies, wait for 1,500 years only to find out that we
9 put our tracer in the wrong spot, go to another spot,
10 wait 1,500 years. I think all of us realize that that's
11 a little bit beyond the requirements of the regulations.
12 What the regulations, again, require is that
13 we identify what that general pattern is and that we more
14 specifically look at the potential impacts that mining
15 will have on the hydrologic system.
16 At the time that the PHC was prepared back in
17 1983 -- or 1993 -- excuse me -- the area of the channel
18 that has been discussed had already been encountered.
19 There was at least a reasonably significant amount of
20 water that was flowing into the mine through the roof
21 drippers.
22 The copy of the hydrogeologic evaluation that
23 I've got which served as the basis for the PHC is dated
24 April 26th of 1993. They hit the channel on April 27th,
25 and so the actual visualization and encountering of that

1 channel was shortly after the PHC was prepared. However,
2 for a period of about three years, they had been in a
3 situation where there were increased flows.
4 Those increased flows to the mine were
5 discussed and we knew where those were coming in from.
6 And as various updates to that evaluation had been made
7 -- for instance, with the installation of DH-4 and
8 various other bits of data have been collected -- that
9 evaluation has been revised as necessary at appropriate
10 times in order to satisfy various Division requests.
11 We looked at -- we've looked at a variety of
12 -- at the groundwater condition within the Bear Canyon
13 area from a variety of different angles. Dr. Mayo has
14 talked about a few of those that he looked at from his
15 perspective. We also with EarthFax collected a limited
16 number of tritium samples prior to the preparation of the
17 hydrogeologic evaluation and the PHC.
18 Although we don't purport to have the same
19 expertise in radioisotope dating that Dr. Mayo does by
20 any means, we came to the same general conclusion that
21 water issuing from Big Bear Spring is quite young, water
22 issuing from Birch Spring is quite old relative to the
23 water issuing from Big Bear. We did not have the
24 capability of putting dates on it as Dr. Mayo has done
25 since.

1 We also looked at -- looked at the flow data
2 at Big Bear Spring. The -- there's -- there's a
3 reasonable concern, I think, that the water users have
4 expressed with regard to Big Bear Spring in particular
5 because our data do indicate from the drill hole data
6 that the flow is from the north to the south and Big Bear
7 Spring is directly south of the mining operations. And
8 that's a reasonable concern to express.
9 There has been also a reduction in flow in Big
10 Bear Spring over the last several years. And if I could
11 -- not wanting to confuse myself with Dr. Mayo by
12 standing up, but if I could, I'd like to stand up. This
13 is a graph of the flow of Big Bear Spring for the period
14 of record that's available (Indicating).
15 Basically, everything from the general time
16 frame of late '81, early '82 -- that's data from the
17 water users association that they periodically provide to
18 Co-Op. Prior to that point is some information that we
19 obtained from -- actually, I guess it was probably about
20 1980 that was in here (Indicating) that the water users
21 began gathering their periodic flow data.
22 The data that are present the -- back here
23 (Indicating) for the time frame of 1978-1979 come from a
24 report that the USGS prepared as they were looking at
25 hydrologic conditions in the Huntington Creek area. And

they collected data from several springs in the general watershed of Huntington Creek and the surrounding areas. And that's a year or two earlier than where the water users had begun collecting their frequent data. So we wanted to augment the database.

They've correctly indicated in the past that since during the time frame that they've been collecting data that there's been a general decline in the flow of Big Bear Spring, that we do have time frames in the early '80s where it was not unusual to see peaks in the springtime that got up to 300 gallons a minute or even higher than that. Those naturally, of course, died off in the fall and you saw a -- typical annual variations in the flow of the spring that are not unusual for springs in this region.

Then they noted that beginning about in 1987 or so that there was a general decline in the flow from Big Bear Spring. And that caused some concerns because of Co-Op's advancement toward the north and their location directly south of the mining operations.

MR. HANSEN: Can we mark this as Exhibit C-10?

THE WITNESS: That works for me.

As you look at the historic database and include the information that were collected by -- the information that was collected by the GS, it's apparent

that significantly lower flows in Big Bear Spring have been measured prior to the beginning of mining activities. Mining began in 1982 in the area, and so for a period of three or four years before that, for a period of about one year, the geological survey collected data approximately every month from Big Bear Spring. And it was after that time frame that the water users began collecting their, I think, bimonthly data from the spring.

This -- these two horizontal lines (Indicating) running through the graph represent the high and the low of what was measured by the geological survey back in 1978 and 1979. And so you can see that at least for the first four or five years that the water users were collecting data they were in a period of high flow. And it was quite a bit higher than -- than what had been measured in the spring a few years prior to them collecting flow data and a few years prior to the mining by Co-Op. The latter data are, with a couple of exceptions, pretty much within the range of the historic flow data if you look at the 1978-through-1979 data.

This indicated to us that there is some historical record that indicates that flows in Big Bear Spring have been measured at the low rates that -- relatively low rates that they're seeing now.

Typical flow rates generally in the time frame that the water users association started to gather frequent data -- you typically had flow rates that were around 200 gallons a minute. Those flow rates dropped down to 120 or so gallons a minute. They're up to 140 gallons a minute now.

The range of data that were collected by the U.S. Geological Survey varied from a low of 110 gallons a minute in April and May of 1978 up to a high of 165 gallons a minute in October of 1978. So this is, again, three and a half or four years before Co-Op began their mining activities.

I think it's obvious from the historical data that there's at least a historical precedent that flows in the range of what they're seeing now have historically been there, that the mere presence of low flows, lower than what they had in the mid-1980s, should not indicate that it's purely an impact from mining activities but that -- that historically they've seen -- those types of flows have been measured at Big Bear Spring.

The -- as we evaluated the data, the blue line here (Indicating) is the spring flow data. The red line is the precipitation data. And it's obvious from just looking at the general trends that we had much higher precipitation in the early to mid '80s than we've had

really even since the early to mid '80s. It's been a good ten years since we had the types of precipitation in this general region that we had back in that earlier time frame.

The fact that we have very young water in Big Bear Spring would suggest that there's a reasonably close type of recharge area to that spring. That's not to say that that's the only place that it's going to be recharged. As I think Dr. Mayo pointed out and Mr. Nielsen discussed, the -- any time you try to do age dating, you're really dating the average of all the waters that mixed, and so there's a reasonable chance that some of this water is quite old. But in total, it appears that most of the water is fairly young.

You couple that with the type of response that we see in comparing the flow and the precipitation data and that would, again, suggest that this spring is fairly responsive to precipitation events, not so much individual events as more multimonth or annual types of precipitation records.

What happens when you get in a system where you have springs that are discharging, you typically -- for want of a better explanation, you essentially have this bathtub that has to overflow in order for the spring to discharge. And it's apparent that that bathtub is

always overflowing somewhat because there's always water coming out of the spring.

But when you get a period of prolonged drought relative to prior seasons, it takes a while. As we start to get increased precipitation -- it takes a while to satisfy that deficit. As the water continues to flow, you're basically creating a water deficit in the system, and it takes a while for that to be satisfied.

So even though you may have fairly short responses, the early data suggested that just a period of a couple of months from peak precipitation to -- or peak flow in Huntington Creek to peak flow in Big Bear Spring was just a couple of months. Now, as we're back into that -- as we're kind of coming out of that prolonged dry period, that response is not near as rapid because you're trying to satisfy that water deficit.

We looked at Birch Spring also.

MR. HANSEN: Mark it C-11.

THE WITNESS: C-11.

MR. HANSEN: Do you want foundation? Or we're pretty informal.

MR. APPEL: For demonstrative purposes.

MR. HANSEN: Well, I think this is represented to be a graph of actual data.

THE WITNESS: I can tell you that the flow

data came from the water users as they provided the data to Co-Op. And the precipitation data we obtained from five -- took the average of five precipitation gauges in the -- in the area. And so we tried to get -- rather than looking at just one gauge that, because of elevation differences out in this area, may be biased one way or another, we looked at multiple gauges and averaged that, so . . .

We didn't show on this -- on the blue line here (Indicating) -- we didn't show the data that were collected by the U.S. Geological Survey because of the -- because of the -- basically the short time frame over which data had been collected by the water users.

If we extended this graph out to the left and put the one-year worth of geological survey data on here, everything would get scrunched into one little spot and it would be hard to see. But we put -- the horizontal lines on that, again, represents the maximum and minimum flows that were measured by the geological survey at Birch Spring back in 1978 and '79. They measured a low in Birch Spring of 9.3 gallons a minute in July of '79 and a high of 23 gallons per minute in May of 1978.

As you look at the more recent data -- basically the last three or four years' worth of data that have been collected from Birch Spring -- you're very

near what the geological survey reported as the peak flow that they measured during that time frame that they were evaluating the data.

Again, you look at -- you look at the precipitation data. It's obvious -- since it's the same precipitation data, it's obvious that we've had a lot more precipitation in the early to mid '80s than we had in the mid to late '90s. Those precipitation amounts are starting to pick back up. There appears to be some kind of a response at Birch Spring that's similar to Big Bear in that respect, that long-term precipitation seems to influence it.

Birch Spring is also completed differently, as I believe a couple of the representatives of the water users association have testified. Big Bear Spring comes out of a handful of discrete fractures in the sandstone. Birch Spring is basically a French drain at the base of a sandstone ledge that's been backfilled with pea gravel and perforated pipe, and is collected in that manner.

The area overlying Big Bear Spring is basically a sandstone cliff. The area overlying Birch Spring is a much flatter area, not totally flat by any means, but a much more gentle slope. Soil, various -- various phreatophytes and other vegetation that may or may not be affecting the flow of Big Bear Spring.

But it's apparent from looking, again, at the historical data that historically there have been flows out there that are actually quite a bit lower than what they're experiencing now. Those historical -- historic flows were measured three or four years before mining activities began there in Bear Canyon.

There's been a bit of discussion about the potential for recharge to occur vertically through the permit area. Various people have expressed their opinions about how tight or untight the formations are.

I looked through some information that Gregory Lines presented in a water supply paper that the geological survey prepared for the -- for the general vicinity. Most of his work was over on Trail Mountain, which is just to the southeast of where Big Bear -- where Bear Canyon is.

In the course of his investigation, he collected some samples, some core samples of rock coming out of the Blackhawk Formation and out of the Star Point Sandstone. He didn't identify in his logs, at least in the report, which tongue of the Star Point he was in, but he collected various units out of both of those formations.

Generally, the sandstones in both the Blackhawk Formation and the Star Point Formation where he

collected the samples -- I should back this up. He took the samples in for permeability tests in the laboratory, ran horizontal and vertical permeability tests on the samples he collected from these cores.

Generally, the sandstones, whether they were out of the Blackhawk or the Star Point Formation, the -- the hydraulic conductivities of these units were about 10-5 to 10-6 centimeters per second. As you got into the siltstones and the shales, with one exception, the permeability -- hydraulic conductivities were 10-11 to 10-12 centimeters per second. So that's about six orders of magnitude lower in permeability, a factor of a million lower in permeability than the sandstone units.

The one exception was reported by Mr. Lines as being impermeable. They applied a pressure of 5,000 pounds per square inch to the -- to the sample, both horizontally and vertically. This was a shale sample out of the Blackhawk Formation. And they could measure no permeability even at 5,000 psi. Everything has a permeability -- I recognize that -- but it was significantly lower than anything they had tried to measure.

So we're looking at sandstone, hydraulic conductivities that, again, were in the range of 10-5 to 10-6 centimeters per second; siltstone and shale

hydraulic conductivities that were 10-11 to 10-12 centimeters per second. I report these in centimeters in second. He reported them in feet per day.

But just as a point of reference, the permeability that U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's regulations require for liners on hazardous waste impoundments is 10-7 centimeters per second. So we're at a permeability four to five orders of magnitude lower than -- than what I would be required to put in for -- for a hazardous waste landfill.

I think that's -- that's instructive not so much that it's four to five or two to three or fifteen or twenty. I think -- I think what's instructive is that it's significantly lower -- significantly lower than that liner requirement and significantly lower than the hydraulic conductivity of the sandstone units.

These were, admittedly, laboratory samples. The laboratory sample is going to take a look at that 2-inch diameter core and the sample's going to be 6 inches long, and that's all it looks at, and there's a gazillion cubic yards of bedrock out there. But the data were relatively consistent and the data are consistent with what we see reported elsewhere in this type of a formation.

We've worked not only here in the Wasatch

Plateau but also down around the Kaiparowits Plateau, where the formations are called by a different name, but it's basically the same set of units. And we see very similar types of hydraulic conductivities.

I think that from that information and from the observations that we can make as we go out and look for seeps and springs in this area, it's obvious that the siltstones and the shales have a significantly lower hydraulic conductivity than the sandstones.

Most of the areas where you see springs issuing are near those contacts of a sandstone overlying a siltstone or a shale. That's the case at Birch Spring and Big Bear Springs, where you have the thick sequence of the Mancos Shale that underlies the Panther tongue of the Star Point Formation.

We see that frequently within the North Horn Formation, within the Blackhawk Formation, where you'll get a sandstone lens. And where there's a seep that occurs, it's often near that contact between a sandstone overlying a siltstone or a shale.

You see that in the Castlegate Sandstone, if you can find springs in that unit around the region, where they're often discharging near that contact with the Blackhawk Formation. There's just not a lot of water that passes through -- vertically passes through this

sequence of formations, from what we've been able to see both here at -- in the area of the Bear Canyon operation and elsewhere around the region.

That's not to say that there's absolutely no water. I don't like superlatives. That is always a possibility, that something is going to make it through. I think that's a -- that's obvious. All things are possible. I hope we don't get into that line of questioning and arguing. I'll tell you right now that there is a possibility that some water will make it from the top of this mountain down into the Panther. It will pass through where the mine was and it will discharge at Big Bear Springs. I think the quantity of that water is extremely low. I'm not going to put a number on it, but it's extremely low.

To give you an idea of the time frame, I took the numbers, the high end of those shale hydraulic conductivities at 10-11 centimeters per second, and as -- and calculated how long it would take under a unit hydraulic gradient for water to flow through 1 inch of shale; it was slightly less than 8,000 years.

Water undoubtedly gets from the top of this mountain down into the Spring Canyon, down into the Storrs, down into the Panther. It occurs. But again, I think that quantity is so minuscule as to be

unmeasurable. But I'm sure if we could tag some molecules and wait, we would find that they would arrive.

Where does the recharge occur for this area? It's somewhere to the north. I don't know if it's northeast, if it's northwest, if it's straight north. Within the area that we have data, the flow is generally north to south. As you get outside of the area of where we have data, we may find that it's slightly trending to the east, to the west. But the recharge area is northward.

I think that given the low permeability of the shales that are present out here, given the fact that these shales tend to be less brittle, that if fractures occur, they tend to heal themselves, there's a very low likelihood that a significant amount of water is going to be percolating from within the permit area down into the formations surrounding the coal and into the aquifers that are immediately below the coal.

Also, if you look at the -- just look at the topography -- I'm looking at Exhibit C-8 -- the permit area sits basically beneath a ridge line. And so adding to the fact that these -- that the subsurface formations tend to be low permeability, you've got the steep slopes, so any water that melts, falls as rainfall, or falls as snowfall and subsequently melts is going to run off

relatively quickly and have limited opportunity on those steeper slopes to recharge.

As has been stated here by others, and as I recall in my reviews of various geological survey reports, most -- that the most likely area for recharge is someplace where a formation of outcrops and the slope is relatively shallow. I don't know if that recharge occurs predominantly in Huntington Creek, predominantly in Tie Fork, predominantly in Bear Canyon.

All of those areas have full sections of each of the tongues of the Star Point that outcrop the -- there are joints and fractures that are very common in those sandstone units. Especially as you get near the surface, those joints and fractures become more common. It's reasonable to assume that there's a reasonable amount of recharge that's occurring in those areas.

For the purposes of the PHC, we felt that the regulations were satisfied to indicate that recharge is to the north and outside of the permit area, outside of the adjacent areas, and that it was not the responsibility of Co-Op to pinpoint an exact location.

I think that's also -- there's been a bit of discussion about potentiometric surfaces and water table surfaces. What -- what Chris Hansen identified as being a coincidence that this potentiometric surface in the

Spring Canyon tongue happened to intercept the mine workings at about the location of the sandstone channel that's up in the Blackhawk Formation -- I will echo Mr. Hansen's opinion that that is coincidence. This potentiometric surface line is measuring the potentiometric surface in the Spring Canyon tongue, not in the Blackhawk Formation.

We did not encounter significant water in drilling until we hit the Spring Canyon tongue. This is not representative of a potentiometric surface or a water table in the Blackhawk Formation.

As to where that water in that -- in that sandstone channel goes, I don't know. I think that there is a very high likelihood that it does not go to the south. If it was going to the south, then I should have encountered water significantly before we got into the channel. At least it does not go as far south in significant quantities as Big Bear Spring; otherwise, from the beginning of mining we should have been seeing something at least much earlier than we saw it.

We went through and did some calculations just to make some assumptions and see what would happen if that was the case; if water from that channel was destined to discharge at Big Bear Spring, where should I have run into that water and if I'm dealing, in fact,

with a water table condition out here. In other words, where I hit the potentiometric surface in the coal seam, is that where I'm going to run into water in the mine.

So we looked at the flow of Big Bear Spring. And generally, again, back in the early -- back in the mid-'80s, you're looking at a flow of around 200 gallons a minute or so -- not worrying about the peaks -- but you're looking at a base flow of around 200 gallons a minute. And then that eventually got down to a flow of around 120 gallons a minute -- some days higher, some days lower. But we went from 200 down to 120. That's a 40 percent decrease in the flow.

Hydrogeologically, the only way that that can occur, if all other things are equal -- if my recharge to the system is equal, there's been no other perturbations around that have affected me, then that can only occur if I've had a decrease in head, because the flow is equal to the hydraulic conductivity times the head times the area. My area stayed the same. My hydraulic conductivity hasn't changed. So I would have to change that gradient.

And so taking Big Bear Spring as the down-gradient point and taking the mine face of the -- at the channel as the up-gradient point, we had a distance of about 9,500 feet. The mine workings at the face are

at an elevation of about 7,550 feet. The elevation of Big Bear Spring is at about 7,120 feet. So there's a 430-foot difference. So based on that 430-foot difference and the distance of 9,500 feet, I said if this is one big water table system that's contributing there, we would have a hydraulic gradient of 4 1/2 percent.

Now, assuming that this water has been impacted by -- that the flows at Big Bear -- that the decrease in flows is due solely to the mining operation, that it's a result of impacts near that channel -- of course the flows started to decrease before they got into that water, but assuming that all that water came out of the channel, that that water would have all eventually made it to Big Bear either directly or, as I think Mr. Appel pointed out correctly, it could be just because of a release in pressure, that that pressure wasn't there anymore to push it out.

But making that assumption, accounting for that 40 percent decrease, that meant I should have had a head that was 40 percent higher initially before I started mining. That would have put me at an elevation 720 feet above Big Bear Spring at the channel.

So if I connect that point and I come back here (Indicating), instead of running into it here at the floor, I now would have run into it 720 -- actually about

300 -- 290 feet higher. And I draw a point back to Birch Spring and I say that's my water table premining, then I should have run into significant water at about DH-1-A, and I didn't. We didn't run into water until we got back here (Indicating), in what's been described before as the wet area, which is basically back here around DH-4.

So essentially, about a -- about a mile or so further to the south, Co-Op should have been running into significant water if, again, the reduction in flow at Big Bear can be totally ascribed to the loss of water -- to the interception of water in the mine by -- at that sandstone channel.

Based on that, based on the other evidence concerning recharge through here, based on our sampling -- on Dr. Mayo's sampling, I would have to agree with the opinions that have been expressed by others that the -- that there is a minimal potential for the Big Bear Mine to adversely impact Big Bear Spring and Birch Spring.

I think that given the historical data that indicate that historically, flows have been there, given the apparent response of the spring to precipitation, I think that Dr. Mayo's calculations concerning slightly over a gallon a minute may well be in the ballpark. If there was any impact, I think -- I think he emphasized

the fact that that's merely 1.2 gallons per minute that are discharging out of that channel.

Where it's discharging from, we don't know. We don't know if it's going to Big Bear Spring. As I've indicated: very low likelihood that it's flowing anywhere to the south; reasonable likelihood it's not flowing to the west, because right near that -- that channel the fault is dry on the west; reasonable chance that it's not flowing to the north, because the general gradient is to the south. That leaves us somewhere westward.

MR. HANSEN: Eastward?

THE WITNESS: Eastward, yeah. We go far enough, we get back -- you got to go 2,500 miles to do it. Eastward. Where it goes after it goes eastward? I don't know. But I think the numbers indicate to me that it's not going to Big Bear Spring, that the -- that Co-Op's activities at the mine have not contributed to -- to impacts to Big Bear Spring or to Birch Spring.

I think that's about it. That's essentially my spiel.

MR. HANSEN: I don't have any further questions.

I did do a quick calculation on permeability of the shale. If we're talking about on the order of 1 inch per 8,000 years, that translates to about 2 million

years to go through 20 feet of shale. Pretty impermeable.

MR. CARTER: I understand the argument to be that it's not the shale, to the extent there's permeability; it's fracture permeability, as opposed to permeability of the shale. But then the --

MR. NIELSEN: Yeah, there's no argument over the shale permeability.

MR. CARTER: The counterargument to that would be that the fracturing of the shale, because of the potential of the clays and the relative plasticity of the shale is less, even if you had a fracture that went through shale and sandstone, there's higher possibility that the fracture in the shale is healed than in the sand.

Is that -- I -- let me ask one question of anyone. I don't think I'll ask Co-Op's experts because I think I know what their answer will be. But if not a drop of water had been encountered in this mine, would you still believe that it is in the recharge area, the path of recharge of the spring, if it were absolutely bone dry?

Where I'm headed with this is, the testimony seems to be that all the water is coming out of the channel. I'm just saying that there's 18 or 20 gallons a minute -- that wasn't specifically testified to -- have been witnessed to have been coming out of the sand, but

it's coming out of the north end of the mine. I suppose it could be coming up through the floor, but, I mean, not -- not that you have to answer on the spot, but if you got an answer, that's great.

Because I think -- my concept here of the theory that the mine workings have interfered with recharge is that the mine lies in the path of water that is -- I mean, one of the -- that the mine lies in the path of water that's moving to the spring and intercepting it and carrying it someplace else.

In the other instance, the mining we talked about this last time -- the mining and the related subsidence and fracturing and so forth have altered the flow pattern in the vicinity of the mine and therefore adversely affected the spring. But in terms of the mine itself being in the path of the recharge, it would seem to me that if the mine had been bone dry, that would not be a concern.

MR. APPEL: But we know that it wasn't, so I'm wondering why that's pertinent. I'd be happy to try to answer that.

MR. CARTER: Well, I think the geometry is something at least I had not considered; maybe my hydrologists had.

But if you try -- draw -- if there were a

homogeneous substance with a preferential permeability horizontally that was even one or two orders of magnitude higher than its vertical, you could drop a drop on the top and then trace its path and say it's going to go right here.

And then you could look at your vertical distances between the channel, or the source of the water in the mine, the spring, the horizontal distances -- you know, maybe the north end of the mine is in the path but the south end isn't.

MR. NIELSEN: Yeah. Yeah.

MR. CARTER: And charting curves of flows -- this is new to me anyway. Maybe the hydrologists for the Division have thought this all through. It seems to me if it was six orders of magnitude, that's a very flat curve. I mean, the water would have to go a whole long way.

MR. NIELSEN: We're not saying that the shales are permeable. We're saying that there's more vertical permeability.

MR. CARTER: That they're traveling along?

MR. NIELSEN: Yes, for various reasons.

MR. CARTER: No, I understand.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. APPEL:

Q Mr. White, are you with me?

A I am. Maybe I can sit over here so I can see you.

Q That'll work better.

Who from your office participated in the drilling in 1991 to '92?

A John Garr was in charge of that program. As I recall, Tony Magliocchino also was involved.

Q Were they there the entire time?

A I believe they were.

Q So they didn't tell Co-Op what to do and then leave?

A No. No. I mean, whatever time they weren't there was go to the surface and get a drink of water. But yeah, they were there constantly.

Q I'm not sure: Did they attempt to measure the water in the Blackhawk Formation?

A Yes. My understanding -- my recollection is that they -- they went in to identify water wherever they encountered water and did not have a preconceived notion as to where they would or would not be encountering water other than -- I would have to look back at some old notes to see if he even discusses it. But there was probably a

suspicion, looking at where springs occurred and whatnot, that there would be water in the various Star Point tongues, but that was not the total focus of the drilling program.

Q Do you know how far they penetrated into the Blackhawk in each of these wells?

A Whatever that distance is -- we can measure it if you like -- whatever that distance is from the bottom of the Blind Canyon Seam to the top of the --

Q Would it be in the PHC?

A Probably. I can measure it right now.

Q I just need to know where it is.

MR. CHRIS HANSEN: Hydrogeologic evaluation.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. There's logs. If it's critical to you, we can get you --

Q (BY MR. APPEL) Is that part of the PHC?

A It's part of what got submitted, if you have a copy -- I haven't got a copy.

Q Just answer my question: Is it part of the PHC?

A If you will provide me with a copy of the PHC, I will tell you yes or no.

Q You don't have a copy of the PHC in front of you?

A No, but I'm sure you do.

Q I'm not tying to trip you up. I'm just trying

to figure out --
A Well, then why don't you provide me a copy of the PHC?
Q Why don't you relax?
A Why don't you?
To my recollection, Mr. Appel, it is in the PHC; however, I cannot quote you the page.
Q Okay. And if water was measured or not measured in your drilling activities in the Blackhawk Formation would that be in the PHC?
A To my recollection. I would like to look at the PHC, but to my recollection.
Q I'm only asking you to the best of your recollection.
A That's the only way I can answer.
Q Did you testify that you found more pressure in the Star Point Sandstone layers as you moved to the north?
A That -- yes, in the sense that the potentiometric surface rose as you moved to the north. That's correct.
Q So you measured higher pressure to the north?
A Yes.
Q And lesser to the south?
A Yes.

Q Where did you start to notice a lessening of the pressure in the drill holes --
A Well, as each --
Q -- toward the south?
A Yeah. I mean, as each hole was drilled, the hole was surveyed so we had an accurate horizontal and vertical reading on that. We knew what the elevation of the hole was. Then we could -- measuring from that point, knowing what that elevation was, we could then compare what we were finding in other holes.
Exactly the time that -- that somebody said, "Aha, it's flowing to the south," I don't know. But as the data were collected and -- initially as you're drilling the hole, everything is done relative to depth from surface. And at that point when the survey data were collected and we then had a chance to correlate the depth data to elevation -- and at that point we would have seen that there was a flow to the south.
Q I guess I'm asking you if there are measurements in the PHC that support a lessening of -- I'm going to call it hydrostatic pressure.
A Maybe we're having a semantics problem, but the PHC indicates that the flow was to the south. As I recall, there's a potentiometric surface map that indicates that the flow was to the south, and so that is

what indicates that there's a lessening of pressure, if you will, to the south.
Q Because it's being released somewhat? The water is being released somewhat?
A Well, sure. I mean, if the flow was to the south, ultimately, as you get on the south end everything outcrops, can't go any further than that outcrop. So somewhere on that southern end, things are no longer -- they can't move through air, so . . .
And we would have noticed also during the drilling program -- as we did the water level measurements, we noticed that, as I recall -- and I'd have to look at the logs -- but as I recall, holes were drilled in the order that they were numbered. And so a hole that was drilled further to the south would have been -- we would have noticed that the water level is not rising above the top of the sandstone. Holes further to the north, we would have noticed that it is rising above the top of the sandstone. So from that data, it would indicate to us that there was some pressure to the north. But again, we had to have the elevation data to actually draw that potentiometric surface.
Q So as you move to the south, the water is being released somewhere?
A Yes.

Q And you testified that you did not look outside the permit area to determine recharge in the PHC?
A Outside the permit and adjacent areas. That is correct.
Q How do you define "adjacent"?
A I'd have to go into the PHC to give you a number, but basically it's defined as the area that is reasonably likely to be impacted by mining operation.
Q You mentioned two dates, April 26th -- as to when the report was, I guess, submitted in 1993, the PHC report; and then you testified that to your understanding, Co-Op hit the sandstone channel the next day?
A Yes. I think I indicated that the hydrogeologic evaluation report -- the date on that report is April 26th. And so there were some -- the -- although we discussed the increase in flows to the mining operation, because those had been encountered back in 1990 -- we discussed that in the report, but my recollection is that the initial hydrogeologic evaluation in the PHC did not specifically address the channel because it hadn't been encountered at the time it had been written.
Q And you also testified that for three years prior that the mine had been experiencing increased flows?
A Right.

Q Do you know what material they were mining then? Was it simply coal?
A Yes, other than, you know, occasional shale stringers that may have been in the coal, but yeah. Yeah. They were concentrating on the mining of coal.
Q Do you know how many feet of coal linearly they removed before they got to the sandstone channel in those three years?
A As I recall, that wet zone -- we can measure it off one of those exhibits -- but as I recall, that wet zone was about 1,000 feet in length, and, of course, they had several panels that were driven in that length; so total length of the mining would have been that 1,000 feet times all those panel lengths times that number of panels.
Q How did the water move from the sandstone channel boundary through that 1,000 feet of coal?
A Well, it didn't. I think what's been testified to, perhaps not clearly enough, is that basically this channel is a -- there's an overbank portion very equivalent to a flood plain, if you will. And so that -- that overbank portion extends over the top of coal.
And the increased flow that they were getting was coming out of the roof as they would drive roof bolts

into the roof and out of, you know, fractures and whatnot in the roof. But it was coming out of that overbank portion, not out of the main body of the channel itself. They hadn't hit that yet. So it was mainly the roof leaks that were --
Q And what information or data do you have, indicating the extent of that overbank, which I presume you're saying is sandstone as well?
A Right. Right. That's based on the -- based on the flow data, based on the information that the roof bolters would gain as they drilled those holes.
Q Did you review that information?
A As I recall, we did, yes.
Q Has anyone mapped the extent of that overbank deposit?
A Not that I'm acquainted with.
Q That wouldn't be expressed in the PHC either?
A Not that I can recall.
MR. CARTER: Wouldn't the light blue area on Exhibit C- whatever was --
THE WITNESS: That's the area we're talking about. And I think what Mr. Appel is asking is if we specifically went in and mapped sandstone deposits, which I don't recall that we did. We did note that there was increased water inflow, but I -- I don't recall there

having been a map generated of those sandstone deposits.
Q (BY MR. APPEL) You theorize that it is an overbank sand deposit, though?
A Yes.
Q Do you know the thickness?
A I don't.
Q A couple of times you said "USG" and a couple of times "GS." Is that the same thing?
A Same thing.
Q So it's one set of measurements from USGS?
A Yes. I may have also said "geological survey," which would be the same thing also, so -- there is a Utah Geological Survey, but . . .
Q Answer the question.
A No, this is all U.S. Geological Survey.
Q As you sit here today, do you believe that the mining of this mine has had no impact on Big Bear Springs?
A I would say that it has not had a measurable impact. Again, all things are possible.
Q You mentioned you reviewed data -- no, it wasn't USGS -- five precipitation gauges. Can you tell me where they were located?
A I'd have to look through the reports, but --
Q Generally. I don't need a metes and bounds.
A In the area -- I mean, I can give you

locations, but it's in the watershed area of basically Huntington -- the larger Huntington Creek watershed.
Q Who was responsible for maintaining it?
A They're maintained by various organizations. As I recall -- didn't ask too many specific questions -- I'd want to look at the data. But as I recall, a couple of them were maintained by Utah Power & Light. I believe the Forest Service maintains one. I think Co-Op maintains one. There were a few different entities that were responsible for the data.
Q What's the farthest one away from our site?
A Let me find the data.
Q Okay. And if anybody out there knows, it would sure speed this process.
MR. NIELSEN: I think it's the Mammoth/Cottonwood site.
THE WITNESS: Okay. There's one at Electric Lake, so that would be ten miles up the canyon; Hiawatha, which is going to be probably about five miles to the northeast; Stewart Ranger Station, which is, as I recall, just up -- slightly up Huntington Canyon; Red Pine Ridge. Can't recall off the top of my head. Mammoth/Cottonwood is just, I believe, to the north -- to the west five or six miles, something like that.
Q (BY MR. APPEL) Do you know if Lines took into

account the change in permeability for jointing and fracturing and faulting in the area, or did he simply do his measurements for permeability on the rock itself?

A On the samples that he did the lab permeabilities on, he did whatever that rock was. There was no indication in the report that those rocks were -- those samples were fractured. To the best of my knowledge, if there was -- if there was any fracturing in those samples, it would have just been microfractures that -- that were not terribly significant.

Q So his conclusions would not take into account water movement because of associated jointing, fracturing, and faulting?

A In that -- in those samples, that's true. He did some other evaluations. He did -- he developed a model, a numerical model, groundwater flow model for the area. And in that model, it had to make some assumptions about the gross permeabilities of the Star Point Sandstone and the Blackhawk Formation.

And in making those estimates of the gross permeabilities, he accounted for the fact that much of the rock out there is -- or that at least some of the rock out there is of a permeability that was higher than what he measured in his core samples.

As I recall, he had numbers that were -- if I

can convert them to centimeters per second, he had numbers on the order of about 10-4 and 10-5 centimeters per second, whereas the lab data indicated that the sandstone permeabilities were 10-5 to 10-6. So he increased his permeabilities by about an order of magnitude as he developed the model.

Q Okay.

A He also -- just -- excuse me.

Q You testified to certain thicknesses of Mancos Shale. Do you know if they're continuous bodies?

A Yeah, I don't believe I did testify about how thick they are.

Q Of the existence of various thicknesses?

A They exist.

Q Okay. Between the two faults that we've discussed primarily today, the major ones that are in the permit area --

A Uh-huh (Affirmative).

Q -- do you know if they're continuous bodies throughout?

A I would certainly hesitate to testify as a geologist, but that is my understanding, yes, that they are laterally continuous within the permit area.

Q Do you anticipate that they would vary in thickness throughout the permit area?

A Oh, certainly to some degree. In the drill hole data we have, there was some variation of thickness of a few feet from point to point.

Q And they could be nonexistent in certain places?

A As I've said, all things are possible. I think that given my understanding of geologic conditions, which, again, I would not want to present myself as an expert in, but given my understanding of the depositional conditions under which those formations were formed, it's -- it would be very unlikely that there would be a discontinuity within the permit area.

Q But you can't rule it out?

A No, not at all.

Q Do you know when the mine reached the approximate location of DH-1 as far as mining?

A It's on the map. As I recall -- I'd have to look at it, but it would have been mid-'80s: '86, '87. As I recall, Charles would be much better qualified to give you those dates.

MR. APPEL: That's all I have.

MR. CARTER: Craig?

EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMITH:

Q I was going to ask you some questions, make

sure I understand some things. Now, which formation did Birch Springs discharge from?

A Birch Spring?

Q Yeah.

A It comes out of the base of the Panther tongue of the --

Q Is that the same as Big Bear Spring?

A Yes.

Q And what formation does water in the Bear Canyon Mine -- what formation is it intercepting water from?

A That's in the Blackhawk Formation.

Q And do you have a position on the regional aquifer question?

MR. HANSEN: That was the question --

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. HANSEN: -- to which Dr. Mayo responded.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't. I don't care for semantics, so . . .

Q (BY MR. SMITH) So you don't have any position at all on it? Is that your answer?

A That's my answer. I know what we found at this site. And I -- it's a semantics issue to me. And I don't --

Q Get away from the semantics issue. What did

you find at that site?
A Well, as we, I think -- several people have indicated, as we drilled the holes, found different potentiometric surfaces in each of the tongues of the Star Point Sandstone, that -- found that these -- that the tongues were -- that the sandstone tongues were hydraulically distinct, that they were not hydraulically connected. If that was the case, we would have been seeing similar water level elevations in each of those tongues, which we didn't. And so there are, beneath the -- beneath the Blind Canyon Seam in the Star Point Sandstone, there are three separate and distinct groundwater systems.
Q Okay. Is it your testimony the perched aquifers are hydraulically isolated from aquifers --
A From our semantics problem?
Q -- in the area of the Bear Canyon Mine?
A In general, yes. I mean, by definition, "perched aquifer" is something that is -- that is hydraulically separated. There's a -- there's a certain distance of unsaturated material beneath that perched aquifer and some other groundwater system, so yeah, they would be distinct.
And there's -- that's not to say that -- that there might be two perched aquifers that are nearby one

another, that one may be flowing off the edge and onto another. But the -- again, looking at the relative low permeability of the shales, the discontinuous nature of the sandstones in the Blackhawk Formation, the sandstone channel being a -- an obvious example, it's apparent that there are -- that where these perched aquifers occur, they are distinct and that it is not unusual for them to be hydraulically discontinuous.
Q Okay. Do you consider the Panther tongue to be a perched aquifer?
A No.
Q How about the Spring Canyon Sandstone? Do you consider that to be a perched aquifer?
A No. And I don't want to get into another semantics discussion. Obviously that is unsaturated material below them. There's a -- there's a nebulous distance out there where people say, "This is perched. This is not perched."
Within the permit area the tongues of the Star Point Sandstone appear to be continuous, appear to be -- from the data that we've selected, appear to be saturated within the permit area. Somebody could argue semantically that they're perched because there's some unsaturated material beneath them, but they're sufficiently laterally extensive for the purpose of the

permit area that I wouldn't call them perched.
Q Can you tell me where the recharge area is for the Spring Canyon Sandstone?
A Northward.
Q Is that same true --
A Northward.
Q -- with the Storrs?
A Yes.
Q Anything more precise than that?
A I can't tell you.
Q How about the Panther?
A Northward.
Q How about Birch Spring?
A Well, obviously it's a -- discharge is from the -- from the Panther tongue of the Star Point Sandstone, and so there is a reasonable chance that there's recharge coming northward.
Q And that would be the same with Big Bear Spring?
A Yeah. The -- again, the data indicate that Big Bear -- the water that discharges from Big Bear is much younger water than the water that discharges from Birch Spring, which indicates that there is a relatively recent component to the flow that -- which indicates that Big Bear occurs probably relatively closely to its

recharge area. And so it's -- it's reasonable for me to conclude that the fractures that are in Bear Canyon as surface water flows across those fractures, that that could reasonably contribute to the flow at Big Bear Spring. And so in that case you're looking at northeast ward but still northward.
Q And that's as precise as we can get, I take it?
A That's as precise as I can get right now, yes.
Q Where's the recharge zone for the water that's found in the mine?
A In the channel. Is that what you're talking about?
Q Let's talk about whatever water's found in the mine. The channel's a new thing we learned about today.
A Well, I'll talk about the channel. If you've got other areas that you want to --
Q Let's talk about the channel first.
A Okay. It's -- it's -- in my opinion, probably it's not southward.
Q Okay. Anything more precise than that?
A No. I would -- no, I really can't at this point.
Q How about water that's not in the channel?
A I'm not sure where you're talking, so that's what I -- I thought I'd better confine myself to the

channel.

Q Maybe I can ask a couple of questions and clear this up. Is there water in the mine that's not coming from the channel?

A Not that I'm aware of.

Q So all the water in the mine's coming from the channel?

A Currently that's the case. Yes, that's my understanding.

Q Is the channel discussed anywhere in the PHC?

A I thought we've been there.

Q Just want to be clear about this.

A As I indicated in my direct testimony and in my discussions with Mr. Appel, the PHC was prepared shortly before the channel was intercepted. There was increased flow to the mine at that point. We've discussed that increased flow. But I do not recall -- although I'd have to read the PHC to refresh my memory -- but I do not recall that the channel is specifically discussed in the PHC, because it was prepared just prior to that channel being encountered.

Q I take it that's a long way to say no?

A Well, I kind of felt like saying no the third time wasn't going to answer your question, so I thought I'd better use a little bit longer sentence and hopefully

get the question answered.

Q Okay. Is the coal seam in the location of Trail Canyon Mine -- well, I guess -- is there -- we've talked about -- is there a water table? Can you define where the water table is around --

A You said "Trail Canyon." Are you talking about Bear Canyon?

Q Bear Canyon. I'm sorry. Bear Canyon. Is there a water table around the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Well, again, from a purely semantical issue, typically where a groundwater system is under pressure, that pressurized section is not referred to as a water table. Typically where it is not under pressure, or not confined, it is referred to as a water table. So in that area generally south of DH-1-A, the various tongues of the Star Point Sandstone were not under pressure; and so in that area, I would say yes, there is a water table beneath the mine.

Q Okay. But it's below where the mine --

A Below the mine.

Q Okay.

A Now, the system that's in the channel, I don't have enough data to be able to say whether that's under pressure or it's not under pressure, so I don't know if that channel system is --

Q And do you know whether the channel system is interconnected with the water table?

A I would say that -- with a reasonable degree of scientific certainty that it is not, if you are discussing the water table -- the water tables that are in the tongues of the Star Point Sandstone beneath the mine.

Q Okay. And what do you base that on?

A Again, the fact that the -- as we drilled the holes -- well, number one, the flow coming into the mine from the channel's coming from the roof or directly from the channel face itself. Once that face was encountered, water is not coming from the floor.

There -- I -- I do not recall there being any water that was encountered in DH-4, which was drilled fairly close to the -- it was drilled in that wet zone, so it was just south of the sandstone channel. I do not recall there being water encountered in the Blackhawk Formation at that point until we got down into the Spring Canyon tongue.

The presence of a fair amount of Blackhawk Formation beneath the Blind Canyon Seam, with its attendant low permeabilities, the fact that within the Spring Canyon tongue we do see pressure as we move to the north, as we get closer to that channel sandstone, we're

seeing upward pressure above the top of -- above the top of the mine.

And with an upward pressure at that location in the Spring Canyon tongue, that indicates to me that there's very limited likelihood that the sandstone channel would be hydraulically connected to that -- to that Spring Canyon tongue; so if it's not connected to the Spring Canyon tongue, it's not going to be connected to the Storrs or the Panther tongue.

Q Do you have any explanation other than coincidence of why the water in Birch Spring and the water in the channel is approximately the same age?

A No, I don't. I do know as I look through the Mayo data and looked at the age of the water just to the west of the Blind Canyon Fault that would be essentially -- essentially in line with the channel -- it was just south of where the channel was encountered that they took the sample, significantly further north of Birch Spring -- looking at the age of that water, it's roughly 5,000 years, as I recall, for the Spring Canyon. For the channel sand to have to flow across that dry fault and through that water that's 5,000 years ago and get down into and come out at the same relative age is just -- it doesn't make sense to me it would take that route to get there.

Q Okay. Did you work with Co-Op during the time when they were pumping water into their worked-out parts of the mine?

A We were involved, I believe -- that was about the time frame that we got involved with them. And I -- I believe that our first involvement on the -- on the groundwater issues with the mine occurred after they had already been pumping.

Q Are you familiar with which parts of the mine they were pumping water into?

A As -- I'm going -- I don't want to sidestep your question, but I'd have to look at a map to refresh my memory. I didn't look at that before I came in.

Q Let's look at a map. Let's take a minute and look at a map here. I think we've got a couple of maps that show the inside workings of the mine. Why don't you look at Exhibit 1. That's probably a good map. Do you know what areas they were pumping water into?

A I don't. If -- you apparently do, so if you'd like to point it out, we can --

Q Well --

A -- we can cut to the chase.

Q -- Mr. Reynolds probably knows that, if you don't. Go ahead --

A I'd really prefer --

Q Mr. Reynolds can show us where they were pumping water into the mine. I'm not trying to make things difficult.

A I recognize -- and I don't want to answer the wrong question, so --

MR. REYNOLDS: (Indicating)

Q (BY MR. SMITH) He's pointed to this here -- right here (Indicating).

Now, when they were pumping water in that part of the mine, that's when they had those real high flows on your chart --

A Yes, on Birch --

Q -- on Birch Spring.

A Yes.

Q Do you think those two events are connected?

A I -- I don't know. As I've -- I think that there is -- it's my opinion that there's a very low likelihood that they are connected.

Q Any other explanation you have for that, the anomalous -- and also at the same time, the reduction in water quality in Birch Spring. We're talking about this period right here (Indicating) this spike.

