


C/025/005 Incoming

#4205
OK



Alton Coal Development, LLC

463 North 100 West, Suite 1
Cedar City, Utah 84720
Phone (435) 867-5331 • Fax (435) 867-1192

November 20, 2012

Daron R. Haddock
Coal Program Manager
Oil, Gas & Mining
1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5801

Subject: **Drainage Control Adjustments, Task ID # 4198 Coal Hollow Project, Kane County, Utah, C/025/0005**

Dear Mr. Haddock,

Alton Coal Development, LLC is providing this submittal addressing the deficiencies requested in Task List 4198. MRP Drawing 7-10 (Water Monitoring Locations) has been modified to show the location of spring location SP-19 along with the addition of monitoring requirements in Table 7-5.

Please find enclosed 1 (one) redline strikeout of additions and revisions and 2 (two) clean copies of the additions and revisions to the MRP. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely

B. Kirk Nicholes
Environmental Specialist

File in:

- Confidential
- Shelf
- Expandable

Date Folder 11/21/2012 0250005

Incoming 0002

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2012

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

APPLICATION FOR COAL PERMIT PROCESSING

Permit Change New Permit Renewal Exploration Bond Release Transfer

Permittee: Alton Coal Development, LLC

Mine: Coal Hollow

Permit Number: C/025/0005

Title: Alluvial Groundwater Management Plan and revised UPDES

Description, Include reason for application and timing required to implement:

Submitted as results of Deficiency List Task No. 4198

Instructions: If you answer yes to any of the first eight (gray) questions, this application may require Public Notice publication.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 1. Change in the size of the Permit Area? Acres: _____ Disturbed Area: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> increase <input type="checkbox"/> decrease. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 2. Is the application submitted as a result of a Division Order? DO# _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 3. Does the application include operations outside a previously identified Cumulative Hydrologic Impact Area? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 4. Does the application include operations in hydrologic basins other than as currently approved? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 5. Does the application result from cancellation, reduction or increase of insurance or reclamation bond? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 6. Does the application require or include public notice publication? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 7. Does the application require or include ownership, control, right-of-entry, or compliance information? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 8. Is proposed activity within 100 feet of a public road or cemetery or 300 feet of an occupied dwelling? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 9. Is the application submitted as a result of a Violation? NOV # _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 10. Is the application submitted as a result of other laws or regulations or policies? |
- Explain:* _____
- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 11. Does the application affect the surface landowner or change the post mining land use? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 12. Does the application require or include underground design or mine sequence and timing? (Modification of R2P2) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 13. Does the application require or include collection and reporting of any baseline information? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 14. Could the application have any effect on wildlife or vegetation outside the current disturbed area? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 15. Does the application require or include soil removal, storage or placement? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 16. Does the application require or include vegetation monitoring, removal or revegetation activities? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 17. Does the application require or include construction, modification, or removal of surface facilities? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 18. Does the application require or include water monitoring, sediment or drainage control measures? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | 19. Does the application require or include certified designs, maps or calculation? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 20. Does the application require or include subsidence control or monitoring? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | 21. Have reclamation costs for bonding been provided? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 22. Does the application involve a perennial stream, a stream buffer zone or discharges to a stream? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | 23. Does the application affect permits issued by other agencies or permits issued to other entities? |

Please attach four (4) review copies of the application. If the mine is on or adjacent to Forest Service land please submit five (5) copies, thank you. (These numbers include a copy for the Price Field Office)

I hereby certify that I am a responsible official of the applicant and that the information contained in this application is true and correct to the best of my information and belief in all respects with the laws of Utah in reference to commitments, undertakings, and obligations, herein.


B. Kirk Nicholas
Print Name

B. Kirk Nicholas Enviv. Spec. 11/21/12
Sign Name, Position, Date

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21 day of Nov., 2012

Ty Kyle Curtis
Notary Public

My commission Expires: Apr. 7st, 2012
Attest: State of Utah } } ss:
County of Utah



For Office Use Only: 	Assigned Tracking Number: 	Received by Oil, Gas & Mining <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em; font-weight: bold;">RECEIVED</div> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.1em;">NOV 21 2012</div>
---	--	---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 7

R645-301-300

HYDROLOGY

711.	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	7-1
712.	CERTIFICATION	7-1
713.	INSPECTION	7-1
720.	ENVIRONMENTAL DESCRIPTION	7-2
721.	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	7-2
	Groundwater Resources	7-2
	Surface Water Resources	7-9
722.	CROSS SECTIONS AND MAPS	7-12
722.200.	Location of surface water bodies	7-12
722.300.	Baseline monitoring stations	7-12
722.400.	Location of water wells	7-13
722.500.	Contour map(s) of disturbed area(s)	7-13
723.	SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS	7-14
724.	BASELINE INFORMATION	7-14
724.100.	Groundwater Information	7-14
724.200.	Surface Water Information	7-17
724.300.	Geologic Information	7-18
724.400.	Climatological Information	7-18
724.411.	Seasonal precipitation	7-19
724.412.	Wind direction and velocity	7-19
724.413.	Seasonal temperature ranges	7-20
724.500.	Supplemental Information	7-20
724.700.	Alluvial Valley Floor Determination	7-22
725.	BASELINE CUMULATIVE IMPACT AREA INFORMATION	7-22
726.	Modeling	7-22
727.	ALTERNATIVE WATER SOURCE INFORMATION	7-23
728.	PROBABLE HYDROLOGIC CONSEQUENCES	7-25
	DETERMINATION	
728.310.	Potential adverse impacts to the hydrologic balance	7-25
	<i>Direct Interception of Groundwater Resources</i>	7-27
	<i>Diminution of down-gradient groundwater resources</i>	7-28
	<i>Draining of up-gradient groundwater resources</i>	7-31
728.320.	Presence of acid-forming or toxic-forming materials	7-35
728.331.	Sediment yield from the disturbed area	7-36
728.332.	Impacts to important water quality parameters	7-37
728.333.	Flooding or streamflow alteration	7-41
728.334.	Groundwater and surface water availability	7-46
728.340.	Whether mining and reclamation activity will result in contamination, ..	7-49

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

	diminution or interruption of State-appropriated waters	
730.	OPERATION PLAN	7-52
731.	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	7-52
	Groundwater and Surface-Water Protection	7-52
731.200.	Water Monitoring	7-58
	Streams	7-58
	Springs	7-59
	Wells	7-59
731.530	State appropriated water supply	7-60
731.600.	Stream Buffer Zones	7-62
731.700.	Cross sections and Maps	7-62
731.800.	Water Rights and Replacement	7-62
732.	Sediment Control Measures	7-64
732.100.	Siltation Structures	7-64
732.200.	Sedimentation Ponds	7-64
732.300.	Diversions	7-64
732.400.	Road Drainage	7-65
733.	IMPOUNDMENTS	7-65
733.100.	General Plans	7-65
733.200.	Permanent and Temporary Impoundments	7-68
734.	Discharge Structures	7-69
735.	Disposal of Excess Spoil	7-69
736.	Coal Mine Waste	7-71
737.	Noncoal Mine Waste	7-71
738.	Temporary Casing and Sealing of Wells	7-71
740.	DESIGN CRITERIA AND PLANS	7-74
741.	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	7-74
742.	SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES	7-74
742.100.	General Requirements	7-74
742.110.	Design	7-74
742.200.	Siltation Structures	7-77
742.210.	General Requirements	7-77
742.220.	Sedimentation Ponds	7-78
742.230.	Other Treatment Facilities	7-81
742.300.	Diversions	7-81
742-310.	General Requirements	7-81
742.320.	Diversion of Perennial and Intermittent Streams	7-84
742.330.	Diversion of Miscellaneous Flows	7-84
742.400.	Road Drainage	7-86
742.410.	All Roads	7-86
742.420.	Primary Roads	7-88
742.423.	Drainage Control	7-89
743.	IMPOUNDMENTS	7-91
743.100.	General Requirements	7-91
744.	DISCHARGE STRUCTURES	7-93
745.	Disposal of Excess Spoil	7-94

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

745.100.	General Requirements.....	7-94
745.200.	Valley Fills and Head-of-Hollow Fills	7-96
745.300.	Durable Rock Fills.....	7-96
745.400.	Preexisting Benches.	7-96
746.	COAL MINE WASTE	7-96
746.100.	General Requirements.	7-96
746.200.	Refuse Piles.	7-96
746.300.	Impounding structures.....	7-96
746.330.	Drainage control.	7-96
746.400.	Return of Coal Processing Waste to Abandoned Underground Workings. .	7-96
747.	DISPOSAL OF NONCOAL WASTE	7-96
748.	Casing and Sealing of Wells	7-95
750.	PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	7-98
751.	Water Quality Standards and Effluent Limitations.....	7-99
752.	Sediment Control Measures	7-99
752.200.	Road Drainage.....	7-100
753.	IMPOUNDMENTS AND DISCHARGE STRUCTURES	7-100
754.	DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL, COAL MINE WASTE AND NONCOAL MINE WASTE	7-100
755.	CASING AND SEALING OF WELLS	7-101
760.	RECLAMATION	7-102
761.	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	7-102
762.	ROADS	7-103
763.	SILTATION STRUCTURES	7-103
764.	STRUCTURE REMOVAL	7-103
765.	PERMANENT CASING AND SEALING OF WELLS	7-104
References	7-106

INCORPORATED

DEC 2 0 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

DRAWINGS

Drawing 7-1	Spring and seep locations
Drawing 7-2	Baseline monitoring stations
Drawing 7-3	Water Rights map
Drawing 7-4	Alluvial groundwater discharge areas
Drawing 7-5	Alluvial groundwater degradation in Sink Valley
Drawing 7-6	Cross-section through proposed mine Pit 15
Drawing 7-7	Locations of ponds and irrigation ditches
Drawing 7-8	Climate data
Drawing 7-9	Plot of Palmer Hydrologic Drought Index, Utah Region 4
Drawing 7-10	Water monitoring locations
Drawing 7-11	Typical monitoring well construction
Drawing 7-12	Monitoring well locations
Drawing 7-13	Map of potentiometric levels in alluvial groundwater
Drawing 7-14	Pump test drawdowns in pumping and observation wells
Drawing 7-15	Hydrology Resource - Plan View
Drawing 7-15B	Hydrology Resource - Cross Sections

TABLES

Table 7-1	Monitoring site details
Table 7-2	Monitoring well construction details
Table 7-3	Climate data
Table 7-4	Monitoring plan protocols
Table 7-5	Water monitoring locations
Table 7-6	Operational field and laboratory water-quality parameters for surface-waters
Table 7-7	Operational field and laboratory water-quality parameters for groundwaters
Table 7-8	Hydraulic Conductivity values
Table 7-9	Estimated rates of groundwater inflows
Table 7-10	Summary table for wells
Table 7-11	Summary table for springs and seeps
Table 7-12	Water rights details and status

APPENDICES

Appendix 7-1	Petersen Hydrologic, LLC groundwater and surface-water report
Appendix 7-2	Not used
Appendix 7-3	Water rights
Appendix 7-4	Water Engineering & Technology, Inc. report
Appendix 7-5	Facilities spill plan
Appendix 7-6	Climate data
Appendix 7-7	Supplemental Alluvial Valley Floor Information
Appendix 7-8	Water right agreement with the town of Alton, Utah
Appendix 7-9	Hydrology Resource Contingency Plan and Coal Hollow Mine - Alluvial Groundwater Management Plan
Appendix 7-10	Permanent shale barrier

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Appendix 7-11

Petersen Hydrologic, LLC hydrologic investigation of mine-water inflows and re-evaluation of sediment pond network

Appendix 7-12

UPEDS Permit No. UTG040027

Appendix 7-13

Petersen Hydrologic, LLC hydrologic investigation to evaluate the acid/neutralization behavior of groundwater in the coal seam

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

coal mining action did not proceed. Relevant monitoring information from the Utah International, Inc. baseline monitoring activities have been included as supplemental baseline data included in this permit application.

Commencing in the 2nd quarter of 2005, regular quarterly baseline monitoring of groundwater resources has been commissioned by Alton Coal Development, LLC. Baseline monitoring of springs, seeps, and groundwater wells in and around the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area have been routinely performed. Data collected in the baseline monitoring activities have been submitted electronically to the Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining, Utah Coal Mining Water Quality Database (UDOGM, 2007).

Baseline potentiometric information from wells has been input into the DOGM database. For non-flowing-artesian wells, this information has been input in a depth-to-water-relative-to-the-top-of-the-well-casing format using units of feet. For wells experiencing flowing artesian conditions, the potentiometric data are reported to the database in feet as a height-of-the-potentiometric-surface-above-the-top-of-the-well-casing format expressed as a negative number (which makes the flowing-artesian and non-flowing-artesian potentiometric measurements directly comparable). For both conditions, the reported measurements can be directly converted to an absolute water elevation by subtracting the reported value from the elevation of the top of the well casing.

The potentiometric head in monitoring wells experiencing flowing-artesian conditions is measured either 1) by temporarily extending the height of the well casing and allowing the water level to stabilize and the performing a height of the water column measurement (where the artesian pressure is small), or 2) by using a pressure gauge to measure the shut-in artesian pressure in the well and then converting that number to an equivalent height in feet.

During December 2006 and January 2007 an extensive drilling and monitoring well construction program was implemented. This hydrogeologic program included the installation of 30 groundwater monitoring wells in and adjacent to the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area. The focus of the drilling program was to characterize the stratigraphy and hydrogeologic properties of alluvial groundwater systems in and adjacent to proposed mining areas. Aquifer characterization of the alluvial groundwater system was also performed using pump testing and slug testing techniques. Investigative methods utilized and the results of the analysis of the data are described in Appendix 7-1.

Descriptions of alluvial groundwater systems in the mine permit and surrounding areas, including information on quantity and quality of alluvial groundwaters, are presented in Appendix 7-1. Estimated rates of alluvial groundwater inflow into the mine are presented in Table 7-9. Additional information on alluvial groundwater inflows is provided in **INCORPORATED** Section 728.333.

As indicated in the Alluvial Groundwater Management Plan for the Coal Hollow Mine (See Appendix 7-9), the land surface overlying proposed alluvial groundwater interceptor **DEC 20 2012** **Division of Oil, Gas & Mining**

drains will be contoured to match the existing surrounding topography. Accordingly, alterations of existing surface-water drainage patterns should not occur.

Water monitoring information provided to the Division demonstrates that water levels in shallow alluvial groundwater systems in the Coal Hollow Mine area do respond to seasonal and climatic variability. However, as described in Appendix 7-1, the shallow alluvial sediments in the Coal Hollow Mine area are dominated by silts, clays, and fine-grained sands which generally do not have appreciable hydraulic conductivity. Because of the overall pervasiveness of silts, clays, and fine-grained sands in the alluvial system in the mine permit area, rates of alluvial groundwater migration are generally not rapid (See information provided in Table 7-9). (It should be emphasized that alluvial groundwater flow velocities in the coarser-grained alluvial systems in areas adjacent to proposed mining areas generally to the east and south are known to be appreciably greater). In cross-sectional exposures of saturated alluvial deposits in the up-gradient highwalls at the Coal Hollow Mine, only modest quantities of groundwater discharge have been observed. Although the alluvial sediments are largely saturated, where the saturated alluvial sediments have been exposed, sustained discharges of alluvial groundwater of more than a few gallons per minute are generally not observed. While discharges on the magnitude of a few gallons per minute have been observed in a fluvial channel system intercepted by the mine (which deposits contained sands, silts, and gravels), the much more pervasive fine-grained alluvial sediments where exposed were observed to weep only very minor, un-measurable quantities of water through the highwall. During a site visit on June 2, 2011, Petersen Hydrologic (2011) estimated that the total flow from the 600-foot-long exposure of clayey, silty alluvium in the mine highwall was less than 1 gpm. The total discharge from the exposed fluvial channel system was measured at 5.5 gpm. The total flow from a recently constructed, 870-foot-long up-gradient alluvial groundwater intercept trench was only 13.4 gpm. What this demonstrates is that, while the alluvial sediments adjacent to the mine openings are largely saturated, the presence of low permeability sediments in the alluvium limits the potential for the alluvial groundwaters to rapidly flow into the mine pit areas.

It should be emphasized here, however, that although highly permeable, saturated, coarse-grained alluvial sediments have not been intersected at the Coal Hollow Mine to date, the potential for intercepting such sediments is always present in heterogeneous mountain-front alluvial deposits. Appreciably greater inflow volumes are possible from such sediments were they to be encountered unexpectedly at the Coal Hollow Mine.

The overall low hydraulic conductivity of most of the alluvial sediments in proposed mining areas generally precludes the effective dewatering of saturated alluvial deposits adjacent to proposed mining areas through the use of vertical dewatering wells. For this reason, as described in the proposed alluvial groundwater management plan for the Coal Hollow Mine, horizontal drain systems (with large, long horizontal "screened" intervals in targeted strata to collect intercepted alluvial groundwater) are proposed for use in dewatering the alluvial sediments adjacent to proposed mining areas.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

The locations of streams, stock watering ponds, and conveyance ditches in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area are shown on Drawing 7-7. Surface-water rights in and adjacent to the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area are shown on Drawing 7-3 and tabulated in Appendix 7-3. Surface-water discharge rates and water quality data have been submitted electronically to the Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining, Utah Coal Mining Water Quality Database (UDOGM, 2007). Additional surface-water information is provided in Appendix 7-1.

