

February 24, 2003

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT
7099 3400 0016 8895 6283

Wendell Owen
Co-Op Mining Company
P.O. Box 1245
Huntington, Utah 84528

RE: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No's. N03-46-2-2, Co-Op Mining Company, Bear Canyon Mine, C/015/025, Compliance File

Dear Mr. Owen:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violations. These violations were issued by Division Inspector, Peter H. Hess, on January 22, 2003. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

1. If you wish to informally appeal the fact of this violation, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

N03-46-2-2
C/015/025
February 24, 2003
Page 2

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

Pamela Grubaugh-Littig
Assessment Officer

Enclosure

cc: OSM Compliance Report
Vickie Southwick, DOGM
Price Field Office

O:\015025.BCN\COMPLIANCE\ASSESSMENT\N03-46-2-2PROLTR.DOC

**WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING**

COMPANY / MINE Co-Op Mining Company/Bear Canyon Mine PERMIT C/015/025
NOV / CO # N03-46-2-2 VIOLATION 1 of 2

ASSESSMENT DATE January 30, 2003

ASSESSMENT OFFICER Pamela Grubaugh-Littig

I. HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.)

A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall one (1) year of today's date?

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

1 point for each past violation, up to one (1) year
5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one (1) year
No pending notices shall be counted

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 0

II. SERIOUSNESS (Either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:

1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls.
2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an EVENT (A) or HINDRANCE (B) violation? Event

A. EVENT VIOLATION (Max 45 pts.)

1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent?

*****Water pollution.**

2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

<u>PROBABILITY</u>	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 4

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

******The safety berms consisted of earth fines on the outslopes. These fines would be untreated prior to reporting directly to Bear Creek. Several alternate sediment controls permitted for the construction of the conveyor corridor for the Bear Canyon #3 mine were removed, which added to the acreage reporting to Bear Creek drainage in an untreated manner.***

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage? RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

******Snowmelt has occurred in the area of the #3 mine where the alternative sediment controls were removed. Thus, some runoff from an extensive area has reported to Bear Creek without treatment.***

B. HINDRANCE VIOLATION (Max 25 pts.)

1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? _____
RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 12

III. NEGLIGENCE (Max 30 pts.)

- A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence	0
Negligence	1-15
Greater Degree of Fault	16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

******The approved sediment controls that were removed to install the conveyor structure for the # 3 mine should have reinstalled prior to the January 22, 2003 inspection.***

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

- A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

- Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)
- Rapid Compliance -1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- Normal Compliance 0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

*Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- Normal Compliance -1 to -10*
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
- Extended Compliance 0
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)
(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? Easy

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS -7

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

****The violation was issued on January 22, 2003 and the permittee notified the Division on January 24, 2003 that the silt fences had been reinstalled silt fences and erosion control matting would be installed. The permittee used diligence to abate this violation by ordering erosion control matting, but it was not installed until February 10, 2003. This violation was terminated on February 11, 2003.*

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

NOTICE OF VIOLATION # <u>N-03-46-2-2, 2 of 2</u>	
I. TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	<u>0</u>
II. TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	<u>12</u>
III. TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	<u>8</u>
IV. TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	<u>-7</u>
TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	<u>13</u>
TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	<u>\$130</u>

**WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING**

COMPANY / MINE Co-Op Mining Company
NOV / CO # N03-46-2-2, 2 of 2

PERMIT C/015/025
VIOLATION 2 of 2

ASSESSMENT DATE February 12 2003

ASSESSMENT OFFICER Pamela Grubaugh-Littig

I. HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.)

- A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall one (1) year of today's date?

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

1 point for each past violation, up to one (1) year
5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one (1) year
No pending notices shall be counted

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 0

II. SERIOUSNESS (Either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:

1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls.
2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an EVENT (A) or HINDRANCE (B) violation? Event

A. EVENT VIOLATION (Max 45 pts.)

1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent?

*****Water pollution and environmental harm.**

2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

<u>PROBABILITY</u>	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

******The four culverts had not been maintained as necessary. Visual observations confirmed that they would function in a “reduced” capacity and not allow the surface drainage system to function as designed.***

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage? RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS 5

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

******Erosion of the slope could occur where the flexible conduits became detached from the culverts. Damage could occur if the site received a design event.***

B. HINDRANCE VIOLATION (Max 25 pts.)

1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? _____
RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 13

III. NEGLIGENCE (Max 30 pts.)

- A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence	0
Negligence	1-15
Greater Degree of Fault	16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 7

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

****The permittee's representative was not fully aware of the situation and its ramifications.*

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

- A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?
IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

- Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)
- Rapid Compliance -1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- Normal Compliance 0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

*Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- Normal Compliance -1 to -10*
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
- Extended Compliance 0
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)
(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? Easy

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS -8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

**** The violation was issued on January 22, 2003 and the permittee notified the Division on January 24, 2003 that the culverts had been repaired. This violation was terminated on that date.*

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

NOTICE OF VIOLATION # <u>N-03-46-2-2, 2 of 2</u>	
I. TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	<u>0</u>
II. TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	<u>13</u>
III. TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	<u>7</u>
IV. TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	<u>-8</u>
TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	<u>12</u>
TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	<u>\$ 120</u>

cc: Price Field Office
O:\015025.BCN\COMPLIANCE\ASSESSMENT\N03-46-2-2_2OF2WKSHT.DOC