A Right. The one time -- I had not been out to Birch Spring, when samples had been collected, to observe the sampling. I know Mr. Garr was out at Birch Spring

when samples were collected at the spring. And his comment to me was that there was very poor quality control on the sampling and that he had -- this was an event that, as I recall, was in later time. It wasn't during this peak; it was at a later time. But his comment to me was that he was very concerned about the quality control, and that did not leave him with a high level of confidence in the data, so --

Q Were you here when we had the people testify about the spike? Or maybe you weren't here for that part of the hearing.

A I've been in other hearings where I've heard them talk about it.

Q I guess my question is, Do you have an explanation for this spike?

A No, I don't.

Q Okay. I understand it, Mr. White, that you're the person who designed the testing that later became the PHC.

A Mr. Garr and I did jointly, yes.

Q Did you take input from Co-Op on that or did you come up with the testing yourself?

A As I recall, Co-Op basically asked us to address some concerns and left the program generally up to us. Of course, we interfaced with Co-Op because we

were going to have to be in their active mining operations and wanted to make sure we were in areas that were not going to create safety problems. And so we interacted with them, but it was our recommendation as to where the holes go, and they approved them based on, again, making sure that we weren't going to be a hazard.

Q Was it your recommendation to do just three holes?

A Yes.

Q So it wasn't where you went to Co-Op and said, "We'd like to do six," and they said, "No, that's too expensive. Do three"?

A No.

Q Three was the most you wanted to do?

A Yes.

Q And you feel like that was enough to do what?

A To characterize the hydrogeologic system.

Q And you're the person who made that decision?

A Again, Mr. Garr and I discussed that jointly.

Q And you were Mr. Garr's boss during that period of time?

A We have a -- I don't want to get into an extended management discussion here.

Q Well, I just want to know where the final decision was.

A I don't order anybody, Mr. Nielsen.
Q My name's not Mr. Nielsen, but that's okay.
A Mr. whoever -- what's his name? -- Smith.
Q Thank you.
A I don't order anybody, Mr. Smith. We had a discussion and Mr. Garr and I came to a conclusion. I don't recall telling Mr. Garr that regardless of what his opinions are, we will drill three holes and we will drill them in these -- these locations.
Q I'm trying to -- I'm sorry.
A I do recall having a very congenial conversation discussing what we thought was appropriate to answer the concerns. From that came three drill holes. I was comfortable with that; so was Mr. Garr.
Q I'm trying to understand who had the final decision on these things. I don't care how your management style is.
A You can say I did, if that would help. We don't make decisions that way. We came to a joint conclusion. And I don't recall having Mr. Garr stamp his feet and say, "Absolutely this way." I don't recall doing that myself. I think we jointly came to that conclusion.
Q Okay. Have you performed any other analysis since the PHC --

A Yes.
Q -- at the Co-Op Mine?
What have you done since the PHC?
A Of course, in preparation for this hearing we've updated the data. There was additional data that we were provided by the water users association. We've updated our database on the water quality information, the water level information that Co-Op collects. It's been three or four years since the PHC was prepared, so we had to update our database and reevaluate information as the data were collected to make sure that we weren't seeing anomalies that we couldn't explain. And, of course, as we've had our discussion here, there have been some numbers that I've presented that were numbers that got generated within the last week or so.
Q Okay. How about chemical analysis? Have you done any additional chemical analysis work?
A I personally have not. EarthFax has not collected any samples. Co-Op has a monitoring program where they periodically collect samples from various streams, springs, wells, and we have obtained copies of the data from them.
Q I see. Other than the tritium analysis that's been testified to today, any other tritium analysis we haven't heard about?

A To the best of my knowledge, it's Dr. Mayo, Mr. Nielsen. And then we collected the data back in 1992, '93. But that's the only data that I'm aware of.
Q I understand since the PHC was done, there's been an up-gradient well drilled by Co-Op.
A There have been the surface drill holes that are shown on a cross section of Exhibit C-7: SDH-1 and SDH-2. I don't recall off the top of my head what the date was. Charles could tell you that. But those were done since the -- since the PHC was originally written.
Q Has information been taken from those that have gone into any of your testimony today?
A We discussed the potentiometric surface in the Spring Canyon tongue as you go north. We discussed the information that was collected out of those holes.
Q And has that data been given to DOGM, or do you know where that is?
A I don't. I'm not sure. Those holes were drilled separately by Co-Op, and so I don't know what the status of submittal on those holes is.
Q I want to ask you some questions about the PHC just to see if these are still your testimony. I'd be happy to share my copy, but I wouldn't be able to give you the question.
A That's the whole point.

Q Then you'd have to go home.
This is on page 2-6, if you have that.
A I think we've got a copy. Let me --
(A discussion was held off the record.)
THE WITNESS: Before we take the break, just to make sure that we're reading off the same document: Up in the upper right-hand corner, does yours say, "April 30th, 1993"? Look at one of the text pages. Look at page 2-6, for instance.
Q (BY MR. SMITH) Yeah, mine does.
A And down in the bottom in small type does it say, "Revised 1-31-95"?
Q Mine does not.
A So I have, I guess, an older copy. So if there are some differences, we'll note that.
Q On page 2-6 it says, "The Castlegate and the Start Point Sandstones are regionally continuous. Although the Castlegate Sandstone contains some water, it is not considered to be a regional aquifer." Do you agree with that statement?
A Yes.
Q "The Star Point Sandstone, together with the lower Blackhawk Formation (Blackhawk-Star Point Aquifer) are considered by Lines to be a regional aquifer." I guess you've already --

A I already agreed that Lines considers them to be a regional aquifer.

Q Down at the bottom of page 2-8, it says, "Danielson, et al., indicate that recharge to the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer from direct infiltration of snowmelt to formations which outcrop below the North Horn Formation is small in comparison to recharge through low relief surfaces on the North Horn Formation." Do you agree with that statement?

A Yes.

Q "In the study area, exposures of formations below the formation and above the coal outcrops are limited to steep canyons." Do you agree with that?

A Yes.

Q "Therefore, the potential for recharge through these formations to the regional groundwater system within the permit area is limited." Do you agree with that?

A Yes.

Q Down in the middle of the page, it's the second-to-the-last sentence. It says, "The two largest springs in the area (Big Bear Springs and Birch Springs)" --

A Right.

Q Do you find that?

A Okay. Got you.

Q "The two largest springs in the area (Big Bear Springs and Birch Springs) are associated with fault and joint zones and issue from the Panther Tongue of the Star Point Sandstone." You agree with that, I take it?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Skipping ahead, go to page 2-13, last paragraph on 2-13: "Prior to 1991, mine water inflow was small and often insufficient to meet the operational needs of the mine." I'll skip the citation. "During 1991, mining proceeded into the northern portion of the permit area and groundwater inflow to the mine increased." I take it that's a true statement?

A Yes.

Q "During 1991, Co-Op Coal Company began discharging between 30 and 60 gallons per minute from the mine. By January 1992, mine discharge increased to 300 gallons per minute and continued at this rate through March of 1992. Present total mine inflow is approximately 500 gallons per minute. Of this total, 200 gallons per minute is used in the mining operations, and 300 gallons a minute is discharged in Bear Canyon Creek." Is that --

A No, that's -- that's a revised -- that apparently was revised.

Q Okay. Why don't you tell me what the revised statement is?

A The last two sentences there at the top of page 2-14 said, "Present total mine inflow is approximately 210 gallons a minute. Of this total, 30 gallons per minute is used in the mining operations and 180 gallons per minute is discharged to Bear Canyon Creek."

Q Okay. So you're reading that -- that corrects the -- and you agree with that statement you've just read?

A Well, certainly as of January of '95. It's been two years since, so those flows may be somewhat different, but it was correct at the time.

Q Okay. Down at the bottom of page 2-14, it says, ". . . the age of water from Big Bear Spring cannot be determined." I take it that opinion would be revised with Dr. Mayo's work?

A Yes. As I indicated, I would definitely defer to Dr. Mayo for an interpretation of the radioisotope data.

Q And it says, ". . . (the) Birch Spring water and the mine inflow are of similar age (pre-1953), and are not significantly recharged by modern precipitation." Do you agree with that statement?

A Yes, within the -- within the restrictions on my understanding of radioisotope.

Q Okay. Go to page 2-31.

A That's a figure in my -- what's the --

Q We're in the section -- it is 2.2.2, "Groundwater Quantity Impact." 2.2.2, "Groundwater Quantity Impact." And on mine it's page 2-31, but I guess with the revised version it might have been changed.

A Oh, got you.

Q Okay. Where it says, "Drainage of water from faults and fractures produces the largest volume of water flowing into the mine." Is that a correct statement or not?

A I would say no, now that -- definitely now, the largest volume of water flowing into the mine is from the sandstone channel.

Q That would have been true then; you just didn't know about the sandstone channel at that time?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Are you familiar with the CHIA at all? Just have a couple of questions on that.

A Generally. I don't recall that I have ever fully read the CHIA, so . . .

Q Let me just ask the questions. I have a couple of questions from the CHIA. I know you didn't prepare that. I'll just read -- this talks about the Bear Canyon Seam, current mining. Just for the record,

I'll indicate this is on page 4 of the CHIA dated March of 1994 -- I'm sorry -- August of 1989, revised on March 1994. I believe this is the latest CHIA that's in the file, so we've got it just out of the Division's files a little while ago.

On page 4, under "Current Mining in the Bear Canyon Seam," it states, "Areas of encountered groundwater within the mine are fractures which drain over a period of several months as the mine advances northward. This indicates a high degree of hydraulic interconnection through fractures in the portion of the Blackhawk Formation which overlies the mine." Do you agree with that statement?

A For a -- for a limited aerial extent and a limited vertical extent, I think that's probably a reasonable -- the only place we really saw significant inflow, again, was as the mining progressed near that channel sandstone. And so in that area, either where roof bolts encountered the sandstone or where there were fractures in the roof, there was a reasonable amount of inflow. And those fractures expanded vertically somewhere, but I would not agree that it would be appropriate to draw the conclusion that those fractures extend all the way to the surface.

Q Okay. One more question and maybe we can take

a break. One more question on this. I have some more questions, but not on this document.

On page 7 of the CHIA, at the bottom of that page it states, "Mine inflow of approximately 300 gallons per minute is discharged to Bear Creek at the Bear Canyon Mine and the remainder is used in the mining operations. No discharge occurs at the Trail Canyon Mine. Mine water within the CIA represents groundwater depletion from storage in the Blackhawk Formation and the Star Point Sandstone and the interception of flow along faults/ fractures." Do you agree with that statement?

A I would agree that it represents interception of storage in the Blackhawk. I would not agree that it represents interception of storage from the Star Point.

Q So you disagree with that?

A Yes. Well, I agree with a part and disagree with a part.

MR. SMITH: Okay. Maybe this is a good time. We can take our five o'clock break.

MR. CARTER: Let me also ask what all we hope to accomplish before we break for the day and what else -- Craig, you indicated you've got --

Let's go off the record.

(A short recess was taken.)

MR. SMITH: I'll just get wrapped up. I have

a few more questions of Mr. White.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) And I just want to get your views on some of these publications that -- I'm sure you've seen the same ones. I don't have very many. I just have a couple. The one I'm reading from is "Hydrology of Area 56." Have you seen that before?

A I have.

Q It's published by the USGS, Open File Report 8338. And there on page 16, I'll just read the statement that says --

MR. HANSEN: Just for the record, can we get a publication date?

MR. SMITH: Oh, yeah, that'd be fine. It was published in 1984.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) And these are generally available. I'd give you a copy for you to look at but I only have one of these to read.

A Again, that's the purpose.

Q "Dewatering of coal mines changes the flow pattern through coal-bearing aquifers, and storage in the aquifers is reduced." Do you agree with that statement?

A Yes, generally.

Q I know these are general statements and a lot of these are in different areas. I want to try to focus on the Bear Canyon Mine, so tell me whether you agree

with that. Do you agree with that in connection with the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Certainly. As I indicated earlier, when -- as water is removed from the mine, they are removing water from storage from the Blackhawk Formation, so yes.

Q This report -- and this is one by Mr. Lines, who is well known in these sorts of reports --

A Which one is that, by the way?

Q This is the "Hydrology of Area 56" by Gregory Lines.

A That's the one we were just going through.

Q Yeah. And he says, "(The) groundwater storage has been reduced around all water-producing mines in the area." Would you agree with that statement as to the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Yes. Storage, yes. And, again, maybe if there's -- somebody's having a hard time with it, the storage is basically -- it's as though you have this bathtub. And so if you take something out of the bathtub, you've reduced the storage. So any time water is discharged from the mine, something has been removed from storage.

Q Okay.

A Assuming, again, it's under water table conditions and -- assuming that it is under unconfined

conditions, yes. It is not under confined conditions. Technically speaking, if it's under confined conditions, it's not released from storage; it's released from pressure.

Q Thanks for that clarification.

Page 18, Mr. Lines states, "Land subsidence and associated rock fracturing above underground mines can cause changes in the natural pattern of groundwater flow, can change the flow of springs, and locally can alter surface runoff." Any evidence of that occurring around the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Read that again.

Q "Land subsidence and associated rock fracturing above underground mines can cause changes in the natural pattern of groundwater flow, can change the flow of springs, and locally can alter surface runoff." Is that a concern around the Bear Canyon Mine?

A I'm not aware of subsidence effects that have impacted groundwater, nor am I aware of subsidence that has, on any significant scale, impacted surface water. I believe there have been a couple of subsidence cracks that developed that either naturally filled in or that Co-Op filled in. So at least on a short-term basis, yeah, I think there were some surface water impacts from subsidence -- for short-term. I'm not aware of any

groundwater impacts that there have been.

Q All right. Thank you.

The next publication is the "Hydrology of Alkali Creek and Castle Valley Ridge Coal-Lease Tracts, Central Utah, and Potential Effects of Coal Mining." Are you familiar with that publication?

A Yes, I am.

Q And obviously we're going to talk about just a couple of quotations on the Castle Valley Ridge, not the Alkali Creek, which is somewhat removed.

A Yes.

Q For the record, which is Water Resources Investigations Report 87-4186 -- and it has a publication date of 1988 -- and going to page 1 of that. And the authors are R.L. Seiler and R.L. Baskin. On page 1 it states, "Groundwater in the Castle Valley Ridge area occurs in perched aquifers." You would agree with that statement?

A Yes. I'm not exactly sure what -- what their boundaries are for the Castle Valley Ridge, but as I recall, it's in that general vicinity.

Q Yeah, it's fairly close to the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Yeah, that's -- I would agree with that.

Q "The Blackhawk Formation and Star Point

Sandstone form a regional aquifer in the southern Wasatch Plateau coal field; however, this aquifer is a localized aquifer in the Castle Valley Ridge area." Now, I know we've done a lot on regional aquifers. Anything you could say about that statement, whether it's to clarify your position on that?

A I would have to follow the "however" with probably another "however," that within the Bear Canyon permit -- Bear Canyon permit mine area, that as we've discussed, there are three distinct groundwater systems beneath the coal. How regionally extensive those are outside of the permit area, I don't know. Undoubtedly, somewhere they fit into what -- into that report's first "however." Out there, they'd probably fit into that "non-however" statement.

Q Okay. Thank you.

Going to page 42 of the same publication, it states, "Subsidence above the proposed mines on the Alkali Creek and Castle Valley Ridge coal-lease tracts probably will have the greatest effect on the hydrology of the areas studied. Fractures caused by subsidence could divert surface- and ground-water flow to lower strata or to the mine workings. Subsidence caused by mining could cause spring discharge to decrease or cease and disrupt the major source of water to livestock and

wildlife in both areas." Has that been a concern for the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Again, I'm not aware that those impacts have occurred. That those are always possibilities, as the report indicated, those are things that could happen. And -- but I'm not aware that that has occurred within the Bear Canyon area.

Q And so I take it that's not been addressed as a probable hydrologic consequence?

A I believe we did address impacts in the Probable Hydrologic Consequences. I just don't believe we -- and I'd have to read it to be sure, but we may have even made a statement that that could occur, because, again, all things are possible. But I don't recall that that impact has occurred.

Q Okay. And I take it however it's addressed, you have no different view of the subsidence and how it's addressed in the PHC? You take that to be correct as to how subsidence is addressed?

A Yeah. And again, I'm not exactly sure, without going back and reviewing it, what the -- what the wording was that we used in the -- in the PHC. We could look through there and figure that out. But we did address the fact that subsidence was a potential impact pathway.

Q Okay. Going next to the "Hydrology of the Coal-Resource Areas in the Upper Drainage of Huntington and Cottonwood Creeks, Central Utah," are you familiar with that publication?

A Yes.

Q And that's another one by the USGS. It's dated 1981. The authors are Terence Danielson, Michael ReMillard, and Richard H. Fuller. Going to page 1, it states, "The Star Point Sandstone and the lower coal-bearing part of the Blackhawk Formation, both of Cretaceous age, are saturated in some areas, and the aquifer yields water to underground coal mines." Is that true in the area of Bear Canyon Mine?

A The portion that says that the Blackhawk yields water to the coal mine, yes. That's where the water is coming out of that channel sandstone is out of the Blackhawk Formation.

Q How about where it says the Blackhawk's saturated? Would that be true in the Bear Canyon --

A Well, it is certainly at the Star Point Sandstone. Generally, no, I would not say that the Blackhawk is saturated.

Q "Most of the larger discharging springs in the study area issue from the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer where faulted." Is that true around the Bear Canyon Mine?

A What was that again?

Q "Most of the larger discharging springs in the study area issue from the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer where faulted."

A Again, you'd have to take the Star Point out of the equation at the Bear Canyon Mine. Definitely we've seen near the channel sandstone where fractures in the roof, as well as roof bolts, have been a source of inflow to the mine. I don't know as though I would classify those as faults. But again, the -- from looking at Bear Canyon Fault, essentially no water -- in fact, there is no water coming into the mine at the Bear Canyon Fault. And they haven't encountered the -- or, I mean, at the Blind Canyon Fault -- they haven't encountered the Bear Canyon Fault. Some minor fracturing does contribute, but I would not call it faulting.

Q Okay. The next sentence states, "Groundwater also occurs in several water-bearing zones above the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer."

A Yes. I'm assuming that what he's referring there to is these perched zones that occur within the Blackhawk Formation as well as up in the Castlegate and the Price River and the North Horn Formation.

Q Do you know if that's true in the area that's been mined by the Co-Op in the Bear Canyon Mine?

A Certainly there are, as I recall, some springs up in the North Horn, up in the upper formations, that are going to be in discontinuous systems. We -- we -- up in the area to the north of the permit area. We normally make the assumption that within the Blackhawk Formation itself that there are discontinuous perched aquifers, so it's reasonable to assume that they exist.

Q Okay. Still on page 1, "Dewatering of underground coal mines was the largest manmade discharge from the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer in the study area during 1979. The dewatering of mines has decreased the amount of water in storage in the aquifer, but water-level data were not available to define the extent of the depletion." Would you agree with that statement?

A Of course that's making a historical statement, and I'd have to agree that he's probably historically correct. Within the Bear Canyon area, yes, water that's discharged from the mine is encountered within the Blackhawk formation at the channel sandstone. And discharging water from that sandstone does deplete the storage that's in that sandstone.

Q Okay. "Other possible impacts due to mine dewatering include the diminution of spring flows and increases in groundwater recharge, both of which are more likely to occur where rocks have been fractured due to

subsidence above mines."

A That's quite possible. Again, we haven't seen that at the Bear Canyon Mine. That is a potential impact.

Q Okay. Going on to page 37 of the same report, it says, "Water discharged from underground mines produces the same changes in the groundwater system as do wells." Would you agree with that?

A Read that again.

Q "Water discharged from underground mines produces the same changes in the groundwater system as do wells."

A Okay. I think what he's saying is that when you remove water from the mine, you're removing water from storage. Just like when you pump water from a well, you're removing water from storage. Assuming that's what he means -- and maybe the sentences before or after might explain it -- but assuming that's what he means, then yes, it is correct.

Q I'm not trying to take things out of context.

A I understand.

Q He's referring to a 1957 report by a person named Theis.

A Theis.

Q Theis. That's what he's referring to. You may have read that report.

A Yeah. That particular paper, if it's the one I'm thinking of, does talk about the fact that when you pump a well, you're removing water from storage. So that's -- that's what he's probably referring to. And he's correct. Any water that comes out is going to -- under unconfined conditions is going to be removed from storage.

Q Okay. Then he goes on to say, "Groundwater in storage in the Star Point-Blackhawk aquifer has decreased around all water-producing mines in the study area as indicated by the diminution of groundwater flow into the older working of active mines." Would you agree with that for this mine?

A I would agree that it does whatever the first part of the sentence said. I was in agreement. I think it was again talking about removal from storage, wasn't it?

Q Right.

A Yes, that would be the case.

Q It says then -- this is the kind of the last statement of the conclusions of this report -- it says, "To fully assess the hydrologic impacts of underground mining, comprehensive studies of the groundwater systems are needed in conjunction with monitoring of the quantity and quality of both surface and mine-discharged waters." Would you agree with that?

A Yes.

Q "Monitoring the discharge of individual springs to develop discharge-recession curves, in conjunction with water-level monitoring in properly constructed observation wells, is needed to detect possible unnatural changes in the groundwater system and to quantify unnatural changes in spring discharges." Would you agree with that?

A Yes, that would be appropriate.

Q And have you done those things with your PHC?

A Yes.

Q Okay. That's all out of the books. Just a couple of questions out of -- this is "Revised Hydrologic Evaluation of the Bear Canyon Permit and Proposed Expansion Areas." And I'm not sure if that -- that was done along with the PHC, I believe.

A Yeah, they were concurrent timewise.

Q How does this relate to the PHC, this revised hydrologic evaluation?

A They were -- the hydrogeologic evaluation was prepared as a -- as a -- basically a report of findings from the work that we did underground, the installation of the drill holes, and the data that were collected. It -- it -- it went, in a technical sense, beyond what's required for a Probable Hydrologic Consequences, but also

did not get into the specific issues that the regulations require be addressed in Probable Hydrologic Consequences.

It was kind of a technical report to make sure that all the data that we had collected got summarized and got into a report. And then we used the information presented there as a basis for the development of the PHC. As I recall, both the hydrogeologic evaluation and the PHC went in as appendices to the permit application, so they were kind of supporting one another.

Q Okay. I had a couple of others, but we've covered those, so I'm not going to reask the same question. And this one I think we covered too, but just to be cautious, I'll ask it and move on to page 2-14. Talks about movement of water. It says -- I'll give you a second to get up to that -- "The movement of groundwater in the study area is strongly controlled by faults and the dip of strata. Most of the water movement in the study area is through fractures, faults, and partings between the beds." Do you agree with that statement?

A Yeah. Again, they were, of course, citing Danielson in that lime-colored report that you -- that you produced. And with the additional information that we've collected since, I would have to say that the influence of faults is probably relatively minor within

the permit area. I think the fractures and the bedding planes, as well as the mere presence of less permeable layers around the more permeable layers, are probably the things that predominantly control groundwater flow.

Q So your position has been modified somewhat?

A Yeah. Not significantly, but somewhat. And I may be making a distinction among geologists that I don't need to, but my understanding of a fault is that it's basically a fracture that has offset. So they are similar in one sense but we don't see with -- we do see some fractures that things are coming from, but whether they're faults or not, we know that in the major faults the Blind Canyon Fault there's no flow along that. So I may be making -- making us a semantics problem here.

Q Okay. Going now to the chart that was prepared on Birch Spring on the flows, and you talked about the USGS measurements that had been done back in the '70s --

A Right.

Q -- there's more than one spring around Birch Spring. Do you know what was measured by the USGS for that flow?

A It's -- it came out of that lime green report of Danielson's.

Q Maybe you can direct me to the page, then.

A Yes. It begins on -- let's see -- the bottom of page 71 --

Q Okay.

A Spring 26 BCA-S1 is Birch Spring. And that -- there are a few measurements on the bottom of page 71 and some additional ones on the top of page 72.

Q Can you tell from that whether they measured all the springs that were there or just one of the springs that were there, or what was measured?

A From -- from my understanding, what they were measuring was the flow out of the collection system at the time, so whatever's included in the collection system in 1978 and 1979.

Q Okay. Do you know which parts were in the collection system that the time?

A I do not know what -- what, if any, changes have been made in the collection system that would have affected the area of flow between '78 and now.

MR. SMITH: Okay. That's all the questions I have.

MR. CARTER: Okay. Are we --

MR. APPEL: Mr. Reynolds.

MR. CARTER: All right. Let's move to that.

CHARLES REYNOLDS,

called as a witness for and on behalf of the Co-Op Mining

Company, was further examined and testified as follows:

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. APPEL:

Q Tell me as briefly as you can, realizing we're running short of time, how you set up your lines to your meters to catch the water from the mine. Where do you set them up? How do you collect?

A There are simply pipelines that are installed to the sumps. We have pumps in the sumps where the water's collected here (Indicating), at various locations. And they all come in through actually two separate pipelines that we've got installed in the mine. One is a supply line. One is a discharge line. They either go into one or the other. We do have a third line, which is our culinary water line. And the supply line has a meter on it. Culinary line has a meter on it. And then the discharge line, where it comes out and prior to discharging into Bear Creek, that's also got a flow meter to --

Q So you metered all three of the lines?

A Yes.

Q Where are those meters located? One is outside the portal, I guess?

A Yeah. The discharge meter is outside. The supply meter is just inside the portal, located up in

this area of the mine (Indicating). The culinary water meter is located on the pipeline (Indicating).

Q Okay. So the lines follow that one main and then take a right angle or --

A Yeah, they come out and then come down here and out of the mine (Indicating).

Q There was some mention that you had drilled the sandstone channel to find out how thick it was?

A Yes. We -- we did some horizontal drilling through the channel to try to determine whether there was coal still ahead of us or coal on the other side of the channel anywhere close to us.

We -- the furthest we were able to drill out was, we drilled about 450 feet, which was the extent of what we could reach. We put several holes, which, in fact, are the holes that the isotopic data out of the 3rd West Bleeder were taken from. Those were the holes that were drilled through the channel. We would drill some horizontal holes at various angles to intercept the coal underneath and ahead of the channel.

We did find at about 425 feet ahead of it that the coal seam thickness began to increase. That was all the information we found. The coal underneath the channel got down to as low as six inches. The highest that it come up to when it reached the extent we were

able to drill was near three feet.

Q Do you know how far above you, how far above the height of your coal shaft -- it's not a shaft; it's your main -- the sandstone exists? Did you drill up and find out where --

A No, we don't. We were primarily drilling for coal, to look at the coal. We did not drill up through there, the sandstone channel.

Q Did you encounter only sandstone in any of your holes?

A Sandstone.

Q Okay. Is there any hole that you didn't encounter coal in?

A That we were drilling horizontally there, no.

Q Okay. So you drilled until you hit coal?

A Yes. We would drill at a given angle until we penetrated the coal seam. They would -- then we would come back and drill at another angle. By surveying the holes and determining where we penetrated, we tried to draw a picture of what the coal looked like ahead of us.

Q Can you show us on the map where you did this drilling and then locate it so that if we -- by some coordinates that are nearby so when we look at the map, we can tell where you're talking about?

A It was in the 3rd West Bleeder. Like I

mentioned, it was -- the drill holes we drilled were the holes that the isotopic samples were taken from in the 3rd West Bleeder. They were drilled here (Indicating) in a generally northward direction at various lengths going anywhere from 30 feet to 400 feet.

Q Okay. And that's the only place you did that drilling?

A Yes. We -- we did -- the water was -- sampled at this 3rd West South was also sampled out of a drill hole that penetrated the Blind Canyon Fault to the west. We did do some drilling on various angles, anywhere from 30 to 70 degree angles. Part of the purpose of that was to -- we were trying to look at the possibility of any Tank Seam coal that may be on the other side of the fault. It was the primary purpose of that drilling.

Q Did you do any strictly horizontal drilling?

A Through the fault? No.

Q No -- I know you're thinking about the fault -- through the sandstone mass.

A Through the sandstone channel? Not strictly horizontal. As you're drilling horizontal, usually the weight of your steel -- the further out you get, the more your drill steel will begin to bend. So technically, none of the holes are exactly horizontal. They're going to be a curve as you're drilling. By drilling at various

angles, you get various curve out in front of it. But the drilling was primarily horizontal. We were drilling from the coal seam to the coal straight ahead of us.

Q Are you planning on mining beyond the sandstone channel?

A It's not very profitable mining six inches of coal. At this point we're not permitted to and we don't plan on mining beyond it.

Q Did you take any cores or do any drilling that indicates that there's an overlaying sandstone in the section?

A We did not.

Q So you don't know if there's -- you heard the testimony before that there's some sandstone overlaying your coal seam?

A Yeah. We don't know how far out that comes other than looking at where we encountered the water coming in from the roof drips here (Indicating).

Q So you have not done any corings to determine if it's actually coming from sandstone?

A Well, the roof bolting -- we have had several roof falls in that area of the mine that have penetrated up to -- we know there is sandstone above us.

Q Do you know how thick it is?

A We never -- we haven't tested in this area to

see just how thick it is.

Q Do you have anything to indicate there's sandstone farther forward, in your mind, away from the current working face?

MR. CARTER: South, you mean?

MR. APPEL: South. Thanks.

MR. CARTER: I saw which way your hand moved.

MR. APPEL: It was a twitch at this point.

THE WITNESS: There are -- there are some -- there are some sandstones throughout the area immediately above the coal seam. Whether they're continuous, I don't know. I do know at the Blind Canyon portals there's sandstone immediately above the coal at the outcrop there. We do know, in drilling from here into the Tank Seam, that there are several layers of sandstone throughout the Blackhawk Formation in between the seams, that they're also interbedded with many layers of shale.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) Can you show us -- stay at the map, if you would, for a moment -- where the face would be that you were mining in 1989?

A Let's see. Right here (Indicating) the map shows a date of November 28th, 1989.

Q That map references dates?

A Yes, this map shows dates of mining throughout the mine.

Q Okay. And in 1990, is work advancing?

A We advanced a portion of it. The date indicates that we were here (Indicating) at November 20th, 1990. There again, mining was not continuous because we certainly normally advance further than that in a year. But because of the quality of the coal, we were having to blend it with coal from other areas.

We had advanced -- let's see -- from where that water shows, approximately four pillars by November 20th of 1990. The next date on here is the date at the end, which shows April 27th of '93.

Q How about the other main?

A Over here (Indicating?

Q Uh-huh (Affirmative).

A We do show this section (Indicating) was started in November of '89. First date on here shows right here (Indicating) of April of '90 -- April 12th, 1990. Where we mined into the face here was March -- March 30th, 1992.

And I might mention -- and I'm not a geologist, but I would anticipate, due to the elevation and slope -- we did not encounter a -- significant amounts of water in this area of the channel that we did in this area of the channel (Indicating). We did get some roof dripping, which has dried up currently. The

only water you see in that is water that's flowing out of that drill hole going into the channel.

Q When did the mine encounter the location where Drill Hole 1 is?

MR. HANSEN: Did the mining operation encounter water at DH-1?

THE WITNESS: We did not. The time that we mined through that -- we reached the end of that section in April 21st of 1986, so I assume it would have been in or of '86. It would have been in March -- February or March of '86.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) You drilled two surface wells, "you" meaning Co-Op?

A 1994 -- let's see. Actually, I think it was in the fall of '93, we actually put three surface wells that we drilled north of the permit area on federal leases that we'd have. This was for the purpose of evaluating and -- both geology and hydrology for the purpose of generating potential permit application for that area. That permit application has not been generated. It's still under evaluation. And that is not part of our permit area.

Q Do you have drill logs from that?

A We do.

Q Okay. Who did the drilling for you?

A Bob Beeman Drilling drilled the holes for us. They were supervised by Greg Hunt.

Q Were you looking for water?

A We were looking for water and coal, qual and quantity.

Q Where did you find water?

A Primarily we didn't encounter much water there. We did, as we were going down, intercept various perched aquifers, perched areas where there was water in the hole.

The primary purpose of the monitor wells that were installed was to monitor the Spring Canyon Sandstone, because that's the underlying aquifer of the coal seams. And so we -- they pretty much drilled right to the bottom. And we had already -- prior to drilling had designed to install the wells in the Spring Canyon -- in the Spring Canyon member of the Star Point Sandstone.

Q Do you have any current monitoring devices down in that member?

A Well, it's -- currently it's not part of our permit area and it's not part of our -- our required monitoring program. We do have well-level equipment installed in two of the wells. This well, we have -- we did get some data out of it the first year. We have since encountered a plug in there, in that well, which --

we're looking at the -- the options we have of being able to go back in and open it up.

Q Was there any formation you drilled in which you didn't encounter water?

A Oh, I'm sure there was.

Q You don't know what it was?

A We -- let's see. They were drilling with air through -- let's see -- SDH-3. Most of the hole was actually drilled with air, which means there was very little water encountered.

MR. HANSEN: If you don't remember, say you don't remember.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember. I would have to review the log.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) But Co-Op has those records in its possession?

A We do.

Q Were there formal reports prepared?

A There -- they will be prepared. When we look at permitting area.

Q Do you have an assemblage of information?

A No. Currently the drilling information is a confidential document.

Q Why?

MR. CARTER: Just proprietary information they

generated for the purposes of getting together an application, I would guess. I mean, when they file it with the Division, it will become public.

Is that about right?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

MR. CARTER: It's not something we ask them to do.

MR. APPEL: I understand. We'd like to review the information for the purposes of water. We're not in a competitive coal exploration venture.

Do you have any objection to that, Mark?

MR. HANSEN: I don't know exactly what the data is. I think we'd have to look at it and make that determination. We've stated that the holes were drilled for exploratory purpose and it is confidential and proprietary.

MR. APPEL: Well, he said two purposes. He said hydrogeology too.

MR. SMITH: That's right, and they've been made an issue in this proceeding. I believe you've had testimony that people have relied on information gathered there.

THE WITNESS: Currently -- maybe I'll add for clarification -- currently we have one single initial well measurement out of it. That is the data that

EarthFax has used to plot this. So that is the information that we have generated from it.

MR. APPEL: Okay.

MR. HANSEN: Just for the record: I'm not meaning to be difficult. I just haven't spoken with my client. Personally, I don't care one way or the other. But Charles says that Co-Op considers the information proprietary. I don't have authority to waive that privilege without speaking to them first.

MR. APPEL: And I'm simply asking that you speak to them and get back to us. Since your experts relied on some of their -- it's important.

THE WITNESS: Co-Op's position is, currently that really doesn't have any bearing on our existing permit, on our existing PHC.

MR. CARTER: I understood --

MR. HANSEN: I think the question is going to be, Does Co-Op Mine have a problem producing the hydrologic information it acquired from those two holes? Is that correct?

MR. APPEL: (Moves head up and down.)

THE WITNESS: I would have to consult -- we don't have a problem with the information that has been produced that's shown on this diagram.

MR. APPEL: We are interested in where you've

encountered other water.

MR. HANSEN: You're asking for the data underlying that chart, basically. Isn't that it?

MR. APPEL: Well, we're asking probably for the drill logs and any information derived from that that pertains to water.

MR. HANSEN: What are the drill numbers, hole numbers?

THE WITNESS: SDH-1, SDH-2, and SDH-3. We were not specifically looking for water in the upper formations. As I mentioned earlier, the purpose of the wells were to look at the underlying aquifer in the Star Point Sandstone.

Q (BY MR. APPEL) So one of the purposes -- I'll leave it alone.

MR. CARTER: They'll check and see if they're comfortable.

MR. APPEL: I have nothing further.

MR. CARTER: You can decide if it's a problem.

MR. HANSEN: Again, for the record: I have no problem. I haven't been authorized to release the data. I think I know exactly what data you request. I will bring it up with them and I will even encourage them to provide it.

MR. APPEL: And then let us know.

MR. HANSEN: And then let you know.

MR. SMITH: I have a couple of questions of Mr. Reynolds.

MR. CARTER: I was about to say as we approach 6:00, "If you had ten minutes, what would you do with it?" Keep that in mind as you ask your questions because I'm getting in deep trouble if I'm not back in Salt Lake by 8:30 or 8:45.

MR. SMITH: I have a couple of questions Mr. Reynolds.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMITH:

Q As I understand it, the monitoring of water inside the mine was -- you measured by meter the water that was used inside the mine, correct?

A (Witness moves head up and down.)

Q You need to say yes or no for the record.

A Yes. By "metering the water": discharged from the mine as well as the amount of water used in the mine.

Q That's right. And is that the same discharge records that were turned in as part of your discharge permit?

A Yes.

Q If someone were to testify that there was

three to four times that water actually discharged because you're over your permit and didn't want to disclose that to the governmental authorities, would you say that's a true or a false statement?

A That is false. There is no limit to the amount of water that can be discharged in a permit. There never has been.

Q Okay. When was it you were discharging water into the old workings, worked-out part of the mine? What years was that?

A It would have been the year of 1990 and the first part of 1991.

Q If someone were to testify it was the summer of 1989, that would be wrong?

A I would have to say yes. We didn't even encounter the water until -- till later, later -- later in '89.

Q Well, I know that's what your maps say, but I'm just saying, Are you sure that's true? I can draw a map that says you encountered water in the year 2010. But it's your testimony it was 1990, not 1989?

A That's correct.

Q And if someone were to testify it was 1989, they would be in error?

A I would have to say yes. My records show it

was 1990.

Q How about your recollection? Do you have any recollection of that?

MR. HANSEN: He's already said he wasn't there at that time.

MR. SMITH: Just let me make my record, Mark; then we'll be done.

Q (BY MR. SMITH) What's your recollection of that, if any?

A Of discharging into the old workings?

Q Yes.

A When I first began working for them, they were -- or working for Co-Op, they were discharging into the old workings.

Q And when did you start working for Co-Op?

A In June of 1991. And it was in -- I don't remember the exact date of the hearing which occurred in 1991, but it was shortly after that hearing. I believe the hearing may have been May of 1991.

Q Now, how many --

A It was shortly after I began working that was discontinued.

Q How many discharge points did Co-Op have from the mine?

A From the mine?

Q Yeah.

A Just one.

Q So if someone were to testify that there was one by the fan and there was one that went to the ballpark, there was more than one, I guess your testimony would be that's inaccurate?

A That's correct. There's not a discharge that went by the fan. The water that goes to the ballpark is off of our culinary water system. That is not part of the discharge. That is addressed in our discharge permit. But the state does not require a discharge permit for culinary water.

Q I see. So your testimony would be there's only one, there's not three, like someone else might testify to?

A That's correct.

MR. CARTER: Just to make sure: Wasn't it generally agreed that there was a short period of time during which there was a discharge taking place at the fan, or was that not clearly established? I don't know.

THE WITNESS: That statement was made by Mr. Atwood. I am not aware of that ever occurring.

MR. HANSEN: That was not agreed to.

MR. CARTER: I see. Thank you.

MR. SMITH: That's all the questions I have.

MR. CARTER: All right.

MR. HANSEN: We're done.

MR. APPEL: Let me briefly call Mr. Leemaster.

MR. HANSEN: Oh, certainly.

MR. CARTER: Yeah, that's fine. Let's do that.

DARREL LEEMASTER,

called as a witness for and on behalf of the water users, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. APPEL:

Q Would you identify your name?

A Yes. My name's Darrel Leemaster.

Q And for whom do you work?

A I work for Castle Valley Special Services District, district manager.

Q Is one of your responsibilities water quality for Big Bear Springs?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And in the course of performing those duties, are you required to determine the source of that water?

A Not necessarily required to. We do have to do such things as source protection and make sure that we have areas that are protected. And if the Division of

Drinking Water were to require, we would have to show them if it was groundwater- or surface-influenced water.

Q Were you present during Dr. Mayo's testimony this morning?

A Yes.

Q Do you remember him saying that he believed there was recharge along the fractures from the creek?

A Yes.

Q Which creek would that be?

A I think that he was talking about Bear Canyon Creek. That's my understanding.

Q Do you agree with his conclusion?

A No, I don't.

Q You believe it to be completely erroneous?

A I do.

Q Why?

A Because we have run water quality samples on the spring where we identified the particulates that were in the water, specifically looking for anything that would relate it to surface water, and we didn't find any.

Q And you've also tested the creek?

A We haven't tested the creek because we know it is surface water and those particulates that we were looking for would be in there because it was surface water.

Q And you're not finding any particulates from

that spring?

A We are not.

MR. CARTER: Let me ask a question. Wouldn't the movement of water through a quarter mile of sandstone have a tendency to change, if not the chemistry, at least the particulates, big bugs, and things like that? I'm asking based on my understanding that that's the technique that's being used to treat water in the sand filter and it's not a quarter mile of sand.

THE WITNESS: The technique that's being used is, as a large sample is collected, that sample is then examined for the kind of particulates that are in it. They're looking for such things as algae, pollen, amoeba, Giardia, Cryptosporidium -- any of those kinds of things.

Your question about the movement of water through sandstone -- I wouldn't be able to say how far it would have to move through sandstone before those things would be filtered out. But if it is close, as their testimony said, quarter of a mile away, you would expect that it would show up at this point.

MR. CARTER: Okay. I'm just asking that -- that's a lay sort of question, because I don't think I understood the testimony to be that -- well, I thought I understood the testimony to be that where the stream

crosses the sandstone upstream from the spring is a possible recharge area. I think he said something like, "I think I sensed there's a connection, and that seems to me to be the kind of place that it would be possible." I'm not sure he specifically said it's definitely recharging. But I think I understand the concern.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure he specifically said that either, but that's what he was implying, as well as formations cross the creek -- where the creek cross those, that that was the place where it'd be recharged.

MR. CARTER: So having tested and compared stream water with spring water, you see a difference?

THE WITNESS: Well, we didn't actually test the water in the creek. What we did was test the water in the spring. And in those tests we found no particulates that showed they ever had any surface contact.

MR. CARTER: Okay.

MR. HANSEN: Are you through with your direct?

MR. APPEL: Yes.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANSEN:

Q Mr. Leemaster, please tell us all your

background in geology.

A I'm a civil engineer. Geology class in high school, geology class in college. I don't profess to be a geologist.

Q You don't profess to be a geologist?

A I don't.

Q Would you give us your background in hydrology, please.

MR. SMITH: I'm going to object. I think we're wasting time.

MR. HANSEN: We're not. He's professing to give an expert opinion --

MR. SMITH: He hasn't qualified as an expert. He's reported on results of tests they've given. I just think it's a waste of time.

MR. HANSEN: He's stated an opinion. I'm entitled to find out the basis of his opinion.

MR. APPEL: No, he stated that he had data that indicated something completely different from what Dr. Mayo said rather cavalierly.

MR. CARTER: Here's why I asked my question, because I think there's a difference between hydrology and water biology or water quality for the purposes of drinking water. And I understand the concern that you can't rely on a surface source without treatment, but I

think there's a distinction.

What I was getting at is if the recharge area were ten miles away, then there'd be no problem with a concern that's -- you have surface influence, because the water is, we've heard dozens of times -- is all coming from the surface; it's not coming from down below. So at some point, it's all been surface water. The thing is, when it gets to the spring, it's suitable to drink.

And -- I mean, if his -- and so I guess where I'm headed is, I think Mr. Hansen's questioning is okay if the testimony is being offered to demonstrate a lack of hydrologic connection.

MR. APPEL: No. What we're asking him to do is testify what information he has gathered in the course of his employment as to water quality of that spring and whether he believes, based on reviewing that, that it's the same as the creek water. That's it.

MR. CARTER: Let me see if I can short-circuit this. Then he would be offering testimony for the purpose of showing that the water that emerges at the spring is not surface-influenced within the meaning of the Safe Drinking Water Act regulations and those kinds of things?

MR. APPEL: That's right.

MR. CARTER: That's what his tests led him to

conclude to be the case?

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. CARTER: Which I see as a different thing. It may be the same, but I don't see it exactly the same as hydrologic relationship.

MR. NIELSEN: Well, that depends if it's within a quarter mile or not.

MR. CARTER: True.

Well, let me -- in view of the late hour, let me close by asking -- what I will do is, we'll wait until we have a transcript, but I will ask that -- Mr. Hansen's going to check with his clients to see if providing the well logs on the two wells is okay. And if it is, that's fine. If it's not, you can decide what you'd like to say to me about that.

