

0001


From: "Erik Petersen" <petersen@relia.net>
To: "Jim Smith" <jimdsmith@utah.gov>
Date: 01/15/2008 12:49 PM
Subject: RE: Alton question

Thanks Jim.

Erik

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Smith [mailto:jimdsmith@utah.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 15, 2008 11:39 AM
To: Erik Petersen
Subject: Re: Alton question



Erik,

Looking through the submitted material, the operational parameters are presented in two places: Tables 7-6 and 7-7 as you indicate, and also Tables 11 and 12 in your report. I guess what was written was just reversed from what the Division wants; even though the baseline monitoring is nearing the end, the MRP should still list both field and lab parameters that were used in the baseline determination.

A copy of Tech Directive 4, which includes a parameter list, is attached. Directive 6 that you mentioned has to do with bond release.

JIM

James D. SMITH
Environmental Scientist
DNR - Division of Oil, Gas and Mining
jimdsmith@utah.gov
801 538 5262

>>> "Erik Petersen" <petersen@relia.net> 01/15/2008 5:54 AM >>>
Hi Jim,

I am trying to get the last of the deficiencies addressed in the Coal Hollow MRP. There is a comment under 724 that states that "the proposed plan includes a baseline water quality parameter list, but no operational plan list." I'm not sure what this means. Table 7-6 and Table 7-7 in the MRP present the proposed surface water and ground water operational water quality monitoring parameters. The lists we are proposing are intended to be for ongoing quarterly monitoring for the operational time period. We're not proposing a separate baseline list for monitoring after the baseline collection period is complete. Could you please clarify the deficiency? I'd really appreciate it.

Thanks for your help,

Erik