

0012

Incoming
0150032
TASK 2565

From: "Erik Petersen" <petersen@relia.net>
To: "Dana Dean" <DANADEAN@utah.gov>, "David Darby" <DAVEDARBY@utah.gov>, "Jim Smith" <JIMDSMITH@utah.gov>, "Joe Helfrich" <JOEHELFRICH@utah.gov>, "Karl Houskeeper" <KARLHOUSKEEPER@utah.gov>, "Pam Grubaugh-Littig" <PAMGRUBAUGHLITTIG@utah.gov>, "Pete Hess" <PETEHESS@utah.gov>, "Steve Fluke" <STEVEFLUKE@utah.gov>, "Wayne Hedberg" <WAYNEHEDBERG@utah.gov>
Date: 7/3/2006 2:32:21 PM
Subject: RE: Missing Samples

Dear DOGM Representatives,

Please excuse my oversight with the EDI input for GENWAL for the first quarter 2006. I failed to note that site Section 5 Creek was inaccessible during the first quarter 2006. The Section 5 canyon area was covered with 4 to 5 feet of snow when I monitored the site on 6 March 2006. Additionally, on that date I checked the Section 5 stream channel at its confluence with Crandall Creek, and there was no flow at that location. Thus, the site could reasonably be marked as either inaccessible or dry. I chose to mark it as inaccessible because I couldn't safely get to the officially designated monitoring site, which is several hundred feet higher in the steep, narrow canyon. The EDI system has been updated to include this information for Section 5 Creek.

Monitoring information for the other two sites on Section 5 Creek (Sec. 5 Creek, U.L. and U.R. Forks) had previously been input into the EDI system.

Again, I apologize for the oversight with updating the EDI system.

Thanks,
Erik Petersen

-----Original Message-----

From: Dana Dean [mailto:DANADEAN@utah.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 9:49 AM
To: David Darby; Jim Smith; Joe Helfrich; Karl Houskeeper; Pam Grubaugh-Littig; Pete Hess; Steve Fluke; Wayne Hedberg
Subject: Missing Samples

All:

Bear Canyon and Hiawatha have failed to submit any samples for the first quarter 2006. They have samples in the pipeline, but have not emailed me or Pam (I can check her e-mail when she is out and I haven't seen any from them).

Also, Crandall is missing a sample for Section 5 Creek, according to the set parameters in the database. They were informed on June 28 that it was still missing after an upload of data.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Dana

CC: "Gary Gray" <ggray@andalex.com>