But I'll then likely -- depending on how long the transcript takes, I'll set a period of time for a simultaneous submittal of, basically, closing arguments. And in that letter to you, I'll ask several questions -- and I think I've hinted as to what those might be -- relating to the de minimis exceptions and those kinds of things. So that's basically how I plan to proceed. With any luck, the whole process wouldn't take longer than 45 or 60 days.

MR. APPEL: If we're looking at the 10 to 15,

then it's likely that I can live within 30 days, so I'll let you know, though.

And we also need copies of your exhibits shortly.

MR. HANSEN: We'll get them as soon as we can. We would also ask for copies of your Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and also the copies of --

MR. CARTER: I think I was going to do that, wasn't I?

MR. SMITH: That was the --

MR. HANSEN: And also a copy of the document that Mr. Leemaster was just referring to.

MR. CARTER: All right. Is that acceptable, just your test results with regard to the spring quality?

THE WITNESS: I don't see any problem providing that.

MR. CARTER: With that, we'll close.

MR. SMITH: Why don't we make those an exhibit, then, if we're going to be providing it to the other side?

MR. CARTER: If I can find out what number that would be --

(A discussion was held off the record.)

MR. CARTER: We'll make those an exhibit.

MR. HANSEN: It's fine with me to stipulate that it may be an exhibit and the water users may

determine what the next sequential exhibit number is and give it that number.

MR. CARTER: And with that, we'll close. And drive carefully.

(Proceedings adjourned at 6:15 p.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

State of Utah)
; ss.
County of Emery)

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, SCOTT M. KNIGHT, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, residing at West Jordan, Utah;

That said proceedings were reported by me in Stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting and that a full, true, and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed is set forth in the foregoing pages numbered from 4 to 303, inclusive.

I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof.

WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at West Jordan, Utah, this 26th day of March, 1997.

Scott M. Knight, RPR
Utah License No. 92-110171-7801

My Commission Expires:
June 19, 2000

&

& 26:20 29:2

'

'81 125:20
'88 125:20
'89 12:4,4
'91 14:8 15:20 16:23
'92 11:18 14:4,9,18 15:20 27:15
'93 14:4 27:15,16 84:10 121:13
'95 9:4

1

1 32:20 33:3,4 74:12 85:12,17 110:15 128:11,12 143:25 144:5
1,000 40:10 70:19 71:1
1,000-year-old 51:7,12
1,500 39:22 42:11 46:8 47:19,20 122:24
1,500-year-old 47:23 51:6,11
1,900 50:21
1-C 75:14
1.2 46:3 49:14 83:16 110:15 116:3
1/2-minute 125:21
10 139:12
100 105:19
108 45:2,4
12 19:19
120 12:14 14:10
125 14:24
130 14:24
13th 30:1,8 59:24
14 35:20 36:10,16,18 38:4,11 73:7 89:18
140 14:12
15 125:22 138:19 139:5
150 115:3 128:21
17 138:19
170 14:13
18 19:19,19 36:4
180 14:14
1980s 103:10
1984 16:18 17:1
1988 22:7
1989 12:9 13:6 22:7
1990 8:7 12:2 14:6 15:14 16:20 17:2,5 18:4 102:14
1991 6:7,7 7:20 11:1,13,20,21 13:8 15:18 17:2 18:5,6 21:23 22:5 25:23
1991; 18:11
1992 9:3 11:15 13:9 17:6 126:5
1993 9:3 11:17,18 27:14 104:9
1994 9:4 18:25
1995 18:25
1996 30:1
1997 1:12

2

2 32:20 35:7 36:4 85:13,16
2.2 38:21
20 14:21
20,000 47:21
20,000-year-old 47:24 91:21
200 128:12
230 128:18
25 105:25
250 14:20 128:22
260 128:18
27th 41:16
28 1:12

3

3 32:20 39:2 81:14 85:2,6,10 144:5
3,250 143:16
3-D 77:22
30 13:2 37:5
3rd 35:10 36:8 38:11,11,14,15 43:25 60:5

4

4 83:19 85:6 123:12 143:20
40 12:8,21 148:11

5

5 67:3,4,5 100:2,7 113:24 144:2
5,000 39:8 92:19
5,000-year-old 47:23 51:10
50 76:9 77:8 148:11
500 128:12

6

60 138:23
600 128:15,24

7

7 125:21
75 106:1

8

8 12:7,20
80 13:8
800 128:24
81 14:8
82 14:9

9

9.6 45:2,4
9:40 1:13

A

a.m 1:13
Abandoned 126:14 135:2 144:6
able 4:14,15 17:15 32:25 77:13 127:19 135:23
about 6:7 7:17 8:6 14:8,9,12,13,20,21,24 15:12 19:11,14,19,19 22:18 25:1,3,9 26:5 33:15 34:21 40:7,10 43:3,18 48:9 50:9 51:17,21,22,23,25 53:11 54:9,10 57:10,16 61:10 62:15 63:23 64:18,18 65:24 66:18 67:6,9,12,16 68:7,13 70:8,9,9,13,19,23 72:17 73:18 74:1,2,3,23 76:13 77:9 79:4,5 81:14 84:5,21 86:17,25 87:24 88:4 89:8 94:15 96:16 97:5,6 99:19 100:2,3,5,7 101:2 102:5 106:19 110:11 111:12,13 114:8 119:1,3 122:24 125:22 126:1,25 128:15,21 130:20 131:15,16,17,20 133:14,15 134:14 135:6 137:11 138:19,23 139:19 140:16 141:10,21
above 1:14 16:1,4 18:23 33:24 34:1,2 41:13 69:2,14,19 79:22 80:7,8 84:10 104:13,15 107:25 112:14 136:5 149:1
absolute 47:6 122:12 147:21
absolutely 56:11 74:25 78:17 92:10 104:21
academic 71:3,6
accessible 11:8
accommodate 28:6
accompanied 126:21
according 143:16
account 103:9
accuracy 33:10
accurate 31:13,20 54:16 75:15 86:20 122:17
accurately 109:19
acknowledging 64:9
across 37:6 82:21 93:5,12 133:22 138:7 140:12
act 140:22
ACT/015/025 4:7
acting 131:4
action 109:14
active 97:24 126:11
activities 50:16
activity 108:20
acts 49:8
actual 10:11,23 19:16 24:13 56:6 117:12
actually 9:1 11:15 19:17 22:6 31:17,17 38:16 43:5 51:2 83:21 84:11 99:25 100:20 117:23 122:6 139:21
add 23:18
added 60:24
adding 117:14
additional 27:21 111:23
adequacy 110:19
adequate 64:14 95:3 111:2
adjacent 134:20
admissibility 63:21
admitted 31:22
admitting 32:6
advance 13:10
advanced 14:11
advancing 13:17
advertisement 94:21,22
aerial 37:5 146:21
affected 18:20 116:14
affecting 50:16
affidavit 111:25
Affirmative 19:13 65:3 81:21 83:6 117:10 143:3 145:14,16 148:8,17,19,21 149:3,9,20
after 15:15 23:6 48:14 55:22 84:13 85:9 97:12 121:12 126:1 142:2
afternoon 23:7
again 13:8,18 17:1 27:15 63:7 100:10 132:6 136:5 149:22
against 14:16
age 39:8,21,24 40:9 42:10,19 45:25 47:6,9 49:18,19 50:18 70:13,16,20,20,23,25 71:16 72:13,18 73:11,12,15,21 75:12 81:17,19,23 82:21 83:11 86:7 92:3 121:21
ages 49:18 70:8 75:11 88:14
ago 47:20 83:15 91:25 94:6 131:22
agree 64:4 67:24 122:22
agreeing 33:9
agreement 107:9
ahead 7:7 52:7 80:11,12
air 148:5
Alan 28:7,25 126:20
allow 90:15
almost 45:6,24 91:12 149:25
alone 103:2
along 40:19 43:8,21 53:6 75:20 79:21 110:16 139:7
already 42:1 45:18 56:8 60:22 86:11 127:5 131:16 139:15 141:25
although 113:25
always 14:23 20:10 57:6 63:6 84:7 87:23 145:8
ambiguous 97:3
amount 14:22 25:2 34:5 42:1,9 46:15 49:2 50:21,21 54:16 80:22 84:7,23 93:1 139:11
amounts 16:9
analogy 110:4
analysis 38:4 40:7 59:23 87:3,6
analyze 94:1,2
analyzed 35:19 36:9
angle 138:17,21
annually 62:6
another 13:13 15:3 35:3,5 45:19 75:20 82:18 83:24 84:2 110:22 111:25 113:21 138:4
answer 66:7 77:7 96:5 105:18 109:10 113:19 134:10
answers; 113:20
anticipate 92:6
anticipated 20:23
anyone 99:11
anything 33:10 52:4 54:22 59:20 91:20 100:20 110:11 131:15 139:18
Anyway 42:9
anywhere 71:20 103:8
apologies 125:1
appear 46:14
appearance 48:15
appearances 48:13
appears 15:6 39:21 45:16 46:11 49:7 51:13 81:18 83:25 93:2 139:12
Appel 2:4,17,18,21,24,25 3:6,8 7:10 9:16,18 14:2,3 15:9 17:23 25:1,9 28:8,12 32:7,12 33:3,7,9 52:6 95:7,9 96:5,7 97:5,7,12 99:12,14,17,25 100:9 101:14 105:3 107:17 108:8 110:18,21 111:8,11,13,15 112:6 113:1 115:25 120:12 121:5,7 124:14,24 125:2 127:21 137:24 141:13 142:6,10,14,18,22 144:3 147:2
application 6:14,17 9:21 23:19 27:16
apply 57:17,18 102:21
appreciable 48:19 49:2 102:3,13
appreciate 4:12,16 142:24
approach 42:7 76:2
approached 30:21,23 33:14
approaching 13:10
approve 110:25
approved 110:24
approximately 45:18 52:23 128:2,18 140:10
approximation 105:24
April 4:15 41:16
aquiclude 44:3
aquifer 58:3 87:24 89:25 98:24 100:24,24
aquifers 87:23 88:4,19 113:2
aquitard 58:17
area 11:6 19:7,8,10,12,14,16,17 20:3,11 24:14 31:7 33:15,18,19 34:1,7,8,10 36:8 37:12 39:10,17,19 40:23 41:1,2,5,13,14 44:23 48:17 50:5,14 54:6,9 58:11 61:3,20 72:2 73:9 76:2,4,5 79:5,6,9,12,13 80:19 81:6 82:16 85:1 86:12,20 96:25 99:23 103:15 105:6,10 106:6 107:7 118:11 119:23 121:17 122:16 125:22,24 126:6,10,11 127:1,12 128:15 129:1,5,6 131:2 133:23 134:19 135:21 137:3,7,12,16 138:10,14 139:2,25 140:1,3,6,13,17,18 141:15,20,21,25 144:16 145:1 146:2,16,18 148:13,14 149:1
areas 7:24 20:5 64:17,18 120:20,22
aren't 55:18 127:18 130:18
argument 64:10 73:6 77:12 110:14
arguments 64:8
around 12:14 13:8 18:14 26:6 33:13 43:1 58:14
artistic 128:6
ash 41:3
ask 28:9 32:22 37:3 43:23 52:7 53:13 56:4 59:14,16 66:18,19 70:1 80:3 81:14 96:21 100:10 107:22 108:13 119:2 121:5 122:1 131:17 134:9 136:25
asked 4:19,21 7:11 25:9 26:8 32:23 67:9,11 74:22 93:24 94:11 99:9
asking 44:2 97:13 99:25 114:18
assisted 9:20,23,24 10:4
associated 19:3 20:14 40:22 49:10 93:20 139:11
Associates 29:2
association 18:6
assume 43:7 55:19 62:21 65:15 109:1,3 114:18 137:22 143:20
assuming 54:15 63:25 133:22
assumption 45:18 54:19,24 86:6
assumptions 62:25
asymptotic 42:7
atmosphere 87:5
attach 47:13
attempt 137:18
attempting 47:13
attention 19:2 131:23
attorney 66:17
attorneys 109:11
available 98:3 114:6
avenue 91:10
average 13:24
avoid 39:2 64:2
aware 18:14 20:6,24 95:22 97:21 133:9 149:4
away 88:15 109:16 123:23
awful 37:25 42:19 93:11

B

bachelor's 29:5 125:18,19
back 21:16 28:11,12 31:6 36:17 41:7,9 42:3 53:7 55:1 69:25 92:21 96:18 100:13 101:2,21 110:5 118:19 135:4,24 141:14 146:8 148:2
background 7:17 29:4,7 76:25 125:18,25
bad 87:5
bare 84:11
barrier 43:17 49:8 115:21,21
barrier/nonbarrier 82:25
barriers 107:16
base 129:8,20 130:3
based 58:4 63:3 67:24 70:6 75:11 77:2,17 78:13 92:5 97:21 98:2 109:24 115:25 144:15 145:18
baseline 9:2,3 10:16 11:17
bases 136:25
basically 33:22 35:9,10 51:6,7 60:10 64:8 74:5 85:16 92:4 134:19,23 139:5
basinal 126:4
basis 62:7 76:4 88:21 107:21 144:12 145:19
bay 146:8
beach 146:10
BEAR 1:7 4:6 5:22 8:23 9:1 14:16

15:17 16:1,2,4,6,7,17 18:15 19:8 20:15 30:12 38:7,7 43:19 49:11, 24,25 50:2,4,17 61:9 67:16 73:5,7 75:22 89:8,9,11 93:25 109:4,7 113:8,9 116:9,11,16 120:10,11 121:16 122:16 123:2,3 130:2 137: 17 138:6,7 139:4 141:16,20,20,22 143:11 145:10 148:24 149:1
became 33:21
because 13:11 34:14 39:25 42:6 43:20 46:13 47:7,17 48:12,21 51:3 54:14 56:21 58:13 63:5 69:2 72:4 76:4 79:22 82:25 83:13,16 85:21 86:10 87:3,4 96:8 101:24 103:14 106:3 107:6 108:12,14 112:15,24 114:24 116:5,6,12,20 119:17,23 120:17,24 122:9 127:6 128:5,7 131:15 142:19 145:22 146:11 147: 8
become 17:9,12 142:23
becomes 46:13 79:24 129:21 132: 5
bed 33:22 41:18
bedrock 48:19
BEFORE 1:1,14 12:17 18:7 45:22 58:25 67:8 81:15 84:23 85:3 86:11 96:24 97:4 102:5 103:11,23 104: 12 118:1 120:6
began 8:8 11:20 12:5 15:14 18:4 22:19 25:16 95:24 96:2
begin 5:4 15:13 16:20 39:3 144:24
beginning 11:16 15:18,20 16:11, 25 102:14
begins 144:25
begun 16:13
behalf 5:13 28:20 125:5
behave 82:24
believe 12:3 15:10,18 16:18,25 17:12 22:5 31:4 36:14 38:20 40:21 41:11 48:18 53:4,11 54:8 59:16 60:2 66:13 74:4,12,15 75:8 77:14 78:11,19 84:3,19 90:14 94:14 105: 4 114:19 123:25 131:11 144:12 147:2
below 13:2 16:2 33:25 66:4 70:14, 17,24 71:16 72:14 97:24 103:8 119:9 132:10 134:3 135:9 146:20
beneath 38:18 39:12 51:7,13,15, 17 68:8,15 72:2 88:17 96:14 98:7 99:15 131:23
best 24:10 26:25 31:15,19 67:25 105:23
better 60:15 61:18 71:5 74:1 76:8, 15 87:20 101:22 133:13 135:14
between 12:20 14:13 18:9 22:2 47:22 49:5 50:10 51:1,14 52:18 69:22 88:10 100:21 103:10 121:15 136:20 143:8,10 144:19 146:5 147:3,11
beyond 20:15,25
Big 9:1 16:6,17 19:14 30:12 35:24 38:7 49:24,25 50:2,17 54:24 74:3, 6 80:1 81:3 88:19,20 89:8,9,11 91: 10 93:7,25 103:14 105:23 109:7 113:8,9 115:12 116:9,11,16 120: 10,11 130:2 139:4 141:20 148:24 149:1
bigger 80:25 109:21
billion 45:6,12,24,24
Birch 9:1 16:5,17 18:23 30:12 38: 7 50:18 51:1,8,21,23 81:19,25 82: 2,3,6,17 83:3,12,15 89:3,4,5 93:25 109:4 116:9,14 120:8 130:2 138:4 139:10 141:24 148:24 149:1
bit 7:16 8:10 73:25 82:10 88:18 115:17 128:6 135:5
black 78:22
Blackhawk 69:5 88:11,13,14,17 106:10,12 112:16,18,25 113:1 128: 24 129:4,8 146:4,5,6
blatant 94:22
Bleeder 22:25 36:8 38:12,14
blend 13:14 41:4
Blind 8:4,12 16:8 18:21,22 19:2,12 30:11,16 34:14 35:18 39:7,16 43: 17 44:19 49:7 51:4 53:14 74:5 82: 3 92:18 97:25 113:10,11 124:5 129:7 131:24 134:4,6 136:21 137: 4,17,25 138:2,11,12 139:16,22,25 141:17 143:10 146:14
blue 33:16 40:22 41:14 79:6,14 85:1,17
bodies 69:5,6
body 41:12 69:9 85:22,23,23 86: 22 104:8 134:20
bone 31:7
both 7:23 8:12 21:25 30:11 37:8 85:21 90:10 114:16,17 138:5 139: 21 140:16
bottle 87:1
bottom 19:21 20:3 57:4 74:8,8 90: 13 100:20 102:4 132:14 134:4 135:21 136:21
bound 114:2 147:6
boundaries 43:21 74:11,13,23
boundary 74:21 75:1,8 127:25,25 128:1
bounded 43:15 137:16
bounding 74:6 93:7 141:19
bowl 104:16,17
brackish 146:7
break 31:13 140:21
brief 125:16
briefing 108:13 110:14
briefly 23:3 29:7 48:9 128:14 130: 6 137:10
Brigham 29:3 125:19
bring 34:15 97:3
brittle; 140:20
broad 139:8
broad-based 41:15
brought 34:13 92:21
bucket 90:22
burden 109:24
business 29:13,14 87:16 126:3
business; 29:12
BYU 29:12

C

C-1 39:3 40:23 41:21
C-2 39:3
C-3 39:3
C-4 42:15 95:15 96:11
C-5 48:3
C-6 124:6
C-7 127:14
C-8 137:20 140:2
C-h-r-i-s 125:13
calculate 46:9
calculated 44:25
calculation 44:24 45:10 76:2,4 83:10,10
calculations 43:6,10 72:11 90:17
call 22:24 28:7,11,12 75:17,17 81: 19 86:1 89:24 99:22 102:18 135: 17
called 5:13 27:4 28:15,20 33:19 102:24 125:5
calling 5:4 87:8
calls 124:21
came 19:18 22:23 31:4,5 33:21 34:8 38:24 53:5,7 73:4
can 7:6,16 14:4 19:4 23:2,18 24: 10 30:22 31:22 32:17 37:3 39:1,2 40:25 43:23 47:3 48:3 53:2 54:1 57:3,4 58:24 59:7 60:3 62:21 63:1, 11,24,25 65:12 66:19 67:14 68:24 69:8 70:9,9 73:2 74:11,12 75:24 76:15 81:22 82:5 84:3 87:6 88:17 92:15 93:16 94:23 96:5 98:21 102: 18 105:20 106:16 107:5 112:1,3 117:21 119:2,12 122:1 123:13,25 125:10,16,17 130:19 131:15 133: 15,15 135:7 137:20 138:20 139:17, 18 142:3,15 143:13,14,15
can't 36:2 62:20 88:5 90:17 93:10 96:16,18 97:20 100:22 119:19 120:3 123:16 149:22
cannot 64:14 94:25 95:1
CANYON 1:7 4:6 5:22 8:5,12 14: 16 16:4,8 18:15,21,22 19:2,3,6,9, 12 20:4,15 30:11,16 34:14 35:18 39:7,16 43:17,19 44:19 49:7,11 51:4 53:15 61:10 67:16 74:5 75:22 82:4 92:18 97:25 113:10,11 123:3 124:5 126:15 129:7,9,25 131:13,24 134:4,6 136:9,21 137:4,17,17,25 138:2,6,7,7,11,12 139:16,22,25 141:17,17,22 143:10,11 146:6,14 147:18
capillary 57:4
capsulate 47:3
car 110:5
carbon 35:20 36:10,16,18 38:4,11 73:7 89:18
care 107:25 110:18
careful 87:4 122:9
carry 88:6,7,8,24,24
carrying 113:13
CARTER 4:2 5:6,8 7:9,12 9:16 23: 18,25 28:10,17 31:25 32:5 33:8,11 34:21 35:8,12 36:5 37:3,14,17 39: 4 42:16 43:23,25 44:14 45:4 47:3, 13,17 52:2,6 53:13,17,19,23 57:12 64:3 66:20 67:4 68:1,3 76:9,24 78: 24 80:3,9 81:8,11 82:3,12 83:2,7 85:10 91:19,25 92:3,10,12 94:20 97:15,17 99:12,15 101:12 104:1,7, 9,12,20,22 107:5,22 108:12 110: 20,22 111:9,17,21 112:20 119:2,4, 12,22 122:18 123:8,22 124:2,7,12, 18 127:15 131:17 132:2,10,13 134: 9 135:5,9 136:2,11 137:9 142:8 144:1 146:1,8,13,17 147:1
cartoon 43:10,11 75:17 80:10,12 103:14
case 4:20 8:25 45:21 47:11,20 102:2 108:7
Castle 1:16 119:6
Castlegate 128:21
catch 23:6 111:20
causal 110:6,12
Cause 4:7 149:18
caused 93:1
causing 52:20 93:4
caveat 110:10
ceiling 31:8
center 68:11
certain 13:18 73:11 84:7 97:8
certainly 4:24 32:17 43:12,12 108:18 127:15 142:7
certainty 63:3,5
certifying 22:11
Chambers 1:15
chance 64:19
change 5:25 128:8 144:20
changed 96:17 116:1 127:6
channel 8:15 12:16 13:11 14:18, 23,25 15:5,7 20:3 33:20,21,23 34: 2,4,6,10 36:11,13 37:4,5,7,10,11, 13,19,24,25 38:12 39:11,21 40:9, 16,18,20 41:11,12,15,15,17,22,25 42:2,10,18 43:8,12,15 44:23 45:1, 3,8,13,15,19,20,22 46:2 47:2 48:17 49:15,15,16,20,20 51:3,12,13,15 53:7 56:13 70:10 74:1,3,9,14,15, 16,19,20 75:9,12,24 76:5,8,11 77: 16,22 78:5,7,15,18 79:15 80:1,1, 14,17,19,20 81:1,20 82:17,18,20 83:3,4,13,13,14,19 84:11,18,24 85: 22,23 86:3,22 89:24 90:8 91:21 92:15,20 94:15,16 95:16,20 96:9, 24 98:12 102:4,6,9,11 103:11,14, 15,18,24 104:5,8,12,23 105:2,4,13, 15 106:5,7 107:19,23 108:1,2 112: 7,12,23 113:25 114:3 116:5,6 120: 15 121:13 133:3,8,11,14,17,24,25, 25 134:3,5,13,22,24 135:2,15,21, 25 136:1,6 140:6,9,10 146:3,13,19
channels 37:21 40:3 69:3 75:16, 16 77:25 106:17 129:3 146:2,25
characterization 72:6
characterize 89:25 90:1
characterized 15:8 146:2
charge 10:8
CHARLES 2:16 5:4,19 23:4 28:17 84:4 133:9,13
chart 13:21 38:3 53:3 60:3 69:25 84:9 123:7,11,15 131:19
chase 50:1
cheese 77:10
chemistries 62:20
chemistry 62:3,4 85:10
CHIA 123:12,14
chief 4:20
choice 71:15
CHRIS 2:23 3:3 10:6 124:21,23,23 125:13
chunk 73:1
chunks 34:16 35:24
claims 46:24
clarification 61:14
clarified 85:17
clarify 61:15 97:18 98:15,21 112:9 134:9,10
class 75:17
clay 106:11
clays 69:3 106:10
claystones 128:17 129:2 140:21, 24
clean 21:9 129:21 133:18
clear 39:24 43:5 72:12 73:10 80:6 89:11 97:10 100:4
cleared 101:13
clearly 33:20 41:10 56:20 69:21 74:9 89:20 102:8 118:9,10
clients 71:9
cliff 112:19 113:5 117:20 118:15, 18
clipboard 85:13
close 4:18 53:17,18 111:24 120:1
closed 54:8 120:22 121:3
closely 144:25
closer 86:21 102:9
CO-OP 1:7 2:2 4:6,18,21,21 5:13, 21,23 6:3,5,12 21:1,7,14,19,20,21, 23 22:1,3,6,8,13,15 25:18 26:22 27:3,19 28:20 29:25 30:1,2,9 39:2 40:17 52:11 59:19 61:16 62:8 65: 21 97:24 98:4 99:19 100:8 104:4 111:22 119:10 124:21 125:5,22 126:8,12,17 127:17 129:6,14 141:6
Co-Op's 6:6 7:19 61:5,9
coaching 97:12
coal 13:12,14 29:15,21 33:19,22 38:18 39:13 40:10 41:4,4,18 44:20 48:24 49:5,10 55:25 56:10,13,22, 23 57:19,20,21,21,23 58:2,6,17 59: 2,2 62:13 68:25 69:2,4 70:14,17,24 71:16 72:2,15 73:11,16 87:23 90: 15,20 96:15 98:7 108:3,3 126:2,6, 13 129:5 134:15,22 135:8,10,12, 17,21 146:20
coal's 55:25
coals 58:24 74:23 81:8
coarsens 129:21
Coastal 126:8
coherent 77:6
collaborate 79:20
collected 9:3 10:10,14 35:17,19 36:9 38:6,10 73:5
collecting 10:8,16 38:16,17
collection 60:11 72:23 76:7
Colorado 29:11,11,19
coloration 35:14 85:17
colored 129:17
come 16:10 19:1 31:16 34:22,23 40:13 42:6 45:3,7 55:24 58:25 70: 23 72:25 76:20 80:16 129:13
comes 8:15 32:3 33:24 37:5 78:25 138:8
comfortable 43:18 78:2,4 79:14 114:12 116:4,10 140:9
coming 13:16 14:23 15:7 24:10,16 26:5 33:17,25 34:2,10 36:10 41:10 48:25 53:25 57:3,20,23,25 58:1 65:11 74:10 80:5,7 86:15,23 89:3, 5 92:22 93:5 96:8,12 100:20 104: 4,5 117:11 130:3 136:5 137:3,5,6, 7,7 139:23
commencing 1:12
comments 4:25
Commission 1:15
common 139:2 147:6,8
commonly 88:9,15,17
Company 2:2 4:7 5:14,21,23 28: 21 125:6
comparable 61:12,23
compare 61:5,9 79:12
compared 61:11
comparison 61:21
complete 120:5
completed 11:15 27:13,14
completely 22:12 49:6 106:17 128:3 134:6
compliance 6:6 7:20,21,22,25 8:1, 2,20 9:8 21:6
component 50:23
compressional 140:19
conceivably 146:17
concept 80:4 88:19 104:2,22 131: 19
conception 134:12,13
concepts 80:4
concern 42:17
concerned 26:12
concerning 12:23 110:19
conclusion 7:2 47:22 70:23 71:25 77:14
conclusions 94:24,24 111:1,2
conditions 9:9 132:4
conductivity 68:23,23 69:2 91:9,

11,14 93:16 148:1
conduit 82:1 115:12,14,16
conference 4:5 7:5
conference; 4:23
confess 47:18
confident 44:18
configuration 77:16
confine 113:20
confined 100:24 132:4
confinement 145:11
confining 131:4 145:15
confirm 139:17
conflicting 98:23
conform 94:24
confusion 39:2
conglomeratic 128:22
connected 49:16 108:15
connection 22:2 49:5 50:8,14,25 51:1,5,20 109:12,13 110:6 113:4 146:18,22
connections 51:18
considerable 29:14,19 30:14 34:5 41:23 96:20
considerably 39:10,11
considerations 7:13
consisted 19:17
consists 128:16,19 129:1,10
constitute 109:8
consultant 10:1 22:7,8
Consultants 6:2,20
consulting 6:2,4 22:9 29:10,11,13
contact 50:1,3 88:10 89:13 130:12
contaminated 108:23
content 42:24
contentions 64:5
contents 6:21
context 90:4 97:6 111:4
continue 4:10 9:7 13:17 75:24
continued 1:13 3:1,3 23:20 29:12 98:1
continues 45:16
continuing 43:9
continuous 68:22 69:8,8 105:21 144:13
continuously 11:10,18 17:4
contribution 89:22
control 91:15
conventional 120:5
conversant 102:19,21,25 142:23
conversations 120:23 121:3
converse 147:19
convince 71:9
copies 32:15,19 124:14 137:22
copy 32:16,24,25 142:24
corner 82:14
Corps 135:3
correct 12:2,22 18:12,13 20:21 22:17 24:5,19 26:23 27:9 28:2 37:18 38:22 39:16,18 44:18 47:16 52:13 55:8,9,11 57:14 58:5 59:25 60:9 73:23 75:22 76:1 79:3,7 87:16 95:20 101:25 103:5 104:19,21 112:9,10 118:6,14,21 132:22 137:23
correctly 21:11
correlate 85:5
corresponds 121:16
couldn't 138:9
counsel 97:13
COUNTY 1:8,15 4:7 29:9
couple 14:21 58:10 79:16 89:23 90:24,25 95:7 121:11
course 38:14 43:14 81:16 129:22,24 138:9
court 5:9 36:2
Courthouse 1:15
covered 86:9
Craig 2:7 68:10 83:2
create 113:2 141:15 146:17
created 62:12
creation 134:13
Creek 8:24 15:17 16:1,2,6,7 29:16 46:19 50:1,4,7,8,11 89:14,16 109:4 116:15,21,22,25 117:5,6,9,13,23,24 118:5,8,12,20,23 119:8 120:2 122:16 123:4 125:21 127:24 141:22
creek's 117:8
creeks 118:12
cross 80:14 127:10,10,16 128:25 130:1 132:21 142:23
cross-examination 24:1
cross-examine 7:14
crosses 117:4 141:22
crossing 83:7
crux 108:23
culinary 8:25
culverts 21:10
curb 113:22
current 6:14 9:20 19:7 99:16
Currently 14:24
curve 42:8 92:4
custom 81:8
cut 132:25 134:6 135:1 139:9 140:10
cuts 133:22 138:7
cycle 129:22

D

Dale 1:16
dam 69:18,18
damages 110:4
Darrel 119:6
data 10:9,10,14,16 11:11,16,17 16:18 17:1,11 20:25 27:6 31:16 38:5,8,10 40:12,17 42:6 48:7 59:18,18,20,21,22 60:24 63:18 66:6,9,12 70:1,4,13,22 71:2 72:11 76:5,7 78:13 83:1 84:2 87:17 89:18,19 98:3 141:5 144:15
data-collection 61:17
database 60:15,25 61:11 62:13
date 12:2 45:1,1 64:8
dates 97:20
dating 47:6 72:22 73:1
day 4:5 15:10 124:22 126:20
days 5:11
de 109:11,18
deal 107:6
dealing 68:25
December 12:4
decided 110:11
decisions 64:7 71:21
decline 13:19 41:7,9 42:3 45:17 84:1 86:17 92:4 93:24 121:13 122:15,20,21,22
declines 122:23
declining 84:3
decrease 13:16
deemed 23:21
deep 77:22,24 103:20 114:1 146:25
deeper 69:7 146:19
defensive 64:10
define 76:12 120:1
defined 99:19 100:11
definitely 133:16
degree 63:3,4 108:6
degrees 29:5 138:19,23 139:5
delineation 95:16
delta 81:9 135:1
demonstrate 109:25 116:14
demonstrative 32:12 33:7 35:7 127:14 137:21 142:6
density 77:9
depend 114:24
Depending 46:22
depends 117:25
depict 133:5
depicted 130:15 131:24
depiction 31:14 75:15
deposited 134:15,16 145:20,20
depositional 134:24 144:22 145:9,18
depriving 109:7
depth 74:7 96:20 105:9
derail 111:9
describe 29:4,7 30:2 31:19 32:11 63:14 67:25 90:6 133:14
described 99:13
describing 32:9 37:7 88:22
description 112:1
design 10:17 65:20
designated 124:6
detail 51:18 92:16
detailed 133:2
details 56:5
determination 109:13
determine 6:22 24:11 130:13
develop 62:19
development 36:19
devices 21:11
dewatered 42:1,9 80:2 83:15 86:13
dewatering 83:14 121:14
DH-2 36:17,18,18 38:12,17 49:19,21 60:5 65:1 70:15
diagram 75:18 85:11 127:13 132:22 133:4 137:19 138:1,15 142:4
diameter 19:20 143:18,19,20
Diane 26:24
did-we-have-what-we-needed-when-we-did 111:6
didn't 11:22 26:4 34:17 35:25 51:9 53:24 58:1 60:14 65:11 66:13 87:10 94:2 104:9 105:13 106:22 117:15 120:20 140:9
Diego; 29:9
difference 47:22,25 53:22 69:22 91:14 100:21 102:15 103:9 146:5
differences 73:2
different 40:9 60:10 62:14 67:19 72:18,24,24 84:16,18 122:14 123:13,14 128:10 133:21
difficult 4:11 48:18 88:11,12
difficulty 32:5
diminished 108:22
diminution 109:7
dips 134:3
direct 11:22 66:13 72:9,11 78:11 93:10
direction 31:1,5 98:8 133:24 134:2 140:11 144:21
directions 90:8,9
dirt 104:17
disassemble 125:3
discern 17:15
discharge 8:18,18 9:12 15:16,17,19 24:8,12,18 27:2 40:17,19 41:24 42:14,23 43:4 45:20 46:20,22 51:8 52:20 54:14,22 55:7,10 78:21 79:18 81:25 83:19 88:9 89:17 92:6 109:2 119:10 123:14
discharged 15:12 45:1,9,13,19 92:5
discharges 50:2 54:2,4 116:23 118:7
discharging 25:10 26:2 42:19 46:2,16,23 54:17 55:1,3,16 78:13,19 83:16 89:5
disconnect 51:14
discontinue 106:14
discontinued 15:19 25:24
discontinuing 26:13
discontinuous 69:5,6 106:12 129:3
discuss 8:9 20:22 96:20
discussed 8:16 15:8 20:9 27:7 30:13 106:20 131:21 133:4 139:15
discussion 68:7 88:3 142:21
dish 104:14,15
displaced 43:20 117:13
displacement 43:20 93:9,11
dissolves 93:23
distance 119:22 135:16,24
district 29:15,21 62:13
ditches 21:9
divide 18:9
dividing 45:25
DIVISION 1:1,14 15:21 23:20 25:23 26:8,19,25 64:7 97:21 98:13,17 109:14 110:24 111:5 119:17
Division's 23:22 64:6
documents 64:7
dodge 61:13
Doelling's 141:16
does 20:22 22:9,9 42:13 49:7 55:24 64:15 99:11 100:16 109:7,24 110:14 112:19 113:1 120:14 121:17 131:10 134:5 135:22 138:13 144:8 145:3,4,5
doesn't 47:7 48:15 55:13 69:15 88:13 91:16 100:19 110:18 113:25 117:4 120:14 131:7 132:24 135:21 144:3
DOGM 11:1
doing 6:2,2 7:3 10:1 21:8 25:21 29:19 45:11 62:11 66:15 71:3 72:5 73:7 80:21 84:8 88:20 126:2 142:8
done 6:19 10:12,15,18 20:1,17 27:20 29:14 36:19 38:3,5,21 41:22 60:23 87:22 109:17
dot 122:20
doubling 45:23
down 13:7 33:21,24 34:2 36:2,12 41:18 42:3,6 46:10 48:20 53:10 55:14 69:20 73:4 74:10 75:23,23,24 80:11,16 83:20,21 84:14,22 89:1 90:21 91:22 100:18 101:15,17,24 104:14 106:3,9,13 107:20 114:3,7,9,13,14 115:8 116:22,23 117:12,16,21 118:13 127:24 134:1,1 135:13 136:9 148:4 149:16,17
downgrade 114:14
downstream 69:17
downward 102:3
drainage 18:22,23 19:21,23 20:2,3,10 21:11 86:10 108:19 118:11
draining 34:5 40:22 83:12
drains 79:22,23
dramatic 144:20
dramatically 145:3
draw 42:5 47:21 77:13 80:10,12 81:22 135:10,13 136:8 138:18,24
drawing 135:8
drawn 95:15 113:24 131:25
drew 103:14
dried 16:24 17:3
drill 11:9 35:17,19 36:13 37:22 38:13 44:7 60:5 65:22 76:9 85:24 98:3 100:18 127:17 129:14 132:18 141:5 143:2,4,17,18,19,21 144:6,10,15
drilled 35:18 36:13 64:25 65:14 70:3 77:10 130:7,7 141:6
driller 10:23
drilling 97:22 129:15 130:9,11 133:8 141:6,8
drills 77:8
Drinking 119:17
drip 33:17 36:9 42:4 53:25 60;6 104:16,16
dripped 31:10
drippers 12:7
dripping 33:17 46:7 56:22 94:16
drips 33:16 41:2 56:19 60:17 86:14,16,18,22 87:5
drive 149:13
drives 149:11
drop 13:7
dropped 14:20 84:14
dropping 41:18,25
drove 84:18
dry 8:6,13 12:1,25 20:11 30:17 31:7 34:11,17 36:22,22 37:24 48:13 49:6 56:11,13 57:8,16,22 69:20 79:4,24 92:22,24 95:23 106:17 139:18
dry; 115:1
due 20:23
During 7:20 9:4,5 15:11 26:2 81:16 130:9
dust 30:18
dying 134:18

E

each 13:18 60:4,7 67:18 93:15 115:19 129:18 130:9,10,10 132:19 143:17 144:8,9,9 148:5
earlier 38:6 47:18 67:1 97:18 98:15
early 8:7 22:7 40:20
earth 68:12 91:17
EarthFax 9:25 10:3,6,14 31:18 99:20,24 100:8 125:15 126:5 129:15
easier 31:23 67:15
easily 4:14
east 14:16 18:22 19:11 44:15 74:6 93:8 113:12 114:7,19,20 120:13,16,18 133:22 137:14,15,17 138:19,23 139:6 144:20,23 145:1
east-west 37:11,15,16 49:15 134:1 140:11
easterly 37:8
eastern 120:20
easy 35:24 57:7 89:16 118:18 126:24 142:2
easy; 141:24
eating 58:15
echelon 137:14
edge 75:21 96:3,18 102:9,18,24 103:13
edges 81:2
educational 29:4 125:17
effect 26:12
effloresce 46:21
effort 10:9 89:2
efforts 4:9 116:8
eight 8:7 12:2 82:10 95:23 126:1
either 12:3 27:14 32:20 55:14 63:1 92:12 99:11 116:9 122:14
elaborate 115:17

elevation 131:6
eliminate 26:14 27:2
else 54:22 74:16 77:3 93:3 99:11 108:16 147:22
embayment 134:17
Emery 1:15 4:7
employed 5:20,21 6:12 7:25 21:8, 21 22:6 26:19 29:1 125:14
employee 21:20
employees 26:10
employer 5:23
employment 10:6 21:25 22:1 125: 16
encounter 14:15 22:19,25 24:7 37:20,23 95:24 96:2 100:12,16
encountered 8:5,14 12:9,12,15,23 14:5,17 15:5,15 16:12,20 24:5 41: 19 45:23 51:2 55:22 84:15 93:9 99:2 100:17 101:3,6,8,16 102:13 103:12,17,23 105:1 116:13 120:13, 17,25 128:15 132:25 139:16
encountering 8:8 12:5 23:13 41: 14 52:16 84:23 101:23 106:24
encounters 86:16
end 8:8 12:6,15 15:5,20 18:20 27: 16 30:21,23 43:19 55:15 57:12,13 58:12 80:5 81:4 91:23 108:13 136: 4 140:4 141:13
ends 37:7
Energy 29:18
engineer 9:25
Engineering 6:1,5 10:1 21:17 22: 1,3,5,6,10 125:15
Engineering's 22:12
Engineers 135:3
enlarged 60:24
enormous 86:15
enough 24:14 44:11 66:12 68:22 76:5 86:25 93:16 97:10 117:2 147: 4 149:25
ensure 7:22 8:19
enter 118:20 119:8
entered 33:12
entering 147:17
Enters 53:4 118:24
entire 37:11 68:24 76:18
entities 22:15
entitled 63:15,19 109:18 110:8
entry 31:3
environment 134:14,24 145:9
environmental 29:9
envision 37:4 48:7
envisioned 37:10
equals 128:11,12
eraser 142:3
ERIK 29:17 30:9 33:5 35:1 65:3 66:16 85:8 94:21 126:21
especially 98:16 114:8
Esq 2:2,4,7
essentially 4:17 38:9 126:22 127: 24 129:11 130:3 133:22 135:18
etc 38:7
evaluate 6:21 26:24 52:15
evaluated 27:5
evaluating 6:19 10:15 29:20
evaluation 10:2 27:20,21
evapotranspiration 46:24
even 48:14 69:2 83:5 97:12 103:8, 11 106:16 129:16 149:23
events 118:10
eventually 16:24 135:3
ever 9:9 12:25 13:2 17:21 27:3,4 115:22
every 29:24 58:20,21,22 73:7,8 91:12 121:16
everyone 4:8,12
everyone's 5:8 125:3
everything 108:15,16 132:24 135: 9
everything's 24:15
everywhere 69:6
evidence 9:12 31:9 48:21 49:1,4 51:19 53:24 55:3 56:13 57:6 58:2 93:5 110:13 116:11,13 137:2 139: 24
evident 23:19 37:7
exact 77:16 140:8
exactly 76:18 77:11 84:17 98:20 130:18 132:15
Examination 2:17,18,20,21,22,24, 25 3:4,6,8
examine 126:16
examined 5:14 28:21 125:6
example 56:18 61:6 88:5 89:4 130:22
exceedingly 34:11 147:5
except 35:10 90:19 94:18,19 139: 25
exception 8:14 109:19 148:24
exercise 71:3,6
exhibit 13:25 14:1 17:9,12 31:22, 23 33:4 35:4,5,6,7 39:1 40:23 42: 15 48:3 67:2,3,4,5 74:12,12 75:14 81:14 83:19 85:2,6,6,9,10,12,13, 16,17 95:15 100:2,7 103:22 113:24 123:12 124:6 127:14 133:21 137: 20 140:2,14 142:4 143:25 144:2,5
exhibits 32:10,20 33:1 39:3 85:5 142:25
exist 52:18
existing 60:25
exists 62:13 75:1
expectations 97:8
expected 23:13
experience 21:16 29:20 46:6 93: 18 141:7
experienced 13:5
expert 20:25 63:19
experts 97:24
explain 15:23 54:20 88:2 107:17 123:13 130:19 131:10
explanation 89:11
explosions 57:2
expose 84:13
exposed 84:11 140:25
expression 115:5
extend 53:14
extends 37:11
extensive 7:21 8:22 15:22 113:13
extent 6:18 8:3 13:18 37:5 47:14 116:3 146:21
extraordinary 4:9
extremely 8:13 76:11
eyes 127:18

