0105

STATE OF UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES
Oil, Gas & Mining

Norman H. Bangerter, Governor
Dee C. Hansen, Executive Director
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D., Division Director

355 W. North Temple • 3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • 801-538-5340

December 4, 1985

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
(P402 457 269)

Mr. Charles Gent
Genwal Coal Company, Inc.
P. O. Box 1201
Huntington, Utah 84528

Dear Mr. Gent:

Re: Increased Coal Production, Request for Approval, Crandall Canyon Mine, ACT/015/032, #3 and #4, Carbon County, Utah

Conditional approval was issued by the Division for this proposal on October 3, 1985. Our approval was to become effective upon notice of approval from the State Department of Health, Bureau of Air Quality (copy enclosed) and upon adequate compliance with any conditions issued by the U. S. Forest Service (USFS) for use of the mine access/haul road.

On October 25, the Division received a copy of a letter from the USFS (enclosed), which addressed Genwal's request for approval to increase coal production at the Crandall Canyon Mine. Their letter indicates that eight management requirements have not been addressed by the operator. Six requirements are from the environmental assessment (EA), and two are from the Road Use Permit. The letter states that the USFS will not concur with the Division's recommendation for approval until all conditions are adequately addressed by Genwal (copy enclosed).

The Office of Surface Mining concurrence is usually dependent upon the signoff by all appropriate federal agencies. The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has approved several proposals by Genwal for increasing coal extraction and maximizing economic recovery of the coal resource via letters dated February 22, 1985, June 24 & 25, 1985, and October 11, 1985.

On November 22, D. Wayne Hedberg spoke with Mr. Ira Hatch of the USFS (Price District) concerning the remaining management requirements which Genwal has not complied with to date. Mr. Hatch indicated he had discussed this issue with management personnel to determine what action should be undertaken to finalize the operator's proposal.

It was resolved that Genwal would be permitted to proceed with increased production levels (maximum 360,000 TPY) provided the company forward a written commitment to comply with specific deadlines for meeting all remaining EA and road use conditions. Time frames for meeting said conditions are as follows:

1. EA Conditions - must be resolved as part of the ongoing MRP permit revision process, and/or as a condition of final approval for the Tract II lease application.

2. Road Use Conditions - must be resolved according to the time frames established in the November 20 letter from the USFS which addresses the "Road Use Permit" for the Crandall Canyon Road, FDR No. 50248 (copy enclosed).

The Division's final approval for the increased production is contingent upon the receipt of Genwal's written acceptance to the conditions and time frames outlined above.

Please call me or D. Wayne Hedberg should you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

Lowell P. Braxton

Lowell P. Braxton
Administrator Mineral
Resource Development
Reclamation Program

Enclosures
cc: Allen Klein
Reed Christensen
Gene Nodine
Andy King
Lowell Braxton
Sue Linner
Joe Helfrich
8992R-76-77

DOGM

United States
Department of
Agriculture

Forest
Service

Manti-LaSal
National Forest

599 West Price River Drive
Price, Utah 84501

Reply to: 7700
2820

Date: November 20, 1985

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1985

DIVISION OF OIL
GAS & MINING

Genwal Coal Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 1201
Huntington, Utah 84528

Gentlemen:

We have reviewed your "Road-Use Permit" for the Crandall Canyon Road, FDR No. 50248, in conjunction with your revised mining and operations plans. You are not in compliance with the work required to accommodate the permitted and planned use. Under the Work Performance Schedule (copy attached), a surface treatment should have been applied 15 months after haul operations began.

Hauling on the road is exceeding the use identified in the "Road Use Permit Request". This is resulting in increased surfacing losses from the road and increased fugitive dust losses from the hauling vehicles. The surfacing and fugitive dust losses are unacceptable at this time.

Immediate action on your part to submit for approval a surface treatment plan and implementation of the plan is required if use is not to be suspended. A design and plan for placement of an asphalt surface must be submitted for approval and implemented by spring of 1986 if use is not to be restricted, suspended, or terminated. These improvements will result in reduced manintenance and surface replacement costs.

We look forward to the submittal of a plan from you, and will provide a quick review so rapid implementation can follow.

Sincerely,

/s/ William H. Boley

for
REED C. CHRISTENSEN
Forest Supervisor

Enclosure

cc: DOGM, OSM, D-3, B.Barney

2-1. Work Performance Schedule:

A. All construction, including the placement of 8 inches of gravel sub-base, shall be completed before hauling can commence. It is suggested that a surface treatment be applied as soon as possible to reduce maintenance requirements and to eliminate fugitive dust or prevent surfacing losses.

B. In any event, 15 months after haul operations begin, surface treatment must be applied. Until that time, sprinkling will be an acceptable means of dust control.

C. If surface treatments prove to be inadequate, an asphalt surface shall be applied. This shall be applied at such time as surface treatments fail to prevent surfacing losses and effective fugitive dust control. This shall occur no later than 40 months after coal haul commences, if these conditions exist.

D. The segment of roadway from the shoulder of Forest Highway 7, Station 10+50 thru Station 13+00, shall be asphalt surfaced by October 1, of the first construction season.