It is not anticipated currently that discharge from the proposed Coal Hollow Mine will be necessary. Where necessary, alluvial groundwater that may be intercepted by mining will be placed in drains and diverted away from disturbed areas and discharged (i.e., as groundwater dewatering). However, a Utah UPDES discharge permit will be obtained so that if discharge of mine water becomes necessary, it can be discharged in accordance with the UPDES discharge permit. The exact locations of mine water discharge points will be established upon issuance of the UPDES discharge permit. Any mine discharge water will be placed in either the Lower Robinson Creek drainage or the Sink Valley Wash drainage. Both of these drainages are tributary to Kanab Creek.

As described in R645-301-728.320, acid drainage is not expected from the proposed mining operation. This is due to the pervasiveness of carbonate minerals in the mine environment that will neutralize any acid produced.

Seasonal quality and quantity of groundwater and usage is described herein and in Appendix 7-1. Baseline discharge and water quality data have been submitted electronically to the Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining, Utah Coal Mining Water Quality (UDOGM, 2007).

Baseline monitoring of surface-water resources in and around the proposed Coal Hollow permit area have been carried out by several entities. Previous hydrologic studies of the have been made in the Alton Coal Field area by Goode (1964, 1966), Sandberg (1979), Cordova (1981), and Plantz (1983). Selected hydrologic data collected in conjunction with these studies have been incorporated into the baseline data as part of this permit application.

During the 1980's, extensive monitoring of surface water resources in the proposed permit and surrounding areas was performed by Utah International, Inc. Utah International Inc.'s groundwater monitoring activities included the operation of continuous recording stations on selected streams, and the performance of routine surface-water discharge measurements and field and laboratory water quality analyses. These baseline monitoring activities were performed as part of a proposed coal mine permitting action in the Alton Coal Field. Ultimately, the proposed coal mining action did not proceed. Relevant monitoring information from the Utah International, Inc. baseline monitoring activities have been included as supplemental baseline data as part of this permit application. Commencing in the 2nd quarter of 2005, regular quarterly baseline

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Oil, Gas & Mining

monitoring of surface-water resources has been commissioned by Alton Coal Development, LLC. Baseline monitoring of surface-waters in and around the proposed Coal Hollow permit area, including surface-water discharge measurements and field and laboratory water quality analyses, have been routinely performed.

All surface waters in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area are tributary to the Kanab Creek drainage. Surface-water monitoring stations from which baseline data have been collected are shown on Drawing 7-2 and include the following:

Sink Valley Wash drainage

SW-8 (Swapp Hollow above proposed mining areas), SW-7 (unnamed drainage in Section 21, T39S, R5W), RID-1 (irrigation diversion of water from Water Canyon drainage above proposed mining areas), SW-6 (headwaters of unnamed tributary to lower Sink Valley Wash), SW-9 (Sink Valley Wash below proposed mining areas), SW-10 (unnamed tributary to Sink Valley Wash approximately 1.7 miles south of proposed mining areas), SVWOBS-1 (Sink Valley Wash above proposed mining areas, and SVWOBS-2 (Sink Valley Wash east of proposed mining areas).

Lower Robinson Creek drainage

SW-4 (Robinson Creek above proposed mining areas), SW-101 (Lower Robinson Creek near proposed mining areas), BLM-1 (Lower Robinson Creek adjacent to proposed mining areas) and SW-5 (Lower Robinson Creek below proposed mining areas).

Kanab Creek drainage

SW-1 (Kanab Creek near Alton, Utah; above proposed mining areas), SW-3 (Kanab Creek above proposed mining areas), and SW-2 (Kanab Creek below Lower Robinson Creek and below proposed mining areas). Additionally baseline hydrologic data from Lamb Canal, which is an irrigation ditch that conveys water from a diversion in Kanab Creek to irrigated lands adjacent to Kanab Creek west of proposed mining areas, is also collected.

724.300 Geologic Information

Geologic information in sufficient detail to determine the probable hydrologic consequences of mining and determine whether reclamation as required by R645 can be accomplished is given in Chapter 6 of this permit application package and in Appendix 7-1.

724.400 Climatological Information

Climatological information, including temperature and precipitation data, have been routinely measured and recorded at the Alton, Utah weather station (420086) since 1928. The station is located in the town of Alton, approximately two miles north of the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area. Climatological data collected at the Alton station for the 77 year period from 1928 to 2005 are summarized in Table 7-3.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Climatological data from the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area are plotted in Drawing 7-8.

An automated weather station was installed in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area in December 2005. The station is configured to continuously monitor and record temperature, wind velocity, and wind direction data. The station is also configured to continuously measure and record precipitation, although the tipping rain-gauge is not operative during winter months. Climate data from the proposed Coal Hollow Mine and adjacent area are also presented in Appendix 7-6.

724.411 Seasonal precipitation

Precipitation data from the Alton, Utah weather station indicates average annual precipitation of 16.38 inches per year. Doelling (1972) reports average annual precipitation in the Alton Coal Field area ranging from 9 to 20 inches annually with slightly higher increments likely in the higher parts of the plateau (Doelling, 1972). There are generally two annual wet periods in the region. During the wintertime, cyclonic storms bring precipitation (mainly snowfall) to the region. During the summertime, storms originating from convection of air from the Gulf of Mexico or the Pacific Ocean bring rains to the region. Of the two annual wet cycles, the summer rainfall is most reliable. Average monthly precipitation at the Alton station ranges from a low of 0.57 inches in June to a maximum of 1.80 inches in February. Daily temperature and precipitation data recorded at the Coal Hollow Project weather station during 2006 and early 2007 are presented in Appendix 7-6.

The Palmer Hydrologic Drought Index (PHDI; NCDC, 1997) indicates long-term climatic trends for the region. The PHDI is a monthly value generated by the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) that indicates the severity of a wet or dry spell. The PHDI is computed from climatic and hydrologic parameters such as temperature, precipitation, evapotranspiration, soil water recharge, soil water loss, and runoff. Because the PHDI takes into account parameters that affect the balance between moisture supply and moisture demand, the index is a useful for evaluating the long-term relationship between climate and groundwater recharge and discharge. A plot of the PHDI for Utah Region 4 (which includes the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and surrounding area) is shown in Drawing 7-9. It is apparent in Drawing 7-9 that the region has experienced cyclical periods of drought and wetness since 1980. Baseline hydrologic monitoring performed by Utah International, Inc in 1987 and 1988 occurred during a period of near normal wetness. Recent baseline hydrologic monitoring conducted in 2005 and 2006 occurred during a period of moderate to severe wetness, with 2005 being wetter than 2006.

INCORPORATED

724.412 Wind direction and velocity

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Wind data have been collected at the Coal Hollow Project weather station since December 2005. Monthly wind data from the Coal Hollow Project weather station are available from January 2006 through March 2006, and from November 2006 through May 2007. Monthly wind data are plotted as wind rose diagrams, which depict the

average direction and velocity of prevailing winds, in Appendix 7-1. Based on recent data from the Coal Hollow Project weather station, it is apparent that the predominant wind direction in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area (during the months for which data are available) are from the northeast, with secondary peaks from the north and south-southwest (Appendix 7-1). Surface winds recorded at the Coal Hollow Project weather station averaged about 6.4 miles per hour. Tabulated hourly wind data from the Coal Hollow Project weather station are maintained on file at Alton Coal Development, LLC.

Wind data have also been collected historically at nearby locations by governmental and other entities. The regionally predominant direction of winds in the region is southwest through west. Secondary peaks are from southeast and northwest. Surface winds in the area average approximately 8 miles per hour. Higher wind speeds are associated with fronts and storms and generally occur during the springtime.

724.413 Seasonal temperature ranges

Temperature data from the region are summarized in Table 7-3. Temperatures in the permit area vary greatly. Temperature data from the Alton station (1928-2005) indicate that monthly average low temperatures are below freezing for the 6-month period from November to April. Monthly average minimum temperatures range from a low of 15.1 °F during January to a high of 49.8 °F in July. Monthly average maximum temperatures range from a low of 39.5 °F in January to a high of 82.6 °F in July. Daily maximum and minimum temperature data collected at the Coal Hollow Project weather station during 2006 and the first quarter of 2007 are presented in Appendix 7-6 and plotted in Drawing 7-8. The maximum temperature recorded during this period was 93.3 °F in July 2006. The minimum temperature recorded during this period was -7.3 °F in January 2007.

724.500 Supplemental Information

Other than the possible short-term diminution in discharge rates from alluvial groundwater systems, including the potential short-term diminution of discharge rates from some springs and seeps in Sink Valley, adverse impacts to the hydrologic balance, either on or off the permit area are not expected to occur. It is not anticipated that acid- and toxic-forming materials will cause significant contamination of groundwater or surface-water supplies. Any discharges of mine waters to surface-water systems will be regulated under and meet the criteria of a UPDES discharge permit. The mining and reclamation plan has been designed to minimize the potential for disturbance or disruption of the hydrologic balance and to protect groundwater and surface-water resources in the area.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

If substantial alluvial groundwater inflows into mining areas occur as mining progresses in close proximity to alluvial springs and seeps in the eastern ¼ of Section 30, T39S, R5W and the northwest ¼ of Section 29, T39S, R5W or in close proximity to coarse-grained alluvial sediments in the artesian groundwater system along the eastern side of Sink Valley, Alton Coal Development, LLC will evaluate hydrogeologic conditions at the

time such may occur. It should be noted that very large discharges into mine workings are not anticipated based on the results of recent drilling and aquifer testing performed in these areas (see Appendix 7-1). Based on the hydrogeologic conditions encountered, where necessary Alton Coal Development, LLC will use a suitable technique to minimize groundwater inflow rates into the mine, which may include the use of bentonite or natural clay filled cutoff walls or other means where appropriate to protect groundwater resources up-gradient of mining activities. The potential for success of such protective measures in minimizing drainage of alluvial deposits up-gradient of proposed mining areas is believed to be good, given that the thickness of the alluvium in these areas is generally on the order of about 20 to 50 feet and these sediments are directly underlain by essentially impermeable Tropic Shale in proposed mining areas. It is important to note that while temporary impacts to groundwater discharge rates from alluvial springs and seeps could possibly occur, these impacts will likely be short-lived. This conclusion is based on the fact that individual mine pits in most instances will remain open for no more than about 60 to 120 days (measured from the time the mining of the pit is completed to the time the pit is backfilled). The variability in the time individual pits remain open is related to the thickness of overburden at the pit and the state of the overall spoil balance. It should be noted that these times could be somewhat greater if the mining production rate is less than the currently anticipated rate (in the event that contracts for the full 2 million tons of coal per year are not in place). However, the backfilling and rough grading requirements of R645-301.553 will be met (except where a variance to this regulation has been requested to assist with the transition to the adjacent federal coal reserves in the south pits area). After mine pits are backfilled and reclaimed, the potential for appreciable continued drainage of up-gradient alluvial groundwater through the backfilled pits in that area is low. When mining is complete in an area, seasonal recharge to alluvial groundwater systems will gradually replenish groundwater to the alluvial groundwater system. Large-scale dewatering of the alluvial groundwater system, such that appreciable compaction of the aquifer skeleton could occur, is not anticipated (see Appendix 7-1).

If diminution of discharge rates from seeps and springs does occur as a consequence of mining and reclamation activities, any lost water will be replaced according to all applicable Utah State laws and regulations using the water replacement source specified in R645-301-727. The quantity and quality of replacement water detailed in R645-301-727 will be suitable for the existing premining uses and approved postmining land uses.

It should be noted that the proposed Coal Hollow Mine plan calls for the temporary diversion of a reach of the Lower Robinson Creek stream channel approximately 2,000 feet in length in the southeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 19, T39S, R5W. Details of the proposed diversion are given in Chapter 5, Section 527.220 of this MRP. If this action results in diminution of groundwater or surface-water resources, where required a suitable mitigation for this potential impact will be designed and implemented in consultation with the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

If excess groundwater were to be encountered during mining operations such that it could not be adequately managed or discharged in compliance with the Utah UPDES discharge

permit (which is considered unlikely), Alton Coal Development, LLC may when necessary and with the approval of the Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining construct supplemental containment and settlement ponds in which mine discharge waters may be held for treatment (where necessary) and subsequent discharge through UPDES discharge points in compliance with the UPDES discharge permit.

724.700 Alluvial Valley Floor Determination

A field investigation has been performed in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area to provide to the Division the information required to make an evaluation regarding the existence of a probable alluvial valley floor in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area. The results of this field investigation and related information is provided in Appendix 7-1. Additional information regarding potential alluvial valley floors in the area is provided in Appendix 7-7.

A report detailing the findings of a previous field investigation performed by Water Engineering & Technology, Inc., entitled "Geomorphological and sedimentological characteristics of Sink Valley, Kane County, Utah" is included as Appendix 7-4.

725 **BASELINE CUMULATIVE IMPACT AREA INFORMATION**

Appendix 7-1 contains the results of a comprehensive investigation of groundwater and surface-water systems in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area. Appendix 7-1 also includes information regarding the probable hydrologic consequences of coal mining in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area and recommendations for hydrologic monitoring. Appendix 7-1 also includes the results of a field investigation performed in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area to provide to the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining the information required to make an evaluation regarding the existence of a probable alluvial valley floor in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area. This Information together with the information submitted herein can be used to assess the probable cumulative hydrologic impacts of coal mining and reclamation operations in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area as required by R645-301-729.

R645-301-726 Modeling

No numerical models have been created for the permit area nor are any planned.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

This section provides information on the alternative water source that will be used to replace water from groundwaters or surface waters should they be impacted by mining and reclamation activities in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area. The alternative water source is a water production well planned for construction on private land leased by Alton Coal Development, LLC in the northwest quarter of Section 29, Township 39 South, Range 5 West. The planned location for the well, which is situated within the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area, is shown on Drawing 5-8C. The well will produce water from the alluvial groundwater system in Sink Valley in locations up-gradient of proposed mining operations. Based on aquifer testing performed in the alluvial groundwater system near the proposed water well (using the existing well Y-61 as a pump testing well), it is believed that adequate water can be produced from the new well to satisfy the potential water replacement needs of the mine. Details of the aquifer testing and information on the hydrogeologic characteristics of the Sink Valley alluvial groundwater system are presented in Appendix 7-1.

Water quality data from the Sink Valley alluvial groundwater system near the location of the proposed new water well have been collected from well Y-102 and have been submitted electronically to the Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining Utah Coal Mining Water Quality Database (UDOGM, 2007). It is anticipated that the quantity and quality of water produced from the new water production well will be suitable for the existing premining uses and approved postmining land uses.

It should be noted that the proposed water replacement well source will produce water from the coarse-grained alluvial groundwater system in Sink Valley. Nearby springs that could potentially be impacted by mining and reclamation activities are supported by the same alluvial groundwater system. However, while modest decreases in the artesian hydraulic pressures in the alluvial groundwater system could potentially result in diminution of spring flows, the planned new water well will likely be approximately 100 feet deep and will be equipped with an electric well pump giving it the capacity to produce groundwater from the alluvial system even if the hydraulic head in the area were to be diminished such that artesian flow conditions temporarily ceased to exist.

An analysis of the total average discharge of state appropriated groundwaters from the permit and adjacent area has been performed to determine whether the quantity of water that could likely be produced from the new water replacement well will be adequate for potential replacement needs. Based on baseline spring discharge data submitted to the Division (UDOGM, 2007), it is determined that the average discharge of all state appropriated groundwater from groundwater discharge area A (Drawing 7-3, Drawing 7-4) is approximately 35 gpm. The state appropriated waters in groundwater discharge Area A include most of the significant springs in the area and essentially all of the largest springs in the area (Drawing 7-3; Appendix 7-3). The average discharge of all state appropriated groundwater from groundwater discharge area B (Drawing 7-4) is approximately 17 gpm. Using an unlikely worst-case scenario and assuming that all springs with state appropriated waters in both Areas A and B were to cease flowing, a

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Utah Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

total replacement of approximately 52 gpm would be required. The proposed new water well located in Section 29, Township 39 South, Range 5 West will be designed to produce water at that quantity and, therefore, should be able to provide adequate replacement water in even this worst-case scenario (which is not considered likely). Aquifer analysis described in Appendix 7-1 suggests that the yield of the alluvial groundwater system in which the new water well will be constructed should be capable of sustaining discharges of the required magnitude and for the lengths of time that the need for replacement water would be likely. It should be noted that if the need arises to provide replacement water for impacted state appropriated waters, the duration of the need will likely be of a relatively short duration (see Section 728 below).