F

face 53:7 80:6 85:25 88:15 116:23 117:20 118:18 133:9,10 135:24 139:7
faces 105:8 112:19 113:5 118:15 133:21
facet 46:7
facetiously 77:8
fact 8:25 39:2 122:3 130:5 139:23
factor 7:12
factoring 48:1
facts 20:24 110:13
factual 109:13
fair 17:16 84:23
fairly 12:7 19:17 39:24,24 54:19 78:2,3 79:14 83:22,22 95:19 129: 21 133:6,17
fall 56:19 79:11
falling 134:18
fan 9:10
far 20:7 26:16 53:14 118:23 125: 25 127:5 143:9
farther 101:24 114:20
fashion 34:3
fast 83:12
fat 103:14
fault 14:16,16 34:12,14,16,22 35: 15,16,22,23 36:5 38:16,17 39:7,15, 16 43:17,19,21 44:1,3,4,19 49:7,11 51:4 53:6 55:22 74:5,6 75:3,8,13, 20,22 82:4,4,20,24 83:5,8 91:10 92:17,18,18,20,21,23,24 93:4,7,13, 20 113:7,9,10,11,13,18 114:4,7,7, 8,10,13,13,16,16,19,20 115:2,9,11, 14,15,19 116:12 124:5 137:17,18, 25 138:2,3,4,6,6 139:15 141:17,18, 19 143:11
fault's 115:12
faulting 51:4 93:1 138:17,18 140: 19
faults 43:15 70:9 90:20 92:15 101: 25 113:16 114:2 137:13 138:5 143:8
feature 106:19
February 1:12 14:8
fed 83:13
feeds 136:14
feel 43:18 44:18 60:14 78:2,3,14 114:12 116:3,10 140:9 142:11
feet 19:19,19 36:4 37:6,23 44:5 53:19,20 82:11 93:8 95:11 105:15, 17,19 106:1 115:3 128:12,13,15, 18,22,25 139:12 143:16 144:20
feet; 105:25
fell 112:14
felt 26:10,13,15,17,25 60:20
fences 21:10
few 13:11 17:24 28:5 52:8 92:21 94:6 123:24
field 10:9,10,20,22
fifth 16:13
fifty 115:3
figure 57:8 119:13 124:18
figures 38:9 123:20
file 17:1 97:21 124:8
files 16:19
fill 55:20 135:3
filled 19:23,25 134:22
filling 55:14
finally 33:18 34:4
find 20:15,18 27:8 34:20 38:19 39: 11,12 40:1,5,8 48:17 49:21 50:15, 19 52:19 59:16 61:18,19,20 66:20 69:1,5,6,8 73:19 79:17 88:5,6,11, 18 93:19 103:7 105:7,8,9 112:23 118:3 132:16 144:7 146:14 148:5
Fine 39:4 85:18
fine-grained 133:18
fine-to 129:21
finish 112:2
fires 57:2
firm 29:10
First 4:3 8:6,8 12:5,15,19 14:12,18 15:14,15 16:20 25:20,22 30:15,16, 22 34:6 39:6 41:16 44:25 52:8 67: 20 68:5 71:9 103:17 105:1 110:5 131:21
fit 4:13 77:6 90:1
fits 79:25
FIVE 1:6 23:8 28:18 53:19 73:8 83:22 110:2
Flagstaff 88:8
flex 140:22
flight 23:6
floor 56:25 57:3 59:1 68:8,15 80:6 92:23 99:15 131:23 135:10,12 136:6 137:3,6 138:8
flow 12:17 13:2,5 14:8,23 15:7 16: 10 17:11 25:8 37:14 40:16 41:7,8, 9,19 42:21,22,23,24 43:18 44:6,12, 22 46:10,14 48:8 49:12 56:8 59:18 69:22 81:4,6 89:17 91:15 92:1,15 93:12,16,25 96:25 102:3 103:10,15 104:18 106:12 107:3 109:7 112:11 116:1 117:24 133:23 148:11
flow; 93:14
flowing 12:12,14,20 14:10,21,24 16:12 42:21 43:2,6,7,8 46:1,12 48: 22 54:12 78:20 91:6 93:20,21,22 117:9
flows 12:7,10 13:16,19,21,24 14: 3,4,5,7,19 16:12,24 22:23 23:1 41: 6 79:16 86:15,23 95:19 102:13 103:11 116:22,22,23 148:15
fluvial 128:23 129:2 133:16
flux 44:22 46:1,11 47:1 76:3 78:14 90:17 107:1,3
fluxes 83:11
folding 140:19
follow 8:9 89:13 116:20
following 141:16
follows 5:14 28:21 125:6
foot 53:22 144:11
forces 140:20
forget 60:22 81:24 102:11
forgot 124:4,22
Fork 16:4
form 70:17 71:25
formation 70:4 88:5,12,13 106:10 112:16,18 119:9 128:14,19,24 129: 9,10 130:8 132:7 136:19 143:7 146:5,6,9,14 147:3 149:2,5,7,10, 13,15
formations 48:21 88:6 128:7 141: 8
formed 19:21
forming 63:19
forth 47:10,15 77:16 110:12
fortunately 30:19
forward 13:15
found 38:19 39:5,5,6,9,20 86:24 94:13 113:6 132:18,19 144:9 146: 3
foundation 32:6
four 5:3 16:7 52:25 58:8,11 60:2, 2,3,4 70:2 99:5,8 124:15 143:4 144:3
fourth 11:7,9
fracture 105:6,9,10,11 118:20 139:11 140:23
fractured 50:5 105:4,8 107:18 116:20
fractures 57:25 89:17 90:20 101: 25 105:14 106:4 111:14 116:23 117:13,17 118:14 138:16 139:20, 21,23,24 147:7,9
fracturing 50:6 59:1 89:15 107: 11,13,25
frame 46:5,7
frankly 62:10
free 21:10
Freshwater 81:11
Friday 1:12
fringe 57:4
front 30:19
full 55:23 115:12 125:11 143:6
full-blown 72:5
full-time 7:25 21:14,19,20,23
function 21:11 121:18
functioning 11:3
fundamentally 62:14
Further 2:18,22,25 3:4 4:20 8:16 9:15 52:4 82:23 111:16
future 88:22
fuzzy 135:6

G

gain 65:12
gallon 12:7,8,14,21 13:8 14:8,9, 11,12,14,20,22,24 90:22 92:7 109: 3,3,8,22,24 110:15
gallons 13:2 45:3,6,12,24,25 46:3 49:14 83:17 110:15 116:3 148:12
garden 44:12
Garr 10:4,5,10,18,19,21
GAS 1:1,14 26:20 126:3
gather 59:22 60:1
gathered 59:23 60:4,19
general 20:8 23:25 58:24 91:8 98: 5 122:19 127:10 132:21
generalized 98:10
generally 107:7 120:14,19
generated 27:6
Gentlemen 141:11 142:16
Gentry 115:7
Genwal 29:17,18 126:8,12
geologic 15:4 89:11 126:2 141:15
geological 107:22
geologist 74:2 81:17
geologists 34:15 77:9
geology 29:6 76:25 125:18,21,24 126:25 141:10
geometry 76:10 78:2,5 81:7 135: 15,18 140:8
Georgia; 29:10
gets 43:19 83:18 101:2 114:6
getting 21:16 41:1 48:18 50:16 72:22 74:24 102:8 103:16
giant 78:22
give 5:17 7:16 28:24 43:12 56:5 61:21 63:2,24,25 66:11 92:15 93: 10 97:20 125:11,16 142:24
given 67:22 98:23 145:8 147:4
glaciation 91:24
Glad 101:13
glasses 127:22
gob 16:13 54:8
goes 24:16 38:14,15 44:8 50:11 58:16 77:22,24 108:14 120:18 129:24
good 50:8,13 56:18 61:19 86:10, 23 87:2,12 115:18 127:19 136:2
got 15:17 23:6 25:8 33:18 40:6 42: 18,20,22,22,25 44:6 49:13 50:22 51:11,11,12 56:12 57:3,24 66:11, 14,20 69:11 72:25 77:10,11 78:3 80:18,19 87:4,5 88:25 92:19 93:8, 11 100:22 106:9 107:23 115:18 116:19 118:16 121:23,24 122:8,14, 16 123:5,6 127:22 130:5 146:1
gotten 71:4 87:2
gouge 34:13,16,16 35:15,16,23 44:6 75:13 92:21,21,23 93:1,2,6, 13,21,22,23 113:18 115:13,19 124: 5
grabbed 87:13
gradations 47:9

grades 129:23
gradient 116:22
grading 55:15 120:16
grain 129:22
graph 17:12 42:15 122:9
graphic 30:22 31:17,19 35:13 53:9 67:6
graphics 67:1
grasp 47:4
gray 149:25
great 43:21 65:12 104:5 107:6
greater 83:16 91:13 105:9
Greek 127:21
green 138:10 140:13
ground 65:11
Groundwater 16:5 39:8,21 49:9,12 59:3 78:23 103:4,7 106:12 119:19 120:3 130:24
group 134:11
guess 18:23 28:1 52:7 70:2 74:23 75:2 85:18 90:19 101:22 126:24 143:4 148:11
guy 89:15,19

H

H-a-n-s-e-n 125:13
hair's 149:24
half 15:13 45:11,12,12,18,19,23,24 118:25
hammer 35:25
hand 85:12
hands 36:1 98:13
Hansen 2:2,20,23 3:3,4 5:1,3,7,16 7:5,14,16 9:15 10:6 13:24 15:2 23:2,10 28:5,11,13,23 29:22 31:21 32:16,19,23 33:2,4,24 35:3,6 39:1,14 42:14 45:7 48:3 51:9 52:4,5 56:7 63:8,11,14 66:18 67:11 76:17,22 77:20 82:10 85:11,16 86:12,19 90:23 91:3 96:4 97:2,9,15 98:21 100:4 101:13 111:12,14,16 115:22 120:9 122:24 123:23,24 124:4,10,16,21,21,23,25 125:8,13 127:13 128:3 132:16 136:13 137:20 141:11 142:4,7,16
Hansen's 17:14
happen 87:6
happened 13:9
happening 44:21 47:14 68:13 77:3
happens 79:20 106:16 123:3,4
happy 28:11 32:21 33:2 61:15
hard 50:25 71:9 119:13
hard-pressed 50:14
hasn't 47:20 116:10 127:6
haven't 32:23 41:22 62:16,18,19 65:24 67:9 93:9 95:2
having 31:9 33:13 34:17 139:24
he'll 56:4
He's 23:5,6 28:15 56:5 66:20 77:15,16 97:6 107:19 119:15 142:16
head 12:13 44:2,11 56:20 79:11 117:6 130:25
head; 34:2
headed 23:23 68:10 109:21
heading 68:19,19
hear 28:1 62:25,25
heard 79:19 98:22
hearing 1:13 4:10 15:10 25:23 27:22 81:16
heaving 58:25
held 1:13 25:23 45:22 142:21
help 7:10 29:16 40:13 52:15,17 64:15 71:4,12 72:1 76:8,12 113:2 122:2 136:7
helped 70:17
helpful 24:2 47:17 64:16 68:3 69:24 70:21 76:7,11 77:19 78:6 82:7
helping 6:8
helps 24:2
here 4:10,17,23 23:6 24:22 29:16 30:22 31:4,5,6,7,13 33:15,18 34:7,9,13,19,24 35:2,15,22 38:3,13,14,19 41:3 42:18 43:10 49:13 50:20 53:4,5,6,7,10,11 54:7 57:10 60:6 61:10 64:20 65:4 67:2,23 72:2,14,15,17,18 73:4 74:14,15,17,21 75:1,4,6,17,21,23,23,24 78:23 80:4,10,14,19 81:18 82:16 84:10 85:1,8 86:6 88:1 94:20 97:11 98:16 100:21 104:14 106:11 109:12,22 110:4,10 113:20 118:2 119:15 121:12,23 123:5 127:23,25 128:2 130:5 131:12 132:3,8 133:12 134:11 135:13,16 136:9,22 137:7,19 138:1,18 140:4,12 141:7,18 142:1 145:12 147:15 148:5,7 149:8,15,19
here's 40:18 77:17 80:15
Hiawatha 129:7,8 138:13
high 14:5 18:21 93:15
higher 107:8 117:8
hill 89:1 101:22 103:7
hired 22:4,4
historic 116:1
historically 116:9
history 125:16
hit 14:10,18 74:19,20 79:15 80:21,21 83:23,25 84:23 100:17 104:9,16,17
hitting 103:13 122:14
hold 124:1
holding 85:12
hole 19:18 35:17,19 36:13 38:13 44:7 60:5 78:22 85:24 127:17 132:14 141:5 143:2 144:6,15
holes 18:19 76:9 77:8 129:14 130:6 132:18 143:5,17,18,19,22 144:10
hope 127:8
hoping 134:10
horizon 39:12 114:22,23,25
horizons 65:19 67:18
horizontal 44:7 68:23 69:1 91:9,11,16 107:7,21 128:9,11
horizontally 36:14 90:10,11,14 107:15 112:22 148:2
Horn 88:10 128:14,16 149:7,13,15
Horse 126:14
hose 44:13
hour 1:13
hours 52:25 58:8,10,11
how 6:4 16:15 19:14 25:15 26:5 40:14 44:25 45:15,22 46:23 48:7,23 50:15 51:5 52:23 54:10,13 56:3,5 58:7 60:1 61:5,9 62:15 65:21 68:12 72:8 74:2 76:3 77:22,24 79:12 82:24 84:5,17 88:2 89:8,25 103:9,18,20 105:15,23 109:19,22 110:7 113:16 114:1 116:18 118:23 119:25 120:7 124:7,11,18,19 142:10 143:9,17,18 147:12 148:9
hull 132:11
hundred 45:5 53:19 82:11 93:8 105:16 115:3,3 144:20
Huntington 123:4 127:24
hydraulic 68:22,23 69:1 91:9,11,14 93:16 113:4 117:6 146:18
HYDROGEOLOGIC 2:10 10:2 29:21 52:18
hydrogeology 29:3,6 32:10
hydrograph 40:18,19,20 41:24 42:3 45:14,16 50:10,11 83:14 84:1 95:18 96:11 105:1 118:8 121:11
hydrographs 62:18
hydrologic 27:21 49:5,17 50:8,14,24 51:1,14 52:19 86:5 96:25 108:18
hydrologically 49:16
hydrologist 26:16 74:2 81:17
hydrology 6:16 8:10 20:6,8 21:4
hydrostatic 44:2

I

I'd 4:8 23:4 27:8 28:13 32:24 37:1 38:2 48:7 62:8 64:1 77:18 84:19 97:15,20 124:15
idea 40:16 42:12 43:13 44:21 45:15,21 46:25 66:14 67:23 69:21 76:14,15 78:14 88:18,25 97:6 99:10 133:8
ideas 51:23 62:10
identification 86:2
identifies 35:11
identify 89:2
illustrate 136:3 138:9,13
illustrating 75:18
illustrative 75:18
imagine 142:10
immediate 42:17
immediately 14:20
impact 20:6,8
impacted 19:16 20:12
impacts 9:6 17:15,19 20:23 26:1
implies 69:12
important 107:12,18 129:18 140:18
impossible 24:15 116:7
impression 120:15
in-echelon 137:12
in-mine 13:21 56:6 61:23,24 129:14 130:7
inch 128:11,12 147:13,15
inches 36:4 143:20
inclined 36:14 115:11
include 6:8,16 11:24 16:5
included 7:23 125:23
includes 129:5
including 126:8
incorporated 77:20
increased 14:11 41:1,6 91:10
incredible 82:1
incredibly 30:17 36:22
indicate 50:22 121:17
indicated 4:19,23 9:19
indicates 13:21
indicating 31:2,6,7 33:15,19 34:3,7,9,13,16,24 35:2,15,22,24 38:3,13,15 40:20 41:1 53:4,5,6,8,12 54:7,10 57:11 58:12 60:6 65:5 67:2 72:14,15,17 74:10,15,17 75:2,4,7,21,23,25 79:5 80:15,17,20,24 82:16 85:1 104:14 128:1,2,15 129:1 130:1,16,22,23 132:1,3,5,8,9 133:12,23 135:12,15,17,18,19,24 136:9,18,22 137:7,8 138:1,2,21,25 140:4,12 141:17,17,19,21 142:1,2 144:4 147:17 148:4 149:8
indicating); 80:16
individual 21:8
individuals 26:19
industry 126:2
infer 70:9,9 73:17,17
inferences 81:22
informal 4:5,22 7:5 76:22,23
information 4:22,25 5:9 9:4 20:25 32:2,2 63:18 64:6 77:5 94:12,17 98:11 111:1,23,24 115:25
inhibit 59:3 92:15
inhibits 49:12
initial 11:17 14:19
initially 4:24 10:4 12:11 14:10,15,19 27:14
initiated 62:10
injury 110:8
inside 30:10 48:8 49:23 52:19,23 55:8 62:2,11 65:22 70:3 93:9,19 116:12
insight 65:12
insignificant 148:15
inspections 7:23
installation 10:24
installed 10:22 17:7
instance 114:15 146:1
instead 25:10 43:8 148:3
insufficient 64:6
intend 23:3
intends 13:24
interbedded 128:16,19,23 129:1,11
intercept 98:2 115:10 121:12
intercepted 68:16 96:23 100:25 116:8,11
intercepting 96:2 114:3
interception 96:17
intercepts 82:17
interest 108:1 109:18
interested 4:21
interesting 38:20 39:6 49:25 118:4
interference 111:5
interject 68:1 123:7
interjection 97:19
interpret 63:17
interpretation 125:23
interpreted 145:12
interrupt 23:2
interrupted 108:22
interruption 109:20
intersect 98:9
intersection 98:6
intertongue 144:24
intertongued 129:12
intertonguing 129:12
intervals 139:8
intervening 106:10
introductory 4:3
investigation 108:24
invisible 115:20,20,21
involved 6:6 7:19 8:10 48:2 129:15
involvement 11:19,20,23
involves 8:3
isn't 36:15 42:19 54:8,19,24 65:12 86:19 96:1 100:14,14 105:10 108:5 113:12 117:16,22,24 120:9 128:3
isolated 91:22 96:24 130:11
isotopic 27:20 48:6 59:23 62:11 94:17
issuance 111:2
issue 21:3 96:20 108:5 130:2
issues 8:1 21:21 27:7,18 110:19
issuing 139:4
it'd 31:23 126:24
it'll 42:6
it; 143:15
itself 34:4,17 57:20 58:2 75:12,13 79:15 80:1 92:21 107:21 112:25 133:24
itself; 93:21

J

Jeffrey 2:4
Jim 7:3 77:19
Joe's 144:23
John 10:4,10
joint 139:4,5
jointing 105:12
joints 138:16,23 139:6,7,9,13 147:7,8
Jump 125:21
jumping 69:11
June 6:7 121:16,25 122:23
just 4:11 5:8 7:25 12:13 17:10,11 18:3,22 19:11 22:4 23:2,11,25 24:8 28:13 30:4 31:7 40:12 46:25 47:7 48:21,24 51:5 53:2 55:21 59:14 61:15 63:13 64:3,9,16,23 65:11 69:2 71:16,18,19,20 72:20,21 75:2,25 79:2 82:16,22,25 86:2,9,25 88:19 89:19,23 91:19 94:8 98:6 99:13 100:4 106:19 111:15 118:3 120:10,11 121:11 123:4 125:2 127:17 128:14 129:19 130:6 131:8,14 132:21 133:8 138:3 141:2 142:22 149:22

K

keep 11:23 12:22 54:15 56:2 69:21
keeping 113:16
keeps 54:16
kept 21:10
Kim 9:24
kind 25:5 36:25 46:11,15 47:1,2 49:22 51:25 61:16 64:2 68:18 69:1 80:17,19 83:9 99:7 105:9 108:23 113:16
kinds 51:18 60:10 62:20 79:16 84:6
knew 60:24 76:10 98:4,14 113:23
Knight 1:19
know 4:12 7:2 13:24 18:10,10 23:14,17 26:8 27:6 31:15,19 32:16,23 42:17,22 43:3 44:18 46:6,7 47:2 50:3,6 54:3,5,13 58:15,23 59:9,10,12,13,14,16 61:16 62:21 63:1,23 64:11,17,18,18,19,23 65:14 66:5 68:3,10,12 70:8 72:20,22 73:21 74:4,9 75:1,25 76:18,23 77:11,22,23,24 78:1,6,7,9,12,13 79:2,16,24 80:24 81:6 82:16,23,24 84:5,17 86:7,17 87:19,19,21 90:8 95:13 98:10 99:7 102:7 103:19,21 105:5 110:5,7 111:13 112:6,10 113:15,25 114:1 115:22 118:8,25 119:23,25 120:12,23 121:2,14,20 133:14 134:3,9 135:16 136:8 139:18 142:25
knowing 140:8
knowledge 18:11,11 54:9 63:2,25
knows 97:11

L

labeled 136:14,21
laboratory 38:24
lack 101:22
lagoon 146:8
laid 91:22 133:25 134:1
lake 81:11
land 149:14
large 24:14 34:9 37:12,19,25 39:22,23 40:5 42:18 46:4 49:11 51:2 54:2 62:12 79:17 88:15 104:13

134:20 148:9
larger 12:10
last 4:18 10:5 68:4 83:21,22 91:23 122:20
late 12:9 22:7
later 28:1,13,14,16 50:9 59:15
laterally 148:2
law 63:18 108:22
lay 68:15 98:7
layer 68:24 115:9 116:19 135:7 145:11,15 147:10
layers 68:21,21 106:11 115:8 135:8 144:17 145:21,21
leading 96:2,18 102:18,24
leaking 35:21 46:6
learning 18:10
least 17:14 44:19 62:21 70:17 73:17 80:4 82:19 87:14 93:4 99:5,8
leave 28:17 103:2 111:18,19
leaving 64:21
LEEMASTER 119:2,5,6,15,25 120:11 135:5,20
left 10:5 45:19
legal 7:2 108:14 109:1,5,6
length 30:14 37:11 43:18 74:4 78:3
less 94:5 107:19 108:2 138:25 139:12
let 4:12 28:18 30:6,7 37:3 42:3 44:12,21 47:3 51:22 54:20 55:1,5 56:14 58:23 62:24 63:16 64:3,12 67:14 69:25 71:14 76:24 80:3 81:13 94:7 96:21 97:10 107:5,17,22 108:7,12 112:3,9 118:1 131:17 134:9 135:13 141:14 148:2
Let's 4:2 8:6 11:16 12:3,12,18 13:7 18:22 19:11,19 31:25 34:20 39:4 42:16 43:7 53:21 57:10 61:5 69:25 85:4 89:3 103:2 106:19 109:1,3 118:19 122:6 144:4 146:19
level 62:1 67:19 68:6,7 130:12 134:4
liable 109:23
license 128:6
licensed 9:25 10:22
light 41:14 79:14 84:25 98:16
lighter 33:16 40:22
like 4:8,14 5:2,4 23:11 28:6 31:21 32:24 35:23,24 37:1,6 38:2 43:13 44:12 48:7,9 50:13 51:19 60:14,20 61:3 64:2 65:12 68:18 71:10 73:4 74:10 77:10,25 80:16,17,20 81:7 82:15 83:14 90:23 93:13 97:15 100:23 102:23 104:14 124:4,15 127:9 132:10 138:20 140:16 146:25 148:16
likelihood 41:11
likely 43:20 49:9,11 51:14 92:14 96:1 147:14
liken 134:25
limestone 128:16
limit 63:16
limitation 73:16 119:23
limited 37:5 72:7,8 73:14 146:21
line 25:17 42:5,5,6 84:9 85:3 98:25 122:19 130:16 131:25 135:9 136:13,20
lines 98:6 110:16 114:15
link 43:15
lip 104:15
literature 77:25 78:1 88:4 90:2,4
little 7:16 8:10,14 38:7 41:3 43:10,11 53:22 61:21 67:15 73:25 92:16 113:12 115:17 126:25 128:6 135:5,14 138:25
littoral 146:7
load 95:10
local 121:17,21
localized 20:12 79:17,18
locate 65:22
located 15:24 16:19 18:18 125:22 127:25 137:25 138:4,6 141:20
location 46:2,19 67:22 130:23 133:11 139:14,17
location's 41:20
locations 35:11 60:8,19
logs 141:6
long 6:4 23:11 25:15 28:15 32:15 41:15 52:23 58:7 83:15 91:25 124:22,22,25 125:3
longer 11:8 23:3 28:18
look 31:9,11 33:6 35:16 49:17,18 52:17 53:3 56:14,17,21,25 59:4 65:6,10 70:6 75:16 77:25 80:12 81:15,17 82:21 84:1 86:17 87:10 89:10,13,15,18 90:16 91:7,8 93:24 97:21 105:15 117:21 118:8,17 121:12,23
looked 20:7,10,18 27:7 31:8 34:6,8,12,14 36:17,23 45:14 48:10 49:24 62:16,18,19 66:5 77:4 78:1 92:17,20,25 94:1 105:13 123:2
looking 4:13 17:11 23:19 33:13 35:3,5 43:13 48:6,24 58:14 65:13,17 72:3 75:13 81:2 83:10 87:11 93:18 95:15 123:5,11,12 146:11
looks 31:19 41:25 50:13 81:7 83:14,21 90:23
lose 48:4
loses 117:2,2
losing 117:1,4
lost 85:8 91:5 97:14
lot 33:17 34:18 37:20,21,25 42:19 45:3 54:11 58:5 68:7 77:25 83:16 86:10 88:3,13 89:9,20 90:17 93:6,11 127:4
lots 87:5 89:20,21
low 14:4,5,7,7 33:19 69:19 147:5
lower 146:15
lowest 13:5
lunch 58:15
Luncheon 124:3
lying 51:7 68:8

M

M-a-y-o 28:25
made 20:5 26:24 30:8,10,13 33:12 43:10,11 53:5 64:7 70:7 71:21 72:9 109:15 120:25 127:20 137:18
magnitude 91:14 107:8 110:1
Main 22:21,23 41:12 85:22,23,23 86:22 104:8 135:2
maintain 11:23
maintained 21:10
maintaining 8:1
maintenance 21:9
major 54:19 68:14 90:14 129:5 141:19
majority 15:6 104:5
make 7:24 13:25 24:15 27:1,1 32:17 33:6 51:19 55:5,6 58:24 63:11,12,13 64:3 70:12 71:22 73:6,10 76:24 77:5 86:6 87:25 100:15 101:15 106:12 110:3 111:1 122:8,13 145:4
making 4:9,12 21:9,11 46:24 62:24 64:9 77:12 94:20 109:4 110:10
Mancos 89:1 103:8 129:12 130:9 131:1,4,7 144:25 145:1,7,10,13,20
Mangum 6:1,5 9:24 21:17,17,20,21,25 22:2,5,5,8,12
many 7:3 16:15 37:20 60:1 61:4 65:21 81:16 88:11 105:15 113:16 142:8
map 32:3,3 34:20 35:10 41:21 43:14 82:6,12 89:12 138:15 141:15 143:21
map's 124:9
mapped 97:24
Mark 2:2 32:22 33:4 48:3 63:23 76:20 124:9 137:20 138:14 142:4
marked 31:23 35:6 39:1 42:14 127:13 136:13 141:25
Marlow 21:13
mass 89:22
master's 29:5 125:18,20,20
material 107:24 129:24 134:15,18 145:19
MATTER 1:6,14 4:5 110:15
may 18:9,10 26:11 44:21 48:2 50:22,22 52:18 53:13 62:2 68:1,24 76:3 82:6 93:2 97:7 117:25 121:15 122:17 124:12 131:2,2 142:4 149:17
maybe 35:24 37:23 55:18 61:19 73:6 83:4,23 94:15 98:21 105:16,25,25 111:15 126:25 134:11 136:7 138:25
MAYO 14:1 23:4 28:7,7,25 29:2 34:21 36:2 52:12 54:20 59:15 63:18,24 64:17 70:1 72:13 73:10,17 77:1,15 81:15 82:6 85:11,19 95:10 97:7,11 98:16 100:9 111:17 112:2 119:7 123:11,23 124:1,2 126:20 130:17 133:7,20 139:15 142:19 149:22
Mayo's 28:6 143:16
mean 33:9 42:13 43:4 45:25 48:8 61:13 69:15 70:20,20,25 81:9 87:10 91:16 93:22 94:1 99:12 100:16,19 102:8 104:2 106:5,14 107:11,13 108:5,17 117:4 137:14 138:11 146:18
meandered 134:21
meandering 134:19
means 69:15,20 71:12 78:21 100:17
meant 114:14
measure 24:7,10,15,16,17,24 25:6,6 54:21,22,25 55:2,5,7 56:3 143:13,14
measured 14:9 24:15 25:13 130:13,15
measurement 24:12 83:21 84:8
measurements 25:3 55:12 62:1 83:22 84:6
measuring 69:13
mechanism 49:14 91:7 102:7
mechanisms 72:25
member 129:9 130:4,10 131:13 132:8 136:18 147:18,19,20 148:20,23
members 129:18 130:8 132:20 144:24 147:3 148:1 149:12
memory 17:10 105:18,19
mention 32:1 133:7
mentioned 8:15 12:1 14:4 15:10,22 24:4 142:22 149:19
mess 139:1
meter 25:15,15,17 56:8
metered 59:8
metering 25:5 121:18 122:4,5,17
meters 25:8 59:4,7 77:9
might 32:1 43:13 45:15 47:21 48:8 133:6,6
mile 118:25 141:21
miles 125:22
million 45:23
mind 37:5 69:21 87:17 95:12,13 98:18
MINE 1:7 4:6 5:22 6:12 7:17,22 8:5,18,24 9:10 11:7,21,24 12:1,10,19,23,25 13:3,6,11 15:5,7,16 16:1,2,4 17:10 18:4,7,15 19:3,6,9 20:9,15 21:7,23 24:5,8,9,11,24 25:10 26:6 29:16,25 30:1,7,9,10,15,17,21,23 31:8,10,10,14 32:3 33:12,14,21 36:25 37:12 38:5 39:3 41:3,8,21 48:8,12,12,20 49:3,6,17,23 50:15 51:3 52:16,19,21,24 53:1,2 54:6,10,15,18 55:14,15,17,21,22 56:15 57:7,8,9,10,14,19 58:8,12,20,22,23,25 59:8 61:6,7,9,10,11,16 62:5,15 64:25 65:10,22 66:4 67:16 68:15 70:3,8,23 73:18,22 76:18 79:5,9,12,25 80:5,9,15 87:14 88:15 93:10,19 94:18 95:23 100:8,13,13 101:5,6 108:20 116:13 119:10 120:13,17,18,21,25 123:14 124:21 126:8,12,15,17 133:10 136:4 137:6 139:21 140:4
mine; 55:7,8
mined 8:4 22:19 39:17,19 41:16,20 55:25 57:24 103:23 129:6 138:10
mines 8:13 29:23,24 37:20 40:1,4 42:24 57:1 61:2,18,19,20,25 62:9,11,12 79:4,13,16 126:6,7,7,9,10,11,13,14 135:24
minimis 109:12,19
MINING 1:1,14 2:2 4:6 5:13,21,23 7:17 8:7 13:12,19 21:14 22:1,3,13,16 23:20 26:20 27:16 28:20 30:17 38:24 40:25 41:5,22 45:22 50:16 79:21 84:17 87:23 95:16,20 98:1,4,8,14 100:25 101:21 102:14 103:10,16 104:13 108:20 116:1,8 125:5
minor 12:6 16:12 138:22 139:11
minus 84:7
minute 12:8,8,14,21 13:3,8 14:8,10,11,12,14,20,22,24 46:3 49:15 53:3 69:25 83:17 90:25 92:7 109:2,3,3,8,22,24 110:15,15 116:3 141:15 148:12
minutes 23:9 28:18 46:9
mirrors 118:9
Mississippi 135:1
mistake 69:10
mitigation 18:24
mixing 47:9 103:1 121:23
model 102:15
moderate 92:12
moderately 133:19
modern 47:22 50:23
molecule 73:2
molecules 72:23
moments 90:3
monitor 8:24 9:7 10:15 16:5,10,10 17:5
monitored 8:19 11:15,18 15:24 16:18,23,25 17:2,4,5,6 56:8 122:10
monitoring 8:22 9:5,8 10:25 11:10 15:23 16:1,3,8,13,14,20,22 25:5 62:23 64:13
month 73:7,8
monthly 8:19 9:2
months 13:11 121:8
more 8:10 15:2 22:19 28:9 32:6 37:8 41:8 50:12 51:21 60:15,16,17,18 67:20 69:7,8 70:21 71:1,2,4 72:6 84:2 86:22 89:9,23 92:16 99:8 114:12 119:1 122:7 129:23 131:15 132:16 139:1,18 140:22 141:9 142:23 144:24 146:11,18 148:16
morning 5:4,10
most 10:13 62:11 94:18 107:12 113:5
mountain 101:22,23 114:21 115:7 117:12 132:25,25 138:8 139:9 141:7 144:14
mouth 104:3 106:23
move 13:13 23:20 24:3 81:13 91:17 101:19 106:2,8 107:20 114:7,9,15 115:10 117:21 119:9 137:10 148:4 149:16,17
moved 47:20 96:18 102:8 114:3 134:23 139:24
movement 40:6,7 59:3
moves 101:21 120:13 147:2
moving 39:25 55:17 58:18 78:16 90:7,9,12,13,15,16,18 91:1 92:7 101:16 117:16 118:13 137:1 144:13
MSHA 32:4
much 4:12 10:10,21 15:19 23:3 41:3 42:24 44:25 45:15,22 49:20 56:22 61:3 62:8 76:3 79:25 81:5 87:20 89:21 90:15 91:20 110:7 118:1 124:2 141:9
mudstone 129:20
mudstones 128:17 129:2 140:21
multiple 60:9
Munson 26:16
Must 109:13,14,17,19
myself 30:9 53:10 109:16 113:22

N

name 5:17,19 21:12 28:24,25 119:5 125:9,11
name's 21:13
natural 44:22 120:16
naturally 46:14 117:19,20
nature 112:16,24 145:22
near 12:15,20 14:16 16:6,24 19:3 49:25 57:24 85:23 105:7 106:8 112:18 113:5 116:20 117:20 118:11,15
near-zero 42:4
necessarily 67:23 75:15 128:25 136:16 138:16
necessary 4:9
need 24:21 32:6 52:1 61:14,24 63:14 69:21 97:17 109:11 110:1,2 111:17,19,20,25,25 115:16
needed 131:22
neighborhood 39:8,22 42:11 45:2 46:3 50:20 71:1 110:2
Nevada 126:1,14
never 9:5 74:19,20 87:17 98:18 147:18,21
new 4:4 26:5 108:21 127:22
next 12:17 40:15 50:3 58:14 83:18 108:3,4
nice 33:6
NIELSEN 2:10 26:24 38:21 47:8 60:23 74:23 82:14 99:18 100:1,6,11 121:6,8,10 122:22 123:1
Nielsen's 47:5
nine 45:5
no-flow 43:17
None 104:23 123:21
normal 137:13

normally 79:20
north 8:4,8 12:5,15 13:10,18 14:23,25 15:5 22:19,21,23 30:21,23,24 31:1 37:7 53:14 57:12,13 58:12 80:5 88:10 98:9 125:22 127:11,17 128:14,16 132:4 136:4 137:13 138:2,3,19,23 139:6 140:4 149:6,13,15
north-south 37:17 80:13
north-to-south 144:21 145:2
northern 48:17 127:25
northward 14:11 22:25 98:1
not-too-distant 88:22
note 129:18
noted 130:2
notes 94:20 109:16
notes; 80:12
nothing 4:20 59:21 114:8
notice 13:15 26:1
noticeably 12:10
November 12:4,4 30:1,8 59:24
Now 17:13 18:3,14 19:6 21:16 28:7,13 29:12 31:22 35:4 37:1 38:2 39:14 46:4 48:7 52:21 55:24 62:1,13 66:1 69:10 70:2,20 73:18 78:7 80:2,6 86:13 88:20 90:13 94:5 96:16 102:6,15 103:1 109:10 113:6 115:16 127:4 128:3 130:17 132:21 134:22 135:2 138:22 139:3 144:17
number 46:9,12 50:20 87:20,22
numbers 47:14 88:16 122:12 123:13,14,17,18

O

object 7:1 63:8,17 97:2
objection 63:11,13,13,14,16 97:16 98:20 110:23
oblique 138:17
observation 50:12 58:5 64:3,9 76:24 77:2 141:3,4,4,5
observations 30:15 58:4 70:7
observe 126:23
observed 9:6,9 139:20,20 144:16 146:25
observing 77:17
obtain 8:17
obvious 89:10
occur 86:22 122:23 147:4
occurred 13:9 27:4 134:24
occurring 121:15,24 136:4
occurs 115:22,24 123:2 144:20
ocean 81:12
October 14:9
odd 113:12,15 114:8
off 12:13 14:20 33:22 35:25 41:18,25 81:4 82:12 95:10 135:1 142:21 149:15
offer 125:1
offered 122:18
offset 137:14
offset; 139:12
offsetting 113:17 137:13
often 121:25
Oh 52:25 78:17 85:4 89:24 98:13 105:16 113:10 118:25 119:4 141:14
OIL 1:1,14 26:20 126:3
Okay 7:9 9:16 10:3,8 12:1 13:20 14:2 17:13 18:6 19:10 22:22 23:10,16,24 24:17 28:3 30:6,8 31:6 37:19 40:12 48:5 49:22 52:2,21 54:6 55:24 56:16 62:17 63:16 65:16 67:21 69:24 70:12,16 71:7,14 75:5,20 76:2 78:6 80:21 81:13 84:22 90:7 93:24 95:15 96:23 101:21 102:12,18 104:20 105:19 108:8 111:4,8 112:13 117:9 124:7,18 125:17 127:4,18 132:2 137:9 143:8 144:12,17 148:16,18 149:10,18
old 15:12,16,19 19:3,6 25:11,19 26:3,9 40:2 42:20,23 47:8,19,21 70:19 92:19
older 39:10,11 49:21 89:22
oldest 16:18 17:1 39:9
on-site 21:9
once 31:10 58:13 93:19 135:2
One 11:6,8 15:10 16:1,9 19:21 26:22 28:9 29:24 32:10,17 33:2 37:3 38:9,21 43:2 50:10 56:18 58:23 60:7,10 64:4,8 65:4 67:6,20 68:14,14 70:22 71:17,18,19,23 72:1 73:5,19,20 75:11 80:3 81:2 85:12,14,24 86:16 87:10 88:5 90:21 92:18 93:13,21 98:25 99:10,13,19 105:25,25 108:17 109:8,22,24 110:2 113:12 115:23 122:11 123:6 124:4 126:14 129:16,18 130:9 131:21,22 132:16 140:16 141:14 144:4 147:13,15
ones 31:18 53:3 62:10 94:19
only 54:21 65:1 92:7 126:2 133:15 142:25 147:15
open 37:12
open-ended 30:5
opened 31:10 48:15
operate 113:17
operating 67:23
operation 7:17 11:21
opinion 20:25 63:2,19,20 65:25 66:1,3,5,8,11,20,21,24 67:12,15 70:17 71:5,22 72:8 96:22 116:17 147:15
opinions 63:24 66:23 67:6,9,13 70:4,5,5,11 72:10
opportunity 50:13 71:20 111:22 118:17 126:16
opposite 92:23 93:15 115:19
order 13:14 23:5 26:25 98:15 107:8 109:25
orders 91:13
organic 134:15,17
orientation 36:5 37:14 78:4 138:25
oriented 53:10
origins 52:15
other 4:24 8:9,12,16,23,23 10:12 15:8 19:22 26:1,5 27:24 38:6,10,16 40:4 41:4 43:19 49:8,16 50:12 51:16 54:11 55:12,17 57:24 60:17,23 61:2,18,19,20 62:9,16 64:19 73:21 79:13,16 82:22 85:24 90:2 92:19 93:3,11,15,21 97:10 99:2,21 106:19,25 111:25 112:7 113:14 115:19,23 121:17 123:2,25 126:13 138:8 141:9 142:14 145:21 148:25 149:5
other's 16:2
others 51:22 54:1 59:13,15,17 65:7 86:16 88:7 94:7 105:20
otherwise 113:21
ought 112:2 122:1
out 4:8 8:15 9:12 13:17 16:12 17:10 22:23 23:4,6 24:8,16 25:10 26:5 30:13 31:3 34:5,10 35:19 36:10,23 38:9,17 40:22 41:2,2,6,7,9,10,25 42:2,10 44:12,13 45:1,3,7,13,19,20 46:2,9 50:1,3 51:8 53:25 54:2,4,12,17 55:1,3,24 56:22 57:3,8,20,23,24 58:1,1,2 59:16 64:12 65:11 66:20 73:19 74:11,12 76:18 78:23,25 79:22,23 81:4,5,25 82:2,5 83:11 86:15,23 89:3,5,12,16 90:13 92:22,23 94:16 96:8,9,12 97:4 100:20 101:19 104:4 113:19 116:23 119:14 123:5 124:18 127:7 129:16,20 133:20 134:6,22 135:22 136:5 140:1 144:25
outcrop 89:14 116:21 141:5 144:16
outer 46:15
Outside 39:17,18 43:4,8 55:7 62:15 94:8,11 95:4
over 5:1 14:15 24:14 30:4 31:6 34:8,8,8,12 38:2 53:22 89:12 111:15 120:24 127:5 134:21 135:22 141:18,22 142:1
overbank 41:13 80:19 81:3,5 103:15
Overlies 128:17
overly 64:10
overlying 36:21 40:2,8 48:19 49:9,19 53:8
oversee 8:2
own 22:15 46:5 80:4 91:19 141:7
owned 22:15
owners 109:18
oxidation 31:12 56:21

P

packers 130:11
Panther 130:3,23 131:1,5,5,9 139:5,7 141:22 147:19 148:22
parallel 91:17 114:11,12,15 138:4,6,17
part 4:22 9:7 19:7 37:24 38:5 40:20 42:21 43:16 44:19 54:10 56:1,8 57:8,9,10,14,17,18,19 58:7 75:10 80:23,25 82:18 84:11 86:5 92:25 96:25 104:24 105:1 128:1 133:17 136:1
participated 126:6
particular 16:16 20:10 70:10 103:22 106:2
particularly 48:1 88:14 107:24
parts 33:14 53:1 55:17 87:14
passes 115:8
passing 108:2
past 5:11 6:2 10:11 56:18 99:16 117:9 120:7
path 42:23 112:11
pattern 79:25 137:14 139:1
pay 104:17
paying 131:22
peaks 121:15,16,24 122:15,23
penetrated 143:6
people 4:25 10:3 64:4
per 13:2 46:3
percentage 149:17
perched 89:25 90:5 113:2
performed 126:3
period 15:11 25:9 41:23 83:24
permeabilities 147:5
permeability 107:7,10,16 108:6
permeable 107:15,24
permit 4:6 6:14,17,21 8:18,21 9:20 10:2 19:7,8,10 62:5,22 64:1 99:19,23 100:8 127:12,24,25 137:16 148:13,14
permit's 62:23
permit; 63:5
permits 6:9 63:6
permitted 15:17
person 7:25 21:6
person's 21:12
personal 18:10,11
personally 10:12 23:15
perspective 23:22 94:2 107:11
perspectives 89:20
pertaining 7:11 32:2,2
Peter 38:6,8,21 121:7 127:5 137:11 138:15
Petersen 21:13 29:17 30:9 35:1 65:3 66:16 85:8 94:21
Ph.D 29:6
PHC 10:1,11,14 20:9,15,18,22 27:6,7,8,12,13,18 94:13,17,24 95:2,3 110:19,24 123:12,13,20
PHCs 94:18 126:7
phenomenon 121:23
photographs 124:12
physical 10:20,21
physically 51:22 70:7 75:6
picked 10:6 71:17,18,19 72:14
picking 71:15
picture 136:2
piece 119:12
pieces 77:5 92:21 115:18 124:15
piezometers 62:2
piezometric 23:21
pile 106:20,22
piles 106:15
pinch 144:25
pinched 33:22
pinching 41:18
pipe 65:11,13
pipeline 25:8
place 34:6 47:19 109:14 145:6
placement 10:17
places 26:5 60:18,23 64:24 115:24 126:22 145:22
plan 64:14,14 65:20
plane 111:20 113:21
planet 108:15
planner 29:9
plant 119:21
plastically 140:22
Plateau 108:19 137:12 146:24
playing 93:2
Pleasant 141:18
please 5:17 100:10 125:9,12 134:7
pleased 4:15
Pleistocene 42:25
Plus 48:23 84:7 140:24 141:7
point 14:17 16:3,14 30:24 31:3 35:22 44:15 55:19 67:20 68:8 69:13,16,19 70:22,25 71:23 72:1,14,15 73:5,13,19,20 74:11,12 80:9 82:5 88:21 96:1 98:2,9 99:2,16,20,21 100:15,18,19 109:1 111:18 118:19 119:7,10 122:8,13 125:3 126:24 129:9,10,16 130:4,8,10,16,21,25 131:8 132:4,5,7 134:20 136:19 140:1 143:7 144:22 146:9 147:3,17
point; 86:20
pointed 133:20
pointing 123:4
points 16:1,5,8,16,22 71:1,4,15 73:22 77:2 122:12
poke 44:5 132:14
porosity 76:13
porous 136:25
portal 9:10,13 30:13 31:3 36:23
portion 6:16 41:17 49:2 83:4 138:7
portions 38:6 49:6 54:17 74:8
possession 110:25
possibility 77:3 114:5
possible 72:13 111:20 112:3 114:2 135:6 145:8 146:23
possibly 43:3
posted 85:12
potential 20:22 26:11,12,14 27:1,4 48:25 69:22
Potential's 69:22
potentiometric 65:18,18 66:4,6,15,22,25 67:12,16,20 68:14 69:11,14,18,19 96:3,4,13,17 97:1,5,23 98:7,25 99:1,3,6,10,13,18,22 100:11,16,21,23 102:23,25 103:2 130:13,15,16,18,22 131:12,18,20 132:17,19
powder 57:1
practical 102:2
prechannel 86:2,3,8 87:8
precious 112:4
precipitation 112:14
precise 144:7,9
preliminary 4:3
preparation 9:20 27:21 126:7
prepare 6:8
present 4:20 14:6 21:1 50:9 56:18 74:16 94:4 107:16 111:22 146:15
presentation 137:11 141:16
presented 27:24 38:5,8 51:16 70:5,6,11 73:4 94:12 127:5
presenting 4:22 94:3
pressure 44:5 69:16,23 131:8 136:10
pressures 65:18
presumably 107:15
pretend 149:21,23
pretty 15:19 39:24 44:18,24 49:20 50:8 54:24 61:3,12 62:8 72:12 79:25 81:5 82:1 86:12 89:11 147:21
prevent 57:2 93:14 109:9
prevents 58:18
previous 98:22
Price 88:4,10 128:18 149:10
primary 22:23 24:11
principal 29:2
prior 11:21,25 12:2 19:4 90:15 95:20
probably 42:4,7 58:10 90:6 112:1 133:11 134:1 141:19 144:11 147:14
problem 32:15 48:23 71:13 76:3 122:5
problems 121:18 122:4,17
proceeded 36:12,17,21
proceeding 98:22 99:7
proceedings 98:23
process 4:4 47:18 55:10,16 56:1,2 88:20
prodeltaic 146:10
producing 94:5
professional 9:25 125:25
professor 29:3
program 6:7 7:20,21 8:23 9:8 15:23
prohibit 101:16
project 42:2 71:8 92:5 98:6
projected 92:4 98:1,2
provide 32:21 33:2 111:22 124:14 145:11
provided 18:24 59:19 123:18
providing 11:10 145:19
puddles 57:5
Pull 35:25 108:21
pumped 25:19
pumping 26:9
purge 36:20

purport 132:24
purported 110:24
purpose 4:24 32:7 60:25 61:1
purposely 127:20
purposes 33:7 35:7 75:18 127:14 137:21 142:6,14
pushed 13:15 22:24
put 7:21 25:11 28:18 31:18 57:1 71:14 100:8 104:2 109:24 124:7, 16 127:10 129:4,14 131:12 144:4
putting 106:23 113:17 140:9
puzzle 77:6
pyrite 31:11 56:21,23