Wayne

Orig mine file
cc L. Braxton
S. Linner

DOGM

United States Department of Agriculture | Forest Service | Manti-LaSal National Forest | 599 West Price River Drive Price, Utah 84501

Reply to: 2820

Date: October 17, 1985

RECEIVED
OCT 25 1985
DIVISION OF OIL GAS & MINING

Mr. Richard Holbrook
Office of Surface Mining
Brooks Towers
1020 15th Street
Denver, Colorado 80202

Dear Mr. Holbrook:

I have reviewed the proposal from OSM, September 5, 1985 UT DOGM Transmittal of MRP Amendment Plans to Increase Coal Production. My comment is that Genwal Coal Company must comply with the requirements specified in the Environmental Assessment prepared and approved by the Forest Service January 29, 1982, and the Road Use Permit approved by the Forest Service May 21, 1981.

The following eight management requirements have not been compiled with. The first six are from the EA, and the last two are from the Road Use Permit.

2. Public parking must be separate from mine parking and allow for vehicle turn-around.

3. The Forest Development Road will be separate from and compatible with the mining operation.

6. The Forest Development Road through the site will not be used as part of the coal handling operation.

10. An adequate sewage disposal plan will be submitted and when approved, will be adhered to.

22. The mining company shall provide the Forest Service with an unencumbered right-of-way across any fee lands encountered for the reconstruction of the Forest Development Road.

23. The site will be so constructed as to encompass the entire surface facilities for the mining operation providing for unrestricted through-road access, while providing adequate protection of the adjacent stream.

2-1. Work Performance Schedule

B. In any event, 15 months after haul operations begin, surface treatment must be applied. Until that time, sprinkling will be an acceptable means of dust control.

C. If surface treatments prove to be inadequate, an asphalt surface shall be applied. This shall be applied as such time as surface treatments fail to prevent surfacing losses and effective fugitive dust control. This shall occur no later than 40 months after coal haul commences, if these conditions exist.

The surface treatments used to date have not done an adequate job to prevent surfacing losses and control fugitive dust. The proposed increased production will result in increased surfacing losses and fugitive dust problems, due to increased numbers of haulage trucks.

We have no problem with Genwal Coal Company increasing their productions from 150 MTPY to that proposed of 360 MTPY, when the items listed above from the Road Use Permit and Environmental Assessment are met.

If there are any questions, please contact us.

Sincerely,

/s/ William H. Boley

for
REED C. CHRISTENSEN
Forest Supervisor

cc: D-3, DOGM

Mine File
S.C.L.
J. Helfrich

STATE OF UTAH
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

NORMAN H. BANGERTER, GOVERNOR

SUZANNE DANDOY, M.D., M.P.H., EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

OCT 28 1985

533-6108

RECEIVED

NOV 05 1985

DIVISION OF OIL
GAS & MINING

Mr. Andrew C. King
Genwal Coal Company
P.O. Box 1201
Huntington, Utah 84528

RE: Approval Order for Increase in
Coal Production
Emery County CDS B

Dear Mr. King:

The Executive Secretary published a notice of intent to approve an increase in coal production at your coal mine in Emery County. The 30 day public comment period has expired, and no comments were received.

This air quality approval order authorizes the increase with the following operating conditions:

1. All emission control equipment shall be properly installed, maintained and operated as proposed in the notices of intent dated March 25, 1980, and August 6, 1985.

2. No visible emissions from any point shall exceed 20% opacity as determined by 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 9.

3. Visible emission evaluations of intermittent sources shall be taken in accordance with Section 4.1.9, UACR.

4. All conveyors shall be covered and equipped with water sprays which shall be operated as dry conditions and material moisture warrant or as determined necessary by the Executive Secretary.

5. The stockpile shall be sprayed with water as wind and dry conditions warrant or as determined necessary by the Executive Secretary.

6. Annual production of coal shall not exceed 360,000 tons without prior approval from the Executive Secretary in accordance with Section 3.1, UACR.

7. The amount of coal mined shall be recorded on a daily basis and the records shall be made available to the Executive Secretary upon request.

8. The unpaved haul road shall be graveled and water sprayed to minimize fugitive dusts as dry conditons warrant or as determined necessary by the Executive Secretary.

9. The sulfur content of any diesel fuel oil used shall not exceed 0.85 $lb/10^6$ BTU heat input.

10. No crushing shall take place at the site without an approval order being issued by the Executive Secretary in accordance with Section 3.1, UACR.

11. The Executive Secretary shall be notified when production increases to 1500 tons per day as a compliance inspection is required.

The fee for issuing this approval order is $186.44. The amount (see enclosure for breakdown of costs) is payable to the Utah Department of Health, sent to the Executive Secretary, Utah Air Conservation Committee, 2870 Connor Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84109 and is due within 30 days after receipt of this approval order.

Sincerely,

for Brent C. Bradford
Executive Secretary
Utah Air Conservation Committee

DER/jg
0094A

CC: EPA Region VIII (Norm Huey)
Southeastern District Health Department
Divison of Oil, Gas and Mining