Alton Coal Development, LLC has entered into a written agreement with the town of Alton, Utah to transfer the point of diversion for 50 acre-feet of water for use at the Coal Hollow Mine. A copy of this agreement is included in Appendix 7-8 (in confidential binder). This water will be available for all uses at the mine including potential use for water replacement. The planned new water well will be constructed on lands currently leased by Alton Coal Development, LLC. Consequently, no new landowner access agreement will be required for the drilling of the well.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

728 PROBABLE HYDROLOGIC CONSEQUENCES (PHC) DETERMINATION

This section describes the probable hydrologic consequences of surface coal mining in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area. This determination is based on data presented herein and on information provided in Appendix 7-1. This mining and reclamation plan has been designed to minimize potential adverse impacts to the hydrologic balance. It should be noted that this PHC and also Appendix 7-1 may be updated periodically as required as additional hydrogeologic information and mining data become available in the future.

728.310 Potential adverse impacts to the hydrologic balance

Other than the possible short-term diminution in discharge rates from alluvial groundwater systems, including the potential short-term diminution of discharge rates from some springs and seeps in Sink Valley, appreciable adverse impacts to the hydrologic balance, either on or off the permit area are not expected to occur. The basis for this determination is discussed below.

As discussed in Section 721 above, minimal groundwater resources exist in the Tropic Shale, which directly overlies the coal reserves in proposed mining areas. Groundwater in the Tropic Shale does not provide measurable baseflow discharge to streams in the area. The lack of appreciable groundwater flow in the Tropic Shale is a result of the poor water transmitting properties of the marine shale unit. Consequently, it is anticipated that little groundwater will be encountered in the Tropic Shale in mining areas. Thus, the potential for adverse impacts to the hydrologic balance resulting from mining through the Tropic Shale in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area is minimal.

Similarly, as described in Section 722 above, groundwater resources in the Dakota Formation underlying the coal seam to be mined are not appreciable. This condition is fundamentally a result of the heterogeneity of the rock strata in the Dakota Formation which impedes the ability of the formation to transmit groundwaters significant distances vertically or horizontally. The presence of the essentially impermeable Tropic Shale on top of the Dakota Formation also minimizes the potential for vertical recharge to the Dakota Formation. Mining operations will remove the overlying Tropic Shale rock strata from the Dakota Formation in addition to the Smirl coal seam deposit at the top of the Dakota Formation in mined areas. However, because the pre-mining hydraulic communication between the Tropic Shale and the underlying Dakota Formation in planned mining areas is believed to be minimal, the removal of the Tropic Shale overburden and Smirl coal seam from the Dakota Formation, followed by the rapid backfilling of pit areas with low-permeability fill materials should not result in adverse impacts to the hydrologic balance in the Dakota Formation (i.e., the post-mining degree of hydraulic communication between the Dakota Formation and the overlying low-permeability backfill material will be similar to that of the pre-mined condition).

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

It should be noted that the first water-bearing strata underlying the coal seam to be mined in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area from which appreciable quantities of groundwater can be produced is the Navajo Sandstone. The Navajo Sandstone aquifer is of regional significance in that it provides groundwater of good quality to domestic, agricultural, and municipal wells regionally and provides baseflow to springs and streams. The Navajo Sandstone does not crop out in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area. The formation is effectively isolated from proposed mining areas by more than 1,000 feet of rock strata of the Dakota and Carmel Formations (which includes large thicknesses of low-permeability shales and siltstones). The Navajo Sandstone aquifer will not be impacted by proposed mining operations. It should be noted that some previously proposed mining operations in the Alton Coal Field have proposed drilling and pumping of large amounts of groundwater from high-capacity production wells in the Navajo Sandstone aquifer for operational use. No such wells are planned in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area.

Of primary importance to the hydrologic balance in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area are alluvial groundwater systems. As discussed in Section 722 and in Appendix 7-1, alluvial groundwater systems in the area support springs, seeps, diffuse groundwater discharge, and a limited number of wells. The bulk of the alluvial groundwater flux through the area occurs in alluvial sediments that include coarse-grained and finer-grained sediments near the eastern margins of Sink Valley, east of the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area. Lesser quantities of alluvial groundwater migrate through finer-grained alluvial sediments (predominantly clays, silts, and sands) in the western portions of Sink Valley and in the Lower Robinson Creek drainage within the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area. Discharges from alluvial groundwater systems in Sink Valley do not contribute measurable quantities of baseflow to streams (at least at the surface in the stream channel). Alluvial groundwater systems in the Lower Robinson Creek area are much less extensive than the alluvial groundwater systems in Sink Valley. Other than the emergence of small quantities of alluvial groundwater from the stream banks where the stream channel intersects the alluvial groundwater system, discharge from the alluvial groundwater system as springs or seeps in Lower Robinson Creek is generally not observed. Perched groundwater conditions exist locally in the alluvial groundwater system in the Lower Robinson Creek drainage.

In the general sense, surface coal mining activities in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area have the potential to impact groundwater systems primarily through three mechanisms:

- 1) Where water-bearing strata in proposed mining areas are mined through, groundwater systems within these strata will obviously be directly intercepted,
- 2) Where groundwater flow paths through mine openings are interrupted, groundwater flow in down-gradient areas could be diminished, and
- 3) Where mine openings intercept permeable strata, groundwater resources in up-gradient areas could potentially be diminished if appreciable quantities of groundwater were to be drained from up-gradient areas.

The potential for the occurrence of each of these potential impacts are described in the following.

Direct Interception of Groundwater Resources

As discussed above, groundwater resources in the relatively impermeable Tropic Shale in the proposed permit area are meager. Consequently, it is improbable that direct interception of appreciable groundwater in the Tropic Shale will occur. Additionally, because Tropic Shale groundwater systems generally do not support discharges to springs or provide baseflow to streams, the potential interception of limited quantities of groundwater in the Tropic Shale will not adversely impact the hydrologic balance. Similarly, groundwater resources in the Dakota Formation (including within the Smirl coal seam) are meager. While the Smirl coal seam will be extracted through mining operations, the underlying strata of the Dakota Formation will not be disturbed. Consequently, adverse impacts to groundwater systems in the Dakota Formation through direct interception of groundwater resources are not anticipated.

Alluvial groundwater systems in planned mining areas in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area will be directly intercepted by the mine openings. It is not anticipated that the direct interception of shallow alluvial groundwater will adversely impact the overall hydrologic balance in the region. This is because no substantial springs, seeps or other important groundwater resources have been identified in proposed mine pit areas (Drawing 7-1). In the pre-mining condition, any diffuse groundwater discharge to the ground surface that occurs is primarily lost to evapotranspiration and does not contribute appreciably to the overall hydrologic balance in the area.

Because of the prevailing low-permeabilities of the alluvial sediments within the proposed mine disturbance area, it is unlikely that the direct mining of the alluvial groundwater system within these areas could cause impacts to subirrigation and soil moisture contents in up-gradient areas.

It is considered likely that the average hydraulic conductivity of the placed run-of-mine backfill material will be low. This is because of the pervasiveness of low-permeability, clay-rich materials in the mine overburden and the anisotropic nature of the placed fill material. Consequently, the potential for the migration of appreciable quantities of groundwater through the fill is considered low. However, to minimize the potential for long-term impacts to the alluvial groundwater system in Sink Valley up-gradient of mining areas that could occur as a result of the long-term draining of alluvial groundwater into the pit backfill area, a permanent, engineered low-permeability barrier will be emplaced adjacent to the undisturbed alluvial sediments along the eastern edge of the pit 15 disturbance area. Information and design details for this low-permeability barrier are provided in Appendix 7-10. Accordingly, the potential for impacts to subirrigation and soil moisture in the lands up-gradient of mining areas will be minimized by both the placement of the low-permeability backfill, and the emplacement of the low-permeability engineered barrier adjacent to Pit 15.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

The potential for short-term impacts to subirrigation and soil moisture in the lands up-gradient of proposed mining areas will be minimized through the implementation of the hydrology resource contingency plan described in Appendix 7-9.

Diminution of down-gradient groundwater resources

Where groundwater flow paths that convey groundwater to down-gradient areas exist in areas that will be mined, there is the potential that diminution of down-gradient groundwater resources could occur. In the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area, it is considered unlikely that appreciable diminution of down-gradient resources will occur as a result of mining and reclamation activities. The basis of this conclusion is presented below.

Groundwater resources in the Tropic Shale are meager and groundwater flow rates are very slow through the marine shale unit. Groundwater systems in the Tropic Shale do not support appreciable spring or seep discharge nor do they provide measurable baseflow to streams down-gradient of mining areas. Consequently, the potential for adverse impacts to the hydrologic balance as a result of mining through Tropic Shale is considered minimal.

Similarly, groundwater resources in the Dakota Formation are meager. The potential for lateral and vertical migration of groundwater through the formation is limited by the pervasiveness of low-permeability shaley strata in the formation and the lateral discontinuity of permeable strata. Groundwater systems in the Dakota Formation do not support appreciable spring or seep discharge nor do they provide measurable baseflow to streams down gradient of mining areas. Additionally, with the exception of the relatively low-permeability Smirl coal seam located at the top of the formation, groundwater systems in Dakota Formation rock strata below the coal seam will not be disturbed by mining and reclamation activities. Consequently, the potential for adverse impacts to the hydrologic balance as a result of mining through Dakota Formation strata is considered minimal. It should be noted that spring SP-4 discharges at about 1 gpm approximately 1.1 miles south of the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area from an apparent fault/fracture system in the Dakota Formation that may be related to the Sink Valley Fault. It is unlikely that appreciable migration of groundwater through the Sink Valley Fault system in the relatively impermeable Tropic Shale or shallow alluvium in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area occurs. Consequently, it is considered unlikely that mining and reclamation activities in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area will cause a diminution of discharge from spring SP-4.

Alluvial groundwater systems in proposed mining areas are supported primarily by clays, silts, and fine-grained sands. In proposed mining areas in Sink Valley, appreciable coarse-grained alluvial sediments were not encountered in drill holes or back-hoe excavations. Significant layers of clean coarse alluvium, which could rapidly convey significant amounts of groundwater, were likewise not observed. The results of slug testing performed on wells in and adjacent to proposed mining areas likewise suggest that the potential for rapid migration of groundwaters through alluvial sediments in proposed

mining areas is low (Tables 7-8 and 7-9). These data and observations suggest that the flux of groundwater migrating through the alluvial sediments in proposed mining areas in Sink Valley (that could support down-gradient groundwater systems) is not large. Much of the groundwater migrating through the alluvial sediments in proposed mining areas (in the East ¼ of Section 30, T39S, R5W) likely leaves the groundwater system through diffuse discharge to the land surface and is lost evapotranspiration and does not contribute to the overall hydrologic balance in the area. In Sink Valley, a preferential pathway for alluvial groundwaters through deep coarse-grained alluvial sediments likely exists along the east side of Sink Valley. While the thickness of the alluvium in proposed mining areas in Sink Valley generally does not exceed 50 feet (and in many locations is much less), the alluvial sediments along the eastern side of Sink Valley adjacent to proposed mining areas range from about 120 to 140 feet. Of the total flux of groundwater through the alluvial groundwater systems in Sink Valley, most of the flux is likely through this coarse-grained portion of the system. The percentage of the total flux that migrates through clayey and silty alluvial sediments in proposed mining areas along the western flanks of Sink Valley is likely much less.

It should be noted that highly permeable strata were encountered from about 60 to 75 feet depth just above the bedrock interface at the SS well cluster (monitoring well SS-75; Table 7-2). This well is screened in an area of burned or eroded coal (the coal is absent) and consequently, mining will not occur at this location. The coal seam is present at the nearby C9 cluster area. Were mining operations to intercept this highly permeable zone, substantial groundwater inflows into the mine openings could occur. Consequently, prior to surface mining in this area, the boundary between the competent coal seam and the area of burned or eroded coal will be more precisely defined by drilling or other suitable techniques such that mine openings can be designed to avoid these areas of potentially large groundwater inflows.

As discussed in Section 722 above, alluvial groundwater from Sink Valley discharges to several springs and seeps and as diffuse discharge to the ground surface in the northwest ¼ of Section 32, T39S, R5W (see Drawing 7-4; groundwater discharge area B). This groundwater discharge is likely a result of the constriction in Sink Valley in this area and the corresponding decrease in the cross-sectional area of the alluvial sediments in the valley, which forces groundwater to discharge at the surface. Most of the groundwater discharge in this area is likely derived from the up-gradient alluvial groundwater systems in the eastern portion of the valley (i.e., the coarse-grained portion of the alluvial groundwater system), which is situated east of the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area. This conclusion is based on 1) the substantially larger cross-sectional area of the alluvium in the deeper eastern portion of the valley relative to that in proposed mining areas near the western margins of the valley, 2) the higher hydraulic conductivity of the sediments in the coarse-grained part of the alluvial system, and 3) the lack of other apparent discharge mechanisms for the coarse-grained system further downstream in Sink Valley Wash (i.e., there are no significant alluvial springs or seeps further downstream in Sink Valley Wash and the system apparently does not contribute measurable baseflow to Sink Valley Wash further downstream (at least at the surface in the stream channel, as evidenced by the lack of baseflow in the wash monitored at SW-9).

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Because most of the alluvial groundwater discharge supporting springs and seeps in this area is likely not derived from groundwater systems that underlie planned mining areas in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area, it is considered unlikely that discharges from the springs and seeps in northwest ¼ of Section 32 T39S, R5W will be appreciably diminished as a result of the proposed mining and reclamation activities. While considered unlikely, some temporary impacts to discharge rates from springs and seeps in this area are possible. In particular, it should be noted that mining in the southernmost portions of the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area has a somewhat greater potential to decrease groundwater discharge rates at spring SP-6, which is located about 600 feet below the southernmost proposed mining areas (Drawing 7-2). SP-6 is an alluvial seep which has been impounded with an earthen dam from which measurable discharge is generally not present.

It is critical to note that individual mine pits in this area will remain open for short lengths of time, generally no more than about 60 to 120 days (measured from the time the mining of the pit is completed to the time the pit is backfilled). Mining operations in the vicinity near the alluvial groundwater discharge area in the northwest ¼ of Section 32 T39S, R5W are planned to be completed in about 1 year. Thus, any potential impacts to discharge rates from down-gradient groundwater systems will be short-lived. Following the backfilling and reclamation of mine openings, the potential for interception or re-routing of alluvial groundwater away from the groundwater discharge area in northwest ¼ of Section 32 T39S, R5W will be negligible. As stated above, most of the flux through the Sink Valley alluvial groundwater system that supports springs and seeps in the area occurs in the eastern portion of the valley, which will not be impacted by mining and reclamation activities. Consequently, long-term impacts to discharge rates from springs and seeps in this area are not anticipated. It should also be noted that if increased quantities of groundwater were to be encountered in mine workings in lower Sink Valley such that the water would need to be discharged to surface drainages, the mine water will ultimately be discharged to the Sink Valley Wash drainage (i.e., the water will remain in its drainage basin).

Alluvial groundwater systems in the Lower Robinson Creek area are much less extensive than the alluvial groundwater system in Sink Valley. Perched groundwater conditions exist locally in the alluvial groundwater system in the Lower Robinson Creek drainage. Other than the re-emergence of alluvial groundwater flowing beneath the Lower Robinson Creek stream channel where the stream channel exists directly on bedrock substrate, discharges from the alluvial groundwater system as springs or seeps in Lower Robinson Creek are not observed. Consequently, mining operations in the Lower Robinson Creek drainage will likely not result in diminution of down-gradient groundwater resources.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

It should be noted that the proposed Coal Hollow Mine plan calls for the temporary diversion of a reach of the Lower Robinson Creek stream channel approximately 2,000 feet in length in the southeast ¼ of Section 19, T39S, R5W. Details of the proposed diversion are given in Chapter 5, Section 527.220 of this MRP. If this action results in

diminution of groundwater or surface-water resources, where required a suitable mitigation for this potential impact will be designed and implemented in consultation with the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

If any Utah State appropriated water rights are impacted by mining and reclamation operations in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine, these will be replaced according to all applicable Utah State laws and regulations using the designated water replacement source described in Section 727 above.

Draining of up-gradient groundwater resources

Where surface mining occurs adjacent to up-gradient groundwater systems, there is a potential that draining of groundwater from the up-gradient groundwater system into the mine voids could occur. This condition could occur if a sufficiently large and permeable stratum were to be intercepted that is in good hydraulic communication with the up-gradient groundwater system through which appreciable quantities of water could be transmitted.

To more fully evaluate the potential for draining of up-gradient groundwater resources, a field investigation was performed during the winter of 2006-2007 that was designed to facilitate the characterization of the alluvial groundwater system in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area. Specifically, this program was designed 1) to better define the vertical and lateral extent of permeable, coarse-grained sediments in the alluvial groundwater system, 2) to characterize the water bearing and water transmitting properties of alluvial sediments, and 3) to evaluate the degree of hydraulic communication between the coarse-grained portion of the alluvial system in Sink Valley and the clayey alluvial sediments in proposed mining areas.

