

Outgoing
C0150032
OK

From: Priscilla Burton
To: Dave Shaver; OGMCOAL
CC: Helfrich, Joe; Steab, Suzanne
Date: 7/14/2010 5:44 PM
Subject: Crandall Canyon Mine 0150032 Internal Insp Rpt #2405
Attachments: Insp Rpt 2405_20100714180112.pdf

Dave,
Here is the report for my June 22, 2010 site visit to the reclaimed emergency drill holes on East Mountain.
Priscilla.

Priscilla Burton, CPSSc
Division Oil Gas & Mining
319 Carbonville Rd., Ste. C
Price UT 84501
(435) 613-3733



The State of Utah
 Department of
 Natural Resources
 Division of
 Oil, Gas & Mining

ROBERT L. MORGAN
 Executive Director

LOWELL P. BRAXTON
 Division Director

OLENE S. WALKER
 Governor

GAYLE F. McKEACHNIE
 Lieutenant Governor

Inspection Report

Permit Number:	C0150032
Inspection Type:	PARTIAL
Inspection Date:	Tuesday, June 22, 2010
Start Date/Time:	6/22/2010 2:00:00 PM
End Date/Time:	6/22/2010 7:00:00 PM
Last Inspection:	Wednesday, June 02, 2010

Inspector: Priscilla Burton, Environmental Scientist III

Weather: sun clear skies 70 F

InspectionID Report Number: 2405

Accepted by: jhefric

6/24/2010

Permitee: GENWAL RESOURCES INC
 Operator: GENWAL RESOURCES INC
 Site: CRANDALL CANYON MINE
 Address: PO BOX 1077, PRICE UT 84501
 County: EMERY
 Permit Type: PERMANENT COAL PROGRAM
 Permit Status: ACTIVE

Current Acreages

6,235.80	Total Permitted
27.15	Total Disturbed
	Phase I
	Phase II
	Phase III

Mineral Ownership

- Federal
- State
- County
- Fee
- Other

Types of Operations

- Underground
- Surface
- Loadout
- Processing
- Reprocessing

Report summary and status for pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments:

Evaluated sediment control along USFS and SITLA roads. Observed status of revegetation. Planted sedges and rushes at the "French drain" on the reclaimed access road above reclaimed pad #4.

Inspector's Signature: _____

Priscilla Burton

Priscilla Burton, Environmental Scientist III

Inspector ID Number: 37

Date: Wednesday, June 23, 2010

Note: This inspection report does not constitute an affidavit of compliance with the regulatory program of the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210, PO Box 145801, Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5801
 telephone (801) 538-5340 facsimile (801) 359-3940 TTY (801) 538-7223 www.ogm.utah.gov

Utah!
 Where ideas connect™

Permit Number: C0150032
 Inspection Type: PARTIAL
 Inspection Date: Tuesday, June 22, 2010

Inspection Continuation Sheet

REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
 - a. For COMPLETE inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check Not Applicable.
 - b. For PARTIAL inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by reference the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Divison Orders, and amendments.

	Evaluated	Not Applicable	Comment	Enforcement
1. Permits, Change, Transfer, Renewal, Sale	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Signs and Markers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Topsoil	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.a Hydrologic Balance: Diversions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.b Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.c Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.d Hydrologic Balance: Water Monitoring	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.e Hydrologic Balance: Effluent Limitations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Explosives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Disposal of Excess Spoil, Fills, Benches	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Coal Mine Waste, Refuse Piles, Impoundments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Noncoal Waste	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Slides and Other Damage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Contemporaneous Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Backfilling And Grading	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Revegetation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Subsidence Control	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Cessation of Operations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.a Roads: Construction, Maintenance, Surfacing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.b Roads: Drainage Controls	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17. Other Transportation Facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18. Support Facilities, Utility Installations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. AVS Check	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20. Air Quality Permit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21. Bonding and Insurance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22. Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4.c Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures

All excelsior logs along the USFS and SITLA roads require maintenance if there is no further reclamation this year. The French drain installed along the access road above reclaimed pad #4 is not functioning as designed. There is no water being conveyed to the end of the pipe. Water emanates from two points in the fill (maybe from torn or broken portions of the pipe) and travels swiftly across the fill slope. My guess is that 20 - 30 gpm of water are flowing down the slope in a braided pattern. I planted 10 sedges and 10 rushes into the saturated soil along the length of this flow. The plants had been harvested the day before from a similar location and kept in a cooler on ice.

10. Slides and Other Damage

No slides on reclaimed pad slopes or along SITLA road.

12. Backfilling And Grading

2009 Outgoing document 0025.pdf, dated August 25, 2009, from Daron Haddock to the Permittee, requests reclamation of the remaining access roads on USFS and SITLA managed property. This letter was followed by a letter from the USFS to the Permittee, dated April 23, 2010, requesting reclamation of the USFS road beginning in July 2010. In a letter to Tom Faddies dated May 7, 2010, the Permittee requested written permission to reclaim the SITLA portion of the road as well. There has been no response from SITLA to date, but the Permittee is planning to reclaim the USFS road this summer.