Q

quadrangle 125:21
qualities 13:14
quality 9:6 11:16 13:12 17:15
quantify 109:17,19
quantities 34:9 46:16 48:14,16
quantity 17:19 46:4 51:2 80:25 82:2 110:3
quarter 141:21
quarterly 8:25 9:3 10:13 11:16 62: 6
question 4:19 17:14 28:9 30:5 37: 3 43:23 48:10,11 53:13 55:6 57:22 61:14,15 66:8 70:1 77:7 80:3 81: 14 83:2,19 85:18 87:3 96:5 97:10, 14 99:9 100:10 107:12,23 108:14, 17,20,25 109:1,5,7,15,22 110:5,7, 23 111:6,7 113:19 119:3 123:6 131:17 134:10 146:1
question's 97:3
questions 7:10 9:15 17:24 25:11 28:5 52:2,8 74:22 89:23 95:6,7 111:16 121:11 123:22,24,25 141: 12
quick 30:13 31:13
quickly 112:2
quit 26:8
quite 18:21 35:23 36:13 51:5 53: 16 74:19,20 82:10 88:18 121:24 135:21 138:9

R

raised 110:23
raises 109:5,6
ran 14:19
ranging 12:7
rapidly 82:2
rate 41:7,9,19 42:4 46:1 49:14 83: 16 92:7,8 109:2 140:23
rates 40:16 46:10 47:15
rather 30:7 37:17 114:13 117:23 136:6 147:22,25
raw 40:12
re-treat 11:7
reached 34:4
react 64:10
reacted 140:20
read 87:19
readily 106:9
reading 13:20 17:8 90:2,5
real 57:7 100:21 112:22,23
realize 140:18
really 24:14 34:11,17 37:12,19 38: 19 39:6 41:14 42:18,23 43:17 48: 18 49:1,20 50:13 51:19 52:1 58:21 59:2 62:12 65:12 66:14 67:19 69:5 70:11 83:12 85:20 86:19,23 87:12 88:7,7 89:16 91:15 103:1 104:18 105:8 106:9 108:5,20 116:10,19 120:20 131:3 139:18
reason 88:12
reasonable 45:17 46:12 63:3,4 105:17
reasonably 54:16 62:21 112:2
recall 25:11 27:13 73:15
recalling 12:13 17:10
received 125:19
recently 19:1
recess 124:3
recharge 42:22 48:19 49:2 72:24 101:24 103:3,6 112:8 116:9 117:3 119:22 121:18,21
recharged 78:8,12 101:9,14 102: 16,19 149:14
recharging 49:14 79:1 116:16 117:12,23
recognize 110:21
record 4:2 5:18 24:22 28:24 57:13 64:4 119:5 125:11 134:11 142:21
recording 5:7
records 11:24,24 12:23 25:6 54: 15,16
red 31:12
reference 77:20 78:21
referred 99:11 131:21
referring 15:1,4 96:13 143:25 144:5
reflect 144:8
regard 98:11 131:19
regarding 99:9
regime 96:25
region 133:12
regional 87:24 88:4,19 100:25 105:10,11,12
regionally 99:23
regionwide 88:21
regular 9:8 10:13
regulations 6:22 8:3
reheal 141:1
relate 72:2
related 40:16,17 84:17 109:15 124:24 135:25
relates 47:5
relationship 50:10 52:18 86:3
relationships 72:4 110:12
relative 40:4 49:19
relatively 107:23 129:3
relic 96:24
rely 63:19 70:1,3
relying 66:9
remain 7:24
remarks 4:3
remember 68:16 70:20 72:5 84:15 86:10
renewal 4:6 110:25
repair 20:1
repaired 18:24 19:23,25
reparations 110:9
repeated 129:23
replace 11:9
replaced 11:7
replacement 108:22 109:14
replicator 124:17
Reported 1:18
reporter 5:9 36:2
representation 130:25
representative 60:19
represents 40:21,21 121:20
request 4:18 15:21 26:23,24
requested 25:24
required 8:20 10:25 40:17 61:25 62:2,4 63:15
requires 62:22
resampled 38:20,23
resembles 122:15
reserve 28:6
resist 149:22
respect 99:7
response 17:13
responsibility 6:11 94:9
responsible 110:6
rest 34:10 78:5 81:6 145:6
restored 20:2
result 25:22
results 37:2 38:3,20
retained 52:11,14
retention 76:14
revelation 136:3
review 6:8,14,16
reviewed 9:19 64:13 95:2
reviewing 22:10
revised 27:15
REYNOLDS 2:16 5:5,19 9:19 18:3 28:8 32:1 40:25 44:8,10 53:16,18, 21 56:4,7 74:19 84:4,16,20 102:14 122:1 123:18
Reynolds' 95:22
ribs 58:1,2
Rich 10:5,19
RICHARD 3:5
right 5:6 16:3 25:22 33:15 34:15, 23 35:1,2,12,22 41:16,21 50:19 52: 6 53:23 65:2,4 66:1,2,16 67:2 68: 20 72:14,15,17,18 75:3,6 78:22 80: 2,14 81:8,10 82:13,16 84:13 88:1 92:11,13 95:5 104:6,11 107:1 111: 3 113:11 116:25 117:22 132:3 133:12 134:12 135:10 140:4
rise 100:18
rising 131:7
River 88:4,10 108:19 128:18 134: 21 135:1 149:10
rivers 134:19
rock 35:25 69:12,14 76:12,13,14 91:12 93:15 107:21 116:19,21 118:14
rocks 34:15,15 49:9,10 50:5 89:13 91:7 124:10,15
role 93:3
roof 12:6 33:16,17,17,20 36:9 37: 22 41:2,2,10 48:12,25 53:24 56:17, 18,19,23 57:25 59:1 60:6,17 80:15 92:23 96:9,12 104:4
room 28:17 118:20
rose 131:6
roughly 36:6,7 53:22 112:13 121: 15 138:10
routinely 61:25
RPR 1:19
rule 97:15 109:12
run 44:2 69:20 74:5 79:25 81:4 83:11 106:16 138:18,23 149:15
runs 75:22,23 116:21
rust 31:12 56:24

S

said 17:14 19:11 21:6,16 73:11,15 77:7,18 78:11 81:15 92:14 97:18 98:4,15,18 114:14 130:21 131:15
Saltwater 81:12
same 22:15 35:9,10,13 62:9 73:6 79:8 82:17 86:5 100:7 118:13 126: 20,22 130:19,25 139:17 140:6,23 141:8 145:24 148:18,22
sample 35:17 36:9 60:7 73:19,20 85:21 86:10,20,25 87:2,6,7
sampled 36:15,18 44:1,16,17
samples 9:2 10:13 59:23 60:1,3,4, 10,12,16,19,20
sampling 10:11 34:19,20 35:11 60:23 61:1,11,16,24 73:7 97:22
San 29:9
sand 104:10 108:3,4 113:3 115:18 129:22 134:23 146:15,20
sands 79:22 129:2,3
sandstone 33:20,20,23 36:11,13 37:12,19,20 38:12,18 39:11,20 40: 3,9,16,18,19 41:11,17 43:14 48:17 50:2,6 51:3,13,15,17 56:12 68:21, 21 69:3 74:1,3 75:12 79:15 88:17 89:24 94:15,16 95:16,20 96:9,24 99:20,22 102:4,6 103:11,13,18,24 105:2,3 106:5,17,25 107:12 111:14 112:7,23 113:25 114:3 116:5,6 117:3,4 119:8 121:14 128:17,21, 22,22 129:11,13,19,19 130:4,8,14, 23 131:1,5,6,9,13 133:3,18 136:1 139:5,8 141:23 144:22,24 145:6,7, 24 147:19 148:22
sandstones 69:8 85:22 96:14 99: 21 101:4 105:6,7 106:3,6 107:14, 18 128:19 129:17 135:25 140:20, 23 145:9 148:5
sandy 39:12
satisfied 6:22
satisfy 97:8
saturate 88:25
saturated 37:23 68:11,24 69:15 79:23 114:17 131:2
saturation 68:5,6,8
saw 30:2 39:23 56:13 58:2 70:10 99:15 133:17,21 137:6
say 14:25 24:21 33:8 35:23 36:4 37:4 42:11 51:9 52:1 58:17 62:8, 21 66:8 72:14,21 77:15 81:16 83: 20 84:10 90:7 91:20 95:1 97:17 98:14,18 99:17 104:24 106:20,22 108:12 114:9 119:18 121:24 122: 12,15 130:24 131:7 132:6 139:18 140:16 141:9 146:19
saying 47:4,8 63:24 77:13,17 91:2 98:13 106:24 108:9 109:5,6 110: 11 116:4,7,25 117:2,11 119:7 133: 24 147:20 148:14
says 35:10 103:22
SBC-10 14:17
SBC-7 16:22
SBC-8 16:23
SBC-9 16:9,19 17:4,11 36:12 38: 11,12 60:6
SC 136:14,21
scale 43:12 128:4,11,12 133:5,6 136:8
scales 128:9
schedule 4:11 28:6
schedules 4:13
school 126:1
science 71:8,10 110:11
scientific 63:3,4
scope 94:8 95:4
Scott 1:19
scoured 134:22
scouring 146:19
SDH-2 127:17,23
seal 147:9
sealed 18:25
Seam 8:5,13,13 16:8 18:21 27:17 30:11,11,16,18 33:20 35:18 36:22, 22 38:18 39:13 40:10 53:8,9,11,14, 15,25 54:2,4 97:25 98:8 124:5 129:6,7,7,8 131:24 134:4,6 135:18 136:21 137:4 138:11,12,13 139:16, 22,25 146:14
seams 44:20 48:24 49:5,10 56:11 90:15,20 129:5
seat 95:10
second 14:13 23:5 30:20 34:20 110:7 138:22
secondary 139:9,13
secondly 93:14
section 13:13,13,16,17 22:21,24, 25 80:14 127:10,10,16 128:25 130: 1 132:21 142:23 144:13
sections 122:14
sedimentary 91:12
see 8:6 11:16 12:3,12,18 13:7 18: 22 19:19 20:5,14 21:24 23:22 27: 3,23 31:8 41:24 47:3 49:1,4 50:24 51:5,19,19,23 53:21,24 56:24 57:4, 5,23 58:1 64:4 67:14 70:7 74:9 82: 19 84:3 85:4 86:7 96:5 98:6 103:4 104:10 105:7,13 107:5 109:21 116:11 121:13,15 127:19 133:3 135:24 137:5
seeing 33:16 131:3
seemed 68:13
seems 23:11 83:20 139:1
seen 58:5 74:8,14,14,16 75:3,6 79:15 103:11 104:4 133:16 146:24 148:7,13
seeping 26:11
seeps 148:16
segment 105:25,25
segments 105:21,22,23
semiannually 62:6
send 123:23
sense 44:3 102:1,2 108:18 111:24 145:4
sent 38:23
separate 19:8,10 22:12 99:3,5 132:19
sequence 59:2 69:2 79:23 103:4 115:17 144:9 145:19
sequences 68:25 69:4 143:1 144: 8 146:12
series 106:10
serve 142:15
Service 10:23
SERVICES 2:10 119:6
session 4:18
set 130:11 138:22,22 139:13
several 5:11 9:2 29:8 33:13 67:18 93:8 111:11 126:3,5,7 131:21 137: 12 144:19
Shale 89:1 103:8 108:4 129:12,20, 23 130:9 131:1,4,7 136:24,25 144: 17,25 145:1,7,10,13,20 147:9,10, 15 149:12
shale/sandstone 130:12
shales 107:13,15 128:17,20 129:2 140:21,24
shallow 134:17
shape 42:7
ship 132:11
short 46:7 149:24
short-circuit 107:5
shortly 15:14 23:6
Should 30:4 31:18 60:14 73:6,9 83:15 123:23
shouldn't 109:16
show 30:7 34:17 37:1 53:3 60:3 74:21 100:7 110:1,2 122:19 132: 24 133:10 144:3
showing 110:3
shown 41:20 85:2 140:14
shows 45:10 82:8 92:22 143:21
side 14:16,22 38:17 39:7 44:1,4,

15,15 69:17 74:6 75:11 82:22 83:4 92:18,19 93:3,8,21 104:17 113:7, 12,12,14 114:7,19,20 138:5,8
sides 114:16,17
significant 14:22 16:9 23:1 46:13 47:24,25 95:19 102:15 148:10 149:6
significantly 8:6
signs 31:11 33:13 34:17 56:17,21
silt 21:10
siltstone 129:20
similar 61:18 74:22 81:19,22 139: 10
simple 44:24
simply 27:2 46:20,25 89:1
simultaneous 84:19
since 6:7,11 7:20 8:17 11:6,13,18 12:25 13:6 16:18 17:4,6 18:25 19: 4 21:23 22:7 27:10,19 29:13 47:20 126:5 127:6
single 73:1 98:24,25
sink 78:22
sinkholes 19:20
sir 63:15
sit 13:16 80:11 129:8
site 16:2,2,4 21:22 143:1
sites 62:4
sitting 42:25
situation 148:18,22
six 77:5
sixty-eight 45:6
size 129:4 148:25
skeletal 77:13
sketch 135:7
Skyline 29:15 61:6,7,11
slight 73:2
Slightly 36:14,15,15 40:9 44:9,10
slope 19:22,22
slow 49:14 92:8 106:13
small 12:7 19:17 50:21,21 87:5 127:20 129:3,3 133:6 149:17
smaller 16:24 80:22 81:3
SMCRA 8:3 19:5
Smith 2:7,22 7:1,7 17:24 18:2 23: 8,11,12,24 24:2,4 28:3,15 32:14, 18,21,24 52:6,7,10 54:3 56:10 57: 13 63:10,12,17,22 64:16 65:4 66: 21 67:8,14 68:18 69:24 74:21 76: 20,23 77:19,21 79:1 80:11 81:13 82:5,15 83:6,18 85:18 86:1,24 91: 1,5 92:14 94:23 95:6 123:6,10 124:9,11 127:8,18,22 142:12
Smith's 63:8
Snickers 58:15
snow 118:17
snowmelt 118:7,9,13
so-called 79:9
soft 93:22
Soldier 29:16
some 4:3 12:6,19 13:20 14:15 16: 12,22 18:19 19:2,5 20:7 22:9 26: 10 27:20,20 30:20 33:17 34:13,19 35:11 36:19 37:2,21,22 38:2,10,19 39:5,25 40:2,6,12,15 41:4,4,8 42: 23,25 43:6,10,13,15,15,21 44:5,21 45:15,21 46:2,16 47:14 49:14 50: 9,18,23 51:23 55:19 56:23 60:22 61:14 62:1 65:12 66:23 67:1,6 68: 20 69:7 74:22 76:14 77:6 78:3 79: 21,21 81:3 86:14,16 87:21 88:3,6, 21,23,24 90:2 91:9,10 94:15 95:19 98:1,9,23 99:2,16 105:11 107:9 108:13,25 110:14 111:18,25 112: 15,23 113:4 114:25 115:1,16,17,24 117:2,14,18,24,25 118:16 119:1 122:6 125:3 126:8 133:8 134:20, 22 149:16,18
somebody 97:10
somehow 102:8 113:4
someone 74:15 76:17
someone's 109:23
someplace 145:21 147:22
something 17:8 23:18 37:6 43:3 61:25 62:4 64:12 68:1 76:13 77:3 80:20 93:3 97:9,17,18 99:17 101: 16 106:25 109:23,23 133:7 138:20, 24
something's 56:20
sometime 4:15
sometimes 134:17
somewhat 23:15 78:19
somewhere 39:8,21,25 42:21 45: 2 46:15,17 78:20,22,24,25 79:2 80: 15 101:19 115:18 136:9
soon 28:13 64:21 111:19
sorry 7:4 22:22 25:7 28:8 45:11 53:20 91:5 112:4 124:22 138:12 147:18 149:22
sort 13:20 36:20 44:5 47:2 57:2 77:6 81:3 94:16 95:3 97:18 111:23 118:10 125:2
sorts 68:17 76:6 98:12
sounds 61:3
source 15:6 24:13 31:16 36:12 38:11,12 42:22 60:6 87:11,12 112: 6 118:4,4 119:20 120:2,4
source; 119:19
sources 15:23 26:2 52:15 89:22
south 18:20 30:25 35:10 38:11,15 43:25 60:5 81:4 82:23 127:11 132: 5
southern 128:1
space 136:23
spare 90:3
speak 80:2
SPEAKER 120:7
speaking 63:12
Special 119:6
specific 26:17 76:14 97:20
specifically 52:14 119:1
speechifying 112:5
spell 125:9
spend 58:7 127:4
spent 52:23 58:11 125:25
spill 135:22
spread 24:14
Spring 16:6,6,17,17 18:23 30:12, 12 38:7,7,7 46:17,18 49:24,25 50: 2,7,18 51:1,8,21,23 73:5,7 81:19, 25 82:2,3,6,17 83:3,12,15 89:3,5,8, 9,11,12,18 93:25 109:4 116:9,11, 14,16,24 118:23 119:17,18,23 120: 2 121:16,17 123:2 129:9,24 131:13 136:9,15 139:4,13 146:6 147:18
Spring's 49:25
springs 8:23 9:1,1,4,7 10:12 20: 11 26:13 29:11 36:24 48:9,11 52: 17,20 62:5,16 88:9,11 89:4 94:5 119:9,24 122:16 123:2 130:2 138: 5 139:3,10 141:20,24 148:7,15,25 149:6,19
springs; 120:9
squirting 44:13
stacking 137:15
staff 26:25 30:9
Stand 149:21
standards 8:20
standing 44:4 69:17 95:13 142:20
Star 99:20,21 129:9,10 130:4,8,10 136:18 143:7 144:22 146:9 147:3, 17
start 4:3,8 30:6 37:1 40:13 48:1 58:13,14 80:14 86:22 125:17 126: 25 127:1 137:15
started 25:20,22 29:11 33:16 103: 13,16,16 104:18 127:23
starting 104:15,16 127:17
starts 18:11 41:17 63:25 129:20
STATE 1:2 62:9 83:23,25 121:14
stated 138:15
statement 17:16 58:21,24 88:1 98:5
statements 57:16
static 47:7 92:10
stay 21:9 43:9
stays 7:22
steadily 13:19
steady 83:22,23,23,25 121:13
Stewing 121:8
stick 118:17
still 6:11 11:3 17:5 22:8 25:21 90: 21 95:19 110:13
stop 13:12 62:24 63:7 106:14 117: 22
stopped 13:19
stops 33:13
storm 118:9
Storrs 147:19 148:20
story 118:2
straight 42:5 98:6,25
strandline 146:10
stratigraphic 114:22,23,25 115:8, 9 125:23 126:4
stratigraphy 101:24 113:17 118:5 127:1,6 131:16 140:17
stream 50:7 81:3,9 117:1 123:3
streams 62:5
stresses 140:19
strike 144:22
strong 58:21
structural 126:4
structure 125:23 127:1 131:14 137:11 139:3 140:17
structures 91:18
students 95:14,14
studied 27:5
studies 27:3,10,18 87:21,22 126: 2,4
study 20:5,14,17 88:21
subject 105:10
submission 32:8
submit 4:25 32:4
submitted 27:16
subsequently 34:7 36:18
subsidence 18:14,17,19,24 19:2, 11,12,15 20:5,8,9,12,14,18,23 21:3
substantial 42:1,9 48:14,16 55:20 93:1 103:10
substantially 41:19 91:13
subsurface 43:9
succeeded 97:4
such 24:14 39:23
suddenly 46:10 55:22 95:23
SUFCO 29:16
sufficient 71:23 72:1 110:25
suggest 47:9 92:6
suggesting 47:10
summarizes 36:25 38:4
superficial 115:5
supply 108:23
support 64:7 101:24 103:3 111:2
supporting 102:3
supports 97:1 103:6
suppose 76:17
supposed 64:11
suppression 30:18
sure 7:24 21:9,11 24:15 25:15 27: 1,1 28:10 33:2,8 35:8 43:24 55:5,6 68:12 70:12 71:23 80:22 82:8 87: 2,19 94:14 95:7 98:19 119:4,11 120:2 121:1,4 123:8 124:8 125:10 131:15 134:8 136:24 140:3 141:12
surface 7:23 8:1 9:9 12:20 15:25 16:7,24 18:20 19:17,18 21:7 23:21 26:11 46:20,22,23 48:20 62:4,12, 19 64:13 67:12,16,17 68:6,7,14 69: 12,14,18 91:16 96:3,4,13,17 97:1, 5,23 98:2,7,25 99:1,10,13,18,23 100:1,2,6,7,12,12,16,17,22,23 101: 9,15 102:16,24,25 103:2,3,6 106:8 112:7,11 113:4 116:20 118:11 119:20 130:13,18,22,24 131:12,20 132:17,19 138:3 149:16
surface-influenced 119:18,24
surface-water 120:4
surfaces 65:18 66:4,15,22,25 99: 4,6,9 130:15 131:18
surprised 23:12,15 84:20
surrounding 118:5
swell 140:25
Swiss 77:10
system 40:14 42:21 48:8 49:13 52:19 61:17 71:11 86:6 104:7,24 108:18 109:2 128:23 138:16 139:6 146:10
system's 42:22
system; 72:6
systems 29:21 49:17 52:20 88:23

T

table 100:22,23 101:1 103:4 130: 18 136:14
take 5:10 22:18 23:4 26:22 30:4 36:2 41:4 46:4 53:2 59:15 60:12, 21 61:5 64:20 70:16 71:20 75:14 87:7,10,11 89:3 95:10 109:14,14 110:18 111:15 122:6,11 123:17 124:12 128:5
taken 10:12 11:17 60:14,15 70:13, 22 73:19,20 124:3
taking 9:2 24:12 71:15 113:21
talk 19:11 26:17 28:14,16 40:7 48: 9 50:9 51:21,22 57:10 59:13 87:24 106:19
talked 22:18 79:4 131:16 137:11
talking 19:14 25:1,3 51:17 58:14 61:10 63:23 68:13 73:18 74:1,3 79:5 86:25 95:14 96:16 97:5,6 99: 19 100:1,3,5,6 102:5 111:12,13 120:2 122:24 126:25 130:19 131: 20 134:14 147:5 148:11
tangentially 91:16
Tank 8:13 27:17 30:11 53:8,9,11, 14,25 54:2,4 129:6 138:13 139:21
task 118:18
taught 29:10
teaching 29:12
tectonic 140:19
tell 5:1 13:23 14:3,4 18:17 19:4 47:7 56:14 59:7 64:14 65:21 66:24 72:8 76:6 94:23 108:7 109:11 116: 18 118:2 120:3 126:19 133:15 134:12 135:7 143:14
telling 107:19
telltale 31:11
ten 23:9 40:1 77:9 88:16
tend 137:15 144:17 145:1 146:10
tendency 128:8 140:25
tensional 140:20
term 101:22 102:19,23
terminology 23:25 108:21
terms 40:13 43:4 44:21 46:5,8 48: 10,25 62:2 78:4 82:25 95:2 98:19 110:8 111:21
terrible 119:16 120:6
terribly 42:20
test 64:24 97:22,23
testified 5:14 11:19 28:21 56:7 70:18 99:6 102:14 113:6 116:15 125:6 130:17 142:25
testify 7:6 54:1 63:2 77:1 84:5,16, 21 86:17 105:20
testifying 15:9 17:18 98:16 119: 15
testimony 22:18,20 47:5 50:9 51: 16 56:9 64:2 66:14 68:4 72:9 73: 14,16,18 74:16 77:4 78:11 79:8,19 93:10 94:3,4 95:22 96:19 98:22,23 99:3 104:3,23 107:6 117:15 119:1 123:19 141:13
testing 71:16 76:6,7
tests 81:18
thank 4:16 7:9 28:3 52:2 73:24 101:12 110:20,22 124:2 137:9 147:1
thanking 4:8
Thanks 77:19 111:3
that'll 62:5
That's 5:25 7:2 17:8,23 18:13 19: 8,10 20:17 22:17 24:2 26:23 27:9 28:1,2,3 30:13 32:25 37:18 38:16 39:17,18 40:1 42:21 44:17 45:21 46:7,11,15 47:14,16 48:5 49:22 51:13,25 52:13 54:8,19 56:1,1 57: 12,14 58:4 61:20 62:3,4 63:2 64:8, 9,16 66:2,16 67:10 68:18 69:6,24 70:19 72:3 75:3,11 76:16 77:8,19 78:6 79:3,24 81:10 82:22 84:10 85:18 86:6 87:3,16 89:5 91:15,21 92:11,13 93:13 94:11 95:4,6,18 98:10 99:22,25 100:1,2,6,7,12 101: 3 103:17 104:6,17,19 105:1 107:1 108:10,11,23 109:6 110:22 111:4 112:10,10 113:3,11,15,16 116:5,6, 17,18,19 118:12 120:24,25 121:22 122:13 123:1 133:3 134:23 136:2, 4,18 141:4 142:13 144:1 146:4 147:15,21
themselves 139:3 141:1 147:9
theoretical 102:1
theory 77:17 141:2
there's 20:17 41:10 44:3 50:24 51:14 53:22 54:14 55:14 56:22 57: 6,7 64:5 66:12 67:19 69:16 70:2 75:20 77:24 84:4,7 87:20,22 88:3, 9 91:9 99:2,5,8 100:19,21 105:11 107:6,9 108:25 111:24 114:6,18 117:6 119:23 132:13 136:24 137:2 138:4,15,22 139:11 147:15
therefore 119:18 120:4
they'll 37:21,23 62:6 140:21,22
they've 11:15,18 19:25 42:1,9 56: 25 74:16 116:13 138:10
thick 35:23 36:4 59:2 68:12 79:23 103:20 114:2 128:25 147:13,16
thicken 145:2
thicker 80:25 145:21
thickness 80:23 88:25 143:6 144: 7,9,18 145:3
thicknesses 128:7 129:13 143:1
thin 147:10,12,12
thing 36:20 37:4 40:15 42:20 43:

13,22 44:13 47:2,6 50:3,23 57:2 58:14 69:10 74:10 76:16,18 77:10 78:3 80:23 81:7 84:3 90:18 94:17 95:3 101:2 107:12 124:4 129:16 130:19,25 133:15 135:10 140:16
thing; 118:10
things 39:6 62:3,20 63:1 64:23 68:17 84:6 87:6,23 94:15 98:12 103:1 106:11 109:8 117:14 123:5
think 4:17 11:19 12:4 17:13 23:8,14 26:17 31:22 32:18,25 46:5,8 47:4,4 51:25 53:9 54:20,21 58:23,24 61:23 62:14 63:22 64:1 66:7,12 67:22,24 68:4 69:10,21,24 72:9,10,12,21 75:19 77:1 79:24 80:1,18 81:2,5 82:20,21,22 86:5,9 88:23 89:23 90:4,5 95:6 96:6,19,21,23 97:4,7,9,17 98:17 99:11 102:2 104:1 107:6,8,17 108:14,17 109:1,5,10,15 110:4,12 111:4,21 112:1 113:5,15 114:5 115:11 116:15 117:14 118:24 120:19 121:20,21 122:1 125:2,10 131:14,18 142:10,13,19 144:1 146:23
thinking 47:19 110:16
thinner 145:21
third 4:5 16:3
those 4:4 7:12 9:4,7 10:16,24,25 19:23 25:2,6,11 27:7,8 40:12 51:18 60:4,7,19 62:20 63:5 64:20 65:14,23 66:14 67:13,18 68:16,21 70:10,13 71:21 72:4,23 79:15 84:6,19 86:17 87:22 89:19 90:14,25 94:5 98:12 99:8 106:11 109:8 110:16 111:1 126:7 130:15 145:9 149:11
though 35:13 71:19 79:17 83:10 129:17
thought 65:24 67:10,11 111:10 136:5
thousand 40:2 53:19,22 88:16 110:3
three 11:5 15:25 16:10 19:20 37:23 52:25 58:8 64:24 70:2 99:3 109:8 121:8 129:11 130:6,7 143:6
three-dimensional 137:18
threw 109:16
throughout 62:13 70:22 103:4 139:2 144:13
throw 115:2
till 16:23 22:5
time 7:20 8:17 9:5 13:19 14:12,13 15:11 17:14 18:7 19:5 23:11 25:9,18,21,25 26:2,25 27:10,19 30:18 41:23 46:5,7 50:25 59:15 64:1 68:4,5 83:15 91:25 97:19,20 98:13 110:24 112:4 127:5 130:14 147:4
times 72:24 81:16 84:16,19 117:5
today 4:10 21:1 28:1 64:6 70:4,6,11
together 4:14 7:21 13:14 31:18 72:25 100:8 119:12 147:6
toilet 46:6
told 134:5 135:13
Tom 26:16
tongue 145:13
tongues 129:11,13 145:1,3
took 35:16 44:25 60:7,20 65:6 127:11,16
top 12:13 82:13,14 88:25 103:7 106:15,20 107:20 115:7 129:8 135:22 140:13 141:7
topography 128:6
total 25:2 91:4,6,6 105:16 143:4
totality 84:1
totally 67:24 91:3 128:5
touch 50:12 130:6
tour 30:8 36:25
toward 23:21,23 68:11 98:4,14 120:13,16 144:23
towards 27:15 140:13
trace 138:3,6
track 11:23 12:22 48:4 50:1 56:2
tragedy 119:16
Trail 19:3,6
train 111:9
transcript 5:10
transgressive/regressive 146:12
transient 121:14
transitional 129:19 134:16
transmit 49:8 67:18 88:13 112:19
transmitting 44:20 48:13,15 82:20 112:24
travel 47:10
treat 71:10 100:23 119:21
treated 119:20 120:4
treatment 119:21 120:5
trend 145:2
trending 137:13
trends 138:2 139:5
trials 7:3 142:8
tried 45:14 77:5 97:3
trip 30:13
tritium 35:20 36:10,16,19 38:4,24 50:22 73:8 87:3,4,4 89:19
trouble 112:15,17,22,24
true 58:20 74:18 75:15 88:19 100:14,14 119:16 121:22 145:17,25
truncates 80:17
truth 109:6
try 18:9 43:6 70:6 71:11,11 73:25 76:2 87:7,17,17 88:5 90:1 113:22 138:24
trying 46:25 61:13 63:9,17 64:17 72:4 77:21 85:5 98:20 100:15 109:9 118:3 142:22
TU 38:21
tunnels 84:18
turn 5:1 53:5
TUs 38:25
twelve 88:16
twenty 40:2
twist 118:1,3
two 8:25 25:2 36:23 37:23 44:5 48:9,10 52:20 58:11 62:16 84:15,18 92:7 93:15 98:6 99:21 105:22 115:18 120:9 123:5 124:24 133:21 143:8 144:11 147:11
type 51:20
typical 32:25
typically 79:18

U

Uh-huh 19:13 65:3 81:21 83:6 117:10 143:3 145:14,16 148:8,17,19,21 149:3,9,20
ultimately 78:21
uncommon 40:1 106:18
unconfined 100:24 132:6
under 44:4 72:24 73:11,15,22 101:5,6 102:15 104:13,14 132:4 136:10
undergone 140:18
underground 7:24 8:2 10:15 16:8,11 17:6 116:1 126:21
underlying 40:10 48:20 49:10 65:19 96:14 101:4
underneath 107:24
understand 18:3 24:17 33:11 40:14 43:7 52:12,17 55:6,6,13 61:24 63:1 64:17 65:17 70:12 71:11,12,23 72:4 73:25 74:7,7,24 76:8,13 77:1,4,12,21 78:5 83:2 84:9 97:13 98:19 104:1 108:8 111:17 112:11 121:22 131:18
understanding 7:6,8,11 25:14 29:20 40:24 54:1,25 91:19 104:3 108:10 135:20
understood 77:15 122:18
UNIDENTIFIED 120:7
uniform 146:11
unit 131:4
units 93:15 146:15
University 29:3,10 125:19
unless 91:9 112:18 132:13
unlikely 145:8 146:13 147:8
until 4:14 15:16 48:16 56:12,12 79:25 101:15 104:9
up 10:6 13:18 14:12,13 15:16 16:23,25 17:3 18:21 19:22 20:11 31:4,8 36:14,15,15 37:22 38:14,24 40:13,25 41:8,13,17,19,20,21 44:9,10 46:23 48:6 49:11 53:8 55:1,14,21 57:10 65:4,6 69:14 82:8 83:20,25 84:22 85:24 88:15 89:14 92:22 95:16,20 99:1 101:13 103:1,23 106:15,20,22 107:25 114:20 116:21 124:1 127:10,23 129:24 130:5 131:6 132:8 136:6 137:3,5 138:8 139:1 140:3,3,4 141:7,14 142:20 144:4 148:2 149:8,13,21
upon 50:12 98:3 115:25 145:18
upper 37:24
upstream 118:7
upward 129:21,23
us 5:9 6:19 7:16 13:23 30:2 32:15 40:1,13 41:25 43:13 46:12 53:3 63:2 64:12,21 65:21 67:14 88:2 100:9 107:19 110:2 122:2 124:14 125:16 126:19 142:24
use 24:13,24 30:22 32:11 35:25 54:21 55:8 67:17 76:1 89:10 112:4 119:19 120:3,6
used 95:13 129:13
Users 2:4,7 4:19 64:5 77:12 98:24 109:17,18,25 110:1
users' 67:4,5 144:2
USGS 87:21,22 101:3
using 55:15 102:23
UTAH 1:2,8,16 4:7 29:21 126:1
utilized 16:15

V

vague 97:3
Valley 119:6 141:18 144:24
value 42:7
values 84:7
variance 123:20
variations 47:9
varied 12:20
various 29:23 33:14 49:5 54:17 81:18 115:8 143:1 147:3
vary 144:18,19 145:3
vehicle 30:19,20
ventilation 32:3
verbal 111:23
verbatim 5:10
verify 40:25 59:20,21 77:2
verifying 77:15
versa 117:23
versus 83:11 91:8
vertical 36:6,7 49:2 59:3 91:8,10,11,13 107:8,9,14,16 108:5,6 112:13 128:9,12
vertically 48:22 90:9,12,16 91:1 106:3 107:14 108:2 112:20,21 148:3
very 4:12,15 8:5,14 23:2,3 37:25 64:21 68:5 79:23 81:19 88:11,12,15 92:8 96:20 97:4 98:5,10 112:19 122:19 124:2,25 138:10 147:10
vice 117:23
vicinity 69:4 106:2 134:21
view 43:14,16 49:22 50:7 104:25 108:11
viewed 71:6
visibility 30:20
visit 30:10 52:21 53:1 54:6 64:24 65:7 120:20
Visited 30:1,10,12 53:6,6,7,10 65:1 115:15 139:17
visual 58:4,5
visualizing 50:25
volume 39:22,23 40:5 76:12,15
volumes 47:15 48:1
volunteer 64:12,12

W

waiting 121:6
walk 34:1 50:3 56:19 79:10 89:12,14,14
walked 65:6
walls 57:1
want 4:11,25 18:3 30:4 33:5 42:5 59:14 61:15,21 62:25,25 64:23 66:17,18 67:2 71:22 73:10,25 77:9 81:13,14,24 89:9 90:1 91:7 99:17 100:4 113:19 121:5 122:8 124:1 127:4 129:4,16 137:22 142:19
wanted 31:11 40:15 42:12 43:2,6 60:12,18,21 98:14,18 111:22 122:13
Wasatch 108:19 137:12 146:24
wasn't 22:4 23:15 44:12 92:22 142:2
wasting 64:1
Water 2:4,7 4:19 8:8,11,14,15,18,22,23,24 9:6 10:11 12:5,6,15,20,23 14:15 15:11,15,25 16:21 17:15,19 22:19 23:13,23 24:4,7,8,10,12,12,13,14,18,24 25:2,6,13 26:1,2,5,9,11 31:9 33:13 34:5,9,18 35:14,19,21 36:10 37:20,21,22 38:1,16 39:7,9,10,12,14,18,20,23,23,25 40:1,5,5,6,6,7,8,10,21,22 41:10 42:2,10,10,19,20 43:5 44:1,4,12,13,14,15,20,22,22,25 45:3,6,12,15,18,22,24,25 46:1,1,4,12,13,14,16,25 47:6,7,19,21,22,23,23,24 48:1,14,16,22,24,25 49:8,18,18,19,20,21 50:18,19,20,23 51:2,6,7,8,10,11,12 52:16 53:25 54:11,17,25 55:4,10,10,12,13,14,16,20,23,24 56:1,2,3,10,13,17,18,19,22 57:3,5,7,20,21,23 58:1,18 59:4 60:18 61:10,11 62:1 64:5 67:4,5,18,19 68:24 69:5,7,9,13,18,20 70:7,8,13,16,23 71:15 72:3,13,22,23 73:1,11,15 75:11,12 76:3,15 77:12 78:16 79:10,21,22 80:5,7,22 81:5,18,20 82:2,18,19,21,22 83:3,3,11,11,12 84:23,24,25 85:2 86:2,3,4,8,19,25 87:1,8,13 88:6,7,8,13,14,18,24 89:2,4,16,20,21,22 90:17 91:1,4,6,6,15,21,22 92:3,19,20,22 93:5,12,16,19,22 94:5 95:24 96:2,8,10,11,14,18,23,24 98:5,14,24 100:12,18,20,22,23,25 101:3,5,7,8,14,23 102:8,16,19 103:16,17,23 104:4,15,23,25 106:2,8,15,20,22 107:1,19 108:1,21,23 109:17,18,18,25 110:1 112:7,19,23,24 113:6,13 114:3,6,18,20 115:1,10,12,14,16,20 116:2,8,12,22 117:3,11,13,16,18,22 118:5,12 119:8,17,20 120:13,15,17,24 121:3,13,21 122:9,14,25 130:12,14,18 131:1,4,5,8 132:7,13 133:25 134:20 136:4,10,14,20,22,25 137:2,5,6 139:22,24 140:25 144:1 146:7 147:2,17,23 149:14,14,16
water's 25:19 43:2 59:8 90:7 96:12 115:9 132:8
water-bearing 65:19
water; 88:24 100:17
waterline 132:11
waters 42:24 62:19
way 24:11 26:15 27:1 28:18 45:17 46:10 64:10 67:25 71:14 72:21 76:25 77:22 90:6,14 91:20 99:1 101:15 108:11 109:4 115:23 120:25 122:9 134:21 135:3 145:11 146:20 148:4
ways 26:17
we'll 4:2 5:10 7:7 15:2 28:1,16 50:9 56:24 59:14,15 64:19 84:2 87:21 93:19 96:19 106:6 124:18,19 125:2 135:17
We're 4:17,23 29:18 33:9 35:3,5 61:10,21 64:1,16 67:22 68:19,19 70:12 73:1 74:3 81:2,5 83:14 86:25 88:20,22 90:21 95:14 99:18 100:1,5,6 102:5,11 106:11 109:9 110:13 120:1 122:24 123:5 124:7,18 131:3 133:21 134:14
week 124:23,25 125:3
weekly 7:23
weight 63:20
welcome 7:14
Well 7:12 10:22,23 11:7,8,9 13:9 16:6 24:13 32:21 36:16,19,20 41:15 42:12 43:3,7 44:1 47:5 54:14 55:19 58:23 60:9,24 65:6,10,10 66:7,10,13,23 67:8 72:8,9,21 76:21,23,25 77:9 81:24 82:19 84:9,15 86:12,21 87:17 88:7,7 90:12,13 92:17 93:4 94:14 96:21 97:4 98:18 100:14,19 101:2 104:2 105:19 106:24 107:20 108:6 111:21,24 112:9,19 113:24 114:24 116:19 117:8,16 118:14 120:23 121:22,24 123:1,3 124:12 126:24 130:10,12,21 131:6 132:18 134:11 136:3,24 141:14 143:14 148:1
well-cemented 133:19
well-sorted 133:18
well-washed 129:22
welling 136:6
wells 10:16,16,17,22,25 11:14,14 16:11 17:5 61:17 64:25 65:14,21,23 70:2,2,13 97:22,23 141:6
west 9:10 19:22 22:25 29:18 35:10 36:8 38:11,11,14,15 39:7,15,16 43:25 44:1,4,14 60:5 82:3,10,11 83:4 113:7 133:22 137:16 138:5 144:23,23
west-to-east 144:21
western 37:8
wet 57:9,14,19 79:9,12 139:25
wetter 120:24
whack 124:15
What's 13:5 21:12 32:7 49:23 50:16 63:25 68:12 72:2 80:18 82:22