This field investigation included 1) the drilling and installation of 30 monitoring wells, 2) the performance of a 28-hour pumping and recovery test on the alluvial testing production well Y-61 (which is a 6.625-inch well constructed in 1980 as part of a previous coal mining application for groundwater pumping for alluvial aquifer testing) with contemporaneous measuring of water levels in the monitoring well network and contemporaneous measuring of spring discharge rates at three alluvial springs, and 3) the slug testing of 20 monitoring wells to determine approximate values of hydraulic conductivity. The results of the field investigation including analysis of the data collected in the investigation are presented in Appendix 7-1 and are summarized below.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Other than occasional pebbles or small rocks, coarse-grained sediments (i.e., gravels and coarse sands) were not encountered in the drilling of wells along the eastern margins of proposed mining areas in Sink Valley (C1, C2, C3, and C4 well clusters). (It should be noted that the C2 well cluster is located west of the eastern limit of the mine disturbance. The mine openings will intercept the C2 well cluster and the area to the east to locations west of well Y-102). Rather, the sediments encountered in the drilling of these wells were dominated by clays and silts with subordinate amounts of fine-grained sand. Similarly, coarse-grained deposits were not encountered in well clusters C6, C7, C8, and

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

C9. There was no indication during drilling of any appreciable thickness of highly permeable strata through which groundwater could rapidly be transmitted (although it should be noted that the presence of thin sand layers are difficult to identify in wet auger drilling returns). Similarly, appreciable amounts of high-permeability coarse-grained alluvial sediments were not noted in alluvial sediments investigated in backhoe excavated pits and erosional escarpments in Sink Valley.

The hydraulic heads measured in alluvial monitoring wells near proposed mining areas in Sink Valley (C2, C3, C4, C7, C8, and C9) did not indicate artesian pressures. Rather, marked upward or downward vertical hydraulic gradients were not observed in any of these areas and water levels were consistently within several feet of the ground surface.

The results of pump testing in the alluvial groundwater system demonstrate that the springs in the northwest ¼ of Section 29, T39S, R5W are in direct hydraulic communication with the coarse-grained alluvial groundwater system in which the pumping well Y-61 is screened. Discharge rates (or water levels at Sorensen Spring) measured at each of the four springs (SP-8, SP-14, SP-20, and Sorensen spring) monitored during the 28-hour pumping test responded to pumping at the well. Monitoring wells at clusters C2, C3, and C4 near the easternmost proposed mining areas also showed small, muted responses, with declines measured in water levels during the 28-hour test ranging from about 0.05 to 0.10 feet. Other monitoring wells in proposed mining areas did not respond measurably to pumping at Y-61. It should be noted that after the pumping well was turned off at the end of the 28-hour pumping test, spring discharge rates and water levels in alluvial monitoring wells recovered to approximate pre-testing levels.

The results of slug testing of wells in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine and adjacent area are presented in Table 7-8. Using these hydraulic conductivity values together with measured thicknesses of saturated alluvial sediments determined during drilling, and hydraulic gradient values determined from water levels measured in monitoring wells, rates of estimated groundwater inflows to mine openings have been calculated using Darcy's Law (Table 7-9).

Darcy's Law may be expressed as.

$$Q = KIA$$

Where	Q	=	groundwater discharge rate
	K	=	hydraulic conductivity
	I	=	hydraulic gradient
	A	=	cross-sectional area

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

The values listed in Table 7-9 are reported as inflow rates per 100 lineal feet of mine openings oriented perpendicular to the groundwater flow direction. Calculations at individual locations are adjusted for the thickness of the saturated alluvium at that location. For all calculations in Table 7-9, a gradient of 0.10 has been used, which is

considered a conservative estimate for the alluvial groundwater system in the vicinity of the planned Coal Hollow Mine workings. It is important to note that while values for saturated aquifer thickness and local hydraulic gradient in the alluvial groundwater system can be determined relatively precisely, hydraulic conductivity values determined from slug testing methods are generally considered as order-of-magnitude estimates. Consequently, the information from Table 7-9 should be used for general purposes only. The estimated groundwater inflow rates presented in Table 7-9 suggest that copious, unmanageable amounts of alluvial groundwater will likely not be encountered. It should be noted, however, that alluvial sediments located east of the C2 well cluster may contain coarser grained sediments similar to those intercepted in well Y-102. Special mining protocols will be employed (See Appendix 7-9) when mining in this area (pit15; see Section 728.333) to minimize the potential for interception of large groundwater inflows.

As described in Appendix 7-11, Table 7-9 has been updated to reflect the current pit mine-inflow conditions in the Pit #2 and adjacent areas.

As surface mining operations advance toward the alluvial groundwater discharge area in the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 29, T39S, R5W (See Drawing 7-4; groundwater discharge area A), the information in Table 7-9 suggests that groundwater inflow rates in this area will be modest, generally on the order of a few tens of gallons per minute or less per 100 lineal feet of mine opening. However, it should be noted that, as discussed above, if mine openings in this area were to intersect a substantial thickness of coarse-grained alluvial material that was in good hydraulic communication with the coarse-grained alluvial system located along the eastern margins of Sink Valley, substantially greater rates of groundwater inflow could occur. Based on the information in Tables 7-8 and 7-9, this is not considered likely.

As mining operations advance toward the alluvial groundwater discharge area in the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 29, T39S, R5W (See Drawing 7-4; groundwater discharge area A) and groundwater discharge from up-gradient alluvial groundwater systems occurs, there is the potential that discharge rates from alluvial springs in this area could be diminished. The magnitude of this potential impact will be largely dependent on the drainage rate and volume of groundwater that may be drained from the up-gradient alluvial groundwater system.

The potential for diminution of discharge from alluvial springs near proposed mining areas near the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 29, T39S, R5W will be minimized because:

- 1) As mining progresses toward the groundwater discharge area in the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 29, T39S, R5W (see Drawing 7-4, groundwater discharge area A), groundwater inflows into mine openings and discharge rates from the nearby alluvial springs will be closely monitored. If groundwater inflow rates into mine openings are excessive, where necessary Alton Coal Development, LLC will use a suitable technique to minimize groundwater inflow rates into the mine. These techniques may include the use of bentonite or natural clay filled cutoff walls or

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012

other means where appropriate to isolate and protect groundwater resources up-gradient of mining activities, and

- 2) Individual mine pits in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine will remain open for short lengths of time, generally no more than about 60 to 120 days (measured from the time the mining of the pit is completed to the time the pit is backfilled). Consequently, any potential impacts to spring discharge rates in the alluvial groundwater system in this area will likely be short-lived. Because the alluvial groundwater recharge areas are located well up-gradient of proposed mining areas (mountain-front recharge) and will not be impacted, recharge to the alluvial system should continue uninterrupted, it is anticipated that water levels in the artesian groundwater system should recover from any mining-related declines in hydraulic head subsequent to the completion of mining in the area.

Groundwater discharge from the springs in the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 29, T39S, R5W (See Drawing 7-4; groundwater discharge area A) do not contribute any measurable baseflow discharge to streams in the area. This conclusion is based on the lack of any baseflow discharge in streams down-gradient of this area in Sink Valley (see monitoring data for SW-6 and SW-9). Rather, most of this discharge is likely ultimately lost to evapotranspiration as the water migrates across the low-permeability, near-surface clayey sediments in Sink Valley. Consequently, the potential temporary diminution of discharge from alluvial springs in the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 29, T39S, R5W would not result in appreciable adverse impacts to the surrounding hydrologic balance.

It is considered likely that the average hydraulic conductivity of the placed run-of-mine backfill material will be low. This is because of the pervasiveness of low-permeability, clay-rich materials in the mine overburden and the anisotropic nature of the placed fill material. Consequently, the potential for the migration of appreciable quantities of groundwater through the fill is considered low. However, to minimize the potential for long-term impacts to the alluvial groundwater system in Sink Valley up-gradient of mining areas that could occur as a result of the long-term draining of alluvial groundwater into the pit backfill area, a permanent, engineered low-permeability barrier will be emplaced adjacent to the undisturbed alluvial sediments along the eastern edge of the pit 15 disturbance area. Information and design details for this low-permeability barrier are provided in Appendix 7-10. An evaluation of the permanent barrier for pit 15 has been performed by Mr. Alan O. Taylor of Taylor Geo-Engineering, LLC.

Information in the Taylor Geo-Engineering report indicates that the 50-foot wide barrier will prevent any appreciable drainage of alluvial groundwater from the coarse-grained alluvial groundwater system centered east of the permit area into the backfilled pit areas. Laboratory analysis of the Tropic Shale material from which the barrier will be constructed indicates that the compacted shale material will perform adequately to successfully contain the alluvial groundwater. Using this technique, the pit areas will be reclaimed to restore the approximate pre-existing groundwater levels in Sink Valley.

Accordingly, the potential for impacts to subirrigation and soil moisture in the lands up-gradient of mining areas will be minimized by both the placement of the low-permeability

backfill, and the emplacement of the low-permeability engineered barrier adjacent to Pit 15.

The potential for short-term impacts to subirrigation and soil moisture in the lands up-gradient of proposed mining areas will be minimized through the implementation of the hydrology resource contingency plan described in Appendix 7-9.

The Coal Hollow Mine has designed a plan to divert upgradient alluvial groundwater through an alluvial groundwater interceptor drain system. This plan is designed to minimize the potential for the interception of alluvial groundwater in the mine pit areas and to protect alluvial groundwater quality. The details of this plan are described in the Coal Hollow Mine Alluvial Groundwater Management Plan, which is presented in Appendix 7-9.

If any Utah State appropriated water rights are impacted by mining and reclamation operations in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine, these will be replaced according to all applicable Utah State laws and regulations using the designated water replacement source described in Section 727 above.

728.320 Presence of acid-forming or toxic-forming materials

Chemical information on the acid- and toxic-forming potential of earth materials naturally present in the proposed permit area are presented in Appendix 6-2. Chemical information on the low-sulfur Smirl coal seam proposed for mining is presented in Appendix 6-1 (confidential binder). Based on laboratory analytical data, it is apparent that acid-forming and toxic-forming materials that could result in the contamination of surface-water or groundwater supplies in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area are generally not present.

Total selenium (with a 5 mg/kg laboratory lower detection limit) was not detected in any of the samples from the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area. Water-extractable selenium concentrations were also generally low (see Section 728.332 below). Likewise, concentrations of water-extractable boron were also low, being less than 3 mg/kg in all samples analyzed. The pH of groundwaters in and around the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area are moderately alkaline (UDOGM, 2007). Data in Appendix 6-2 likewise indicate moderately alkaline conditions in sediments in the proposed permit area. The solubility of dissolved trace metals is usually limited in waters with alkaline pH conditions. Consequently, high concentrations of these metal constituents in groundwaters and surface waters with elevated pH levels are not anticipated. Additionally, most of the materials that will be handled as part of mining and reclamation activities in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine area are of low hydraulic conductivity (i.e. clays, silts, shales, siltstones, claystones, etc.). Consequently, it is anticipated that groundwater seepage volumes through low-permeability backfill and reclaimed land surfaces in reclaimed mine pit areas and excess spoils storage areas will not be large. Additionally, reclaimed areas will be regraded, sloped, and otherwise managed to minimize the potential for land erosion, to restore approximate surface-water

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

drainage patterns, and also to minimize the potential for ponding of surface waters on reclaimed areas (other than “roughening” or “gouging” of some areas to enhance reclamation). Thus, the potential for interactions between large amounts of disturbed earth materials and groundwaters and surface waters, which could result in leaching of chemical constituents into groundwater and surface-water resources, will be minimized.

Additionally, the mining plan calls for the emplacement of 40 inches of suitable cover material over backfilled areas made up of material types which could appreciably impact vegetation (materials with elevated SAR ratios or other physical or chemical characteristics that could adversely impact vegetation).

The neutralization potential greatly exceeded the acid potential in all overburden and underburden samples analyzed, with the neutralization potential commonly exceeding the acid potential by many times, suggesting that acid-mine-drainage will not be a concern at the proposed Coal Hollow Mine (see Section 728.332 below for a further discussion) Acid-forming materials in western coal mine environments often consist of sulfide minerals, commonly including pyrite and marcasite, which, when exposed to air and water, are oxidized causing the liberation of H^+ ions (acid) into the water. Oxidation of sulfide minerals may occur in limited amounts in the mine pits where oxygenated water encounters sulfide minerals. However, the acid produced by pyrite oxidation is quickly consumed by dissolution of abundant, naturally occurring carbonate minerals (Appendix 6-2). Dissolved iron is readily precipitated as iron-hydroxide in well aerated waters, and consequently excess iron is not anticipated in mine discharge water.

Other acid-forming materials or toxic-forming materials have not been identified in significant concentrations nor are such suspected to exist in materials to be disturbed by mining.

Because of the overall low-permeability of the rock strata and sediments surrounding the mine workings (primarily the shales and claystones of the lower Tropic Shale), the potential for seepage of mine water outward into adjacent stratigraphic horizons is low. Additionally, because the floors of the mine pits need to be accessible in order to extract the coal, the mining operations will be carried out in such a manner that the accumulation of large amounts of water in the mine pits will be avoided.

728.331 Sediment yield from the disturbed area.

Erosion from disturbed areas will be minimized through the use of silt fences and other sediment control devices. Surface runoff occurring on disturbed areas will be collected and treated as necessary to remove suspended matter. Four diversion ditches along with four sediment impoundments are proposed for the permit area. In addition, miscellaneous controls such as silt fence and berms are also proposed for specific areas. The proposed locations for these structures are shown on Drawing 5-3. Details associated with these structures can be viewed on Drawings 5-25 through 5-34 and Appendix 5-2.

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

The smallest practicable area, consistent with reasonable and safe mine operational practices will be disturbed at any one time during the mining operation and reclamation phases. This will be accomplished through progressive backfilling, grading, and prompt revegetation of disturbed areas. The backfilled material will be stabilized by grading to promote a reduction of the rate and volume of runoff in accordance with the applicable requirements. The excess spoil and fill above approximate original contour will be graded to a maximum 3h:1v slope and revegetated to minimize erosion.

Cut ditches will be established on the shoulders of all primary roads to control drainage and erosion. Cut and fill slopes along the primary roads will be minimal and are not expected to cause significant erosion. In locations where there are culvert crossings (i.e. Lower Robinson Creek), the fills slopes will be stabilized by utilizing standard methods such as grass matting or straw wattles. The location and details for roads can be viewed on Drawings 5-3 and 5-22 through 5-24.

Through the implementation of these sediment control measures, it is anticipated that sediment yield from disturbed areas in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area will be minimized.

728.332 Impacts to important water quality parameters

As discussed above, appreciable quantities of groundwater are not anticipated to be intercepted in the Tropic Shale overlying proposed mining areas. Consequently, discharge of Tropic Shale groundwaters from mining areas is not anticipated. Because of the very low hydraulic conductivity of the marine Tropic Shale unit which immediately overlies the coal in proposed mining areas, the lateral migration of appreciable amounts of groundwater outward from proposed mine pit areas is not anticipated. Therefore, no impacts to important water quality parameters in surrounding groundwater and surface-water resources that could result from the interception of Tropic Shale groundwaters are anticipated.

Similarly, appreciable quantities of groundwater are not expected to emanate from the Dakota Formation in the mine floor into the mine openings. This conclusion is based on the fact that 1) vertical and horizontal groundwater flow in the Dakota Formation is impeded by the presence of low-permeability shales that encase the interbedded lenticular sandstone strata in the formation (i.e., the formation is not a good aquifer), 2) appreciable natural discharge from the Dakota Formation in the surrounding area to springs or streams is not observed, supporting the conclusion that the natural flux of groundwater through the formation is meager, and 3) mining will commence near the truncated up-dip end of the formation, minimizing the potential for elevated hydraulic head in the Dakota Formation. The results of slug testing performed on wells screened in the Smirl coal seam indicate relatively low values of hydraulic conductivity for the coal seam (Table 7-8). In much of the proposed mining area, the coal seam is dry. Thus, large inflows of groundwater from the coal seam into mine workings are not anticipated. Likewise, the potential for seepage out of mine pits through the coal seam is minimal. Consequently, impacts to important water-quality parameters in the Dakota Formation potentially

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

resulting from mining operations are not anticipated, nor are impacts to important water-quality parameters in surrounding groundwater and surface-water systems anticipated as a result of interactions with intercepted Dakota Formation groundwater.

The water quality of groundwaters in the alluvial groundwater system up-gradient of mining operations will likely not be impacted by mining and reclamation activities in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine. Were alluvial groundwaters intercepted by mine openings allowed to flow into the mine pits, there would be the potential for substantially increased TDS concentrations as the water interacts with the marine Tropic Shale and the Smirl coal seam. This occurrence will be avoided.

As groundwater naturally migrates through the shallow, fine-grained alluvial sediments in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area (most evident in Sink Valley), the quality of the water is naturally degraded (see Appendix 7-1). In the distal portions of Sink Valley, most notably concentrations of magnesium, sulfate, and bicarbonate are elevated in the alluvial groundwater.

