

0006

*orig mine files
cc L. Buckton*



United States Department of the Interior
OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING
Reclamation and Enforcement
BROOKS TOWERS
1020 15TH STREET
DENVER, COLORADO 80202

RECEIVED
FEB 10 1986

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS & MINING

FEB 06 1986

TRANSMITTAL

OSMRE REFERENCE #: UT-0067 and UT-0003

TRANSMITTAL TO: Dr. Dianne Nielson, Director
Division of Oil, Gas & Mining
355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203

*ACT 1015/032
#2*

FROM: Charles M. Albrecht, Chief
Mine Plan Review Branch
Western Technical Center

Charles M. Albrecht

We are forwarding herewith a copy of the Bureau of Land Management's letter from the Salt Lake City State Office. This letter is for Genwal Coal Company's Crandall Canyon mine in Carbon County, Utah. Also, we are forwarding a copy of Coastal States Energy Corporation's response for updated legal and financial information, concerning the Skyline mine modification.

This material is for your records.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Richard Holbrook, Senior Project Manager or Ron Naten, Project Leader at FTS 564-2451 or (303) 844-2451.

0470M

FILE COPY



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
 UTAH STATE OFFICE
 324 SOUTH STATE, SUITE 301
 SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111-2303

IN REPLY REFER TO

3482

OSM-770 SL-062648

U-921

OCT 15 11 5 37

October 11, 1985

Betty-File

To: Richard Holbrook, OSM Senior Project Manager, State of Utah,
 Denver

Attn: Ron Naton

From: Chief, Solid Minerals and Mining Law

Subject: Genwal Coal Company, Crandall Canyon Mine, Emery County, Utah,
 Approved Mining Plan

The following subject information has been received in this office for review:

Two volumes forwarded with your letter dated September 12, 1985, and identified as "Mining and Reclamation Plan, Tract 2, Crandall Canyon Mine."

Pages forwarded with your letter dated September 20, 1985, and identified as "09/05/85 UT DOGM Transmittal of MRP amendment plans to increase coal production."

The above information has been reviewed for compliance with 43 CFR 3482.1(c), particularly the resource recovery and protection plan (R2P2) or underground mining part of the subject plan. We were also requested to note any conflicts with future recovery of coal resources.

The two-volume submittal appears to be a complete resubmittal of the volume identified as Lease Tract 2, reviewed in this office and commented on by our memorandum dated October 30, 1984.

The following are our comments on the new submittals listed above:

1. The two new Tract 2 volumes provide adequate information for the requirements of 43 CFR 3482.1(c) rules and regulations and to satisfy the concerns of our subject memorandum dated October 30, 1984.

2. The mining plan as submitted does not conflict with future recovery of coal resources.

3. Plate 3-2, "Mining Sequence by Future Permit." This print is not approved as submitted. At this time, the only coal lands that Genwal Company has a legal right to mine coal from are Tract 1 and Tract 2 as shown. All other lands shown will require that additional coal leases be issued. The emergency lease has been filed and is being considered.

4. Plate 3-1, "Crandall Canyon Mine Tract 2 Mining Plan Hiawatha Seam." Property barriers as shown are too large. These discrepancies can be adjusted and approved by BLM as mining progresses.

5. Plate X11-5, "Subsidence Limit." The angles of draw used on this drawing are larger than the angle of draw generally accepted for this coal field. Minable coal reserves, that are reduced because of an angle of draw involment, must have BLM participation and approval.

We have determined that the total plan submission, Tracts 1 and 2, are adequate for BLM administration of the associated Federal coal lease(SL-062648) and that maximum recovery can be achieved within the limits of the equipment and technology presented in the plan. We recommend approval of the underground mining part of the permit application for Tract 2 and the included parts of Tract 1 with reservations to negotiate, if necessary, barrier pillar sizes and angle of draw.

Jackson H. Moffitt