91:15 92:5 97:11 107:25 109:25 110:7 118:9,10 119:22
what-we-did 111:7
Whatever 46:19 70:8 71:12 72:24 91:7,17 110:12,13
whenever 113:3
Wherever 46:19 57:6
whether 6:22 25:16 57:7 64:14 66:11 68:10 83:7 94:23 95:1 108:1,20 109:22 120:12 121:2 134:3 137:1
WHITE 3:5 10:5,19 124:23
who's 9:24
whole 53:2 58:8 73:18 139:9
wide 103:18 114:1 139:8 143:17,18
width 43:11,22 74:6 105:16 133:8 143:8,10
will 4:21 8:9,16 14:1 17:12 27:24 37:22,24 41:12,12 42:4 49:9 50:11 51:15,16,16 56:24 62:21 64:10 69:20 74:16 76:14 79:10,21 84:16,20 88:6,7,8,24,24 96:19 106:2,8 113:16 114:7,15,25 115:1,9 117:18 119:1 140:22,24 144:19 147:18
willingness 142:24
wish 77:18
within 14:21 42:11 49:17 118:25 130:14 139:4,6,21 144:11,18 149:12
without 35:14 85:17
Witness 2:15 3:2 5:13 7:6 15:3,4 23:5 28:20 29:18 32:9,13 33:5,12 34:23 35:2,9,13 36:7 37:10,16,18 39:5 42:17 43:24 44:7,9,11,17 45:5 47:12,16,25 48:5 53:24 64:11 66:17 67:5 68:2,20 74:20 76:10 77:18 78:25 80:8,10,13 81:10 82:8 83:9 85:14,20 86:14,21 90:24 91:4,23 92:2,9,11,13 94:22 96:6 104:6,8,11,19,21,25 108:10 111:19 112:21 115:24 119:11,13 122:21 125:5 127:9,16,20,23 131:25 132:3,12,15 134:25 135:11,23 136:7,12 137:10,25 141:14 142:13,15 146:4,9,16,23
witnesses 5:3 8:9,16 15:8 27:25 64:19 124:1
wondering 82:17
Woops 142:1
word 67:17 89:10
words 49:8 104:2 106:23
work 6:3,4,19 10:1,7,15,20,21,24 11:14 21:19,20 22:9,10,11 27:12 29:7,15,19 36:19 60:10 62:11 113:23 116:18 124:19 125:20 143:16
worked 6:1 21:7,14,17 29:8,9,15 48:6 55:18
working 18:4 25:16,18,20 29:20 62:3 80:6 126:1,5
working's 41:21
workings 15:12,16,20 25:11,19 26:3,9 37:8,9 53:14,15 68:16 97:25 99:16 120:18 121:2 131:23 140:5
works 40:14
world 43:4,8,16 44:19 46:15 75:10 92:25
wouldn't 4:14 55:2 71:4 79:8 102:21 119:13 148:10,11 149:23
wrestle 111:5
writing 127:19
written 99:20
wrong 45:11 87:25 88:1,2 89:1

Y

Yeah 6:1 14:7 22:21 26:15 32:18 36:15 38:22 53:21 58:13 60:2 62:14 63:12 68:18 82:5 86:14 90:4 96:19 98:13 112:10,21 118:7 119:4 121:6 122:11 123:1 124:11,15 132:23 134:25 135:23 136:7,11 143:24
year 10:5 15:12 16:12 18:4 84:2,10 90:24
year-old 122:25
years 8:7 9:2 12:2 14:21 16:15 29:8 39:9,22 40:2,11 42:11 46:8 47:19,20,21 50:21 70:19 71:1 73:8 88:16 92:19 94:6 95:23 126:1 131:22
yellow 129:17
Yep 132:12
yet 66:8 102:11 146:24
Young 29:3 39:24,24 125:19
younger 40:5 92:20
yourself 59:22 97:11

Z

zero 38:25 42:6 144:19
Zimmerman 10:23
zone 35:22 37:24 38:17 68:5,6,7 92:24 134:16

&

& 236:7

'

'70s 276:18
'78 277:18
'79 210:20,21
'80s 205:10 207:25 208:1 211:7
'81 204:16
'82 204:16
'86 239:18 285:10,11
'87 239:18
'89 284:16 292:17
'90 284:17
'90s 211:8
'91 197:1
'92 227:8
'93 198:24 255:3 284:11 285:15
'95 259:10

1

1 216:20 223:24 249:17 266:14,15 269:8 271:8 285:4 302:5
1,000 182:23 233:11,13,17
1,500 181:19,20 202:7,8,10
1-31-95 256:12
1.2 164:19 165:5 223:1
1/2 221:6
10 150:10 174:21 182:22,23 301:25
10-11 213:10 214:1 216:18
10-12 213:11 214:1
10-4 238:2
10-5 213:8,24 238:2,4
10-6 213:8,25 238:4
10-7 214:7
100 180:14,19 182:22 193:7
110 207:8
120 207:5 220:10,11
120-gallon-a-minute 195:8
12th 284:17
140 195:6,19,20 207:5
15 301:25
15th 195:13,15
16 263:9
165 207:9
18 170:1 173:18,20 224:23 265:6
180 259:7
19 304:21
1957 272:21
1976 196:23
1977 196:24
1978 206:13 207:9,10 210:20,22 277:13
1978-1979 204:23
1978-through-1979 206:21
1979 206:13 271:11 277:13
1980 204:20
1981 269:7
1982 206:3
1983 202:17
1984 263:14
1986 285:9
1987 205:16
1988 266:14
1989 261:2 283:20,22 292:14,21,23
1990 197:1 232:18 284:1,4,10,18 292:11,21 293:1
1991 198:2 227:8 258:8,11,15 292:12 293:16,18,19
1992 198:2 255:3 258:17,19 284:19
1993 201:12 202:17,24 232:10 256:8
1994 198:23 261:2,3 285:14

2

2 150:10 223:25 302:5
2,500 223:14
2-13 258:7,8
2-14 259:4,13 275:13
2-31 259:25 260:4
2-6 256:2,9,16
2-8 257:3
2-inch 214:19
2.2.2 260:2,3
20 170:10 173:14 174:22 175:6 224:1,23
200 193:7 207:4 220:6,8,11 258:20
2000 304:21
2010 292:20
20th 284:4,10
210 259:5
21st 285:9
22 173:16
23 210:22
250 168:5 173:9
26 277:4
26th 202:24 232:9,15
27th 202:24 284:11
28th 283:22
290 222:1

3

3 302:6
30 161:17 174:22 258:16 259:5 281:5,12 302:1
300 166:16 167:5,6 168:3,16 205:11 222:1 258:17,22 262:4
30th 256:8 284:19
37 272:4
3rd 279:16 280:25 281:3,9

4

4 221:6 261:1,6
40 161:17 220:12 221:19,20
400 281:5
42 267:17
425 279:21
430-foot 221:3,3
45 301:23
450 279:14
4; 165:14

5

5,000 213:15,19 248:19,22
500 258:20
56 263:6 264:9

6

6 214:19
60 258:16 301:24
6:00 291:5
6:15 303:5

7

7 262:3
7,000 152:10
7,120 221:2
7,550 221:1
70 281:12
71 277:2,5
72 277:6
720 221:22,25

8

8 175:25
8,000 216:21 223:25
80 150:9
8338 263:9
87-4186 266:13
8:30 291:8
8:45 291:8

9

9,500 220:25 221:4
9.3 210:21
95 169:1

A

able 160:12 196:9 216:1 246:23 255:23 279:13 280:1 287:1 297:17
about 157:18 158:25 165:3,5 166:7,20 167:7,12 168:5,16 170:10 171:5 173:16,20 174:4,20,23 175:16,21 176:14 180:14,18,19 191:14,18 193:17,21 195:6 197:3 200:17 202:7 203:2,14 204:19 205:16 206:5 212:7,10 213:7,11 218:23 219:2 220:7,25 221:1,2,25 222:3,7,7 223:20,24,25 225:12 233:11 234:22 236:19 237:18 238:2,5,11 242:12 243:11,13 244:12,13,14,15,17,23 245:12 246:4,7 249:4 250:21 251:6,10,13 254:16,25 255:21 260:16,24 266:8 267:5 269:18 273:2,16 275:14 276:17 279:14,21 280:24 281:18 284:12 288:4 291:4 293:2 296:10 297:16 301:15
above 153:18 156:16 160:15 162:12 177:18 191:8,13 200:15 221:22 231:17,18 248:1,1 257:12 265:7,14 267:18 270:18 272:1 280:2,2 282:23 283:11,13
absolutely 216:4 224:19 253:21
absorption 194:20
acceptable 302:12
accessible 197:21
accomplish 262:21
account 194:21 237:1,11
accounted 237:21
accounting 221:18
accurate 164:14 178:18 230:6
acquainted 196:13 234:16
acquired 289:19
across 192:3 244:3 248:21
Act 300:22
active 252:1 273:12
activities 206:3 207:12,18 212:6 223:18 229:9
activity 186:12
actual 163:11 164:18 171:22 179:20 202:25 209:24
actually 154:2 159:25 166:19 171:23 172:1 184:21 195:11 204:19 212:3 221:25 231:22 278:11 282:20 285:14,15 287:9 292:1 298:15
add 288:23
adding 159:14 217:21
additional 184:21 185:9 254:5,17 275:23 277:6
additionally 155:6
address 232:20 251:24 268:10,24
addressed 268:8,16,18,19 275:2 294:10
adequate 199:4 201:12
adjacent 200:10 218:20 232:3,5
adjourned 303:5
admit 177:4
admittedly 202:2 214:17
advance 200:2 284:5
advanced 284:2,8
advancement 205:19
advances 261:9
advancing 284:1
adversely 222:18 225:15
aerial 160:13 261:14
affected 220:16 225:15 277:18
affecting 211:25
Affirmative 151:17 154:5 155:24 161:6 162:24 173:24 174:25 238:18 284:14
after 171:2 203:1 206:7 223:15 249:7 272:16 293:18,21
again 181:5 193:20 202:12 207:10 208:17 210:18 211:4 212:1 213:24 216:24 220:5 222:9 231:21 235:19 239:8 242:2 243:20 246:10 247:9 252:6,19 261:17 263:18 264:16,24 265:12 268:3,14,20 270:1,5,10 272:2,8 273:16 275:21 284:4 290:20
against 164:3 171:16
age 165:1,7 208:10 248:12,14,19,23 259:14,20 269:11
Agency's 214:5
ages 202:4,6
ago 248:22 261:5
agree 156:10 222:15 256:20 257:9,13,17 258:5 259:9,22 261:13,22 262:11,12,13,16 263:21,25 264:1,14 266:17,24 271:14,16 272:7 273:12,14,25 274:8 275:19 296:12
agreed 197:19 257:1 294:18,23
agreement 273:15
Aha 230:12
ahead 169:23 177:25 249:24 258:7 279:11,20,21 280:20 282:3
air 231:9 287:7,9
al 257:4
algae 297:13
Alkali 266:4,10 267:19
allow 157:16 198:6
alluded 185:6
alone 290:15
along 172:15 181:10 194:13 226:21 262:10 274:16 276:13 296:7
already 185:10 199:2 202:18 249:8 256:25 257:1 286:15 293:4
alter 265:10,16
altered 225:13
Although 171:21 203:18 232:16 245:18 256:18
always 172:24 201:5 209:1,1 216:5 268:4
amoeba 297:13
among 276:7
amount 150:14 164:25 202:19 217:15 218:16 247:21 261:20 271:12 291:19 292:6
amounts 190:1 211:8 284:23
analysis 165:18 253:24 254:16,17,23,24
angle 279:4 280:16,18
angles 203:13 279:19 281:11,12 282:1
annual 205:13 208:19
anomalies 254:12
anomalous 250:20
another 150:9,12 157:7 164:7 168:4 202:9 210:7 242:1,2,14 267:8 269:6 275:9 280:18
answer 151:13 164:8 174:2,8,10,14 192:19 193:2 194:24 224:16 225:3,4,21 228:19 229:15 235:14 240:21,22 245:24 250:4 253:13
answered 186:3 246:1
answering 165:24 184:10
anticipate 238:24 284:21
Anybody 155:1 236:13 253:1,5
anymore 221:17
anyone 152:2 154:14 224:15 234:14
anything 191:23 213:21 243:9 244:20 267:4 283:2 296:19
anyway 226:13
anywhere 167:6 178:17 181:15 223:6 245:10 279:12 281:5,11
apparent 205:25 208:25 212:1 222:22 242:5
apparently 160:1 249:19 258:25
appear 160:17,25 162:6 170:17 200:24 242:20,20,21
appeared 168:12
appears 173:20 208:14 211:9
APPEL 153:6,8 155:3 158:21 169:3 170:3,6,19,22 172:7,10,12 182:11 183:7 184:16 185:11,24 186:3 187:2,8 188:9,11 192:20 209:22 221:15 225:19 227:2 228:16 229:6 234:22 235:2 236:25 239:21 245:14 277:22 278:3 283:6,8,18 285:12 287:15 288:8,17 289:3,10,21,25 290:4,14,18,25 295:3,11 298:22 299:18 300:13,24 301:25
appendices 275:8
application 275:8 285:19,20 288:2
applied 213:15
approach 291:4
appropriate 203:9 253:12 261:23 274:9
approved 252:5
approximate 164:25 239:16
approximately 156:1 206:6 248:12 258:20 259:5 262:4 284:9
approximation 182:25
April 202:24,24 207:9 232:9,15 256:7 284:11,17,17 285:9
aquifer 168:12 192:13 193:4 198:16,21 240:14 241:19,22 242:10,13 256:19,23,24 257:2,5 267:1,2,3 269:12,24 270:3,19 271:10,12 273:9 286:13 290:12
aquifers 152:4 198:19 217:17 241:15,15,25 242:6 263:20,21 266:17 267:4 271:6 286:9
area 150:2 151:14 153:13,17,22,25 154:7,9 156:21 159:4,5 161:14,20,22 162:17 163:1,4,16 170:3 176:8 178:25 179:1 181:2,6 184:4,5,15,19,25 185:4,21 187:13,16,22 188:21 190:18 193:25 194:11 196:17 198:24 200:10,13,17,17 201:2,4,18,22 202:1,17 203:13 204:25 206:3 208:7 210:4,6 211:20,21,22 212:9 215:7 216:2 217:3,6,7,9,16,21 218:5,19 220:19,19 222:6 224:18 232:2,7 234:19,21 235:25 236:1 237:2,17 238:17,23,25 239:12 241:17 242:19,22 243:1,2 244:1 246:15,17 257:11,17,22 258:2,12 261:18 263:6 264:9,14 266:16 267:3,9,

12 268:7 269:13,24 270:3,24 271:4,4,10,17 273:10 275:16,18 276:1 277:18 279:1 282:22,25 283:10 284:23,24 285:16,20,22 286:21 287:20 298:2 300:2
area's 172:13
areas 161:10,23 175:17 197:20 200:10 201:7,15 202:3 205:2 215:10 218:10,16,20 232:3 244:16 249:18 252:2 261:7 263:24 267:21 268:1 269:2,11 274:15 284:7 286:9 295:25
aren't 161:15 192:2
argue 242:22
arguing 216:9
argument 186:7 187:20 224:2,6
arguments 187:20 301:18
around 170:1 176:24 195:20 207:4 215:1,22 216:3 220:6,8,10,16 222:6 246:5,9 264:13 265:11,17 269:25 273:10 276:3,20
arrive 217:2
ascribed 222:10
ask 151:25 153:6 158:25 159:1 166:9,10,17 184:7 188:16 192:21 224:14,15 236:5 239:25 245:2 255:21 260:22 262:20 275:13 288:6 291:6 297:3 301:11,19 302:5
asked 159:1 197:4 251:23 299:21
asking 192:23 229:13 230:19 234:22 289:10 290:2,4 297:7,22 300:13 301:10
aspect 163:7,8
assemblage 287:21
assess 198:8 273:21
assessments 196:19
assist 197:4
assisted 199:2
associated 237:12 258:3 265:7,13 304:14
association 204:17 207:2 211:15 254:6
assume 158:13 176:19 183:12 218:15 271:7 285:9
assumed 164:4
assuming 221:7,12 264:24,25 270:20 272:15,17
assumption 221:18 271:5
assumptions 219:22 237:17
assured 201:25
attempt 227:18
attempted 183:9 201:9
attendant 247:23
Atwood 294:22
augment 205:5
August 261:2
authorities 292:3
authority 289:8
authorized 290:21
authors 266:15 269:7
available 199:1 204:14 263:16 271:13
average 168:15 208:11 210:3
averaged 210:7
aware 154:15,17 183:19 245:5 255:3 265:18,19,25 268:3,6 294:22
away 176:25 236:11 240:25 283:3 297:20 300:3

B

bachelor 196:21
back 162:5 163:17 170:3,5,13,14 171:6 172:2,21 176:17 177:1 184:2 185:12 190:17 201:12 202:16 204:22 206:13 208:3 209:13 210:20 211:9 213:1 220:5,5 221:23 222:1,4,6 223:14 227:24 232:17 255:2 268:21 276:17 280:18 287:2 289:11 291:7
backfilled 211:18
background 196:12,13 299:1,7
balance 188:21
ballpark 222:24 294:5,8
barrier 157:12,14 171:18
base 156:22 159:19,19 211:17 220:8 240:5 247:8
based 150:2 164:25 165:13,20 184:8 189:6 199:18 200:8,22 221:3 222:13,13,14 234:9,9,10 252:5 297:7 300:16
basic 188:18
basically 165:17 168:12 171:12 176:16 200:5 204:15 209:7 210:12,24 211:17,21 215:3 217:21 222:6 232:7 233:20 236:1 251:23 264:18 274:21 276:9 290:3 301:18,22
basis 165:16 202:23 265:23 275:6 299:17
Baskin 266:15
bathtub 187:3 208:24,25 264:19,20
BCA-S1 277:4
Bear 151:12 154:8 159:17 161:14 162:6,11 176:21,22,23 189:22 191:1,19,21 195:5 203:12,21,23 204:2,4,6,10,13 205:9,18 206:1,6,23 207:20 208:6 209:12 211:10,15,20,25 212:6,15,16 215:13 216:2,13 218:9 219:18,24 220:4,22 221:2,8,14,22 222:10,17,18 223:4,17,19 235:17 240:7,9 241:17 243:18,21,21,25 244:2,4 246:7,8,8,9 257:22 258:2,22 259:7,14 260:25 261:6 262:5,5 263:25 264:2,15 265:11,17 266:22 267:8,9 268:2,7 269:13,19,25 270:6,11,12,15,25 271:17 272:3 274:14 278:18 295:18 296:10
bearing 269:10 289:14
beat 200:4
became 251:18
because 151:25 152:11 153:19 162:19 163:13,21 165:24 167:10 173:2 177:4 181:5 184:11 186:5 187:21 190:20 191:19 192:5 204:5 205:18 209:1,15 210:5,11,12 220:17 221:15 223:7,9 224:9,15 225:5 231:3 232:17,21 237:12 242:23 245:20 251:25 268:13 284:5,6 286:13 291:6 292:2 296:17,22,24 297:23 299:22 300:4
become 218:14 288:3
bedding 276:1
bedrock 214:21
beds 275:19
Beeman 286:1
Before 158:25 172:22 186:7 206:4 207:11 212:5 219:16 221:11,20 222:5 233:7 245:15 249:13 256:5 262:21 263:6 272:16 282:14 297:18 304:8
began 197:1 204:21 206:3,7 207:11 212:6 258:15 279:22 293:12,21
begin 281:23
beginning 205:16 206:2 219:19
begins 277:1
begun 169:7 172:21 205:4
behalf 196:4 277:25 295:8
behind 172:10,12
believe 151:18 155:16 162:14,17,18 164:14 167:15 180:11 196:25 202:3 211:14 224:18 227:12 235:16 236:7,23 238:11 249:4,6 261:3 265:21 268:10,11 274:16 288:20 293:18 296:14
believed 296:6
believes 300:16
below 217:18 242:16 246:19,20 257:6,12 300:6
bend 281:23
beneath 152:9 199:3 217:21 241:10,11,21 242:24 246:18 247:6,22 267:11
besides 159:13
best 152:5,23,25 229:13 237:7 255:1
better 171:22 208:23 227:6 239:19 244:25 245:25
between 157:12,15 158:9 160:18 162:7 174:18 176:18,20,21 178:21 191:2 193:5 194:13 201:2 215:19 226:7 238:15 258:16 275:19 277:18 283:16 299:22
beyond 201:23 202:11 274:24 282:4,8
biased 210:6
Big 151:12 154:8 159:17 161:14 162:6,10 187:3 189:22 191:18,21 195:5 203:21,23 204:2,4,6,9,13 205:9,18 206:1,6,23 207:20 208:5 209:12 211:10,15,20,25 212:15 215:13 216:13 219:18,24 220:4,22 221:2,5,8,14,22 222:9,17,18 223:4,17,19 235:17 240:7 243:18,21,21,25 244:4 257:22 258:2 259:14 295:18 297:6
billion 165:3
bimonthly 206:8
biology 299:23
Birch 151:12 154:8 159:17 160:10,11 161:12 162:9,11,12,15 163:2 175:23 176:7 181:18 189:12,13,13 203:22 209:17 210:20,21,25 211:10,13,17,21 215:12 222:1,18 223:19 240:2,3 243:13,23 248:11,18 250:12,13,21,24,25 257:22 258:3 259:19 276:16,20 277:4
bit 150:11 163:17 169:6 170:7 171:24 177:4 196:12 199:8 202:11 206:16 212:3,7 218:22 245:25
bits 203:8
blackboard 187:12
Blackhawk 151:8 153:16,23 157:10,12 158:1,3 179:14 183:10,13,16 190:8 192:12 212:19,25 213:6,18 215:17,24 219:3,7,11 227:19 228:6 229:9 237:19 240:12 242:4 247:18,21 256:23 261:12 262:9,13 264:5 266:25 269:10,14,17,22 270:22 271:5,19 283:16
Blackhawk's 269:18
Blackhawk-Star 256:23
Bleeder 279:17 280:25 281:3
blend 284:7
Blind 176:2,6,12,15,21 228:9 241:11 247:22 248:15 270:14 276:13 281:10 283:12
blue 207:21 210:9 234:19
Bob 286:1
bodies 238:10,19
body 234:3
bolters 234:11
bolting 282:21
bolts 233:25 261:19 270:8
bone 224:20 225:17
books 274:12
bore 200:7
boss 252:20
both 159:18,20 161:22 167:7 169:22 212:22,24 213:16 216:2 268:1 269:10 271:24 273:24 275:7 285:18
bottom 153:2,23 160:4 228:8 256:11 257:3 259:13 262:3 277:1,5 286:15
boundaries 158:6,7 266:20
boundary 151:15 154:3 233:17
bounds 235:24
bowls 171:13
break 193:12 195:25 256:5 262:1,19,21
brief 188:8
briefly 278:4 295:3
bring 290:23
brittle 217:13
brought 188:24
budget 154:12,16 194:23
bugs 297:6
built 172:18 192:17
bunch 152:13,20
buried 178:8

C

C-10 205:21
C-11 209:18,19
C-4 167:25 168:20
C-7 155:25 158:25 199:10 255:7
C-8 217:20
calculated 164:14 216:19
calculation 165:21 223:23
calculations 219:21 222:23
call 184:16 196:10 230:21 243:1 270:16 295:3
called 196:4 198:4 215:2 277:25 295:8
calling 193:3,8
came 171:25 203:20 210:1 221:12 249:13 253:6,13,19,22 276:23
can 151:13 152:21 153:6 162:16 166:1,17 167:21 169:18 174:3 175:11 178:4 180:16 181:12 194:17,17,18,24 201:6 202:2 205:21 206:13 209:25 215:6,22 220:13,16 222:10 227:4,4 228:7,11,15 229:15 233:9 234:18 235:21,25 238:1 243:2 244:7,8 245:2 246:4 249:20,22 250:1 253:18 261:25 262:19 263:11 265:8,9,9,14,15,16 276:25 277:7 278:4 280:21,24 283:18 290:19 292:6,19 300:18 301:14 302:1,5,20
can't 162:25 181:11 182:16 231:7,9 236:22 239:13 243:10 244:21 299:25
cannot 151:1 161:6 175:11 181:25 193:4 229:7 259:14
Canyon 151:6 153:19,23 161:19,24 162:3,11 176:2,6,12,15,21,21,22,23 181:10 191:5,6 192:12 193:19,23 198:13,18,20 199:5,11 203:12 212:6,16 216:2,23 218:9 219:1,6,9 228:9 240:10 241:11,17 242:12 243:3 244:2 246:3,6,7,8,8,9 247:20,22,24 248:4,7,8,15,20 255:14 258:22 259:7 260:25 261:7 262:5,7 263:25 264:2,15 265:11,17 266:22 267:8,9 268:2,7 269:13,19,25 270:6,11,12,14,15,25 271:17 272:3 274:14 276:13 281:10 283:12 286:12,16,17 296:10
canyon; 236:18,21
canyons 152:22,22 257:13
capability 203:24
care 240:18 253:16 289:6
carefully 303:4
carrying 225:10
CARTER 151:25 164:24 165:15 166:15 167:3 168:7,20,23 169:8,15,22 170:14 173:8,12,14,18 177:13,17 178:2,7 179:22 182:10,12,20 183:1,5 185:12,19,25 186:5,22 187:1,5,19 188:3,12,16,23 189:6 190:4 191:10 192:24 193:1,11,14 195:4,7,24 224:2,8 225:22 226:12,21,23 234:19 239:22 262:20 277:21,23 283:5,7 287:25 288:6 289:16 290:16,19 291:4 294:17,24 295:1,5 297:3,22 298:13,20 299:21 300:18,25 301:3,8 302:7,12,16,20,23 303:3
case 215:12 241:8 244:5 245:8 273:18 301:1
case; 219:23
Castle 266:4,9,16,20 267:3,19 295:15
Castlegate 215:21 256:16,18 270:22
Castleview 153:16
catch 278:6
caught 166:22
cause 189:12 265:8,14 267:24
caused 205:18 267:21,23
causing 185:16
cautious 275:13
cavalierly 299:20
cease 267:24
centimeters 213:8,11,25 214:2,2,7 216:18 238:1,2
Central 266:5 269:3
certain 160:20 161:10 187:24 238:9 239:4 241:20
certainly 169:20 238:21 239:1 259:10 264:3 269:20 271:1 284:5 295:4
certainty 247:4
chance 208:12 223:8 230:16 243:16
change 220:20 237:1 265:9,15 297:5
changed 220:20 260:6
changes 192:25 263:19 265:8,14 272:6,10 274:6,7 277:16
channel 156:4,8,25 158:5,8,9,19 163:15 164:2,24 165:1,2 166:21 167:12 168:2,10,21,24 169:2 183:24 195:9 202:5,17,24 203:1 219:2,13,17,23 220:24 221:10,13,22 222:12 223:2,8 224:23 226:7 232:12,20 233:7,17,20 234:3 242:5 244:11,15,17,23 245:1,4,7,10,15,19,21 246:22,25 247:1,12,17,25 248:6,12,16,17,21 260:14,16 261:18 269:16 270:7 271:19 279:8,10,12,18,20,24 280:8 281:20 282:5 284:23,24 285:2
channel's 244:14 247:11
channels 157:1,22,25
characterize 252:17
charge 197:10 227:9
Charles 166:9 169:13 239:19 255:9 289:7
chart 250:11 276:15 290:3
charting 226:12

discontinued 173:17 293:22
discontinuity 239:12
discontinuous 157:8 158:5 242:3, 8 271:3,6
discrete 211:16
discuss 174:7 201:19,20,21
discussed 156:23 185:10 197:8 202:18 203:5 208:10 232:16,18 238:16 245:10,17,20 252:19 255: 13,14 267:10
discusses 227:25
discussing 184:6 247:5 253:12
discussion 201:14,16 212:7 218: 23 242:15 252:23 253:6 254:13 256:4 302:22
discussions 245:14
disrupt 267:25
disruption 187:8
distance 176:10 220:24 221:4 228:7,8 241:21 242:17
distances 226:7,8
distinct 150:25 174:16 241:7,12, 23 242:7 267:10
distinction 276:7 300:1
District 295:16,16
divert 267:22
Division 185:13 186:1,8 188:19 203:10 226:14 288:3 295:25
Division's 261:4
document 166:5 256:6 262:2 287: 23 302:10
documents 166:14 167:19
does 151:11 155:11 156:7 160:14 165:9 176:9,23 177:2,11 178:18 179:19 181:1 186:15 189:17 194: 12 201:21 203:19 217:3 219:14,17 240:9 256:7,10,11,13 270:15 271: 20 273:2,14 274:18 289:18 294:11
Doesn't 158:4 162:25 163:5 170: 17 177:5 179:13,16 248:24 289:14
DOGM 166:6 255:16
doing 253:22
done 154:12 155:9 193:10 194:23 202:2 203:24 230:14 254:3,17 255:4,10 267:4 274:10,16 276:17 282:19 293:7 295:2
down 150:8,10,16 151:9,19,24 152:19 157:16 162:13 170:23,24 171:8 173:14,16 178:13,14 187:14, 15 190:24 194:18 199:11 207:5 215:1 216:11,23,23,24 217:16 220: 9,11 247:19 248:22 256:11 257:3, 20 259:13 279:5,24 286:8,19 289: 21 291:16 300:6
down-gradient 220:23
downhill 172:1,2
dozens 300:5
drain 211:17 261:8
Drainage 260:8 269:2
drained 168:13
draw 151:4 182:18 222:1 225:25 231:22 261:23 280:20 292:19
drawing 158:4
drawn 150:18 154:5
dried 168:6 284:25
drill 151:5 162:2 178:12,13,14,14 197:7,17 198:12 199:9,16,18 200: 11 204:5 230:2 239:1 253:8,8,13 255:6 274:23 279:13,18 280:1,4,7, 16,18 281:1,9,23 285:2,4,23 290:5, 7
drilled 173:23,25 180:9 183:8,12 198:1,25 199:11 230:5 231:14,15 234:11 241:3 247:9,15,16 255:5,19 279:7,14,18 280:15 281:1,3 285: 12,16 286:1,14 287:3,9 288:14
drilling 155:5 177:7,8,9 197:22 198:3,9,17 199:6,9 219:9 227:8 228:3 229:9 230:14 231:11 279:9 280:6,14,22 281:7,11,15,16,21,25, 25 282:2,2,9 283:14 285:25 286:1, 15 287:7,22
drink 227:16 300:8
Drinking 296:1 299:24 300:22
drippers 202:21
dripping 158:20 284:25
drips 169:4 282:18
drive 233:25 303:4
driven 233:12
drop 224:17 226:3,3
dropped 173:9,16 207:4
drought 209:3
dry 172:24 209:14 223:8 224:20 225:17 248:21
dry; 178:14
due 221:9 271:22,25 284:21
During 198:3 205:7 211:2 231:10 249:1 251:5 252:20 258:10,15 271:11 294:19 296:3
duties 295:21

E

each 150:23 153:20 175:4 198:9, 19 199:22,22 200:1,13 218:10 228: 6 230:3,5 241:4,9
earlier 156:23 175:15 186:13 205: 3 208:3 219:20 264:3 290:11
early 204:16 205:9 207:25 208:1 209:10 211:7 220:5
EarthFax 154:23 162:1 196:14,15 203:15 254:18 289:1
easier 187:12
east 152:8 168:10 169:8 170:20, 24 171:19 172:23,25 201:5 217:9
Eastward 223:12,13,15,15
echo 219:3
edge 242:1
effect 159:4 188:5 267:20
effective 153:2
effects 265:18 266:5
effort 160:9 199:15
efforts 198:14
eighty 175:12
either 168:11 175:24 186:6 187:5 221:14 234:17 261:18 265:22 278: 14 298:9
Electric 236:17
elevation 200:7 210:5 221:1,1,21 230:7,9,17 231:21 284:21
elevations 241:9
else 155:1 174:12 191:23 225:10 262:21 294:14
elsewhere 214:23 216:3
emerges 300:20
emphasized 222:25
employees 154:22,24
employment 300:15
encounter 168:11 177:11 219:8 280:9,13 284:22 285:3,6 286:7 287:4 292:16
encountered 167:2 168:5 169:25 172:24 198:11 202:5,18 219:16 224:17 227:22 232:17,21 245:21 247:12,15,18 248:17 261:7,19 270: 13,14 271:18 282:17 286:25 287: 10 290:1 292:20
encountering 163:13,13 173:7 202:25 227:23
encourage 290:23
end 155:22 163:1,15 164:24 180:8 216:17 225:1 226:9,10 231:6,8 284:11 285:8
engineer 299:2
Engineering 196:15,24
enhanced 190:20
enough 186:1 223:14 233:19 246: 23 252:16
entering 192:5
entire 155:19 184:14 227:11
entities 236:9
entitled 299:17
entries 170:9
environmental 196:24 214:5
equal 220:14,15,17
equation 270:6
equipment 286:22
equivalent 233:21
Erik 178:23
erroneous 296:14
error 292:24
Especially 218:13
essentially 153:17 192:12 200:17 208:23 222:7 223:20 248:15,16 270:11
establish 182:18
established 294:20
estimated 170:2
estimates 237:20
estimation 150:19 184:22
et 257:4
etc 190:22
evaluated 199:13,17 207:21
evaluating 196:19 211:3 285:18
evaluation 180:24 197:5 201:10 202:22 203:6,9,17 228:13 232:14, 19 274:14,19,20 275:7 285:21
evaluations 237:15
evaporation 194:20
evapotranspirate 194:17
even 181:7 187:16 194:17 205:11 208:1 209:9 213:19 224:11 226:2 227:25 268:13 290:23 292:15
event 158:17 184:20 185:1 251:4 304:15
events 208:18,19 250:15
eventually 150:13 163:1 220:9 221:13
ever 168:17 260:20 294:22 298:18
every 201:18 206:6
everybody's 196:11
everything 204:15 210:16 213:19 230:14 231:6
evidence 222:13 265:10
exact 175:13 187:5 195:16 218:21 293:17
exactly 161:7 175:1 181:17 230: 11 266:19 268:20 281:24 288:12 290:22 301:4
examined 196:5 278:1 295:9 297: 12
example 153:21 160:10 175:2 242:5
Except 183:1
exception 213:9,14
exceptions 206:20 301:21
excuse 202:17 238:8
exercise 182:21
Exhibit 155:25 158:25 165:14,16 166:3 167:25 168:20 175:25 177:1 199:10 205:21 217:20 234:20 249: 17 255:7 302:18,23,25 303:1
exhibited 199:23 200:10
exhibits 233:10 302:3,5
exist 176:20 238:14 271:7
existence 238:13
existing 154:18 159:8,9 289:14,15
exists 280:4
expanded 261:21
Expansion 274:15
expect 297:20
expensive 252:12
experience 196:17
experiencing 212:4 232:24
expert 184:11,13,17 239:9 299:12, 13
expertise 203:19
experts 178:4 183:2 224:15 289: 11
explain 164:16 166:2 177:20 178: 18 186:17 254:12 272:17
explanation 208:23 248:10 250: 19 251:15
exploration 288:10
exploratory 288:15
exposed 152:6 153:17,23 157:6 160:19,19 161:8,12,14 191:15
exposures 257:11
express 204:8
expressed 204:4 212:9 222:16 234:17
extend 261:24
extended 160:22 210:14 252:23
extends 233:22
extensive 242:25 267:11
extent 224:3 234:7,14 261:14,15 271:13 279:14,25
extremely 156:23 216:14,15

F

face 158:20 181:10 194:13 220: 23,25 247:12,12 283:4,19 284:18
faces 194:16
fact 156:12 168:25 208:5 217:12, 22 219:25 223:1 237:21 247:9,23 268:24 270:11 273:2 279:16
factor 213:12
fair 177:14 247:21
fairly 208:14,17 209:9 247:16 266: 22
fall 150:8 186:15 205:13 285:15
falls 150:1 217:24,24 282:22
false 292:4,5
familiar 249:9 260:18 266:6 269:3
fan 294:4,8,20
far 150:25 153:18 155:5 166:3 200:21 201:22 219:17 223:13 228: 5 239:16 280:2,2 282:16 297:17
farther 150:11 162:22 176:9 283:3
farthest 236:11
fast 165:10
fault 154:3 158:5 168:10 171:17, 17 175:23 176:2,6,12,15,16,21,22, 24,24 223:8 248:15,21 258:3 270: 11,13,14,15 276:8,13 281:10,15, 17,18
fault-related 168:7
faulted 269:25 270:4
faulting 155:11,18 159:4 237:2,13 270:16
faults 158:10,12 159:20 170:16,18 175:25 176:9,14,17,20 238:15 260: 9 270:10 275:17,18,25 276:12,12
faults/ 262:10
February 195:15 285:10
federal 285:16
feel 165:23 184:10 252:16
feet 152:10 174:22 175:5,6,12 180:14,19 182:22,22 191:7 214:3 220:25 221:1,2,4,22 222:1 224:1 233:6,11,14,17 239:3 253:21 279: 14,21 280:1 281:5,5
fellow 197:11
felt 199:4 218:17 245:23
few 158:22 169:16 203:14 206:17, 18 236:9 239:3 263:1 277:5
field 155:3,5 197:13,24 199:15
field; 267:2
fifteen 214:12
Fifty 175:12
figure 229:1 260:1 268:23
figures 165:12,13 168:14,15
figures; 165:21
file 261:4 263:8 288:2
files 261:4
fill 170:23 171:13
filled 171:15 172:14 265:22,23
filling 170:23 173:1
filter 297:9
filtered 297:19
final 252:24 253:15
find 177:6 178:13 195:21 202:8 215:22 217:2,8 236:12 241:1 257: 25 279:8,21 280:5 286:6 296:20 299:17 302:20
finding 230:10 296:25
findings 274:21
fine 263:13 295:5 301:14 302:24
finger 164:12 181:4
finished 172:19
firms 196:17
First 171:3 186:2 192:19 193:2 194:5 197:1 198:2 206:14 244:17 249:6 267:13 273:14 284:16 286: 24 289:9 292:12 293:12
fit 267:13,14
five 169:24 206:14 210:3,3 214:8, 12 235:21 236:19,24 262:19
flat 211:22 226:15
flatter 211:22
flood 233:21
floor 160:5 163:22 170:19 171:1, 7,13,23 172:11,15 221:25 225:2 247:13
flow 165:13 172:4,14 173:6,7,8 187:6,9 189:25 190:1 195:5,8 199: 24 200:23 201:3 204:1,6,9,13,21 205:8,14,17 206:15,18,21 207:1,3, 4,22 208:16 209:6,12,12,25 211:1, 25 216:20 217:6 220:4,6,8,9,12,17 222:9 225:14 230:18,23,25 231:5 233:24 234:10 237:16 243:24 244: 4 245:16,17 247:10 248:21 262:10 263:19 265:9,9,15,16 267:22 273: 11 276:4,13,22 277:11,18 278:19
flowed 167:22 168:18 170:12
flowing 161:17 166:21 169:6 170: 2,9,10 172:5,7,20,25 194:1,3 202: 20 223:5,7,9 230:12 242:1 260:10, 13 285:1
flows 167:2,3 199:20 203:3,4 206: 1,23 207:14,16,20 210:19 212:2,5 221:8,9,11 222:21 226:12 232:16, 24 244:3 250:10 259:11 271:23 276:16
focus 228:3 263:24
follow 153:7 160:9,11 183:1 267:7 279:3
follow-up 189:1
follows 196:5 278:1 295:9
force 201:13
foregoing 304:12
Forest 166:18 236:8

Fork 153:12 218:9
form 267:1
formal 169:17 287:18
formality 169:21
Formation 151:9 154:10,11 157:11,13,23 158:2,3 164:7 174:17 179:14 183:10,13,16 212:19,25,25 213:6,18 214:24 215:15,17,17,24 218:6 219:3,7,11 227:19 229:10 237:19 240:1,9,10,12 242:4 247:19,22 256:23 257:7,8,12 261:12 262:9 264:5 266:25 269:10,17 270:22,23 271:5,19 283:16 287:3
formation; 179:18
formations 153:9,14 160:3 162:21 212:10,23 215:2 216:1 217:17,22 239:10 257:6,11,16 271:2 290:11 298:10
formed 239:10
forming 150:17
forth 176:18 225:13 304:12
forward 283:3
found 162:2 175:2,3 177:3 200:5 229:16 240:22 241:3,5 244:10,13 279:23 298:17
foundation 209:20
founding 196:15
four 206:4,14 207:11 210:24 212:5 214:8,12 254:9 284:9 292:1
fourth 173:23
fracture 159:23 160:11 176:7 224:4,11,13 276:9
fractured 190:19,25 192:3 237:7 271:25
fractures 155:7,22 157:18,19 159:21 160:10,16,20 162:8 176:19 192:5 211:16 217:13 218:12,14 234:1 244:2,3 260:9 261:8,11,20,21,23 262:11 267:21 270:7 275:18 276:1,11 296:7
fracturing 176:17 190:8,13,14 191:11,22 192:1 224:9 225:13 237:2,8,13 265:7,14 270:15
frame 197:1 199:7 204:16,23 205:7 206:7 207:1 208:4 210:12 211:2 216:16 249:5
frames 205:9
French 211:17
frequent 205:4 207:3
frequently 215:16
front 186:2 228:22 282:1
full 218:10 304:11
Fuller 269:8
fully 199:5 260:21 273:21
further 188:7 198:9 222:8 223:22 231:7,15,17 248:18 278:1 281:22 284:5 290:18
furthest 279:13

G

gain 234:11
gallon 157:5 164:4,5,15,16,22 168:3,6,16 170:1,10 186:14 222:24
gallons 161:17 165:4,6 195:6 205:11 207:4,5,6,8,10 210:21,22 220:6,8,10 223:1 224:23 258:16,18,20,21,22 259:5,6,7 262:4
Garr 154:22 155:9 197:11,23,23 227:9 250:25 251:20 252:19 253:6,7,14,20
Garr's 252:20
gather 159:7 207:2
gathered 199:3 288:21 300:14
gathering 159:13 204:21
gauge 210:5
gauges 210:3,7 235:21
gazillion 214:21
general 152:3 155:15 171:21 197:15 202:13 203:20 204:15 205:1,8,17 207:24 208:3 212:13 223:9 241:18 263:23 266:21
generally 159:5 175:7 199:21,24 200:16,19 201:7 202:6 207:1 212:24 213:5 217:6 220:5 235:24 246:15 251:24 260:20 263:15,22 269:21 281:4 294:18
generated 162:1 235:1 254:15 285:21 288:1 289:2
generating 285:19
gentle 211:23
geologic 150:2,13 152:2,21 184:19 239:7
geological 206:5,12 207:8 210:11,15,19 211:1 212:13 218:4 235:11,13,15
geologist 184:12 197:13 238:22 284:21 299:4,5
geologists 276:7
geology 153:4 159:3 285:18 299:1,2,3
geometry 225:22
gets 152:17 187:13,15 216:22 300:8
getting 152:15 166:22 176:4 180:22 188:12 233:24 288:1 291:7 300:2
Giardia 297:14
give 151:13 177:22 182:24 196:12 216:16 232:6 235:25 239:20 255:23 263:16 275:14 299:7,12 303:2
given 217:11,12 222:20,21 239:7,9 255:16 280:16 299:14
gob 169:6 170:2,7 172:6 173:19
goes 151:1 179:10,10 219:13 223:15,15 273:8 294:8
good 157:11 164:1 176:4 178:3,3 208:2 249:17 262:18
got 150:12 152:23 153:4 158:22 161:25 166:13 171:3 173:18 179:15 191:8 202:23 205:11 213:8 217:23 219:16 220:9 221:11 222:4 223:14 225:4 228:17,18 233:7 244:16 247:19 249:5,15 254:15 256:3 258:1 260:7 261:4 262:22 275:4,5 278:12,18 279:24
gotten 169:17
governmental 292:3
gpm 173:9
graben 153:25 154:2
gradient 182:16,18 199:25 216:20 220:21 221:6 223:10
gradients 199:22
graph 182:20 204:13 206:11 209:24 210:14
gravel 211:18
great 176:10 177:13 225:4
greater 182:16
greatest 267:20
green 276:23
Greg 286:2
Gregory 212:11 264:9
gross 237:18,20
ground 160:12 182:19
ground-water 267:22
groundwater 150:19,20,22,25 151:1,2 156:13,13 165:13 179:4,17 193:9 198:15 199:20 201:24 203:12 237:16 241:13,22 246:11 249:7 257:16 258:12 260:3,3 261:8 262:8 264:12 265:8,15,19 266:1,16 267:10 270:17 271:24 272:6,10 273:8,11,22 274:6 275:16 276:4 296:2
GS 205:25 235:8
guess 160:24 166:10 178:19 179:24 181:22 183:14 189:19 204:19 230:19 232:10 246:3 251:14 256:14,25 260:5 278:23 288:2 294:5 300:9
guys 167:11,11 183:2