The potential for TDS increases associated with interaction of waters with the Tropic Shale can be minimized by avoiding contact where practical between water sources and earth materials containing soluble minerals. Where possible, groundwater that will be encountered in alluvial sediments along the margins of mine pit areas will be routed through pipes, ditches or other conveyance methods away from mining areas via gravity drainage so as to prevent or minimize the potential for interaction with sediments disturbed by mining operations (including contact with the mined coal seam). If diverted alluvial groundwater were allowed to interact extensively with the Tropic Shale bedrock or Tropic Shale-derived alluvial sediments, similar increases in magnesium, sulfate, bicarbonate, and TDS concentrations would be anticipated. Consequently, where intercepted groundwaters will be routed around disturbed areas through pipes or well-constructed and maintained ditches, it is anticipated that detrimental impacts to important water quality parameters in these waters will be minimal.

The pumping and discharging of mine water from mine pits at the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area is not anticipated. The impoundment of substantial quantities of water within the mine pits would likely result in degradation of groundwater quality and is also not compatible with the proposed surface mining technique (the coal extraction operations occur at the bottom of the mine pit and thus they cannot be performed in flooded mine pits). As discussed above, the only likely foreseeable source of appreciable quantities of groundwater is from the alluvial groundwater systems overlying the low-permeability Tropic Shale in proposed mining areas. Where this alluvial groundwater is encountered in mining areas, it will be diverted away from mine workings prior to significant interaction with sediments in disturbed areas. Any discharge from the mine pits that does occur will be regulated under a Utah UPDES discharge permit.

Acid mine drainage is not anticipated at the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area. This is due primarily to the relatively low sulfur content of the coal (see Appendix 7-1; Oil, Gas & Mining confidential binder) and rock strata in the permit and adjacent area, and to the

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

pervasiveness of carbonate minerals in the soil and rock strata which neutralize the acidity of the water if it occurs. If sulfide mineral oxidation and subsequent acid neutralization via carbonate dissolution were to occur, increases in TDS, calcium, magnesium, sulfate, and bicarbonate concentrations (and possibly also sodium concentrations via ion-exchange with calcium or magnesium on exchangeable clays) would be anticipated.

An analysis of the acid/base potential of samples collected from the overburden and underburden in the proposed mining area indicates that acid mine drainage will be unlikely to occur at the Coal Hollow Mine. The results of laboratory analysis of the acid/base potential of samples collected from the overburden, underburden, and Smirl coal zone are presented in Appendix 6-2. None of the overburden or underburden samples were acid forming, as each of the intervals sampled showed excess neutralization potential. Taken as a whole, the un-weighted composite average acid/base potential of the 57 overburden and underburden samples indicates a net neutralization potential of 174 tons per kiloton. The neutralization potential of the composite overburden/underburden (180 tons per kiloton) exceeds the acid potential (5.5 tons per kiloton) by more than 32 times. A general consensus opinion mentioned by the National Mine Land Reclamation Center (OSM, 1998) is that if the net acid/base potential exceeds 30 tons per kiloton, and the ratio of neutralization potential to acid potential exceeds two, then *alkaline* water will be generated and acid mine drainage will not occur. The acid/base characteristics of composite overburden and underburden in the Coal Hollow Mine area greatly exceed both of these two criteria, suggesting the strong likelihood that acid mine drainage will not be an issue at the Coal Hollow Mine.

Because of the net neutralization potential of the composite overburden/underburden in the Coal Hollow Mine area described above, the pH values of groundwater in fill areas will likely be neutral to alkaline. Accordingly, the solubility of dissolved trace metal species in the alkaline water will likely be low. Consequently, the potential for the mobilization and transport of trace metals in groundwater in the fill will likely also be low. Concentrations of total selenium, water extractable selenium, water extractable boron and other important chemical species in the overburden samples from the Coal Hollow Mine area are generally low. Water extractable selenium concentrations in the analyzed Dakota Formation underburden samples range from 0.05 to 0.2 mg/kg (see Appendix 6-2). Water extractable boron concentrations in the Dakota Formation underburden in a single location (CH-08; 6.5 mg/kg) marginally exceed the Division standard of 5 mg/kg. The limited quantities of material containing water extractable selenium and boron in these concentration ranges in backfill materials are not anticipated to result in appreciably elevated selenium or boron concentrations in groundwater or surface water supplies. Because the hydraulic conductivity of the composite run-of-mine backfill material (which will be rich with clays, silts, and shale) is expected to be low, the flux of groundwater that might migrate through the backfilled pit areas is likely to be low. Additionally, the reclaimed land surface will be graded to promote runoff of surface waters overlying backfilled areas, thus minimizing the potential for infiltration of surface waters into backfilled areas. Consequently, the potential for acid mine drainage or toxic

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

drainage from backfilled areas to surrounding groundwater and surface-water supplies will be minimized.

As outlined in the topsoil and subsoil sampling plan in Chapter 2 of this MRP, materials with poor quality SAR, elevated selenium or boron concentrations, or poor pH as defined by Division guidelines will not be placed in the upper four feet of the reclaimed surface. These materials will also not be placed in the backfill within the top four feet of ephemeral drainages with 100 year flood plains, or in the top four feet in surface water impoundments, or in the top four feet in intermittent or perennial drainages including 100 year flood plains as outlined in the Division guidelines. Materials placed in the top four feet will be sampled to ensure that only suitable materials are placed in the top four feet of the reclaimed surface.

It is noteworthy that in the neighboring state of Wyoming, a water extractable selenium standard of 0.3 mg/kg is considered suitable for topsoil and topsoil substitutes, with concentrations ranging from 0.3 to 0.8 mg/kg being considered marginally suitable for topsoil and topsoil substitute.

As is typical with coal seams regionally, laboratory analyses of coal samples from the Coal Hollow Mine area indicates that there is a net acid forming potential in the coals of the Smirl coal zone (see Appendix 6-2). However, the mining plans call for the mining and removal of 95% of the total coal seam thickness from mining areas, leaving only minor amounts of coal in backfilled areas. Consequently, the potential contribution to the overall acid/base potential of the composite backfill material would be small. Assuming a worst-case-scenario – that all the coal would be retained in the backfill material – the calculated acid/base potential of the composite backfill material is still well within the limits suggested by OSM (1998) to indicate that alkaline discharge without acid mine drainage would be likely.

As described in Chapter 5, Section 532, surface runoff that occurs on disturbed areas will be treated through sedimentation ponds or other sediment-control devices and particulate matter will be allowed to settle prior to the discharging of the water to the receiving water, thus controlling suspended solids concentrations.

At any mining operation there is the potential for contamination of soils, surface-water and groundwater resources resulting from the spillage of hydrocarbons. Diesel fuels, oils, greases, and other hydrocarbons products will be stored and used at the mine site for a variety of purposes. A spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan will be implemented that will help minimize any potential detrimental impacts to the environments.

Spill control kits will be provided on all mining equipment and personnel will be trained to properly control spills and dispose of any contaminated soils in an appropriate manner.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Based on these findings, it is concluded that the potential for mining and reclamation activities in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area to cause detrimental impacts to important water quality parameters is minimal.

728.333 Flooding or streamflow alteration

As described above, appreciable groundwater inflow from the Tropic Shale and Dakota Formation into mine pits at the proposed Coal Hollow Mine are not anticipated. Appreciable groundwater inflows are anticipated only from the relatively thin, overlying alluvial groundwater systems. The thicknesses of the alluvium adjacent to mine openings in the proposed mining areas is generally less than 40 to 50 feet. The hydraulic conductivities of the predominantly clayey and silty alluvial sediments are low, and consequently, very large or sudden groundwater inflows into mine openings are not anticipated. Where appreciable alluvial groundwater is encountered adjacent to mine openings, it will be routed away from mining areas through ditches or other conveyance mechanisms. Details of the Coal Hollow Mine Alluvial Groundwater Management Plan are provided in Appendix 7-9. Consequently, discharge of mine water from the mine pits is not anticipated. The rates of alluvial groundwater drainage that could occur will likely not be of a magnitude that could potentially cause flooding or streamflow alteration in either the Sink Valley Wash or Lower Robinson Creek drainages.

If excess groundwater were to be encountered during mining operations such that it could not be adequately managed or discharged in compliance with the Utah UPDES discharge permit (which is considered unlikely), Alton Coal Development, LLC may when necessary construct supplemental containment and settlement ponds in which mine discharge waters may be held for treatment (where necessary) and subsequent discharge through UPDES discharge points in compliance with the UPDES discharge permit, minimizing the potential for flooding or streamflow alteration in areas adjacent to mining.

When coal mining near the eastern edge of the Coal Hollow Mine permit area occurs (mine pits 13-15), special measures will be taken to minimize the potential for the interception by the mine openings of large quantities of groundwater from artesian groundwater system in the northwest ¼ of Section 29, T5W, R39S, and to adequately deal with groundwater inflows if such occur. Details of the contingency plan for this occurrence are provided in Appendix 7-9.

When mining operations advance toward the eastern edge of the permit boundary in pit 15, material excavating in the alluvial sediments will be performed incrementally and with caution. As excavation proceeds, if coarse, water-bearing alluvial sediments (gravels) are encountered, overburden removal in that area will be stopped. The excavation equipment operator will recover the exposed gravel zone with local impermeable sediments (abundant in the alluvium in the area) to halt groundwater inflow if possible. The hydrogeologist will be called to the site to access the hydrogeologic conditions. An investigation of the situation will be performed and a suitable work plan will be developed prior to the resumption of overburden removal in that area. The work

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

plan will be designed to minimize the potential for intercepting unacceptably large inflows of groundwater into the mine pits. The work plan will most likely involve trenching in the alluvium in zones up-gradient of the mine pit area and the emplacement of a low-permeability cut-off wall. The cut-off wall would be emplaced in the excavated trench using acceptable native low-permeability materials. The cut-off wall would be designed to isolate the mine openings from the coarse-grained alluvial groundwater system sufficient to decrease mine inflows to acceptable levels (i.e. so as to minimize the potential for detrimental impacts to the hydrologic balance and to minimize the potential for flooding of mine pits or causing flooding or stream alteration).

As a temporary measure to manage any potential large groundwater inflows that may occur in these areas prior to the installation of a suitable up-gradient hydraulic barrier, the intercepted alluvial groundwaters would be routed along mine benches that “daylight” to the natural land surface in areas to the south. The water would be diverted into pond 4 which has an appreciable storage capacity and discharge structure.

It should be noted that the interception of moderate amounts of groundwater from shallow alluvial groundwater systems in these areas is considered likely. Modest inflows of shallow groundwater intercepted by the mine workings in these areas would be manageable and not of significant concern. The objective of the work plan would be to ensure that strong hydrodynamic communication between the coarse-grained artesian alluvial groundwater systems in the eastern portion of Sink Valley with the Coal Hollow Mine workings is not established.

To prevent the migration of alluvial groundwater from the coarse-grained alluvial groundwater system centered east of the mine permit area into mine pit backfill areas after the completion of mining, a permanent low-permeability barrier will be constructed along the eastern edge of the pit 15 area. Details of this plan are provided in Appendix 7-10.

The rate at which alluvial groundwater will be intercepted by the proposed Coal Hollow Mine will be variable by location and time in permit area. Because of the heterogeneity inherent in most alluvial deposits, the quantifying of precise aquifer parameters in the various mining areas is not straightforward. Additionally, the geometry of the mine openings including the horizontal lengths and heights of mine pit faces adjacent to saturated groundwater systems that are exposed at any point in time are dynamic variables in the surface mining environment. Consequently, precise quantifications of mine groundwater interception rates are not readily obtainable. However, using the estimated mine pit groundwater inflow rates presented as discharge per linear foot of open pit in Table 7-9, it is considered likely that mine interception will be on the order of a few tens of gallons per minute in dry areas and at times when open pit sizes are small, to several hundred gallons per minute in wetter areas and at times when the open pit size is large. It is important to note that inflows into individual pit areas will be short lived, as the individual pits will commonly remain open for a few weeks to a few months.

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

The reasonably foreseeable maximum quantity of water that could be intercepted by the Coal Hollow Mine is largely a function of the manner in which coal mining operations are conducted in areas where the potential for encountering appreciable groundwater inflows is greatest. If large areas of water-bearing coarse-grained sediments were to be rapidly exposed in mine pit areas, large quantities of water would be anticipated (likely several thousands of gallons per minute). However, as described above, mining operations will be carried out in these areas using the special mining protocols described above. Consequently, large cross-sectional exposures of water-bearing coarse-grained alluvial sediments will not be allowed to be exposed to the mine pits and large inflows of groundwater on that magnitude are not anticipated.

In the unanticipated event that excessive quantities of water were to flow into the mine pits by any mechanism, the water would be pumped from the pits using a suitable pump and piping equipment that will be located on-site at the Coal Hollow Mine for such a contingency. Such water would be managed appropriately as required by all applicable State and Federal regulations. It should be noted that it is not in the mine's interest to allow excessive water to flow into the mine pits. All reasonable efforts will be taken to minimize the potential for flooding of the mine pits (an event that is not considered reasonably foreseeable or probable to occur).

Through the implementation of the above described mining protocols in areas where potentially large groundwater inflows could reasonably be anticipated to occur, the potential for the interception of large quantities of water by the mine is minimized. Consequently, the potential for flooding or streamflow alteration that could occur as a result of intercepting and discharging large quantities of water will be minimized and is considered unlikely.

The principal surface-water drainages in and adjacent to the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area are in many locations not stable in their current configurations (see photograph section). Currently, these stream drainages are actively eroding their channels during precipitation events, resulting in down-cutting and entrenchment of stream channels, the formation of unstable near-vertical erosional escarpments adjacent to stream channels (which occasionally spall off into the stream channel), aggressive headward erosion of stream channels and side tributaries, and the transport of large quantities of sediment associated with torrential precipitation events. These processes are currently actively ongoing in the proposed permit and adjacent area and the upper extents of these erosional processes are in many locations migrating upward in stream channels, resulting in increasing lengths of unstable stream channels.

Hereford (2002) suggests that the valley fill alluviation in the southern Colorado Plateau occurred during a long-term decrease in the frequency of large, destructive floods, which ended in about 1880 with the beginning of the historic arroyo cutting. Hereford (2002) further suggests that the shift from deposition to valley entrenchment coincided with the beginning of an episode of the largest floods in the preceding 400-500 years, which was probably caused by an increased recurrence and intensity of flood-producing El Niño Southern Oscillation events beginning at ca. A.D. 1870.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

DEC 20 2012

The exact causes of the entrenchment of stream channels and the creation of the numerous arroyos currently in existence in the southwestern United States are not completely understood. Vogt (2008) suggests that three primary factors resulted in the arroyo formation. These factors included 1) changes in climate that produced heavy rainfall, 2) land-use practices such as livestock grazing, and 3) natural cycles of erosion and deposition caused by internal adjustments to the channel system. The temporal coincidence of the causes may have magnified the effect of each factor.

Each of these factors likely contributed to the formation of the entrenched stream drainages and arroyos in the Coal Hollow Project area. Gregory (1917) states that historical evidence indicates that the cutting of Kanab Creek began when a large storm occurred on 29 July 1883, and that unusually large amounts of precipitation were received in 1884-85. In this period the Kanab Creek channel was down-cut by 60 feet and widened by 70 feet for a distance of about 15 miles. The lowering of Kanab Creek may have resulted in a lowering of the local base level and consequent incision of both Sink Valley Wash and Lower Robinson Creek. As suggested by Vogt (2008), other factors, such as the heavy livestock grazing in the local area, which was occurring contemporaneously with the heavy thunderstorm events, likely also contributed to the overall conditions that brought about the stream down-cutting episode in the late 1800s.

While the precise sequence of events and conditions that triggered the arroyo formation and stream entrenchment in the principle surface drainages in and adjacent to the Coal Hollow Project area is not known, it is readily apparent that the principle surface water drainages are not currently in a condition of equilibrium. Stream head-cutting (headward erosion), bank erosion, and spalling of the steep stream channel walls are ongoing processes in the Coal Hollow Project area.

The mining and reclamation plan for the Coal Hollow Mine has been designed to minimize the potential for sediment yield and erosion in the mine permit area. Accordingly, the mining and reclamation plan minimizes the potential for stream channel erosion and instability within the permit area. No mining-related activities are planned that would likely result in a worsening of the current instability of the surface water drainages in the permit and adjacent area.

The Coal Hollow Mine mining and reclamation plan calls for reclamation activities concurrent with mining progression, which results in the smallest disturbed area footprint and minimizes the length of time that the land surface is susceptible to erosion. The plan also calls for soil tackifiers to be used as a temporary soil stabilizer on reclamation areas prior to seeding. Seeded areas will be mulched. Vegetation established in final reclamation areas will minimize the potential for sediment yield and stream erosion in the long term.

The potential for erosion on the planned excess spoils pile will likewise be minimized. The design plans for the excess spoils pile call for the side slopes exceeding 60 feet in height to be constructed with concave slopes to promote slope stability and to minimize

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

the erosion potential. The excess spoils pile will also be revegetated to minimize the erosion potential.

