

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

November 17, 2004

OK

TO: Internal File

FROM: Gregg Galecki, Team Lead, Administration ~~AAA~~

RE: IBC- Modification to Lease U-68082, Genwal Resource Inc., Crandal Canyon Mine, C/015/0032, Task ID #2057

SUMMARY:

GENWAL Resources, Inc. submitted a permit modification application for an incidental boundary change (IBC) to Federal Lease U-68082 at the Crandall Canyon Mine to the Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining (DOGGM) on October 22, 2004. The lease modification involves 120.0 acres and is located in T15S, R7E, Section 32, W ½ of the NW ¼, NW ¼ of the SW ¼. The following review addresses only the administrative portions of the application and how it modifies the currently approved Mining and Reclamation Plan (MRP). The majority of administrative details and general contents modifications have been updated with the submittal of the South Crandall Lease UTU-78953 modification that is simultaneously under review. The application is currently considered deficient pending submittal of additional information.

TECHNICAL MEMO

TECHNICAL ANALYSIS:

GENERAL CONTENTS

IDENTIFICATION OF INTERESTS

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR 773.22; 30 CFR 778.13; R645-301-112

Analysis:

Identification of Interests, found in section 1.12, Chapter 1 - Volume 1 of the MRP was updated with current information as part of the South Crandall Lease modification in January 2004.

Findings:

The information provided adequately addresses the minimum requirements of the General Contents – Identification of Interests section of the regulations.

VIOLATION INFORMATION

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR 773.15(b); 30 CFR 773.23; 30 CFR 778.14; R645-300-132; R645-301-113

Analysis:

Violation information has been updated with information through October 2004 with the submittal of the current application.

Findings:

The information provided adequately addresses the minimum requirements of the General Contents – Violation Information section of the regulations.

RIGHT OF ENTRY

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR 778.15; R645-301-114

Analysis:

The 120-acre IBC is an extension of federal lease UTU-68082. A copy of the lease has not been provided in the MRP, although a new appendix (Appendix 1-15) is referenced as its location. This will need to be included prior to permit modification approval.

Findings:

The information provided does not meet the minimum requirements of the General Contents – Right of Entry section of the regulations. Prior to approval, the following information must be supplied in accordance with:

R645-301-114.100, Provide proof of Right of Entry into stated federal lease UTU-68082.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND STATUS OF UNSUITABILITY CLAIMS

Regulatory Reference: 30 CFR 778.16; 30 CFR 779.12(a); 30 CFR 779.24(a)(b)(c); R645-300-121.120; R645-301-112.800; R645-300-141; R645-301-115.

Analysis:

The IBC application is still waiting for documentation from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) concerning the extension of federal lease UTU-68082.

Findings:

The information provided does not adequately address the minimum requirements of the General Contents – Legal Description and Status of Unsuitability Claims section of the regulations. Prior to approval, the following information must be supplied with accordance with:

R645-301-112.800, Provide documentation of the lease modification from the BLM.

PERMIT TERM

Regulatory References: 30 CFR 778.17; R645-301-116.

TECHNICAL MEMO

Analysis:

The current five (5) year permit term began May 13, 2003, and expires on May 13, 2008. The permit will need to be modified by DOGM to include the IBC when the application is approved.

Findings:

The information provided adequately addresses the minimum requirements of the General Contents – Permit Term section of the regulations.

PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENT

Regulatory References: 30 CFR 778.21; 30 CFR 773.13; R645-300-120; R645-301-117.200.

Analysis:

The addition of an Incidental Boundary Change (IBC) is not subject to public notice or comment and no public notice has been submitted.

Findings:

The information provided adequately addresses the minimum requirements of the General Contents – Public Notice and Comment section of the regulations.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Approval of the application is not recommended until the deficiencies outlined above, have been adequately addressed.