H

hadn't 232:21 234:4
half 157:5 164:4,5,15,17,22 207:11
half; 171:4
hand 283:7 304:16
handful 211:16
HANSEN 164:18 167:24 169:11,20 177:20 178:1,4,8,21 180:21 184:14 185:18 187:11,24 188:10,13,18 189:2,3,5,5 191:18 192:22 193:13,16 195:3,10,15,18,22 196:2,7 205:21 209:18,20,23 218:24 223:12,22 228:13 240:15,17 263:11 285:5 287:11 288:12 289:4,17 290:2,7,20 291:1 293:4 294:23 295:2,4 298:21,24 299:11,16 302:4,10,24
Hansen's 219:4 300:10 301:11
happen 163:20 219:22 268:5
happened 219:1
happens 150:9 171:6 194:15 208:21
happy 166:13 169:13 225:20 255:23
hard 193:8 210:17 264:17
hasn't 220:20 299:13
haven't 185:9 194:23 228:18 254:25 270:13,14 272:2 282:25 289:5 290:21 296:22
having 152:19 190:23 191:13,22 199:2 230:22 235:1 253:11,20 264:17 284:7 298:13
hazard 252:6
hazardous 214:6,10
He's 158:7,8 250:7 270:20 271:16 272:12,21,24 273:4,5 293:4 299:11,14,16
head 162:13 163:9 172:10,12 174:19 220:17,18 221:20 236:22 255:8 289:21 291:16
headed 224:21 300:10
heads 174:17,19
heal 157:19 192:3 217:14
healed 224:13
heard 175:15 251:12 254:25 282:13 300:5
hearing 184:24 199:19 251:11 254:4 293:17,18,19
hearings 251:12
height 280:3
held 256:4 302:22
help 177:21 253:18
helpful 169:19 173:5 186:19
helping 159:14
here 150:1,5,6,8,12,16,18 151:4,15 152:1,3,8 153:13,23 154:4 155:22 156:1 158:8,17 159:2 163:16 166:14 170:10,23 171:3,23,24 172:1 173:7,11 178:6,9,12,13,14,18 179:5,6,18,25 180:8,16 184:11,13 186:11 187:13 188:25 190:23 191:22 192:15 197:3 204:20,22 207:22 210:10,15 214:25 216:2 217:12 218:3 220:1 221:24,24 222:5,6,14 225:5 226:5 227:4 235:16 249:15 250:7,8,22 251:9,10 252:23 254:13 276:14 278:10 279:5 281:3 282:18 283:14,21 284:3,10,13,16,17,18
here's 151:15 299:21
hesitate 238:21
HESS 182:14,24
Hiawatha 236:18
high 166:16 167:4,5 171:25 206:11,15 207:9 210:22 216:17 219:14 250:10 251:7 261:10 299:2
Higher 150:22 195:18 200:7 205:12 206:16 207:24 220:10 221:20 222:1 224:12 226:3 229:22 237:23
highest 279:24
highly 157:24
hinted 301:20
historic 187:8 205:23 206:20 212:4
historical 206:23 207:13,14 212:2,4 222:20 271:15
historically 207:15,19 212:2 222:21 271:17
hit 150:6 200:6 202:24 219:9 220:2 232:12 234:4 280:15
hitting 177:10
hold 171:19
holds 165:3
hole 151:5 177:8,9 180:16 183:15 198:4,5,5,25 199:6,18 200:7 204:5 230:5,6,8,14 231:15 239:2 280:12 281:10 285:2,4 286:10 287:8 290:7
holes 162:2 197:7,17,17 198:1,3,12 199:9,11,13,14,16 200:11 230:2,10 231:13,17 234:11 241:3 247:10 252:5,8 253:8,14 255:6,15,18,20 274:23 279:15,16,17,19 280:10,19 281:1,2,24 286:1 288:14 289:19
home 256:1
homogeneous 183:4 226:1
honestly 193:8
hope 216:8 262:20
hopeful 200:3
Hopefully 173:4 245:25
horizontal 162:20 163:8 206:10 210:17 213:3 226:8 230:6 279:9,19 281:16,21,21,24 282:2
horizontally 182:15,23 213:17 226:2 280:14
Horn 215:16 257:6,8 270:23 271:2
hour 301:9
How 153:18 156:7 165:9,10 166:7 171:14 174:23 175:7 176:14,23 180:14,15,18 192:15 212:10 216:19 228:5 232:5 233:6,16 238:11 242:12 243:11,13 244:23 253:16 254:16 267:11 268:17,19 269:18 274:18 278:5,7 279:8 280:2,2 282:16,24 283:1 284:12 293:2,20,23 297:17 301:16,22
However 203:1 229:7 267:2,7,8,14 268:16
Huh-uh 175:22
hundred 191:7
Hunt 286:2
Huntington 162:12 181:10 204:25 205:2 209:12 218:8 236:2,2,21 269:2
hydraulic 198:10 199:24 213:7,10,23 214:1,16 215:4,9 216:17,20 220:18,19 221:6 261:10
hydraulically 241:7,7,15,20 242:8 248:6
hydrogeologic 180:24 197:5 201:10,11 202:22 203:17 228:13 232:14,19 252:17 274:20 275:7
Hydrogeologically 220:13
hydrogeologist 184:7
hydrogeology 184:12 288:18
hydrologic 187:22 188:1,4,20 190:15 196:18,19 197:5,6 198:15 202:15 204:25 268:9,11 273:21 274:13,19,25 275:2 289:19 300:12 301:5
hydrologists 225:24 226:13
hydrology 192:11 263:6 264:9 266:3 267:20 269:1 285:18 299:8,22
hydrostatic 230:21

I

I'd 184:10 196:9 204:12 225:20 231:12 232:6 235:23 236:6 239:17 244:25 245:18,25 249:12,25 255:22 263:16 268:12 271:16
idea 216:16
identified 218:24 296:18
identify 202:13 212:20 227:21 295:12
immediately 168:6 198:16,21 217:18 283:10,13
impact 207:18 222:18,25 235:17,19 260:3,4 268:15,24 272:3
impacted 221:8 232:8 265:19,20
impacts 196:19 202:14 221:10 223:19 265:24 266:1 268:3,10 271:22 273:21
impermeable 150:6 152:23,24 157:12,14 213:15 224:1
implementation 197:14,24
implying 298:9
important 174:15 184:23,24 186:18 190:15 289:12
impoundments 214:7
in-mine 155:5 171:17,20
inaccurate 294:6
inch 213:16 216:20 223:25
inches 214:20 279:24 282:6
incised 152:22
include 205:24 271:23
included 277:12
including 183:8
increase 232:16 279:22
increased 203:3,4 209:5 232:24 233:24 234:25 238:5 245:16,17 258:13,17
increases 271:24
incredibly 151:10,23 157:17
indicate 170:8 173:1 204:5 207:17 218:18 222:21 223:16 231:20 243:20 257:4 261:1 283:2
indicated 197:23 202:4 205:6 206:22 223:5 232:13 238:3 241:3 245:13 259:17 262:22 264:3 268:5 273:11 299:19
indicates 170:6 201:21 206:23 230:23,25 231:1 243:23,24 248:4 261:10 282:10 284:3
Indicating 150:1,6,7,9,12,16 151:7,16 153:13,13,22,24 154:3,4 155:23 156:2 158:18 163:16 170:23 171:5,22 172:1 173:7,11 177:9,10,10,12 178:9,11,16 179:6,7,8,19,20 180:2,8 187:13,14,16,17,25 188:2 193:6 204:14,20,23 206:11 207:22

210:10 221:24 222:5 234:7 250:6, 8,22 278:10 279:1,2,6 281:3 282: 18 283:21 284:3,13,15,17,24
Indicating); 179:8
indication 237:6
individual 194:14 208:19 274:2
infiltration 257:5
inflow 234:25 258:8,12,19 259:4, 20 261:17,21 262:4 270:9
influence 172:4 211:12 275:25 300:4
informal 169:16 178:2 209:21
information 159:6 161:25 180:6, 22 185:1 186:1 201:25 204:18 205:24,25 212:11 215:5 234:6,10, 12 254:7,8,10 255:11,15 275:5,23 279:23 287:21,22,25 288:9,21 289: 2,7,19,23 290:5 300:14
initial 232:19 288:24
initially 197:18 221:20 230:13
injection 152:10
input 251:21
inquiry 150:2
insert 198:4
inside 249:16 278:25 291:14,15
insignificant 190:8
install 286:16
installation 199:2,13,16 203:7 274:22
installed 278:8,12 286:12,23
instance 203:7 225:11 256:9
instead 221:24
instructive 214:11,13
insufficient 258:9
intended 168:21
interacted 252:4
interbedded 283:17
intercept 219:1 279:19 286:8
intercepted 163:1 186:20 245:15
intercepting 185:24 186:22 225: 10 240:10
interception 222:11 262:10,12,14
interconnected 247:2
interconnection 261:11
interested 289:25
interfaced 251:25
interfered 225:6
interference 185:16 186:14 187:6
interfering 185:23 186:12
interpretation 259:18
interpretations 184:19
intervals 198:4
investigation 189:11,24 212:17
investigations 192:11 266:13
involved 196:25 199:8,15 227:10 249:4,5
involvement 249:6
isn't 152:18 153:25 154:5 165:21 166:23 170:19 226:10 290:3
isolated 241:15
isotopic 159:15 279:16 281:2
issue 159:18,20 161:15 175:14,18 240:23,25 246:10 258:4 269:24 270:3 288:20
issues 169:19 176:8 249:7 275:1
issuing 203:21,22,23 215:11
it'd 298:11
itself 160:2 162:8 200:18 225:16 234:3 237:3 247:12 271:6

J

January 258:17 259:10
Jeff 182:10
John 154:22 155:9 197:11,12,12 227:9
joint 161:7 253:19 258:4
jointing 155:12,18 237:1,12
jointings 155:7
jointly 197:23 251:20 252:19 253: 22
joints 160:20,22,24 161:1,1,2,4 162:8 192:5 218:12,14
Jordan 304:9
July 210:21
June 293:16 304:21
just 152:15,25 153:4 158:22 159:7 163:19 164:8,9,10,20 167:16 168: 11,14,24 169:6,12,24 170:12 172: 8,14 174:13 178:1 179:13 180:6, 13,21 181:22 183:25 184:2 186:3 187:21 188:8 189:1 191:12,18,21 193:13 196:9 207:23 209:10,13 210:5 212:15 214:4 215:24 217:19 219:21 221:15 224:23 228:12,19, 25 236:21,23 237:9 238:8 245:12, 20 247:17 248:14,16,23 252:7,24 255:22 256:5 259:9 260:15,19,22, 24,25 261:4 262:25 263:2,5,9,11 264:11 266:8 268:11 272:14 274: 12 275:12 277:8 278:25 283:1 287:25 289:4,5 292:19 293:6 294: 2,17 297:22 299:14 302:11,13

K

Kaiparowits 215:1
Keep 291:6
kicks 160:2
kind 160:5 172:14 189:24 209:14 211:9 245:23 273:19 275:3,9 297: 12 298:4
kinds 172:3 297:14 300:22 301:21
knew 203:5 230:7
KNIGHT 304:8
know 155:3 156:9,25 162:16 163: 6 164:8,9,10 165:8 167:17 174:2,8, 12 175:23 176:1,7,9,11,13,23 177: 13 181:3,8,23 182:2 195:2,12,18 196:8 200:23 201:2 217:4 218:7 219:13 223:3,4,16 224:16 225:19 226:9 228:5,12 230:12 233:1,3,6 234:1 235:5 236:25 238:10,19 239:15 240:22 246:24 247:1 248: 13 249:18 250:16,25 252:24 255: 17,19 260:16,23 263:23 267:3,12 270:9,24 276:12,21 277:14,16 280: 2 281:18 282:13,16,23,24 283:12, 12,14 287:6 288:12 290:22,25 291: 1 292:18 294:20 296:22 302:2
knowing 230:9
knowledge 184:8 237:8 255:1
known 264:7
knows 236:13 249:23

L

lab 237:4 238:3
laboratory 213:2 214:17,18
lack 300:11
Lake 196:17 236:18 291:7
Land 265:6,13
landfill 214:10
lands 152:17
large 152:15 297:11
largely 196:18
larger 236:2 269:23 270:2
largest 257:21 258:2 260:9,13 271:9
last 169:16,24,25 186:6 195:4,10, 14,20 204:10 210:24 225:12 254: 15 258:7 259:3 273:19
late 204:16 211:8 301:9
later 174:3 199:8 251:4,5,18 292: 16,16,16
lateral 162:20
laterally 238:23 242:25
latest 261:3
latter 198:2,23 206:19
law 188:24
lay 297:23
layer 152:23,24,24 160:14,15,23
layers 152:20 229:17 276:3,3 283: 15,17
layman 177:21 178:20
laymen's 177:24
leads 178:24
leaks 234:5
learned 244:14
leases 285:17
least 152:18 162:6 176:25 193:25 202:19 206:13 207:14 212:20 219: 17,20 225:23 237:22 265:23 297:5
leave 181:22 227:14 251:7 290:15
leaves 223:10
led 300:25
ledge 211:18
LEEMASTER 195:6,12,17,20 295: 3,13 298:25 302:11
Leemaster's 195:23
left 210:14 251:24
legal 188:8
length 158:1 233:11,13
length; 233:12
lengths 233:14 281:4
lens 215:18
less 150:5 161:24 162:20 164:4,5, 15 201:4 216:21 217:13 224:11 276:2
lessening 230:1,20 231:1
lesser 229:24
Let 151:25 159:1 163:17 169:13 184:2 186:17 192:19 193:2 224:14 236:12 256:3 260:22 262:20 290: 25 291:1 293:6 295:3 297:3 300: 18 301:9,9 302:2
let's 160:10 163:6 166:1 169:22 180:16 189:13 190:17 195:24 244: 13,17 249:14,14 262:23 277:1,23 283:21 284:8 285:14 287:7,8 295: 5
letter 301:19
letting 187:6
level 153:18,20 158:14,15,16 177: 18 178:16 200:7,15,22 231:11,16 241:9 251:8 254:8 271:13
levels 170:24 198:6,7,8
Liane 166:17
lie 201:7
lies 225:7,8
light 234:19 236:7
like 165:17 171:5 172:3 177:15 178:2 196:9 204:12 216:5 228:8 229:11 236:24 245:23 249:20 252: 11,16 272:14 280:20,25 288:8 294: 14 297:6 298:2 301:14
likelihood 217:15 219:14 223:5,6 248:5 250:18
likely 152:18 218:5 232:8 271:25 301:16 302:1
lime 276:23
lime-colored 275:22
limit 292:5
limited 203:15 218:1 248:5 257: 13,17 261:14,15
line 160:25 161:2,5 179:10,19 207:21,22 210:9 216:8 217:21 219:5 248:16 278:13,13,15,15,16, 16,17
linear 183:2
linearly 233:6
liner 214:15
liners 214:6
lines 179:9 206:10 210:18 212:12 213:14 236:25 256:24 257:1 264: 6,10 265:6 278:5,20 279:3
listening 167:10 190:7
little 150:11 159:5 163:17 171:22 177:4 196:12 202:11 210:16 245: 25 261:5 287:10
live 302:1
livestock 267:25
localized 267:2
locally 265:9,16
locate 280:22
located 235:22 278:22,25 279:2
location 150:23 158:8,9 160:18 164:5 175:9,10 191:14 205:20 218:21 219:2 239:16 246:2 248:3 285:3
locations 197:17,19 236:1 253:9 278:11
log 287:14
logs 212:20 228:14 231:13 285:23 290:5 301:13
long 165:9 169:17 193:8 214:20 216:19 226:16 245:22 301:16
long-term 211:11
longer 197:12 198:25 231:8 245: 25 301:23
look 152:2,20 191:3 202:14 205: 23 206:21 210:23 211:4,4 214:18 215:6 217:19,19 226:6 227:24 229:11 231:13 232:1 235:23 236:6 239:18 248:13 249:12,13,14,15,17 256:8,8 263:16 268:23 280:7,23 281:13 287:19 288:13 290:12
looked 203:11,11,14 204:1,1 209: 17 210:7 212:11 220:4 248:14 280:20
looking 152:1 168:14 171:8,10 183:12,15 186:11 193:7 204:24 207:24 210:5 212:1 213:23 217:20 220:6,8 228:1 242:2 244:5 248:19 270:10 282:17 286:3,4 287:1 290: 10 296:19,24 297:13 301:25
looks 214:20
loss 222:10
lot 211:6 215:24 263:23 267:4
low 152:20 156:23 171:25 181:6 206:12,24,25 207:8,16 210:20 216: 14,15 217:11,14,23 223:5 242:2 247:23 250:17 257:7 279:24
lower 183:16 192:12 195:19 206:1 207:16 212:3 213:12,13,21 214:8, 14,14,15 215:8 220:11 256:23 267: 22 269:9
luck 301:23

M

made 170:14 182:14 186:23,24 187:21 203:6 221:14 252:18 268: 13 277:17 288:20 294:21
Magliocchino 227:10
magnitude 182:22 195:8 213:12 214:8 226:2,15 238:6
main 234:3 279:3 280:4 284:12
mainly 234:4
maintained 236:4,7
maintaining 236:3
maintains 236:8,9
major 161:15,21 175:25 238:16 267:25 276:12
make 160:9 163:17 171:2,4 176:4 177:11 187:19 197:20 215:6 216: 6,10 219:22 237:17 239:25 248:24 250:2 252:2 253:19 254:11 256:6 271:5 275:3 288:13 293:6 294:17 295:24 302:17,23
making 221:18 237:20 252:6 271: 15 276:7,14,14
Mammoth/ 236:15,22
management 252:23 253:17
manager 197:12 295:16
Mancos 152:12 153:15 159:22 160:1,5 161:8,12,13 175:8 190:18 191:2,4,5,7 192:6 194:14 215:14 238:9
manmade 271:9
manner 211:19
many 182:15 233:6 236:5 263:4 283:17 293:20,23
map 152:2,21 153:12 155:25 163: 5,12 171:22 181:16 191:3 230:24 235:1 239:17 249:12,14,15,17 280: 21,23 283:19,21,23,24 292:20
mapped 234:14,23
mapping 159:5,12
maps 249:15 292:18
March 258:19 261:1,2 284:18,19 285:10,11
mark 205:21 209:18 288:11
Mark; 293:6
mass 281:19
master 196:23
match 165:7
material 185:21 188:20 233:1 241:21 242:16,24
matter 187:18
MATTSO 166:17,18 167:9
maximum 168:2,17 210:18
may 150:5,7,8,11 164:5,6 171:13 188:7 190:18,19,20 192:2,4 201:5 207:9 209:9 210:6,22 211:24,25 217:8 222:24 233:4 235:11 242:1 259:11 268:12 272:25 276:7,14 281:14 293:19,19 301:4 302:25,25
Maybe 153:6 163:17 167:21 180: 16 225:23 226:9,13 227:4 230:22 245:2 251:10 261:25 262:18 264: 16 272:16 276:25 288:23
Mayo 162:5 163:23 166:25 202:4, 4 203:13,19,24 204:11 208:9 240: 17 248:14 255:1 259:18 299:20
Mayo's 156:10 158:4 165:12 167: 18 222:15,23 259:16 296:3
mean 151:3 153:3 161:12 162:25 165:7 177:3,6,17 179:9,14 182:21 183:2 185:22 186:15 187:1 188:7 190:16 225:2,8 226:16 227:15 230:5 231:5 235:25 241:18 270:13 283:5 288:2 300:9
meaning 167:24 285:13 289:5 300:21
means 164:17 179:2 203:20 211: 23 272:16,17 287:9
meant 198:25 221:19
measurable 235:18
measure 175:12 198:7 213:18,22 227:18 228:7,11 233:9
measured 167:14,16 180:3 206:2, 12,17,24 207:20 210:19,20 211:2 212:5 229:8,9,22 237:24 276:21 277:7,9 291:14

measurement 170:1 195:11 288:25
measurements 230:20 231:12 235:10 237:3 276:17 277:5
measuring 219:5 230:8 277:11
mechanism 190:20 201:20
mechanisms 191:19 194:21
meet 258:9
melts 152:17 217:24,25
member 175:3 177:12 179:5 193:18,19,23,23 286:17,19
members 160:18 194:2,4,5,7,14,22
memorandum 188:14
memory 245:18 249:13
mention 168:4 172:22 279:7 284:20
mentioned 155:21 169:5 184:2 197:11 232:9 235:20 281:1 290:11
mercury 177:18
mere 207:16 276:2
merely 223:1
meter 278:16,16,19,24,25 279:2 291:14
metered 278:20
metering 291:18
meters 278:6,22
metes 235:24
Michael 269:7
microfractures 237:9
mid 207:25 208:1 211:7,8
mid-'80s 220:6 239:18
mid-1980s 207:17
middle 191:6 257:20
might 186:18 187:12 241:25 260:5 272:16 284:20 294:14 301:20
mile 176:25 191:16,17 222:7 297:4,9,20 301:7
miles 191:8 193:7,7 223:14 236:18,19,24 300:3
millimeters 177:18
million 213:12 223:25
mind 187:22 188:24 283:3 291:6
mine 155:22 158:17 159:6 163:4 164:3 166:20 167:2,7,8,13,14,16,17 168:18,19,24 169:2 170:5,13,15 171:3,6,7 172:2,11 175:17 180:8 182:3,5,6 184:24 185:4 187:14 192:17 193:25 197:7 202:20 203:4 216:12 219:1 220:3,23,25 222:11,18 223:18 224:17 225:1,6,7,8,14,15,17 226:8,9 232:24 235:17 239:15 240:10 241:17 244:10,14 245:3,16 246:3,9,18,19,20 247:10 248:2 249:3,7,9,16 250:2,10 254:2 256:10,13 258:8,10,12,17,17,19 259:4,20 260:4,10,13 261:8,9,12 262:4,6,7,7 263:25 264:2,4,15,21 265:11,17 266:23 267:9,23 268:2 269:13,15,25 270:6,9,12,25 271:18,22 272:3,13 273:13 278:6,12 279:1,6 282:22 283:25 285:3 289:18 291:14,15,19,20 292:9 293:24,25
mine's 163:13 245:6
mine-discharged 273:24
mined 168:5 171:1 198:22 270:25 284:18 285:8
mines 192:9 263:19 264:13 265:7,14 267:18 269:12 271:9,11 272:1,5,9 273:10,12
minimal 222:17
minimis 301:21
minimum 210:18
mining 164:3,11 170:4 171:16 182:4 185:16 186:11 188:19 196:4,18,20,25 197:8 201:24 202:14 204:7 205:20 206:2,3,18 207:12,18 212:5 219:19 221:9,21 225:11,12 232:8,16 233:1,5,13 235:17 239:16 252:1 258:11,21 259:6 260:25 261:6,17 262:6 266:5 267:24 273:22 277:25 282:4,6,8 283:20,24 284:4 285:5
minor 270:15 275:25
minus 175:6
minuscule 216:25
minute 157:5 161:17 164:15 165:6 168:3,6,16 170:1,10 186:14 195:6 205:11 207:4,5,6,9,10 210:21,22 220:7,9,10 222:24 223:1 224:24 249:14 258:16,18,20,21,22 259:5,6,7 262:5
minutes 291:5
mixed 208:12
model 237:16,16,16,17 238:6
modern 259:21
modified 276:5
molecules 217:2
moment 175:11 283:19
monitor 172:23 286:11,12
monitoring 169:7 172:21 198:13 199:1 254:19 273:23 274:2,4 286:18,22 291:13
month 195:13,14 206:6
months 169:25 195:21 209:11,13 261:9
more 162:2 164:15 180:9 182:10,12 184:12 185:15 191:2 202:13 208:19 210:23 211:7,23 218:14 226:19 229:16 243:9 244:20 261:25 262:1,1 263:1 271:24 276:3,20 281:22 294:5
morning 296:4
most 152:4,14 153:2 208:14 212:14 215:10 218:5,5 252:14 269:23 270:2 275:17 287:8
mountain 190:18 212:14 216:11,23
move 157:16 165:9 174:12 177:12 231:9,23 233:16 247:24 275:13 277:23 297:18
moved 229:17,20 283:7
movement 237:12 275:14,15,17 297:4,16
moves 162:13 163:6 171:14 194:10 289:21 291:16
moving 151:8,18,23 163:23,24,25 164:1,2,3,10,13,23 165:4,5 171:18 194:7 225:9
MS 166:17 167:9
much 157:4 162:19 171:14 180:14 181:12 185:15 200:12 201:3 206:20 207:24 208:18 211:22,23 214:12 219:20 237:21 239:19 243:22 286:7,14
mudstones 190:22
multimonth 208:19
multiple 210:7
must 186:9
myself 186:16 197:23 204:11 239:8 244:25 253:22

N

name 196:14 215:2 253:3 295:12
name's 253:2 295:13
named 272:22
narrow 185:14
natural 265:8,15
naturally 205:12 265:22
nature 242:3
Navajo 152:7
near 158:20 159:19 163:15,18 167:6 168:9,10 202:5 209:15 211:1 215:11,19,23 218:13 221:10 223:7 261:17 270:7 280:1
nearby 241:25 280:23
nebulous 242:16
necessarily 154:10 170:25 295:23
necessary 184:3 203:9
necessity 199:6
need 184:21 186:15 188:6,8 228:12 235:24 276:8 291:17 302:3
needed 195:21 273:23 274:5
needs 258:10
Negative 175:22
NEILSEN 191:21
never 150:7 172:24 187:17,22 188:24 192:20 282:25 292:7
new 159:7 188:23 226:13 244:14
next 160:14,22 166:1 178:24 196:2 232:12 266:3 269:1 270:17 284:10 303:1
NIELSEN 190:3,6 191:11 192:22,25 193:10 208:10 224:6 226:11,18,22 236:15 253:1,2 255:2 301:6
Ninety 150:4
nobody 174:13
non-however 267:15
none 281:24
nonexistent 239:4
nor 265:19
normally 271:4 284:5
north 151:14 155:22 156:19,21 162:22 163:15 176:9 199:21 200:2,5,10,12,20,23 201:4,7 204:6 205:19 215:16 217:4,5,7 218:19 223:9 225:1 226:9 229:18,20,22 231:18,21 236:23 247:25 248:18 255:14 257:6,8 270:23 271:2,4 285:16
north-to 199:23
north-to-south 201:3
northeast 201:1 217:5 244:5
northeast; 236:20
northern 202:5 258:11
northward 200:25 201:22 217:10 243:4,6,12,17 244:6 261:10 281:4
northwest 201:1 217:5
note 174:15 234:24 256:15
noted 205:16
notes 227:24
nothing 167:5 290:18
nothing's 192:5
nothing; 178:13
notice 230:1
noticed 231:10,12,16,18
notion 227:22
November 283:22 284:3,9,16
Now 150:10 159:17 161:15 165:12 167:22 169:2 170:4,12 171:8 173:12,18 177:1,22 178:12 179:9 180:13 183:25 184:2 206:25 207:6,15 209:13 212:4 216:9 221:7,25 228:11 240:1 244:8 246:22 250:9 260:12,12 267:3 276:15 277:18 293:20
number 164:19 168:2 174:4 175:13 195:16 203:16 216:14 232:7 233:14 247:10 302:20 303:1,2
numbered 231:14 304:12
numbers 166:2,11,24 167:18,22,22,24,24 168:16 216:17 223:16 237:25 238:2 254:14,14 290:7,8
numerical 237:16

O

o'clock 262:19
object 299:9
objection 288:11
obligations 185:13
observation 194:25 274:5
observations 215:6
observe 250:24
observed 159:6
obtained 204:19 210:2 254:21
obvious 207:13,23 211:5,6 215:7 216:7 242:5
obviously 162:9 183:23 242:15 243:14 266:8
occasional 233:3
occur 212:8 217:3,14 220:14,16 242:6 268:13 270:21 271:25
occurred 155:7 228:1 249:7 268:4,6,15 293:17
occurring 218:16 265:10 294:22
occurs 201:21 215:19 216:24 218:8 243:25 262:7 266:17 270:18
October 207:10
off 150:4 172:19 173:9 178:11 192:7 195:25 198:5 205:12 217:25 233:10 236:22 242:1 255:8 256:4,6 262:23 294:9 302:22
offer 175:21
offered 300:11
offering 178:1 300:19
office 227:7
official 304:16
offset 276:9
often 215:19,23 258:9
Oh 155:20 168:7 180:6,11 189:5 239:1 260:7 263:13 287:5 295:4
Okay 150:17 151:3,22 154:16,18 156:4,25 157:3 158:14 159:17 161:11 162:4,18 164:20 169:22 176:14 178:9,11 180:4,13,20 182:10 184:2,20 185:11,14 189:16 190:2,14 192:8 193:10 195:9 229:8 236:13,17 238:7,15 241:14 242:9 244:18,20 246:2,19,21 247:8 249:1 251:17 253:2,24 254:16 258:1,7 259:1,8,13,25 260:8,18 261:25 262:18 264:23 267:16 268:16 269:1 270:17 271:8,22 272:4,12 273:8 274:12 275:10 276:15 277:3,14,19,21 279:3 280:12,15 281:6 284:1 285:25 289:3 292:8 295:20 297:22 298:20 300:10 301:13
old 181:20 203:22 208:13 227:24 292:9 293:10,14
older 256:14 273:12
once 200:6 247:12
one 151:6 152:1 153:21 161:23 164:24 168:17 176:15 179:25 182:10 186:13 188:23 192:21 193:1 195:4 206:5 210:5,6,16 213:9,14 221:5 224:14 225:8 226:2 233:10 235:10 236:8,9,11,17 241:25 242:1 247:10 250:23 256:8 261:25 262:1 263:5,17 264:6,8,11 269:6 273:1 275:9,12 276:10,20 277:8 278:13,13,14,22 279:3 288:24 289:6 290:14 294:2,4,4,5,14 295:17
one's 176:16
one-year 210:15
ones 238:16 263:4 277:6
only 151:13 152:1 154:20 156:12 158:7,8 163:9 172:5 190:10 202:8 208:8 214:25 220:13,16 229:13,15 255:3 261:16 263:17 280:9 281:6 285:1 294:14
onto 172:11 178:15 242:1
open 172:14 263:8 287:2
opening 153:5 186:7
operation 185:23 188:19 197:8 216:2 221:9 232:8,17 285:5
operational 258:9
operations 185:16 196:18,20 204:7 205:20 252:2 258:21 259:6 262:6
operator 188:7 201:24
opinion 156:20,22 166:8 189:16,17,18,19 194:9 201:10,17 219:4 244:18 250:17 259:15 299:12,16,17
opinions 212:10 222:16 253:8
opportune 193:11
opportunity 152:5 218:1
opposed 224:4
options 287:1
order 170:22 182:22 184:20 195:8 203:10 208:24 223:24 231:14 238:2,5 253:1,5
orders 182:23 213:11 214:8 226:2,15
organizations 236:4
originally 255:10
other 152:13 154:22,24 157:1 158:11 159:13,14 162:4,17 164:25 168:15 170:9 173:6,8 174:11 176:16 181:2 183:18 185:17,25 189:5 192:8,9 196:16 203:8 211:24 220:1,14,15 222:13 225:11 227:24 230:10 233:3 237:15 241:22 244:16 248:10 250:19 251:12 253:24 254:23,24 271:22 278:14 279:11 281:14 282:17 284:7,12 289:6 290:1 302:19
others 218:3 222:16 275:10
otherwise 187:9 219:18 304:14
out 150:6,12 152:8,10,16,21 156:3 158:19 159:20 160:2,6 161:16,18,22 163:22,22 164:1 165:1 166:13,19 167:7,17 168:24 169:2,6 170:2 171:7 172:6,21,25 173:2,7,10,18 175:24 178:9,10 179:6 180:23 181:7 182:3,17 185:20 194:12 202:8 208:9 209:2,14 210:6,14 211:16 212:3,19,19,22 213:6,17 214:21 215:6 217:12 220:1 221:12,15,17 223:2 224:22,25 225:1 229:1 233:25 234:1,2,3 236:13 237:22,23 239:13 240:5 242:17 248:23 249:20 250:23,25 255:15 261:4 264:19 267:14 268:23 269:16,16 270:5 272:19 273:5 274:12,13 276:23 277:11 278:17 279:5,6,8,13,16 280:5 281:9,22 282:1,16 285:1 286:24 288:25 297:19 299:17 302:20
outcrop 153:8 194:11 218:11 231:7 257:6 283:13
outcropping 153:22
outcrops 153:21 218:6 231:7 257:12
outside 153:25 167:14 188:21 201:22 217:7 218:19,19 232:2,3 267:12 278:23,24
over 150:13 157:7 168:9 169:21 187:18 204:10 210:12 212:14 222:24 224:6 227:4 233:22 261:9 284:13 292:2
overbank 233:20,22 234:2,7,14 235:3
overflow 208:24

overflowing 209:1
overlain 152:12
overlaying 156:24 282:10,14
overlies 261:12
overlying 160:16 211:20,21 215:11,20
oversight 197:15
oversimplification 177:14

P

p.m 303:5
packed-off 198:7
packers 198:4
page 229:7 256:2,9,16 257:3,20 258:7 259:4,13,25 260:4 261:1,6 262:3,4 263:9 265:6 266:14,15 267:17 269:8 271:8 272:4 275:13 276:25 277:2,5,6
pages 256:8 304:12
panel 233:14
panels 233:12,15
Panther 159:18,19,23 160:15 161:3,5,22,24 162:2 179:5,10 180:13 181:8,16,23 190:24 191:7,12,15,23 192:4 198:19 215:14 216:11,24 240:5 242:9 243:11,15 248:9 258:4
paper 212:12 273:1
paragraph 258:8
parallel 176:8
parallels 176:16
part 186:20 188:9 197:8 198:2,2,23 228:16,17,19 250:9 251:10 262:16,17 269:10 273:15 281:12 285:22 286:20,21 291:22 292:9,12 294:9
participated 227:7
particular 204:4 273:1
particularly 185:21 201:11
particulates 296:18,23,25 297:6,12 298:18
parties 169:17 304:14
partings 275:19
parts 249:2,9 277:14
pass 216:12
passes 215:25,25
past 205:6
path 183:2 224:19 225:7,9,16 226:4,9
pathway 268:25
pattern 202:13 225:14 263:20 265:8,15
patterns 187:9
paused 198:3
pea 211:18
peak 209:11,11,12 211:1
peak; 251:5
peaks 205:10 220:7
penetrate 171:17 199:5
penetrated 228:5 280:17,19 281:10 282:22
penetrating 182:19
people 174:11 199:19 212:9 241:2 242:17 251:9 288:21
per 210:22 213:8,11,16,25 214:2,3,7 216:18 223:1,25 238:1,3 258:16,18,20,21 259:6,7 262:5
percent 150:4,5,9,10,10,13 169:1 220:12 221:6,19,20
percentage 151:23,23
percentages 152:16
perch 150:24
perched 168:12 241:14,19,21,25 242:6,10,13,17,18,23 243:1 266:17 270:21 271:6 286:9,9
percolating 217:16
perforated 211:19
performed 182:1 253:24
performing 295:20
perhaps 150:14 233:19
period 168:5 197:21 203:2 204:13 206:4,4,15 209:3,10,15 250:22 252:21 261:9 294:18 301:17
periodic 198:7 204:21
periodically 204:17 254:20
permeabilities 237:5,18,21 238:4,5 247:23
permeability 152:20 156:24 162:19,20 181:6 183:19 190:19 213:2,3,10,12,13,19,20 214:5,8 217:11,23 223:23 224:4,5,7 226:1,20 237:1,3,23 242:3
permeability; 224:4
permeable 152:24 161:24 162:3 226:19 276:2,3
permit 151:14,15 156:21 162:17 163:1 181:2 188:21 197:7 200:9,17 201:2,4,22 212:9 217:16,20 218:19 232:2,3 238:17,23,25 239:12 242:19,22 243:1 257:17 258:12 267:9,9,12 271:4 274:14 275:8 276:1 285:16,19,20,22 286:21 289:15 291:23 292:2,6 294:11,12
permits 192:9
permitted 282:7
permitting 287:20
person 192:21 251:18 252:18 272:21
personally 254:18 289:6
perspective 203:15
pertains 290:6
pertinent 225:20
perturbations 220:15
PHC 154:16,19 155:9,11 166:3,7 167:1 168:15 180:24 201:20 202:16,23 203:1,17 218:17 228:10,16,19,20,22 229:3,10,12 230:20,23 232:2,6,10,20 234:17 245:10,14,18,20 251:19 253:25 254:3,9 255:4,10,21 268:18,22 274:10,16,18 275:7,8 289:15
PHC; 229:7
photographs 160:13
phreatophytes 211:24
pick 180:16 211:9
Picture 178:5 280:20
pillar 171:3,3,5,11
pillars 170:11 171:2 173:15,17 198:24 284:9
Pine 236:21
pinpoint 201:18 202:3 218:21
pipe 172:7 178:10,15 211:19
pipeline 279:2
pipelines 278:8,12
place 152:25 172:5 173:12 186:2 208:8 261:16 281:6 294:19 298:4,11
placement 174:5
places 177:3 239:5
plain 233:21
plan 171:10 282:8 301:22
planes 276:2
planning 282:4
plasticity 224:10
Plateau 152:9 192:17 215:1,1 267:2
please 298:25 299:8
plot 289:1
plug 286:25
plunges 152:9
plus 175:6 192:9
point 150:8 151:7 153:16,21 157:13,22 163:4 164:2 166:13,17 168:4,17,25 171:25 174:17 175:4 179:4 180:2,12 182:17 187:24,25 191:25 199:1,20,23 200:1,14 204:18 212:19,21,25 213:6 214:4 215:15 218:11 220:23,24 221:23 222:1 228:2 229:17 230:9,15,17 237:18 239:3,3 241:5,11 242:20 243:15 244:22 245:16 246:16 247:6,19 249:20 255:25 256:17,22,23 258:5 262:9,14 266:25 269:9,20 270:5 282:7 283:8 286:17 290:13 297:21 300:7
Point-Blackhawk 257:5 269:24 270:3,19 271:10 273:9
point; 171:25
pointed 208:9 221:15 250:7
points 168:18 293:23
pollen 297:13
poor 251:2
pop 180:12
portal 172:23 278:23,25
portals 283:12
portion 180:24 200:16 202:6 233:21,22 234:3 258:11 261:11 269:14 284:2
position 240:13,20 267:6 276:5 289:13
possession 287:16
possibilities 268:4
possibility 216:6,10 224:12 281:13
possible 152:18 216:8 235:19 239:6 268:14 271:22 272:2 274:6 298:2,5
potential 154:9 157:8 179:3,4 201:5 202:14 212:8 222:17 224:10 257:15 266:5 268:24 272:3 285:19
potentiometric 150:18,21 151:2,3 163:6,8,19 174:16 177:2,5 178:17,22 179:3,6,10 180:3 193:5,6,18,22,24 200:3 218:23,25 219:5,6,10 220:2 229:20 230:24 231:22 241:4 255:13
potentiometrics 189:7
pounds 213:16
Power 236:7
pre-1953 259:20
precedent 207:14
precipitation 182:19 189:19,25 190:1 207:23,25 208:2,16,18,20 209:5,11 210:2,3 211:5,6,7,8,11 222:22 235:21 259:22
Precipitation-related 189:21
precise 243:9 244:7,8,20
preconceived 227:22
predominance 198:14
predominantly 155:2 199:12 218:8,8,9 276:4
prefer 169:20 249:25
preferential 226:1
premining 222:2
preparation 197:4 203:16 254:4
prepare 197:5 260:24
prepared 166:6 175:20 201:12 202:16 203:1 204:24 212:13 245:14,20 254:9 274:21 276:16 287:18,19
presence 207:16 247:21 276:2
present 159:6 204:22 217:12 239:8 258:19 259:4 296:3
presented 201:15 212:12 254:14 275:6
president 196:14
pressure 163:9,9 179:3,22,24 199:22 200:2,6 213:15 221:16,16 229:16,22 230:2,21 231:1,20 246:11,13,24,24 247:24 248:1,3 265:4
pressure; 246:16
pressures 177:15
pressurized 246:12
presume 234:7
pretty 200:12,18 201:3 206:20 209:21 224:1 286:14
prevent 188:20
Price 153:16 270:23
primarily 238:16 280:6 282:2 286:7
primary 281:15 286:11
principal 197:10
Prior 164:3,11 196:16 203:16 204:18 206:2,17,18 209:4 232:24 245:20 258:8 278:18 286:15
privilege 289:9
Probable 197:6 201:11 268:9,11 274:25 275:2
probably 164:12 170:9 173:1 174:7 182:20 184:7,18 188:13 189:14 204:19 227:25 228:11 236:19 243:25 244:18 249:17,23 261:15 267:8,14,20 271:16 273:4 275:25 276:3 290:4
problem 169:11 190:23 191:13,22 230:22 241:16 276:14 289:18,23 290:19,21 300:3 302:14
problems 252:3
proceed 301:22
proceeded 258:11
proceeding 288:20
Proceedings 303:5 304:8,10
process 172:20 236:14 301:23
produced 275:23 289:24
produces 260:9 272:6,10
producing 289:18
profess 299:3,5
professing 299:11
profitable 282:6
program 197:22,25 198:17 227:9 228:4 231:11 251:24 254:19 286:22
progressed 261:17
project 197:9,10,12,14,16
prolonged 209:3,14
properly 274:4
proposed 267:18 274:14
proposition 152:3
proprietary 287:25 288:16 289:8
prosaic 185:15
protected 295:25
Protection 214:5 295:24
provide 204:17 228:20 229:2 290:24
provided 210:1 254:6
provides 151:11
providing 197:15 301:12 302:15,18
psi 177:19 213:19
public 288:3
publication 263:12 266:3,6,13 267:17 269:4
publications 263:3
published 263:8,14
pull 171:2
pulled 170:11 173:14,17 198:24
pulling 171:1
pump 175:15 272:14 273:3
pumped 175:15,16 182:3
pumping 166:19 249:2,8,10,18 250:2,9
pumps 278:9
purely 207:18 246:10
purport 203:18
purpose 242:25 263:18 281:12,15 285:17,19 286:11 288:15 290:11 300:20
purposes 209:22 218:17 288:1,9,17 290:14 299:23
pursue 201:24
push 221:17
put 153:4 157:3 164:12 181:4 192:6 202:9 210:15,17 214:9 216:14 221:21 279:15 285:15
putting 203:24

Q

qual 286:4
qualified 239:19 299:13
quality 250:21 251:2,7 254:7 273:24 284:6 295:17 296:17 299:23 300:15 302:13
quantify 274:7
quantities 219:18
quantity 216:13,25 260:3,4 273:23 286:5
quantum 188:4,5
quarter 176:25 191:17 297:4,9,20 301:7
question 151:25 153:6 164:1 165:24 166:1,10 167:9 178:25 185:8,15,23,25 186:4 188:3,8,22 189:10 192:8,19,21,23 193:3 195:4 224:14 228:19 235:14 240:14,15 245:24 246:1 249:12 250:5 251:14 255:24 261:25 262:1 275:12 289:17 297:3,16,23 299:21
questioning 216:9 300:10
questions 158:22 174:10 189:2 190:3 193:13 223:22 236:5 239:25 245:2 255:21 260:19,22,23 262:2 263:1 274:13 277:19 291:2,6,9 294:25 301:19
quick 223:23
quickly 218:1
quite 169:17 170:6 171:24 203:21,22 206:16 208:13 212:3 272:2
quits 196:10
quotations 266:9
quote 229:7