The Lower Robinson Creek reconstruction will likewise be constructed to promote stability and resistance to erosion. Details of the Lower Robinson Creek reconstruction are shown on Drawings 5-20A and 5-21A. The construction of the channel will include riprap of the channel bottom and the inclusion of an inner flood plane to minimize erosion during flooding events. The stream channel will be revegetated to minimize erosion potential. The Lower Robinson Creek reconstruction is designed to leave the drainage in a condition at final bond release that is at least as stable as the current pre-mining condition.

Following reclamation, stream channels will be returned to a stable state to the extent possible given the currently unstable state of natural drainage channels in the area. Stream channels will be designed to withstand anticipated storm events, thus minimizing the potential of flooding in the reclaimed areas.

The overall condition of the land surface and the surface-water drainages within the permit area at final bond release will likely meet or exceed the current pre-mining conditions. However, it should be noted that Alton Coal Development, LLC will have no control over the land management practices and landowner activities that may be implemented on the privately owned lands of the reclaimed Coal Hollow Mine area after final bond release. Accordingly, the degree of erosional stability and overall conditions in the reclaimed lands and stream drainages in the post bond-release period is not in the control of Alton Coal Development, LLC.

The existing principle surface-water drainages adjacent to the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area have large discharge capacities (lower Sink Valley Wash below the County Road 136 crossing, Lower Robinson Creek, and Kanab Creek). These drainages periodically convey large amounts of precipitation runoff water associated with torrential precipitation events. The anticipated discharge rates from alluvial groundwater drainage and the maximum reasonably foreseeable amount of mine discharge water that could potentially be required to be discharged from mine pits is much less than that periodically occurring during major torrential precipitation events. The addition of modest amounts of sediment-free water into these stream channels has the potential to cause minor increases in channel erosion. However, the magnitude of this potential impact will likely be small relative to that occurring during torrential precipitation events.

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012

Most precipitation waters falling on disturbed areas will be contained in diversion ditches and routed to sediment impoundments that are designed to impound seasonal water and storms. Sediment control facilities will be designed and constructed to be geotechnically stable. This will minimize the potential for breaches of sediment control structures, which if they occur could result in down-stream flooding and increases in stream erosion and sediment yield. Emergency spillways will be part of the impoundment structures to provide a non-destructive discharge route should capacities ever be exceeded.

Details associated with these structures can be viewed on Drawings 5-25 through 5-34 and Appendix 5-2.

It should be noted that during the startup and construction phase of the mine operation, while the ditches and sediment control ponds are being constructed, temporary silt control measures will be utilized. These measures may include the use of silt fences or other appropriate sediment control measures as necessary.

As shown on Drawing 5-26, there are two sediment impound watershed areas within the mine permit area (Watershed 5 and Watershed 6) from which precipitation runoff water will not be routed through sediment ponds.

Watershed 5 area includes 28 acres near the Sink Valley Wash/Lower Robinson Creek drainage divide. The land surface in Watershed 5 is relatively flat, sloping at about a one percent grade. Because of the flatness of the land surface in Watershed 5, it is not practical to construct ditches to convey water from this area to a sediment pond. Consequently, control of sediment in runoff water from Watershed 5 will be accomplished through the use of a silt fence or other appropriate sediment control measure placed along the western permit boundary adjacent to Watershed 5 (see Drawing 5-26). Precipitation water falling on Watershed 5 will be retained as soil moisture, retained in the lowest portions of the watershed and allowed to evaporate or infiltrate or, after treatment with silt fences or other appropriate sediment control measures, allowed to flow down gradient onto lower lying adjacent areas.

Watershed 6 includes 19 acres located within the permit boundary east of the proposed Lower Robinson Creek reconstruction (see Drawing 5-26). The land surface in this area slopes gently toward the west at an approximately three to four percent grade. The Watershed 6 area will be isolated from a sediment pond by the reconstructed Lower Robinson Creek stream channel. Control of sediment in Watershed 6 will be accomplished through the installation of a silt fence or other appropriate sediment control measure along the margin of the watershed as shown on Drawing 5-26. The soils on the post-mining land surface in Watershed 6 will initially be stabilized with the use of tackifiers. Subsequent revegetation of the land surface in Watershed 6 will minimize the potential for erosion. After treatment with silt fences or other appropriate sediment control measures, precipitation water falling on Watershed 6 will be allowed to flow down-gradient toward adjacent lands or toward the Lower Robinson Creek stream channel.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

The potential for flooding or streamflow alteration resulting from mining and reclamation activities at the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area is considered minimal.

728.334 Groundwater and surface water availability

Groundwater use in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area is generally limited to stock watering and domestic use in Sink Valley. Some limited use of spring discharge water for irrigation has occurred in Sink Valley, although such irrigation is not

occurring presently nor has it occurred in at least the past 10 years. The areas of groundwater use in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area are located in the northwest ¼ of Section 29, T39S, R5W (see Drawing 7-4; groundwater discharge area A), and in the northwest ¼ of Section 32, T39S, R5W (see Drawing 7-4; groundwater discharge area B). The likely future availability of groundwater in each of these areas is discussed below.

Groundwater discharge area A (Northwest ¼, Section 29, T39S, R5W)

Groundwater use in area A occurs from several alluvial springs and seeps that are used for stock watering and limited domestic use. As described in Section 728.311 above, short-term diminution in discharge rates from springs in northwest ¼ of Section 29, T39S, R5W are possible as mining operations advance toward these springs. This potential impact is associated with the possible drainage of up-gradient alluvial groundwater into mine openings as mining advances toward groundwater discharge area A. Because individual mine pits will typically remain open for less than about 60 to 120 days (measured from the time the mining of the pit is completed to the time the pit is backfilled) before subsequently being backfilled and reclaimed, the potential for long-term drainage of alluvial groundwater into the mine voids is negligible, and thus any potential decreases in alluvial discharge in groundwater discharge area A is anticipated to be short-lived.

If groundwater inflow rates into mine openings in this area are excessive, such that appreciable impacts to the springs and seeps in groundwater discharge area A are likely, where necessary Alton Coal Development, LLC will use a suitable technique to minimize groundwater inflow rates into the mine voids. These techniques may include the use of bentonite or natural clay filled cutoff walls or other means where appropriate to isolate and protect groundwater resources up-gradient of mining activities. Consequently, the potential that groundwater could become unavailable in this area is minimal. Additionally, if alluvial groundwater resources were to become unavailable in this area due to mining and reclamation activities in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area, groundwater will be replaced according to all applicable State laws and regulations using the replacement water source described in Section 727 above. Details of the contingency plan for this occurrence are provided in Appendix 7-9.

To prevent the migration of alluvial groundwater from the coarse-grained alluvial groundwater system centered east of the mine permit area into mine pit backfill areas after the completion of mining, a permanent low-permeability barrier will be constructed along the eastern edge of the pit 15 area. Details of this plan are provided in Appendix 7-10.

It should be noted that the proposed water replacement source is a new well that will produce groundwater from the coarse-grained alluvial groundwater system in Sink Valley. Nearby springs that could potentially be impacted by mining and reclamation activities are supported by the same alluvial groundwater system. However, while modest decreases in the artesian hydraulic pressures in the alluvial groundwater system

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

could potentially result in diminution of spring flows, the new well will be equipped with an electric well pump providing the capability to produce groundwater from the alluvial system even if the hydraulic head in the alluvial groundwater system were to be diminished such that artesian flow conditions temporarily ceased to exist.

Groundwater discharge area B (Northwest ¼, Section 32, T39S, R5W)

Groundwater use in groundwater discharge area B occurs at alluvial springs and seeps located southeast of the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area that are used for stock watering and limited domestic use. As described in Section 728.311 above, although some temporary and short-lived diminution in discharge rates from springs in northwest ¼ of Section 29, T39S, R5W is possible, this potential impact is not considered likely.

In the event that alluvial groundwater resources were to become unavailable in this area due to mining and reclamation activities in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area, groundwater will be replaced according to all applicable State laws and regulations using the replacement water source described in Section 727 above.

Surface-water availability

Surface-water use in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area occurs in the Sink Valley Wash drainage and in Lower Robinson Creek. Surface waters in the Sink Valley Wash drainage (primarily from Water Canyon via an irrigation diversion and from Swapp Hollow; appreciable discharge in Sink Valley Wash below Section 29 T39S, R5W is usually absent) are utilized for both stock watering and limited irrigation use. Stream water in the Sink Valley Wash drainage is derived from runoff from the adjacent Paunsaugunt Plateau area. Because the surface water in the drainage originates from areas up-gradient areas located large distances from proposed mining areas, and because the stream channel is entirely outside the permit area and will not be impacted by mining and reclamation activities, there is essentially no probability that surface water availability in the Sink Valley Wash drainage could become unavailable as a result of mining and reclamation activities.

Discharge in Lower Robinson Creek immediately above the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area typically occurs only in direct response to significant precipitation or snowmelt events. Thus, surface-water availability is currently limited in this drainage prior to any mining activities.

Seepage of alluvial groundwater into the deeply incised lower Robinson Creek stream channel occurs near the contact with the underlying Dakota Formation in the southeast quarter of Section 19, T39S, R5W. This water is likely related to saturated alluvial deposits directly underlying the Robinson Creek stream channel and emerges near where the stream channel intersects the alluvial groundwater system. This seepage of alluvial water is usually about 5 - 10 gpm or less and is routinely monitored at monitoring station SW-5 (Drawing 7-2).

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

RECEIVED

It should be noted that the proposed Coal Hollow Mine plan calls for the permanent diversion of a reach of the Lower Robinson Creek stream channel approximately 2,000 feet in length in the southeast ¼ of Section 19, T39S, R5W. Details of the proposed diversion are given in Chapter 5, Section 527.220 of this MRP. If this action results in diminution of the meager discharge of surface water in the drainage below the planned diversion, where required a suitable mitigation for this potential impact will be designed and implemented in consultation with the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

The information presented above suggests that the potential for significant impacts to groundwater and surface-water availability resulting from mining and reclamation activities in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent systems in the region is low.

728.340 Whether mining and reclamation activity will result in contamination, diminution or interruption of State-appropriated waters

State appropriated water rights in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area are shown on Drawing 7-3 and tabulated in Appendix 7-3.

Appropriated groundwaters include alluvial springs and seeps in the northwest ¼ of Section 29, T39S, R5W (groundwater discharge area A), springs and seeps in the northwest ¼ of Section 32, T39S, R5W (groundwater discharge area B). State appropriated surface waters include reaches of Sink Valley Wash east of the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area, and reaches of Lower Robinson Creek.

The potential for mining and reclamation activities at the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area to result in contamination, diminution or interruption of State-appropriated water in the proposed Coal Hollow Permit and adjacent area are described in detail in Sections 728.310, 728.320, 728.332, and 728.334.

With the possible exception of short-term diminution in discharge rates from springs and seeps in the northwest ¼ of Section 29, T39S, R5W, Contamination, diminution, or interruption of State-appropriated waters in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area are not anticipated. It should be noted that if groundwater inflow rates into mine openings in this area are excessive, such that appreciable impacts to the springs and seeps in groundwater discharge area A are likely, where necessary Alton Coal Development, LLC will use a suitable technique to minimize groundwater inflow rates into the mine voids. These techniques may include the use of bentonite or natural clay filled cutoff walls or other means where appropriate to isolate and protect groundwater resources up-gradient of mining activities, minimizing the potential for diminution of discharge rates from these springs.

Additionally, it should be noted that the proposed Coal Hollow Mine plan calls for the temporary diversion of a reach of the Lower Robinson Creek stream channel approximately 2,000 feet in length in the southeast ¼ of Section 19, T39S, R5W. Details

of the proposed diversion are given in Chapter 5, Section 527.220 of this MRP. If this action results in diminution of the meager discharge of surface water in the drainage below the planned diversion, where required a suitable mitigation for this potential impact will be designed and implemented in consultation with the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

In the event that any State appropriated waters were to be contaminated, diminished, or interrupted due to mining and reclamation activities in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area, groundwater will be replaced according to all applicable State laws and regulations using the replacement water source described in Section 727 above.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

This page intentionally left blank

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Electronics Unlimited

730 OPERATION PLAN

Coal mining in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area will occur using surface mining techniques. All coal mining and reclamation operations will be conducted to minimize disturbance to the hydrologic balance within the permit and adjacent areas, to prevent material damage to the hydrologic balance outside the permit area and support approved postmining land uses in accordance with the terms and conditions of the approved permit and the performance standards of R645-301 and R645-302. Operations will be conducted to assure the protection or replacement of water rights in accordance with the terms and conditions of the approved permit and the performance standards of R645-301 and R645-302.

In order to maximize the use and conservation of the coal resource, coal will be recovered using large hydraulic backhoes or front end loaders and off-road trucks. Mined coal will be hauled to a central coal processing area for crushing and placement into a stockpile. Coal from the stockpile will be transferred into a bin and loaded into over the road trucks for transport.

The plan, with Drawings, cross sections, narrative, descriptions, and calculations indicates how the relevant requirements will be met. The lands subject to coal mining and reclamation operations over the estimated life of the operations are identified and briefly described. All appropriate information is located in the subsequent sections and Drawings 5-1 through 5-39 and Appendices A5-1 through A5-3.

731 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Operations will be conducted to assure protection or replacement of water rights in accordance with the terms and conditions of the approved permit and the performance standards of R645-301 and R645-302.

Groundwater and Surface-Water Protection

To protect the hydrologic balance, coal mining and reclamation operations will be conducted to handle earth materials and runoff in a manner that minimizes acid, toxic, or other harmful infiltration to the groundwater system. Additionally, excavations, and disturbances will be managed to prevent or control discharges of pollutants to the groundwater.

Products including chemicals, fuels, and oils used in the mining process will be stored and used in a manner that minimizes the potential for these products entering groundwater systems. Concrete oil and fuel containments will be constructed as shown on Drawings 5-3 and 5-8.

A facilities spill plan for the Coal Hollow Mine is provided in Appendix 7-5. When operations begin, there will be an EPA SPCC plan available on site for inspection.

The wash bay sump sludge will be removed as necessary and transported off site to an approved hazardous waste disposal facility.

The wash bay at the mine site will include a closed circuit water recycle system. This system will eliminate and store water impurities and reroute water back through the wash bay for cleaning equipment, thus minimizing water consumption the potential for contamination of groundwater resources. Details for this structure can be viewed on Drawings 5-3, and 5-8.

As mining operations approach springs and seeps in the northwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 29, T39S, R5W (See Drawing 7-4; groundwater discharge area A), there is the potential for drainage of up-gradient into mine openings to cause short-lived diminution of discharge from these springs. If groundwater inflow rates into mine openings in this area are excessive, such that appreciable impacts to the springs and seeps in groundwater discharge area A are likely, where necessary Alton Coal Development, LLC will use a suitable technique to minimize groundwater inflow rates into the mine voids. These techniques may include the use of bentonite or natural clay filled cutoff walls or other means where appropriate to isolate and protect groundwater resources up-gradient of mining activities, minimizing the potential for diminution of discharge rates from these springs. Details of the contingency plan for this occurrence are provided in Appendix 7-9.

To prevent the migration of alluvial groundwater from the coarse-grained alluvial groundwater system centered east of the mine permit area into mine pit backfill areas after the completion of mining, a permanent low-permeability barrier will be constructed along the eastern edge of the pit 15 area. Details of this plan are provided in Appendix 7-10.

The mine will replace loss of water identified for protection in this MRP that are impacted by mining and reclamation operations.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

To protect the hydrologic balance, coal mining and reclamation operations will be conducted to handle earth materials and runoff in a manner that minimizes acidic or toxic drainage, prevents to the extent possible, additional contributions of suspended solids to streamflow outside the permit area and otherwise prevents water pollution. Runoff and sediment control measures are described in detail in Chapter 5 of this MRP. The mine will maintain adequate runoff- and sediment-control facilities to protect local surface waters.

Discharge of mine water that has been disturbed by coal mining and reclamation operations is not anticipated. However, any discharges of water from areas disturbed by coal mining and reclamation operations that do occur will be made in compliance with all Utah and federal water quality laws and regulations and with effluent limitations for coal

mining promulgated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency set forth in 40 CFR part 434. Discharge of mine waters will be regulated by a Utah UPDES discharge permit.

Water pollution associated with mining and reclamation activities within the permit areas will be controlled by:

- Construction of berms and/or diversion ditches to control runoff from all facilities areas.
- Roads will be constructed with ditches to capture runoff
- Diversion ditches will be constructed as necessary around active mining and reclamation areas to capture runoff from those areas.
- Sedimentation impoundments will be constructed to control discharges
- In areas where impoundments or diversions are not suitable to the surrounding terrain, silt fence or straw bales will be utilized to control sediment discharge from the permit area.

In order to accomplish these objectives, watershed analysis of the permit and adjacent areas has been completed and specific designs are established for each water pollution control structure. Primary control structures include four sediment impoundments, four diversion ditches and miscellaneous berms. The locations of these structures can be viewed on Drawing 5-3. The detailed analysis for these structures and specific designs can be viewed on Drawings 5-25 through 5-34. In addition, a geotechnical analysis of the impoundments to ensure stability can be viewed in Appendix 5-1. The watershed and structure sizing analysis can be viewed in Appendix 5-2. In addition to these primary structures, temporary diversions and impoundments may also be implemented, as necessary, in mining areas to further enhance pollution controls.