R

R.L 266:15,15
radioisotope 203:19 259:18,24
rainfall 217:24
ran 168:11 198:10 213:3
range 206:20 207:7,15 213:24
Ranger 236:20
rapid 209:15
rate 151:10 157:17 165:5 194:8 258:18
rates 189:25 190:1 206:24,25 207:1,3,4
rather 153:1 158:2 201:18 210:4 299:20
reach 163:4 279:15
reached 239:15 279:25 285:8
reaches 194:15
reaching 201:25
read 245:18 259:9 260:21,24 263:9,17 265:12 268:12 272:8,25
reading 230:7 256:6 259:8 263:5

ready 186:9
real 250:10
realistically 186:3
realize 202:10
realizing 278:4
really 151:1 166:23 174:2 175:19 182:7,8 185:15 208:1,11 244:21 249:25 261:16 289:14
realm 164:15
reask 275:11
reason 161:21 166:25 180:11 181:5
reasonable 158:13 204:3,8 208:12 218:15,15 223:6,8 243:16 244:1 247:3 261:16,20 271:7
reasonably 197:21 200:24 202:19 208:6 232:8 244:4
reasons 226:22
recall 175:11 198:23 199:8 218:4 227:10 230:24 231:12,13 233:9,10 234:13,18,24,25 236:5,6,20,22 237:25 239:17,19 245:17,19 247:14,18 248:20 251:4,23 253:7,11,20,21 255:8 260:20 266:21 268:14 271:1 275:7
received 196:22
recent 210:23 243:24
recess 196:1 262:24
recharge 152:4,5 154:7,9,11 162:14 179:14 181:1 184:4,5,25 185:4,21 186:18,20 187:2,12,13,16 191:7,12 200:21,25 201:7,15,18,19,21 202:1,3 208:7 212:8 217:3,9 218:2,5,7,16,18 220:14 222:14 224:18,19 225:7,16 232:2 243:2,17 244:1,9 257:4,7,15 271:24 296:7 298:2 300:2
recharged 152:11 181:23 187:9 208:9 259:21 298:12
recharging 152:8 186:21 191:1 298:6
recognize 213:20 250:4
recollection 227:20 229:6,11,12,14 232:19 293:2,3,8
recommendation 252:4,7
recommended 197:18
record 169:19 176:5 180:22 195:22,25 204:14 206:23 256:4 260:25 262:23 263:11 266:12 289:4 290:20 291:17 293:6 302:22
records 208:20 287:15 291:22 292:25
red 207:22 236:21
reduced 263:21 264:13,20
reduction 204:9 222:9 250:20
reevaluate 159:7 254:10
reference 155:11 214:4
references 283:23
referred 156:5 246:12,14
referring 167:13,15 168:3 185:6 270:20 272:21,24 273:4 302:11
refresh 245:18 249:12
regard 204:4 302:13
regardless 253:7
region 193:3 205:15 208:3 215:22 216:3
regional 155:11,18 192:16 193:4,9 240:13 256:19,24 257:2,16 267:1,4
regionally 256:17 267:11
regulation 188:19 201:23
regulations 198:14 201:9,9,13,14,17,19 202:11,12 214:6 218:18 275:1 300:22
relate 274:18 296:20
related 189:20 225:12
relating 301:21
relationship 301:5
relative 203:22 209:4 224:10 230:14 242:2 248:23
relatively 152:25 206:25 214:22 218:1,7 243:23,25 275:25
relax 229:4
release 221:16 290:21
released 231:3,4,24 265:3,3
relied 166:25 288:21 289:12
relief 257:8
rely 299:25
remainder 262:6
remember 186:6 195:16 287:11,12,13 293:17 296:6
remembering 153:4
ReMillard 269:8
removal 273:16
remove 272:13
removed 233:7 264:4,21 266:10 273:6
removing 264:4 272:13,15 273:3
repeating 186:16
report 167:3 197:6 201:12 204:24 212:21 214:2 232:10,14,15,18 237:6 263:8 264:6 266:13 268:5 272:4,21,25 273:20 274:21 275:3,5,22 276:23
report's 267:13
report; 232:11
reported 167:1 211:1 213:14 214:3,23 299:14 304:10
reporter 192:20
reports 192:10 218:5 235:23 264:7 287:18
represent 168:15 179:19 206:11
representation 163:10,10
representative 219:10
representatives 211:14
represented 209:23
represents 168:17 210:18 262:8,12,14
request 290:22
requests 203:10
require 186:9 188:6 198:14 201:14,18,19,23 202:12 214:6 275:2 294:11 296:1
required 214:9 274:25 286:21 295:21,23
requirement 214:15
requirements 201:23 202:11
reseal 190:21
research 189:11
residence 165:7
residing 304:9
Resources 266:12
respect 211:11
responded 240:17
response 185:8 208:15 209:15 211:10 222:22
responses 209:10
responsibilities 295:17
responsibility 197:9 218:21
responsible 197:13,14,24 199:12 236:3,10
responsive 208:18
rest 157:22 169:3
restrictions 259:23
result 221:10
results 195:13 299:14 302:13
review 159:3 192:9,10 234:12 287:14 288:8
reviewed 154:20 159:9 201:8 235:20
reviewing 197:15 268:21 300:16
reviews 218:4
revised 203:9 256:12 258:24,25 259:1,15 260:5 261:2 274:13,18
REYNOLDS 167:21 168:1,9,22,25 169:5,10,24 170:5,8,17,21,25 171:10 172:9,11,13,18 173:6,10,13,16,20 249:23 250:1,6 277:22 291:3,10
Rich 154:25 196:2
Richard 196:14 269:8
ridge 217:21 236:22 266:4,9,16,20 267:3,19
Right 159:25 160:4,7 162:10 163:16 165:11 167:21 169:2 170:24 171:23,24 172:2 173:11 177:7 180:25 183:2,5 190:23 195:24 216:9 223:7 226:5 228:11 232:25 234:9,9 244:8 250:8,22,23 257:24 266:2 273:17 276:19 277:23 279:4 283:21 284:17 286:14 288:4,19 291:21 295:1 300:24 301:2 302:12
right-hand 256:7
rise 151:6 178:16 200:15
rising 231:17,18
River 153:16 270:23
rock 152:3 176:20 212:18 237:3,5,22,23 265:7,13
rocks 237:6 271:25
roof 156:3 158:20 163:22 169:4 202:20 233:25,25 234:1,2,4,10 247:11 261:19,20 270:8,8 282:18,21,22 284:25
rose 200:7 229:20
roughly 195:5 248:19
route 157:9 248:24
rule 239:13
run 150:4 183:23 193:20 194:18 217:25 219:25 220:3 221:25 222:3,4 296:17
running 157:7 192:3 206:11 221:24 222:8 278:5
runoff 265:10,16

S

Safe 300:22
safety 252:3
said 162:6 163:23 169:15 186:13 190:7,13 196:10 221:4 230:11 235:7,11 239:6 246:6 252:10,11 259:4 273:15 288:17,18 293:4 297:20 298:2,5,9 299:20 304:10,14
Salt 196:17 291:7
same 158:14,15,15 160:17,20 161:7 167:18 173:12 174:19,20,24 175:2 187:21 189:21 195:7 199:7,24 203:18,20 211:5 215:3 220:19 235:8,9,12 240:7 243:5,18 248:12,23 250:20 256:6 263:4 267:17 272:4,6,10 275:11 291:21 300:17 301:4,5
same's 161:18
sample 213:16,17 214:18 248:18 297:11,11
sample's 214:19
sampled 281:8,9
samples 159:15 203:16 212:18,18 213:1,2,4 214:17 237:4,7,9,14,24 250:24 251:1 254:19,20 281:2 296:17
sampling 222:14,15 250:25 251:3
sand 157:8 178:8,9 224:13,25 235:3 248:21 297:8,9
Sandstone 152:7 156:4,7,25 157:1,22,25 158:4 160:15,17,18,23,25 161:5,16,19,22,25 163:15 179:5 180:13 181:8,16,24 183:23 190:10,25 191:15,24 192:4,12 193:18,19 194:2,14 199:3,20 211:16,18,21 212:20 213:13,23 214:16 215:11,18,19,21 218:13 219:2,13 222:12 224:12 229:17 231:17,19 232:12 233:7,16 234:8,23 235:1 237:19 238:4 241:5,6,12 242:4,12,20 243:3,16 246:16 247:6,17,25 248:5 256:18,22 258:5 260:14,16 261:18,19 262:10 267:1 269:9,16,21 270:7 271:19,20,21 279:8 280:4,8,9,11 281:19,20 282:5,10,14,20,23 283:3,13,15 286:12,17 290:13 297:4,17,18 298:1
Sandstone; 159:18,23
sandstones 174:18,20 190:19 212:24 213:5 215:9 242:4 256:17 283:10
satisfied 209:8 218:18
satisfy 196:11 201:13 203:10 209:6,16
saturated 179:7 242:21 269:11,19,22
saw 155:21 199:21 205:13 219:20 261:16 283:7
Say 150:9 151:1 159:11,15,15 160:19 161:6 172:13 174:11 175:5 177:1,5,14 179:9,24 180:1,14 181:5,17,25 182:7,8,21 189:11 190:12,23 196:9 201:6 208:7 216:4 222:2 226:4 235:18 241:24 242:17 245:22 246:17,23 247:3 253:18,21 256:7,12 260:12 267:5 269:21 273:8 275:24 287:11 291:4,17 292:4,15,18,25 297:17 301:14
saying 154:4,9 158:8 160:4,24 165:3 180:7 183:14 186:6,8 195:15 224:23 226:18,19 234:8 245:23 272:12 292:19 296:6
says 256:16 257:3,21 259:14,19 260:8 263:10 264:12 269:14,18 272:5 273:19,20 275:14 289:7 292:20
scale 192:16 193:4 265:20
school 299:3
science 196:21,22,23
scientific 247:4
SCOTT 304:8
scrunched 210:16
SDH-1 183:8 199:10 255:7 290:9
SDH-2 199:10 255:8 290:9
SDH-3 287:8 290:9
sea 177:18
seal 190:22 198:5 304:16
sealed 159:25 160:1 172:16,18,23 178:11
sealing 172:19,20 192:6,7
seam 198:17,22 199:4 220:2 228:9 241:11 246:2 247:22 260:25 261:7 279:22 280:17 281:14 282:3,15 283:11,15
seams 283:16 286:13
seasons 209:4
second 213:8,11 214:2,3,7 216:18 238:1,3 275:15
second-to-the-last 257:21
second; 213:25
section 171:9 172:17 173:11 199:10 246:12 255:7 260:2 282:11 284:15 285:8
sections 218:10
see 152:21 153:8,14,15 161:4,9 176:11 181:15 193:5 199:6 205:10 206:13 208:16 210:17 214:23 215:3,10,16,21 216:1 219:22 227:4,25 247:24 254:23 255:22 276:10,10 277:1 283:1,21 284:8 285:1,14 287:7,8 290:16 294:13,24 298:14 300:18 301:3,4,12 302:14
seeing 195:9 206:25 207:15 219:19 241:9 248:1 254:12
seem 167:18 225:16
seems 185:22 200:11 211:11 224:22 226:14 298:3
seen 202:3 207:19 230:18 263:4,6 270:7 272:2
seep 215:18
seeping 172:8,9 173:2
seeps 215:7
segment 164:25
Seller 266:15
selected 242:21
semantical 246:10
semantically 242:23
semantics 166:22 230:22 240:19,23,25 241:16 242:15 276:14
sense 155:15 198:15 229:19 248:24 274:24 276:10
sensed 298:3
sentence 245:25 257:21 270:17 273:15
sentences 259:3 272:16
separate 150:24 174:16 241:12 278:12
separated 241:20
separately 255:19
sequence 153:17 155:14,19 215:13 216:1
sequences 183:18
sequential 303:1
series 157:21,25 198:10
served 202:23
Service 166:18 179:17 236:8
Services 295:15
set 215:3 235:10 278:5,7 301:17 304:12
sets 166:24
several 161:23 179:17 182:14 191:8 199:19 204:10 205:1 233:12 241:2 261:9 270:18 279:15 282:21 283:15 301:19
shaft 280:3
shaft; 280:3
Shale 152:12 153:15 159:22 160:1,5,15,18,22 161:8,12,13,13 174:23 175:3,8 177:9 190:18 191:2,4,7 192:7 213:17,25 215:12,14,20 216:17 223:24 224:1,3,5,7,9,11,12,13 233:3 238:10 283:17
Shale's 191:5
shale; 216:21
shales 190:21 192:1 213:9 215:8 217:12,13 226:18 242:3
shallow 218:7
share 255:23
short 168:5 196:1 209:9 210:12 262:24 278:5 294:18
short-circuit 300:18
short-term 265:23,25
shorthand 187:1
shortly 203:1 245:15 293:18,21 302:3
shot 177:22
should 189:11 207:17 213:1 219:15,19,24 221:19 222:3,8
Show 150:1 158:5 163:5,12,18

168:21,23 176:15 210:9,10 249:16 250:1 280:21 283:18 284:15 292:25 296:1 297:21
showed 298:18
showing 300:20
shown 158:7 165:14 171:23 175:25 199:9 255:7 289:24
shows 171:22 283:22,24 284:9,11,16
side 158:11 168:10 169:8 172:24,25 191:5 279:11 281:14 302:19
sides 153:1
sidestep 249:11
significant 150:14 181:25 191:12,23,24 200:25 202:19 217:15 219:8,18 222:3,9 237:10 261:16 265:20 284:22
significantly 190:25 191:20 206:1 213:21 214:14,14,15 215:8 219:16 248:18 259:21 276:6
siltstone 213:25 215:12,20
siltstones 213:9 215:8
similar 199:22 211:10 215:4 241:9 259:20 276:10
simply 184:11 233:2 237:2 278:8 289:10
simultaneous 301:18
since 183:11 196:15,25 198:21 203:25 205:7 208:1,2 211:5 253:25 254:3,9 255:4,10,10 259:11 275:24 286:25 289:11
single 288:24
sit 227:4 235:16
site 236:11,16 240:23 241:1
sits 217:21
sitting 178:6
situation 183:4 203:3
six 169:24 213:11 226:15 236:24 252:11 279:24 282:6
skip 258:10
Skipping 258:7
slightly 216:21 217:8 222:23 236:21
slope 170:19 182:16,18 211:23 218:6 284:22
slopes 217:23 218:2
slow 151:10 157:17
slug 162:1 183:19
small 151:22,23 169:5 171:13 256:11 257:7 258:9
smaller 150:12
smart 178:20
SMITH 158:22,24 164:22 165:12,20 166:16,23 167:5,15 169:13 173:5,22 174:4 177:25 178:19,24 179:23 180:25 182:9 184:5 186:17,24 187:3 189:1,4,9 190:2 239:24 240:20 250:7 253:3,5 256:10 262:18,25 263:2,13,15 277:19 288:19 291:2,9,12 293:6,8 294:25 299:9,13 302:9,17
Smith's 185:8
snow 152:17
snowfall 217:25
snowmelt 257:6
soil 194:20 211:23
soils 150:8 194:18
solely 221:9
some 150:8 151:18 154:22 159:9 161:13 164:5 169:25 171:13 172:20 181:25 188:4 192:6 194:8,8 199:9 201:5 202:7 204:18 205:18 206:22 208:13 211:9 212:11,18,18 216:10 217:1 219:21,22 220:10,10 227:24 231:20 232:15 237:15,17,22 239:1,2,25 240:1 241:22 242:23 251:24 254:14 255:21 256:15,18 262:1 263:3 265:24 269:11 270:15 271:1 276:11 277:6 279:7,9,18 280:22 281:11 282:14 283:9,10 284:25 286:24 289:12 300:7
somebody 230:11 242:22
somebody's 264:17
someone 291:25 292:13,23 294:3,14
someplace 218:6 225:10
something 166:9 174:6,12 175:20 177:15 186:9 188:6 193:7 216:6 219:20 225:23 236:24 241:19 264:19,21 288:6 298:2 299:19
somewhat 173:21 199:7 209:1 231:3,4 259:11 266:10 276:5,6
somewhere 151:14 162:22 164:2 181:2,8 201:1 217:4 223:10 231:8,24 261:22 267:13
soon 183:14 192:6 193:14 302:4
sorry 164:20 169:23 176:3 193:20 246:8 253:10 261:2
sort 165:17 297:23
sorts 264:7
source 151:12 153:2 185:20,20 186:12 226:7 267:25 270:8 295:21,24 299:25
sources 156:19,21
south 199:21,24 200:18,19 204:6,7 205:20 217:7 219:15,15,17 222:8 223:10 226:10 229:24 230:4,12,18,23,25 231:2,6,6,15,23 246:15 247:17 248:17 281:9 283:5,6
south; 200:23 223:6
southeast 212:15
southern 200:16 231:8 267:1
southward 244:19
SPC-10 168:4
speak 289:11
SPEAKER 172:16
speaking 152:25 265:2 289:9
Special 295:15
specific 236:5 275:1
specifically 202:14 224:24 232:20 234:23 245:19 290:10 296:19 298:5,8
speed 236:14
spent 175:14,17
spiel 223:21
spike 250:22 251:10,15
split 171:4
spoken 289:5
spot 202:9,9 210:16 225:3
Spring 151:6,12 159:17 160:10,11 161:19,23 162:3,6,7,9,15 163:2 175:24 176:23 181:18 186:23,25 187:10 189:12,13,13,22 191:1,19 192:11 193:19,23 195:5 198:13,18,20 199:5,11 203:21,22 204:2,4,7,10,13 205:9,14,18 206:1,6,9,17,24 207:20,22 208:6,7,17,24 209:2,12,17 210:20,21,25 211:10,13,15,17,20,22,25 215:12 216:23 219:1,6,9,24 220:4,22 221:2,22 222:2,18,19,22 223:4,17,19,19 224:19 225:9,15 226:8 240:3,7 242:12 243:3,13,19,23 244:5 247:19,24 248:4,7,8,11,18,20 250:13,21,24,25 251:1 255:14 259:14,19 267:24 271:23 274:7 276:16,20,21 277:4,4 286:12,16,17 296:18 297:1 298:1,14,17 300:8,15,21 302:13
Spring; 219:18
springs 154:8,10 161:10,13,15,21 176:8 186:21 187:15 191:19 205:1,14 208:22 215:7,10,13,22 216:13 228:1 235:17 240:2 254:21 257:22,22,23 258:2,3,3 265:9,16 269:23 270:2 271:1 274:3 277:8,9 295:18
springtime 205:11
spud 183:14,16
square 213:16
stabilize 198:6
stabilized 198:8
stack 158:1
stamp 253:20
stand 204:12
standing 204:12
Star 153:15,21 157:12,22 174:17 199:20,23 200:1,14 212:19,21,25 213:6 215:15 218:11 228:2 229:17 237:18 241:5,11 242:19 243:15 246:16 247:6 256:22 257:4 258:4 262:9,14 266:25 269:9,20,24 270:3,5,18 271:10 273:9 286:17 290:12
start 182:17 189:13 209:4 230:1 256:17 293:15
started 169:6 183:13 207:2 221:11,21 284:16
starting 211:9
state 166:5 196:22,24 294:11 304:9
stated 199:19 218:3 288:14 299:16,18
statement 182:14 185:3 195:23 256:20 257:9 258:13 259:2,9,22 260:10 261:13 262:11 263:9,21 264:14 266:18 267:5,15 268:13 271:14,16 273:20 275:20 292:4 294:21
statements 263:23
states 261:7 262:4 265:6 266:16 267:18 269:9 270:17
static 165:5
Station 236:20
status 255:20
statutory 185:13
stay 283:18
stayed 220:19
steel 281:22,23
steep 217:23 257:13
steeper 218:2
Stewart 236:20
still 178:14 224:18 244:6 255:22 271:8 279:11 285:21
stipulate 302:24
stop 179:11,25
storage 262:9,13,14 263:20 264:5,12,16,18,20,22 271:12,21 272:14,15 273:3,7,9,16
storage; 265:3
Storrs 161:1,4,16,24 162:3 177:12 179:11 193:18,22 198:19 216:24 243:7 248:9
straight 152:19 201:3 217:5 282:3
strata 267:23 275:17
stratigraphic 155:14 158:14,15
stratigraphy 184:18
stream 153:18 157:6 181:15 191:1 297:25 298:14
streams 181:13 254:21
strictly 281:16,20
stringers 233:4
strongly 275:16
structural 158:16 184:18
structure 159:3
studied 267:21
studies 182:1 184:3,4,22 185:6,9 202:8 273:22
study 257:11 269:24 270:3 271:10 273:10 275:16,18
stuff 152:13
style 253:17
submit 188:14
submittal 255:20 301:18
submitted 228:17 232:10
subsequently 217:25
subsidence 225:13 265:6,13,18,19,21,25 267:18,21,23 268:17,19,24 272:1
substance 226:1
subsurface 217:22
such 151:5 295:24 297:13
suddenly 180:12
sufficient 194:21 200:6
sufficiently 242:25
suggest 184:9 208:6,17
suggested 209:10
suitable 300:8
summarized 275:4
summer 292:13
sumps 171:13 278:9,9
superlatives 216:5
supervised 286:2
supply 185:20 212:12 278:13,15,25
support 197:6 230:20
supporting 275:9
supports 187:2
suppose 178:10 187:12 225:1
supposed 166:6 168:23
sure 156:9 157:9 165:23 166:12 176:4 183:15 184:10 187:19 190:4 191:16 194:23 197:20 217:1 227:18 228:24 231:5 236:14 240:1 244:24 252:2,6 254:11 255:18 256:6 263:3 266:19 268:12,20 274:15 275:3 287:5 292:19 294:17 295:24 298:5,8
surface 150:5,7,18,21,25 151:2,4 152:6,9 155:8 156:11,13,14,16 157:6 159:5,9,11,12 163:6,8,11,19 177:5 178:17,22 179:3,5,7,10,20,20,22 180:3 181:7,9 182:19 191:15 192:4 193:5,6,9,18,24 194:18,20 199:9,16 200:4,11 218:14,25 219:5,6,10 220:2 227:16 229:20 230:15,24 231:22 244:3 255:6,13 261:24 265:10,16,20,24 267:22 273:24 285:12,15 296:20,23,24 298:18 299:25 300:4,7
surface-influenced 296:2 300:21
surface; 300:6
surfaces 177:2 193:22,22 218:23,24 241:4 257:8
surrounding 205:2 217:17
survey 206:5,12 207:8 210:11,15,19 211:1 212:13 218:4 230:15 235:12,13,15
surveyed 230:6
surveying 280:18
suspect 170:11
suspicion 228:1
system 156:13 162:8 187:6,22 202:15 208:21 209:7 220:15 221:5 241:22 246:11,22,25 247:1 252:17 257:16 272:6,10 274:6 277:11,12,15,17 294:9
systems 241:13 267:10 271:3 273:22

T

table 150:19,20,22 151:1,2 178:22,25 179:1,20 192:13 200:4,14 218:23 219:11 220:1 221:5 222:2 246:4,5,9,13,14,17 247:2,5 264:24
tables 247:5
tag 217:1
take 150:16 159:20 160:10 161:18 163:6 165:9 176:22 178:12 179:11,25 185:12 190:17 195:13,25 214:18 216:19 237:11 244:7 245:22 248:24 249:14 251:21 256:5 258:5,13 259:15 261:25 262:19 264:19 268:8,16,18 270:5 272:19 279:4 282:9 301:23
taken 195:11 196:1 255:11 262:24 279:17 281:2 304:8
takes 209:4,5,8 301:17
taking 220:22,23 294:19
talk 180:18 244:13,15,17 251:13 266:8 273:2
talked 193:21 203:14 225:11 246:4 276:16
talking 150:14 166:20 167:6,11 168:16 191:14,18 193:17 197:3 223:24 234:21 244:11,24 246:6 250:21 273:16 280:24 296:10
talks 260:24 275:14
tank 178:5,10 281:14 283:14
tap 178:15
technical 274:24 275:3
Technically 265:2 281:23
technique 297:8,10
tell 160:21 162:16 177:4 180:17 190:24 196:8 209:25 216:9 227:13 228:21 235:21 243:2,10 255:9 259:1 263:25 277:7 278:4 280:24 298:25
telling 253:7
Ten 150:5 208:2 236:18 291:5 300:3
tend 217:13,14,23
tended 202:7
tendency 157:19 297:5
tens 175:5
Terence 269:7
terms 152:15 177:17,24 225:15
terribly 237:10
test 183:20 298:15,16 302:13
tested 282:25 296:21,22 298:13
testified 179:16 196:5 198:2 211:15 224:24 232:1,11,23 233:19 238:9 254:24 278:1 295:9
testify 174:3 189:15 229:16 238:11,21 251:9 291:25 292:13,23 294:3,15 300:14
testifying 192:22
testimony 153:3 157:10 165:25 168:4 175:16,21 184:15 224:21 241:14 245:13 255:12,22 282:14 288:21 292:21 294:5,13 296:3 297:20,24,25 300:11,19
testing 197:22 251:18,22
tests 162:1 174:5 183:17 198:10 213:2,3 298:17 299:14 300:25
Texaco 152:10,12
text 256:8
Thank 173:5 195:24 253:4 266:2 267:16 294:24
Thanks 182:9 183:5 185:11 265:5 283:6
that'd 263:13
that'll 173:4 227:6
that's 152:15 153:25 154:7 155:16

156:4 158:21 159:23 160:4,5 161:25 162:9 163:9,10,18 164:12,20 165:20 166:21 167:6,12,16,19 168:22 169:8 173:5,12 175:12,20 178:16,21 179:14,23 181:11,16,17,17 182:2,9,24 184:14 185:14 186:10,19,20 187:6,17 188:1,9,21,23,24 190:2 191:16,25 193:7 195:3 200:16 201:1 202:10 204:8,14,16 205:3 208:7,8 211:10,18 213:11 214:11,11,20 215:12 216:4,7,7 218:16,22 219:3 220:11 221:5 222:2 223:1,20,20 225:4,9,20 226:15 229:15,21 234:9,21 237:14 239:21 240:12,22 241:24 244:7,8,9,23,24 245:3,8,8,22 246:22,23 248:22 249:17 250:10 252:11 253:2 254:23 255:3,25 258:13,24,24 260:1 261:3,15 263:18 264:11 266:24 268:8 269:6,15 270:24,24 271:15,18,21 272:2,15,17,24 273:4,4 274:12 277:19 278:18 281:6 285:1 286:13 288:19 289:24 291:21 292:4,18,19,22 294:6,7,16,25 295:5 296:11 297:7,8,10,23 298:9 300:4,17,24,25 301:13,22
that; 171:6 177:21 253:14
Theis 272:22,23,24
them's 176:15
themselves 157:20 158:1 190:21,22 199:12 217:14
then; 260:15
theorize 235:2
theory 186:19 187:11 189:6 225:6
there'd 300:3
there's 153:5 157:8,11 163:7 170:6 172:10 178:17 179:2 180:11 190:14,14,20 200:24 201:5 204:2,2 205:8 207:14 208:6,12 209:1 212:7 214:20 215:18,24 216:4 217:14 218:15,22 220:15 221:2 224:3,6,12,23 226:19 228:14 230:24 231:1 233:20 236:17 241:20,20,24 242:16,16,23 243:17 248:5 250:17 255:4 264:17 276:13,20 282:10,13,14 283:2,12 294:7,13,14 298:3 299:22 300:1
there; 160:6
therefore 200:24 225:14 257:15
thereof 304:15
they'll 192:3 290:16
They've 182:14 205:6,7 207:19 288:19 299:14
thick 175:7,12 180:14,15,18 181:1 215:13 238:12 279:8 282:24 283:1
thickness 158:2 174:20 175:2 191:2 235:5 238:25 239:2 279:22
thicknesses 174:24 175:4 238:9,13
thing 171:3 191:22 235:8,9,12 244:14 300:7 301:4
things 169:12,14 186:13 200:19 202:2 216:7 220:14 231:8 235:19 239:6 240:1 250:3 253:16 268:5,14 272:19 274:10 276:4,11 295:24 297:6,13,15,18 300:23 301:22
think 150:2 154:7 156:15 158:11 162:5,22 163:21 165:2 169:18,25 174:6,13,15 177:20,23 179:16 184:14,23 185:3,3,13,14 186:7,10,11,17,18 187:11,23 188:7,10,21 189:14 196:10 199:19 200:3 201:6 202:10 204:3 206:8 207:13 208:9 209:23 214:11,13,13 215:5 216:7,13,25 217:11 218:22 219:13 221:14 222:20,23,25,25 223:16,20 224:15,16 225:5,22 232:13 233:18 234:22 236:8,15 239:7 241:2 249:15 250:15,16 253:22 256:3 261:15 265:24 272:12 273:15 275:12 276:1 285:14 288:13 289:17 290:22 296:10 297:23 298:2,3,6 299:9,15,22 300:1,10 301:20 302:7
thinking 162:4 166:18 273:2 281:18
third 245:23 278:14
This'd 193:11
thornier 188:3
those 152:5 157:15,22 158:7 160:20 161:2 165:21 167:19 170:20 174:18 176:8,18,20 186:21 190:20,21 192:5 194:1,5,7,16,22 195:21 196:13 197:18,19 198:1,3,9 199:11,13,14,16 201:14 202:6 203:4,5,14 205:12 207:4,19 211:8 212:4,22 215:11 216:17 218:1,10,13,14,16 232:17 233:8,10,14 234:11 235:1 237:6,7,9,14,20 239:10,20 241:9 244:3 250:10,15 255:9,11,15,18,20 259:11 261:21,23 267:11 268:3,4,5 270:10 274:10 275:11 278:22 279:17 287:15 289:19 295:20 296:23 297:14,18 298:11,17 300:22 301:20,21 302:17,23
though 154:5 160:18 195:1 209:9 235:3 264:18 270:9 302:2
thought 160:21 167:17 195:7 226:14 244:25 245:11,24 253:12 297:24
thousands 150:16
three 169:16 173:22 174:16,18 177:2,3,15 203:2 206:4 207:11 210:24 212:5 214:12 232:23 233:8 241:12 252:7,12,14 253:8,13 254:9 267:10 278:20 280:1 285:15 292:1 294:14
Throughout 155:14,19 238:20,25 283:10,16,24
throughput 164:21,22
Tie 153:12 218:9
tight 212:10
till 292:16
time 150:13,14,15,15 165:8 168:17 169:1 170:11 175:14,17 186:6,6 192:25 193:1,8,11 195:10 197:1,22 199:7 201:13 202:16 204:15,23 205:7,9 206:7 207:1 208:3,10 210:12 211:2 216:16 225:12 227:11,15 230:11 232:21 245:24 249:1,5 250:20,23 251:4,5 252:21 259:12 260:16 262:18 264:17,20 277:12,15 278:5 285:7 293:5 294:18 299:10,15 301:17
times 169:16,16 179:17 182:15,15 203:10 220:18,18 233:14,14 235:7,8 292:1 300:5
timewise 274:17
today 159:2 175:16 195:5,12,13 235:16 238:16 244:14 254:24 255:12
together 163:20 168:18 256:22 288:1
tongue 194:14 198:13,18,19,20 199:5,12 200:6,8,16 212:21 215:14 219:1,6,9 240:5 242:9 243:15 247:20,24 248:4,8,9 255:14 258:4
tongue; 248:7
tongues 161:13 175:8 199:3,20,22,23 200:1,13,22 218:11 228:3 241:4,6,6,10 242:19 246:15 247:6
Tony 227:10
took 210:3 213:1 216:16 236:25 248:17
top 153:2 159:22 190:18 192:7 200:8,15 216:11,22 226:4 228:9 231:17,19 233:22 236:22 248:1,1 255:8 259:3 277:6
topography 217:20
total 167:6,7,16 208:13 228:3 233:13 258:19,20 259:4,5
totally 211:22 222:10
toward 170:20 198:16 199:21 200:12,19,20 205:19 230:4
trace 160:13 226:4
tracer 202:7,9
Tracts 266:4 267:19
Trail 212:14 246:3,6 262:7
transcribed 304:12
transcript 301:11,17
translates 223:25
transmitted 182:15
travel 150:11
traveling 226:21
treat 297:8
treatment 299:25
trend's 171:21
trending 217:8
trends 207:24
tried 160:13 210:4 213:21 280:19
triggers 188:6
trip 228:25
tritium 203:16 254:23,24
trouble 291:7
true 161:18 162:9 186:10,10 237:14 243:5 258:13 260:15 269:13,19,25 270:24 292:4,19 301:8 304:11
try 160:9 208:10 225:20,25 263:24 279:10
trying 165:7,18 167:10,20 174:10 176:4 179:24 180:1 192:1 209:16 228:25 250:2 253:10,15 272:19 281:13
turn 171:4
turned 291:22
twenty 214:13
twitch 283:8
two 157:15 158:5,10 160:2 161:21 166:24 169:15 176:14,20 182:11,23 191:19 193:21 194:1 205:3 206:10 214:12 226:2 232:9 238:15 241:25 250:15 257:21 258:2 259:3,11 278:11 285:12 286:23 288:17 289:19 301:13
tying 228:25
type 199:24 208:7,15 214:23 256:11
types 207:19 208:2,19 215:4
typewriting 304:11
typical 205:13 207:1
typically 207:3 208:22 246:11,13

U

U.S 207:8 210:11 214:5 235:15
Uh-huh 151:17 154:5 155:24 161:6 162:24 173:24 174:25 238:18 284:14
ultimately 194:10 231:6
unconfined 179:2 200:19 264:25 273:6
under 200:2,14,20 216:19 239:10 246:11,13,16,23,24 261:6 264:24,25 265:1,2 273:6 285:21
underground 265:7,14 269:12 271:9 272:5,9 273:21 274:22
underlaid 198:22
underlies 198:16 215:14
underlined 198:21
underlying 286:13 290:3,12
underneath 279:20,23
understand 160:8 164:16 168:20 177:21 179:21,23 187:23 188:13 224:2 226:23 240:1 251:17 253:15 255:4 272:20 288:8 291:13 298:6 299:24
understanding 150:15 165:15 188:15,18 227:20 232:11 238:22 239:7,9 245:9 259:24 276:8 277:10 296:11 297:7
understood 178:19 187:20 191:10 289:16 297:24,25
undoubtedly 216:22 267:12
unfortunately 163:21
UNIDENTIFIED 172:16
unit 150:6 215:22 216:19
units 152:3,5 156:24 160:17 190:10 198:11 212:22 213:7,13 214:16 215:3 218:13
University 196:22
unknown 156:18
unlikely 157:25 239:11
unmeasurable 217:1
unnatural 274:6,7
unsaturated 241:21 242:15,24
untight 212:10
until 177:12 201:25 219:9 222:4 247:19 280:15,16 292:16 301:10
unusual 205:10,14 242:7
up 152:21 153:7,13,22 156:1 158:17 162:13 163:2,17 164:3 165:8 166:22 168:6 169:12,14 170:23,24 171:13,15 172:14 173:1 179:11,13,18 180:12 184:3 190:17 204:12,12 205:11 207:5,9 211:9 213:1 219:3 225:2 228:25 236:18,21,21 245:3 251:22,24 256:7 262:25 270:22 271:2,2 275:15 278:5,7,25 279:25 280:4,7 282:23 284:25 287:2 289:21 290:23 291:16 297:21
up-canyon 153:9,20
up-gradient 220:24 255:5
update 254:10
updated 254:5,7
updates 203:6
uphill 172:2
upon 150:2 164:25 184:8
upper 256:7 269:2 271:2 290:10
upstream 298:1
upward 191:16 248:1,3
us 152:8 182:24 188:25 196:8 197:12,18 202:10 206:22 223:10 231:20 250:1 251:23,25 276:14 279:11,12 280:20,21 282:3,23 283:18 286:1 289:11 290:25 298:25 299:7
use 157:11 182:16,18 245:25
used 167:7,16 258:21 259:6 262:6 268:22 275:5 289:1 291:15,19 297:8,10
users 204:3,17,20 205:4 206:7,14 207:2 210:1,13 211:15 254:6 295:8 302:25
users' 186:12
USG 235:7
USGS 192:10 204:24 235:10,21 263:8 269:6 276:17,21
usually 281:21
Utah 196:22,24 235:13 236:7 266:5 269:3 304:9
Utah; 304:9
utilizing 165:16

V

Valley 266:4,9,16,20 267:3,19 295:15
variance 171:24
variation 239:2
variations 172:3 205:13
varied 174:21 207:8
varies 173:21
variety 203:11,13
various 198:3,11 200:22 203:6,8,10 211:23,24 212:9,22 218:4 226:22 228:2 236:4 238:13 246:15 254:20 278:10 279:19 281:4,11,25 282:1 286:8
vary 238:24
vegetation 194:19 211:24
velocity 165:8
venture 288:10
version 187:1 260:5
versus 200:4
vertical 160:17 162:19 163:7 181:5,11 190:8 213:3 226:3,6,19 230:7 261:15
vertically 160:25 161:2 163:3,7 182:16,22 190:15,15 212:8 213:17 215:25 261:21
very 151:22 152:20 157:17 158:17 163:18 185:14 208:5 210:25 215:3 217:14 218:12 219:14 223:5 226:15 233:21 239:11 248:5 250:17 251:2,6 253:11 263:4 282:6 287:9
vessel 165:3,4,19
vicinity 212:14 225:14 266:21
view 171:10 268:17 301:9
views 263:3
visualization 202:25
volume 165:8,18 260:9,13

W

wait 188:11 202:8,10 217:2 301:10
waive 289:8
want 174:13 177:22 196:12 208:23 209:20 236:6 239:8 242:14 244:16 245:12 249:11 250:4 252:22,24 255:21 263:2,24 292:2
wanted 187:19 188:16 197:20 205:5 252:2,14
wanting 204:11
ward 244:6
Wasatch 152:9 214:25 267:1
wasn't 221:16 224:24 225:19 235:21 245:24 251:4 252:10 273:16 293:4 294:17 302:8
waste 214:6,10 299:15
wasting 299:10
Water 150:1,11,17 151:6,8,11 152:14,14 153:1,4 154:12,16 155:21 156:2,2,7,12 157:4,16 158:19 162:25 163:5,11,13,14,21,23,24,24 164:10 165:2,4 166:19,20 167:16 168:8,21,24 169:6,25 170:7,12 171:14,18 172:5,14,20,25 173:1,2 175:15,16,24 177:3,6,10,11 178:5,17,22,25 179:1,18,19,20 181:12 182:2,15 183:10,13,15,22 184:1,21 185:19,24 186:12,19,20,22,24 187:3,5,7,13,15,17,25 188:1 192:2,3,13 194:1,3,5,7,9,12,15,21,23 198:6,7,8 200:4,7,14,15,22 202:4,20 203:21,21,23 204:3,17,20 205:3 206:7,

14 207:2 208:5,13,14 209:1,6,7,16 210:1,13 211:14 212:12 215:24 216:5,10,13,20,22 217:15,24 218:23 219:8,10,12,16,23,25 220:1,3 221:5,7,12,12,13 222:2,3,4,9,10,11 224:17,22 225:7,9 226:7,16 227:16,19,21,22,23 228:2 229:8 231:4,11,16,23 233:16 234:25 237:12 240:9,10 241:9 243:21,22,22 244:3,9,23 245:3,6 246:4,5,9,12,14,17 247:2,5,5,13,15,18 248:11,12,14,19,22 249:2,10,18 250:2,9,21 254:6,7,8 256:18 258:8 259:14,19 260:8,9,13 262:7 264:4,4,20,24 265:20,24 266:12 267:25 269:12,15,16 270:11,12 271:12,12,18,20 272:5,9,13,13,14,15 273:3,5 275:14,17 278:6,15 279:1 281:8 282:17 284:9,23 285:1,1,6 286:3,4,6,7,9 287:4,10 288:9 290:1,6,10 291:13,14,18,19 292:1,6,8,16,20 294:8,9,12 295:8,17,22 296:1,2,17,19,20,23,24 297:4,8,16 298:14,14,16,16 299:23,23,24 300:5,7,15,17,20,22 302:25
water's 150:3 151:18 172:4 178:15 182:17 244:13 278:10
water-bearing 270:18
water-level 274:4
water-producing 264:13 273:10
waters 208:12 273:24
watershed 196:22 205:2 236:1,2
way 152:8,23 163:23 170:14 171:2,19,21 173:25 178:11 183:17 186:23,24 187:14,15,17,25 188:2 210:6 220:13 226:17 229:15 245:22 253:19,21 261:24 264:8 283:7 289:6
we'll 153:7 166:10 174:12 188:13 195:25 256:15 293:7 301:10 302:4,16,23 303:3
we're 150:10,13 152:1 166:21 167:6 169:15 173:7 176:4 186:11 188:12 193:10,14 195:9 209:13,14,20 213:23 214:7 223:24 226:18,19 230:22 234:21 247:25 250:21 256:6 260:2 266:8 278:4 282:7 287:1 288:9 290:4 295:2 299:10,11 300:13 301:25 302:18
week 254:15
weight 281:22
well 151:5,7 152:11,12 153:15 155:6 160:19 162:5 163:5,8,17 164:8 165:15,23 166:5,16 167:9 173:23 178:12,13,14,15 179:1,12 180:2,5,8,9,16 181:18 185:14 186:7,16 187:22 188:24 189:18 191:16 192:24 198:13 209:23 222:24 225:22 229:2 230:3 231:5 233:18 234:8 241:2 243:14 244:15 245:23 246:3,10 247:10 249:21 252:24 255:5 259:10 262:16 264:7 269:20 270:8,22 272:14 273:3 276:2 282:21 286:20,23,25 288:17,25 290:4 291:19 292:18 297:24 298:10,15 301:6,9,13
well-level 286:22
wells 173:22 174:5 183:8,9 198:20 228:6 254:21 272:7,11 274:5 285:12,15 286:11,16,23 290:12 301:13
west 201:6 217:9 223:7 236:24 248:15 279:17 280:25 281:3,9,10 304:9,16
west; 223:8
westward 223:11
wet 158:17 222:6 233:9,10 247:16
What's 157:3,11 166:25 167:19 169:1 184:24 195:4 214:13 222:5 233:18 236:11 253:3 260:1 274:24 293:8
whatever 162:23 166:25 181:21 193:1 227:15 228:7,8 234:20 237:5 244:13 273:14
whatever's 277:12
whatnot 228:1 234:1
Where's 244:9
whereas 238:3
wherever 227:21
whether 155:6 175:23 181:23 184:24 185:20 201:1 213:5 246:23 247:1 263:25 267:5 276:11 277:7 279:10 283:11 300:16
White 154:25 155:2 174:3,6 189:14 196:2,14 227:3 251:17 263:1
whoever 253:3
whole 152:13 153:16 157:21 168:18 190:17 226:16 255:25 301:23
whom 295:14
wide 193:7
width 158:2
wildlife 268:1
will 151:6 178:7 184:3 189:14 192:20 200:15 202:15 216:10,11,12 219:3 224:16 228:20,21 231:2 233:21 253:8,8 267:20 281:23 287:19 288:3 290:22,23 301:10,11
within 151:7 154:2 158:5 160:16 162:2 169:24 174:21 175:5,5 183:16 185:4 190:21 192:1 194:1 198:5 200:9 201:2 203:12 206:20 215:16,17 217:6,16 238:23 239:12 242:19,22 247:23 254:15 257:17 259:23,23 261:8 262:8 267:8 268:6 270:21 271:5,17,19 275:25 300:21 301:7 302:1
without 181:25 182:3 268:21 289:9 299:25
Witness 162:13 164:20 165:11 170:16 171:8 177:16,19 180:23 183:3 191:9 193:2 196:2,4 205:22 209:19,25 223:13 228:14 234:21 236:17 240:16,18 256:5 277:25 283:9 285:7 287:13 288:5,23 289:13,22 290:9 291:16 294:21 295:8 297:10 298:8,15 301:2 302:14 304:16
witnessed 224:25
wondering 167:11 225:20
word 157:11 185:17
wording 268:22
words 168:15 170:9 220:1
work 154:18,21 155:5,10 159:9,11 183:3 197:3 201:8 212:14 227:6 249:1 254:17 259:16 274:22 284:1 295:14,15
worked 192:17 196:16 214:25
worked-out 175:17 249:2 292:9
working 197:1 273:12 283:4 293:12,13,15,21
workings 170:12,20 202:6 219:2 220:25 225:6 249:16 267:23 292:9 293:10,14
works 205:22
worrying 220:7
worth 189:19 210:15,24
wouldn't 159:15 183:1 187:18 234:17,19 243:1 255:23 297:3,17 301:23
wrapped 262:25
written 232:22 255:10
wrong 152:3 178:5 185:22 202:9 250:5 292:14

Y

yards 214:21
yeah 155:15,20 156:2 173:5,13 180:11 188:12 195:2 223:13 224:6 226:11,11 227:17 228:14 230:5 233:4,5 238:11 240:4 241:22 243:20 256:10 263:13 264:12 265:24 266:22,24 268:20 273:1 274:17 275:21 276:6 278:24 279:5 282:16 288:5 294:1 295:5
year 205:3 206:5 284:6 286:24 292:11,20
years 150:16 181:20 202:7,8,10 203:2 204:10 206:4,14,17,18 207:11 208:2 212:5 216:21 223:25 224:1 232:23 233:8 248:20,22 254:9 259:11 292:10
years' 210:24
yet 172:19 234:4
yields 269:12,15
young 203:21 208:5,14
younger 243:22
yourself 184:16 201:25 251:22

Z

zone 198:5,7 233:9,11 244:9 247:16
zones 150:24 198:9 258:4 270:18,21