Sediment control measures will be located, maintained, constructed and reclaimed according to plans and designs given under R645-301-732, R645-301-742 and R645-301-760. Siltation structures and diversions will be located, maintained, constructed and reclaimed according to plans and designs given under R645-301-732, R645-301-742 and R645-301-763. Storm water and snow melt that occurs within the facilities area will be routed to an impoundment that will contain sediment. This impoundment will have a drop-pipe spillway installed that will allow removal of any oil sheens that may result from parking lots or maintenance activities by using absorbent materials to remove the sheen. Details for this impoundment can be viewed on Drawings 5-28.

DEC 20 2012

There are four sediment impoundments proposed for the permit area. These structures will be constructed using a combination of dozers and backhoes. The structures have been designed to contain the required storm events as specified in Appendix 5-2. The structures will have sediment removed as necessary to ensure the required capacities. Details for these structures can be viewed on Drawings 5-25, 5-26 and 5-28 through 5-32. Calculations and supporting text can be viewed in Appendix 5-2.

Four diversion ditches along with four sediment impoundments are proposed for the permit area. In addition, miscellaneous controls such as silt fence and berms are also proposed for specific areas. The proposed locations for these structures are shown on Drawing 5-3. Details associated with these structures can be viewed on Drawings 5-25 through 5-34 and Appendix 5-2.

The smallest practicable area, consistent with reasonable and safe mine operational practices will be disturbed at any one time during the mining operation and reclamation phases. This will be accomplished through progressive backfilling, grading, and prompt revegetation of disturbed areas.

There are no other coal processing waste banks, dams or embankments proposed within the permit area.

Diesel fuels, oils, greases, and other hydrocarbons products will be stored and used at the mine site for a variety of purposes. A spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan will be implemented that will help minimize any potential detrimental impacts to the environments.

Products including potentially hazardous chemicals, fuels, and oils used in the mining process will be stored and used in a manner that minimizes the potential for these products to contaminate surface-water resources. Concrete oil and fuel containments will be constructed as shown on Drawings 5-3 and 5-8.

The wash bay at the mine site will include a closed circuit water recycle system. This system will eliminate and store water impurities and reroute water back through the wash bay for cleaning equipment, thus minimizing water consumption the potential for contamination of surface-water resources. Details for this structure can be viewed on Drawings 5-3, 5-8, and Appendix 5-4.

Roads will be located, designed, constructed, reconstructed, used, maintained and reclaimed according to R645-301-732.400, R645-301-742.400 and R645-301-762. The specific plan for road locations and design are presented in R645-301-534. The location and details for roads can be viewed on Drawings 5-3 and 5-22 through 5-24.

Roads will be located, designed, constructed, reconstructed, used, maintained and reclaimed to control or prevent additional contributions of suspended solids to stream flow or runoff outside the permit area; Neither cause nor contribute to, directly or indirectly, the violation of effluent standards given under R645-301-751; minimize the diminution to or degradation of the quality or quantity of surface- and ground-water systems; and refrain from significantly altering the normal flow of water in streambeds or drainage channels. No acid- or toxic-forming substances will be used in road surfacing.

All roads will be removed and reclaimed according to Drawings 5-35 and 5-36. The estimated timetable for removing these roads is shown on Drawing 5-38. Cut ditches will be established on the shoulders of all primary roads to control drainage and erosion. Cut

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

and fill slopes along the primary roads will be minimal and are not expected to cause significant erosion. In locations where there are culvert crossings (i.e. Lower Robinson Creek), the fills slopes will be stabilized by utilizing standard methods such as grass matting or straw wattles.

All wells will be managed to comply with R645-301-748 and R645-301-765. Water monitoring wells will be managed on a temporary basis according to R645-301-738.

Wells constructed for monitoring groundwater conditions in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area, including exploration holes and boreholes used for water wells or monitoring wells, will be designed to prevent contamination of groundwater and surface-water resources and to protect the hydrologic balance. A diagram depicting typical monitoring well construction methods is shown in Drawing 7-11. Monitoring wells will include a protective hydraulic seal immediately above the screened interval, an annular seal plugging the borehole above the hydraulic seal to near the ground surface, and a concrete surface seal extending from the top of the hydraulic seal to the ground surface which is sloped away from the well casing to prevent the entrance of surface flows into the borehole area. Well casings will protrude above the ground surface a sufficient height so as to minimize the potential for the entrance of surface water or other material into the well. A steel surface protector with a locking cover will be installed at monitoring wells to prevent access by unauthorized personnel. Where there is potential for damage to monitoring wells, the wells will be protected through the use of barricades, fences, or other protective devices. These protective devices will be periodically inspected and maintained in good operating conditions. Monitoring wells will be locked in a closed position between uses.

When no longer needed for monitoring or other use approved by the Division upon a finding of no adverse environmental or health and safety effects, or unless approved for transfer as a water well under R645-301-731.100 through R645-301-731.522 and R645-301-731.800, each well will be capped, sealed, backfilled, or otherwise properly managed, as required by the Division in accordance with R645-301-529.400, R645-301-631.100, and R645-301-748. Permanent closure measures will be designed to prevent access to the mine workings by people, livestock, fish and wildlife, machinery and to keep acid or other toxic drainage from entering ground or surface waters.

If a water well is exposed by coal mining and reclamation operations, it will be permanently closed unless otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

Permanent closure and abandonment of water wells greater than 30 feet in depth will be in accordance with the requirements of "Administrative Rules for Water Well Drillers", State of Utah, Division of Water Rights or other applicable state regulations. Abandonment of wells will be performed by a licensed water well driller. The wells to be abandoned will be completely filled using neat cement grout, sand cement grout, unhydrated bentonite, or bentonite grout, or other materials approved by the Utah State Engineer's office. Alternatively, the well may be abandoned using a different procedure upon approval from the Utah State Engineer's office.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Abandonment materials will be introduced at the bottom of the well or required sealing interval and placed progressively upward to the top of the well. The casing will be severed a minimum of 2 feet below the ground surface. A minimum of 2 feet of compacted native material will be placed above the abandoned well upon completion.

Within 30 days of the completion of well abandonment procedures, a report will be submitted to the State Engineer by the responsible licensed driller giving data related to the abandonment of the well. This shall include the name of the licensed driller or other person(s) performing abandonment procedures, name of well owner at the time of abandonment, the address or location of the well by section, township, and range, abandonment materials and equipment used, water right or file number covering the well, the final disposition of the well, and the date of completion.

Water wells less than thirty feet deep are not regulated by the Utah Division of Water Rights. The permanent closure and abandonment of water wells less than 30 feet deep will be accomplished by filling the well casing with neat cement grout, sand cement grout, unhydrated bentonite, or bentonite grout, or other appropriate materials. The well casing will then be cut off below the ground surface and native materials placed over the abandoned well site.

Exploration holes and boreholes will be backfilled, plugged, cased, capped, sealed, or otherwise managed to prevent acid or toxic contamination of water resources and to minimize disturbance to the prevailing hydrologic balance. Exploration holes and boreholes will be managed to ensure the safety of people, livestock, fish and wildlife, and machinery.

If a water well is exposed by coal mining and reclamation operations, it will be permanently closed unless otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

If any exploration boreholes are to be used as monitoring wells or water wells, these will meet the provisions of R645-301-731 and be managed according to the following.

Boreholes will be backfilled to within 1 foot of the land surface with concrete or other materials approved by the Division as necessary to prevent contamination of groundwater or surface-water resources or to protect the prevailing hydrologic balance. The upper approximately 1 foot will be backfilled with native materials to facilitate reclamation (see Drawing 6-11). Exploration holes and boreholes that may be uncovered during mining and reclamation activities will be permanently closed unless approved for water monitoring or otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

APPROPRIATED

DEC 20 2012

If mining and reclamation activities result in the contamination, diminution, or interruption of State appropriated groundwater or surface-water sources, replacement water will be provided using the alternate water source described in R645-301-727.

Seasonal baseline water monitoring information for all water rights that could be affected by mining in the permit and adjacent area have been submitted electronically to the Division's on-line hydrology database.

This section describes the hydrologic monitoring plan. Locations of surface-water and groundwater monitoring sites are indicated on Drawing 7-10. Hydrologic monitoring protocols, sampling frequencies, and sampling sites are described in Table 7-4. Groundwater and surface-water monitoring locations are listed in Table 7-5. Operational field and laboratory hydrologic monitoring parameters for surface water are listed in Table 7-6, and for groundwater in Table 7-7. The hydrologic monitoring plan during reclamation will be the same as during the operational phase. The hydrologic monitoring parameters have been selected in consultation with the Division's directive Tech-006, *Water Monitoring Programs for Coal Mines*.

The groundwater and surface-water monitoring plan is extensive and includes 53 monitoring sites. The monitoring plan is designed to monitor groundwater and surface-water resources for any potential impacts that could potentially occur as a result of mining and reclamation activities in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area. Each of the sampling locations and their monitoring purpose are described below.

Streams

Kanab Creek will be monitored at sites SW-3 (above the permit area), and SW-2 (below the permit area). Lower Robinson Creek will be monitored at sites SW-4 (above the permit area), SW-101 (within the permit area), and SW-5 (below the permit area above the confluence with Kanab Creek). The irrigation water near SW-4 will also be monitored at site RID-1. Swapp Hollow creek will be monitored above the permit area at site SW-8. Sink Valley Wash will be monitored at SW-6 (a small tributary to the wash immediately below the permit area) and at SW-9, located in the main drainage below the permit area. All of these locations, with the exception of RID-1) will be monitored for discharge and water quality parameters specified in Table 7-6 quarterly, when reasonably accessible. Additionally, Lower Robinson Creek will be monitored at site BLM-1, which is near the location of alluvial groundwater emergence in the bottom of the stream channel. RID-1 will be monitored for discharge and field water quality parameters. BLM-1 will be monitored for discharge and water quality parameters specified in Table 7-6 quarterly. Monitoring sites BLM-1, SW-5, SW-6, and SW-9 will also be monitored for total and dissolved selenium quarterly.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Springs

Eight springs from alluvial groundwater area A will be monitored including SP-8, SP-14, SP-16, SP-19, SP-20, SP-22, SP-24 and Sorensen Spring. Spring SP-8 is a developed spring in area A that provides culinary water for the Swapp Ranch house. SP-8 will be monitored for discharge and operational laboratory water quality measurements quarterly when reasonably accessible. Springs SP-14, SP-16, SP-19, SP-20, SP-22, SP-24 and Sorensen Spring springs will be monitored for discharge and field water quality measurements quarterly when reasonably accessible.

Springs SP-4 and SP-6, and SP-33, which are located in Sink Valley below the proposed mining area, will also be monitored. SP-6 is an area of diffuse seepage above an earthen impoundment in the wash immediately below the permit area. Spring SP-33 is a developed spring that discharges into a pond below the permit area and provides culinary water to two adjacent cabins. Each of these Springs SP-6 and SP-33 will be monitored for discharge and operational laboratory water quality measurements quarterly when reasonably accessible. SP-4 discharges from a fault/fracture system in the Dakota Formation near the canyon margin in Sink Valley Wash below the permit area. Spring SP-4 will be monitored for discharge and field water quality measurements quarterly when reasonably accessible. Spring SP-3 discharges from pediment alluvium in the upland area above Sink Valley Wash more than a mile from the permit area. It is extremely unlikely that discharge rates or water quality at this spring could be impacted as a result of mining-related activities in the mine permit area. However, this spring will be monitored for discharge and field water quality measurements quarterly, primarily to provide background data from springs in the region.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Wells

Wells Y-98 (Robinson Creek alluvium above the permit area), Y-45 (coal seam well in Swapp Hollow above permit area), Y-102 (flowing alluvial well in alluvial groundwater discharge area A), Y-36 (coal seam well in Sink Valley above the permit area), Y-38 (coal seam well in Sink Valley permit area), Y-61 (alluvial well at the Sorenson Ranch), and C5-130 (new monitoring well in alluvial groundwater discharge A) will be monitored quarterly when reasonable accessible. Well Y-61 will be monitored for groundwater operational laboratory water quality parameters to monitor groundwater quality in alluvial groundwater discharge area A. The other wells will be monitored for water level only.

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Additionally, 19 newly constructed monitoring wells constructed in the Sink Valley alluvial groundwater system will be monitored quarterly. These include C2-15, C2-28, C2-40, C3-15, C3-30, C3-40, C4-15, C4-30, C4-50, C7-20, C9-15, C9-25, C9-40, LS-28, LS-60, LS-85, SS-15, SS-30, and SS-75. All of these wells will be monitored quarterly

for water level. Additionally, wells LS-85 and SS-30 will be monitored for groundwater operational laboratory water quality measurements.

Additionally two wells in the Lower Robinson Creek alluvium will be monitored for water level and groundwater operational laboratory chemistry. These include UR-70 located above proposed mining locations in the Lower Robinson Creek drainage, and LR-45, located below proposed mining areas adjacent to Lower Robinson Creek. It should be noted that LR-45 is located near a proposed sediment pond impoundment. Consequently, if this well becomes unsuitable for monitoring, an alternate location will be used to monitor the Lower Robinson alluvial groundwater system in this area.

Wells C0-18 and C0-54 are located near the initial proposed mining areas in the Lower Robinson Creek drainage. These will be monitored for water level quarterly.

It should be noted that many of the wells specified for monitoring in this monitoring plan will at some point be destroyed or rendered inoperable as the mine workings precede through the area. These wells will be monitored until such a time as they are destroyed or become inoperable.

The possible need for an additional monitoring well located along the east-west permit boundary in Section 30, T39S, R4W has been evaluated. As described in Section 728.332, based on the laboratory analyses of acid and toxic forming materials in the overburden, coal seam, and underburden, it has been determined that discharges from the mine areas will likely be alkaline in character and acid mine drainage will likely not occur. Similarly, the potential for toxic drainage is not anticipated (see Section 728.332). Additionally, given the general east to northeasterly direction of the bedrock dip in the mine area, groundwater migrating through the pit backfill areas after mining will likely migrate down slope in those same directions (to the east). Because the lower portions of the highwalls surrounding the mine pit areas consist of relatively impermeable Tropic Shale bedrock, the potential for migration of appreciable quantities of groundwater from the mine pit fill areas into surrounding unmined areas is low (see Section 728.320). Shallow alluvial groundwater that could potentially migrate to the west is monitored for laboratory water quality parameters at well LR-45. Surface runoff from these areas is monitored for laboratory water quality parameters at site SW-5, which is located in Lower Robinson Creek below the proposed mining areas. For these reasons, the installation and monitoring of an additional monitoring well is not deemed necessary at this time.

Groundwater and surface-water monitoring will continue through the post-mining periods until bond release. The monitoring requirements, including monitoring sites, analytical parameters and the sampling frequency may be modified in the future in consultation with the Division if the data demonstrate that such a modification is warranted.

DEC 20 2012

731.530 State-appropriated water supply

The proposed water replacement well will be used both as a water supply source for the mine and for water replacement if needed. Alton Coal Development, LLC commits to having the water-replacement well (or other appropriate water replacement source as approved by the Division) drilled and developed before beginning overburden removal for Pits 13, 14, and 15.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Division of Environmental Protection

731.600 Stream Buffer Zones

Any perennial or intermittent streams in the mine area will be protected by 100 foot stream buffer zones on either side of these streams. Coal mining and reclamation operations will not cause or contribute to the violation of applicable Utah or federal water standards and will not adversely affect the water quality and quantity or other environmental resources of the stream.

Temporary or permanent stream channel diversion will comply with R645-301-742-300. It should be noted that the proposed Coal Hollow Mine plan calls for the temporary diversion of a reach of the Lower Robinson Creek stream channel approximately 2,000 feet in length in the southeast ¼ of Section 19, T39S, R5W. Details of the proposed diversion are given in Chapter 5, Section 527.220 of this MRP. If this action results in diminution of the meager discharge of surface water in the drainage below the planned diversion, where required a suitable mitigation for this potential impact will be designed and implemented in consultation with the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

The areas surrounding the streams that are not to be disturbed will be designated as buffer zones, and will be marked as specified in R645-301-521.260.

731.700 Cross sections and Maps

The locations of springs and seeps identified in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area are shown in Drawing 7-1. The locations of baseline hydrologic monitoring locations are shown on Drawing 7-2. The locations of water rights in the proposed Coal Hollow permit and adjacent area are provided on Drawing 7-3. Cross-sections depicting the stratigraphy and hydrostratigraphy of the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area are presented in Chapter 6, Drawing 6-2. Designs for proposed impoundments in the proposed Coal Hollow permit area are shown in Drawings 5-25 through 5-31

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

731.800 Water Rights and Replacement

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

Alton Coal Development, LLC commits to replace the water supply of an owner of interest in real property who obtains all or part of his or her supply of water for domestic, agricultural, industrial, or other legitimate use from the underground or surface source, where the water supply has been adversely impacted by contamination, diminution, or interruption proximately resulting from the surface mining activities. Baseline hydrologic information required in R645-301-624.100 through R645-301-624.200, R645-301-625, R645-301-626, R645-301-723 through R645-301-724.300, R645-301-724.500, R645-301-725 through R645-301-731, and R645-301-731.210 through R645-301-

731.223 will be used to determine the extent of the impact of mining upon ground water and surface water.

Sorensen Spring (SP-40) is the current domestic water supply for the Sorensen Ranch (Personal communication, Darlynn Sorensen, 2008). There is currently no development at the spring that would convey water to the ranch house. Rather, water from the spring is obtained directly from the spring for use at the ranch. Monitoring of discharge rate and water quality is included in the proposed water monitoring plan for the Coal Hollow Mine. The operational and reclamation phase water monitoring protocols for this spring are listed in Tables 7-5 and 7-7A. Should the water source be interrupted, diminished, or contaminated, replacement water will be provided from the new water well that will be constructed prior to the beginning of overburden removal for pits 13, 14, and 15 (see description in section R645-301-727 above, and Drawing 5-8C) or other suitable water replacement source as approved by the Division.

Reclamation designs for the eastern permit boundary where the mining pits meet the undisturbed alluvium are provided in Appendix 7-10. These designs specify engineering methods to be used to minimize drainage from the alluvium into the fill in the reclaimed pits (as the pits are filled and reclaimed) thereby protecting the hydrologic balance in Sink Valley. Through the emplacement of a permanent engineered low-permeability barrier between the alluvial groundwater systems to the east of the mining area and the mine backfill areas, the alluvial groundwater system will be effectively isolated from the mine backfill areas. An evaluation of the permanent barrier for pit 15 has been performed by Mr. Alan O. Taylor of Taylor Geo-Engineering, LLC. Information in the Taylor Geo-Engineering report indicates that the 50-foot wide barrier will prevent any appreciable drainage of alluvial groundwater from the coarse-grained alluvial groundwater system centered east of the permit area into the backfilled pit areas. Laboratory analysis of the Tropic Shale material from which the barrier will be constructed indicates that the compacted shale material will perform adequately to successfully contain the alluvial groundwater. Thereby water levels in the alluvial groundwater systems in Sink Valley east of the pit areas will be reclaimed to approximate pre-mining levels.

As specified in R645-301-112, groundwater quantity will be protected by handling earth materials and runoff in a manner that will restore approximate premining recharge capacity of the reclaimed area as a whole, excluding coal mine waste disposal areas and fills, so as to allow the movement of water to the groundwater system.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Division of Reclamation

732 Sediment Control Measures

Sediment control measures have been designed, constructed and maintained to prevent additional contributions of sediment to streamflow or to runoff outside the permit area.

732.100 Siltation Structures

Siltation structures within the permit area are described in Section 732.200

732.200 Sedimentation Ponds

Four diversion ditches along with four sediment impoundments are proposed for the permit area. In addition, miscellaneous controls such as silt fence and berms are also proposed for specific areas. The proposed locations for these structures are shown on Drawing 5-3. Details associated with these structures can be viewed on Drawings 5-25 through 5-34 and Appendix 5-2.

Sedimentation ponds have been designed in compliance with the requirements of R645-301-356.300, R645-301-356.400, R645-301-513.200, R645-301-742.200 through R645-301-742.240, and R645-301-763.

No sedimentation ponds or earthen structures that will remain open are planned.

The sedimentation plan has been designed to comply with the MSHA requirements given under R645-301-513.100 and R645-301-513.200.

732.300 Diversions

The runoff control plan is designed to isolate, to the maximum degree possible, runoff from disturbed areas from that of undisturbed areas. Where possible, this has been accomplished by allowing up-stream runoff to bypass the disturbed area, and routing any runoff from undisturbed areas that enter the disturbed area into a sediment control system.

Four diversion ditches along with four sediment impoundments are proposed for the permit area. In addition, miscellaneous controls such as silt fence, berms and temporary diversion ditches are also proposed for specific areas. The proposed locations for these structures are shown on Drawing 5-3. Details associated with these structures can be viewed on Drawings 5-25 through 5-34 and Appendix 5-2. All temporary ditches will meet the design requirements of Diversion Ditch 4 (designed for the 100-year, 24 hour storm) and will be adjusted within the permitted active mining area in relation to the active pit, current spoils pile configuration and reclamation.

DEC 20 2012

732.400 Road Drainage

All roads will be constructed, maintained and reconstructed to comply with R645-301-742.400. Road drainage facilities include diversion ditches, culverts, containment berms, and/or water bars. Specific plans for road drainage, road construction, and road maintenance are presented in Chapter 5, Section 534 of this MRP.

A description of measures to be taken to obtain division approval for alteration or relocation of a natural drainage way will be presented to the Division when necessary.

A description of measures to be taken to protect the inlet end of a ditch relief culvert will be submitted to the Division when necessary.

All road drainage diversions will be maintained and repaired to operational condition following the occurrence of a large storm event. Culvert inlets and outlets will be kept clear of sediment and other debris.

733 IMPOUNDMENTS

733.100 General Plans

A professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of impoundments with assistance from a geotechnical expert has used current, prudent, engineering practices to design the proposed impoundments.

The plans have been certified and a detailed geotechnical analysis has been provided in Appendix 5-1. The certifications, drawings and cross sections can be viewed in Drawings 5-25 through 5-31 and Appendices 5-1 and 5-2.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

As requested by the Division, the design criteria of the mine site sediment ponds have been reevaluated in light of groundwater that is being encountered at the site (see Appendix 7-11). It was the determination of this reevaluation that the sediment ponds currently in place meet or exceed the minimum requirements of the Utah Coal Mining Rules and that the construction of additional ponds or the redesigning of existing ponds is not required at this time. Accordingly, the small ephemeral channel tributary to Lower Robinson Creek near the toe of the spoils pile mentioned in the Division Deficiency List (Task No. 3799) has been evaluated as a potential sediment pond site, but the construction of a sediment pond in that location is not required at the current time.

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

As indicated in Section 728.332, where appreciable alluvial groundwater inflows into the mine pit areas occur and where deemed necessary and possible, alluvial groundwater inflows into the mine pit areas will be diverted away from the mine pit areas through

pipes, ditches, or other conveyance methods, minimizing the need for the pumping of mine discharge waters to the sediment ponds. Groundwater that interacts with the Tropic Shale and the Smirl coal seam in the mine pits is considered as mine water and accordingly it will be either routed to Pond #3 or Pond #4 and subsequently discharged under the approved Coal Hollow Mine UPDES discharge permit, or it will be contained and managed within the pit areas and not discharged.

Depending on prevailing climatic conditions and on the nature and quantity of encountered mine waters, at times it may periodically be necessary to discharge water from the Coal Hollow Mine sediment ponds. The discharges from the ponds will occur in compliance with the approved Coal Hollow Mine UPDES permit (see Appendix 7-12).

Five impoundments are proposed to control storm water runoff and sediment from disturbed areas. Each impoundment is designed to contain the run off from a 100 year, 24 hour duration storm event. The locations of the impoundments and the associated watersheds can be viewed on Drawing 5-26. The following table summarizes the final capacity results for each impoundment:

Sedimentation Impoundment Capacities				
Structure	Storage Required (ac/ft)	Design Storage* (ac/ft)	Percent of requirement	Additional Storage (ac/ft)
1	2.6	3.1	119	0.5
2	1.7	2.3	135	0.6
3	6.3	10.9	173	4.6
4	5.7	7.5	132	1.8
1B	0.5	0.8	160	0.3

Structure 1 is a rectangular impoundment approximately 136 feet long by 81 feet wide and 9 feet in depth. This impoundment will control storm water run off from the facilities area. The impoundment will be constructed with a 24" drop pipe spillway in order to prevent any oil sheens that may occur from discharging. This impoundment will be incised into the existing ground. Part of the excavated material will be utilized to construct an embankment on the down grade side to provide a minimum of 3 feet freeboard. This pond will control storm water from a watershed of approximately 27 acres. The cleanout and spillway elevation are 6911' and 6920', respectively. The top of the embankment is at elevation 6924'. Details for the design can be viewed on Drawing 5-28.

27 ACRES WATERSHED
 DEC 20 2012
 Dept of Geology

Structure 1B is a small rectangular impoundment that is approximately 40 feet long by 20 feet wide. This impoundment will control storm water run off from the facilities access road system. The impoundment will be constructed with a 24" drop pipe spillway in order to prevent any oil sheens that may occur from discharging. This impoundment will be incised into the existing ground. Part of the excavated material will be utilized to construct an embankment on the down grade side to provide a minimum of 2 feet freeboard. This pond will control storm water from a watershed of approximately 5 acres. The cleanout and spillway elevation are 6894' and 6906', respectively. The top of

the embankment is at elevation 6908'. Details for the design can be viewed on Drawing 5-28B.

Structure 2 is a rectangular impoundment approximately 188 feet long by 36 feet wide and 9 feet in depth. This impoundment will control storm water runoff from the disturbed areas immediately south of Lower Robinson Creek. The impoundment will be constructed with a 24" drop pipe spillway. Part of the excavated material will be utilized to construct an embankment on the down grade side to provide a minimum 3 feet freeboard. This pond will control storm water runoff from a watershed of approximately 74 acres. The cleanout and spillway elevation are 6891' and 6900', respectively. Top of the embankment is at elevation 6903'. Details for the design can be viewed on Drawing 5-29.

Structure 3 is a valley fill impoundment that will impound an area approximately 484 feet long by 229 feet wide and 9 feet deep. The fill for the impoundment will be constructed from an excavation 198 feet wide by 229 feet long and 8 feet deep. The embankment will be constructed in 2 foot lifts utilizing a dozer. The top of the embankment will be a minimum 12 feet wide. The spillway will be an open channel that will have vegetated slopes. This pond will control storm water runoff from a watershed of approximately 300 acres. The cleanout and spillway elevation are 6802' and 6811', respectively. Top of the embankment is at 6813'. Details for the design can be viewed on Drawing 5-30.

Structure 4 is a rectangular pond located at the south end of the permit area that is approximately 92 feet wide by 628 feet long and 11 feet deep. This impoundment will be incised into the existing ground. Part of the excavation will be used to construct a 12 foot wide embankment. The spillway will be an open channel that will have vegetated slopes. This pond will control storm water runoff from a watershed of approximately 256 acres. The cleanout and spillway elevation are 6823' and 6834', respectively. Top of the embankment is at elevation 6838'. Details for the design can be viewed on Drawing 5-31.

Open channel spillway details for impoundments 3 and 4 are provided in Drawing 5-32. These spillways are designed for emergencies and are not expected to be used during normal operations.

The outer slopes of the impoundments will be sloped to a maximum grade of 3h:1v. Inside slopes will be graded to a maximum 2h:1v. The slopes will be graded and revegetated for erosion control.

No underground mine workings exist near or under the impoundment structures; therefore subsidence surveys are not provided.

Geologic data for the area where impoundments will be located consists of mainly fine grained alluvium with high clay content. Seepage from the impoundments is expected to be minimal based on the high clay content of the existing materials. Characterization of the soils is contained in Chapter 2. Acid and Toxic analysis of the soils indicates that

water seeping through the alluvium layer will not result in reducing water quality. The acid and toxic analysis for the alluvium can be viewed in Appendix 6-2.

Hydrologic data for the permit area is provided in Appendix 7-1. This data indicates that there will be some seepage through the subsurface that may travel to adjacent drainages. The quantities for this seepage are expected to be minimal and will have minimal impact to the overall hydrologic balance. Even though seepage may occur, analysis of the soils indicates that water quality will not be diminished.

The above information provides a summary of all the impoundment structures that are proposed for the Coal Hollow Project. Detailed designs and calculations are provided in this section, Drawings 5-26 through 5-32 and Appendix 5-2. No other impoundments are anticipated.

At some times it may be necessary to discharge water from the sediment ponds. The approved Coal Hollow UPDES permit (Appendix 7-12) allows for discharges.

733.200 Permanent and Temporary Impoundments

All impoundments have been designed and constructed using current, prudent engineering practices and have been designed to comply with the requirements of R645-301-512.240, R645-301-514.300, R645-301-515.200, R645-301-533.100 through R645-301-533.600, R645-301-733.220 through R645-301-733.226, R645-301-743.240, and R645-301-743.

No impoundments or sedimentation ponds meeting the size or other qualifying criteria of MSHA, 30 CFR 77.216(a) exist or are planned within the proposed Mine Permit Area. Should impoundments and sedimentation ponds meeting the size or other qualifying criteria of MSHA, 30 CFR 77.216(a) become necessary, compliance with the requirements of MSHA, 30 CFR 77.216 will be met.

All five planned impoundments have been evaluated by a professional engineer to ensure stability of each structure. The stability analysis performed resulted in a static safety factor of at least 2.2 for each structure. The details for this analysis can be viewed in Appendix 5-1.

No permanent impoundments are planned in the project area.

If any examination or inspection discloses that a potential hazard exists, the person who examined the impoundment will promptly inform the Division according R645-301-515.200.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Discharge structures will be constructed and maintained to comply with R645-301-744.

The proposed impoundments are designed to temporarily store water from storm events and snow melt. Long term standing water in the impoundments is anticipated to be seasonal and sediment will be removed as necessary to provide the required storage capacities. Emergency spillways have been included in the designs to provide a non-destructive discharge route should the capacities ever be exceeded. Surveys of these impoundments will be regularly conducted to ensure that the required design capacities are available.

Impoundments 3 and 4 will be constructed with open channel spillways. These spillways are designed to discharge a 6 hour duration, 100 year storm event even though they are not expected to be used. They will have rip-rap min 6" to minimize erosion and spillway slopes will not exceed 3h:1v. Drawing 5-32 provides the details for the open channel spillways.

Impoundments 1, 1B and 2 will be constructed with a drop pipe spillway system. Storm water and snow melt that occurs within the associated watersheds will be routed to these impoundments to contain sediment. These impoundments will have the drop-pipe spillways installed which will allow removal of any oil sheens that may result from parking lots, primary roads or maintenance activities by using absorbent materials to remove the sheen. The drop-pipe spillways are 24" diameter pipes that are vertical in the impoundment. These pipes have a metal cover over the end. This cover is recessed over the pipe by at least an inch, with a gap between the cover and the pipe. This leaves a route for water to discharge once the impoundment is full but prevents debris or pollutants located on the water surface from discharging. This system was chosen for these three impoundments based on their locations in relation to the facilities and primary roads. This discharge system will be constructed for precautionary measures only since pollutants are not expected in the impoundments during normal operations.

Disposal of Excess Spoil

Areas designated for the disposal of excess spoil and excess spoil structures will be constructed and maintained to comply with R645-301-745.

Details of proposed excess spoil disposal plans are presented in Chapter 5, Section 535 of this MRP and are summarized below.

A geotechnical analysis has been completed for the proposed excess spoil structure. This analysis estimates the long-term safety factor to be 1.6 to 1.7 based on the proposed design. Following proper construction practices of building the structure in maximum four foot lifts and meeting 85% compaction based on the standard Procter will ensure that the structure will be stable under all conditions of construction. This construction will occur only in the designated excess spoil area as shown on Drawing 5-3 and 5-35. The fill will be placed with end dump haul trucks and lifts will be constructed using dozers. High precision GPS systems will be regularly utilized to check grades and appropriate lift thickness. The geotechnical analysis for this structure can be viewed in Appendix 5-1.

The excess spoil is planned to be placed in an area where natural grades range from 0 to 5%. This is one of the most moderately sloping locations in the Permit Area. Stability of this structure is estimated to be 1.6 to 1.7 based on the Appendix 5-1.

Geotechnical borings were completed in the foundation of the proposed disposal area. Laboratory analysis of these borings has also been completed. Details of this analysis can be viewed in Appendix 5-1.

Permanent slopes for the proposed excess spoil will not exceed 3h:1v (33 percent), therefore no keyway cuts have been proposed in the design. Appendix 5-1 details the stability analysis for the proposed structure.

Excess spoil will not be disposed of in underground mine workings.

Horizontal lifts will not exceed four feet in thickness unless otherwise approved by the Division. The lifts will be concurrently compacted to meet 85% of the standard Procter. The geotechnical analysis (Appendix 5-1), provides information showing that these construction standards will provide mass stability and will prevent mass movement during and after construction. The excess spoil will be graded to provide drainage similar to original flow patterns. Topsoil and subsoil as designated in Chapter 2 will be removed and separated from other materials prior to placement of spoil.

A description of the character of the bedrock and any adverse geologic conditions in presented in Appendix 5-1.

Spring and seep survey information is provided on Drawing 7-1. There are no springs or seeps identified in the excess spoil area.

There are no historical underground mining operations in the proposed excess spoil area. There are also no future underground operations proposed.

There are no rock chimneys or drainage blankets proposed.

A stability analysis including strength parameters, pore pressures and long-term seepage conditions is presented together with all supporting data in Appendix 5-1.

Neither rock-toe buttresses nor key-way cuts are required under R645-301-535.112 or R645-301-535.113.

No valley fills or head-of-hollow fills are proposed.

No durable rock fills are proposed.

No disposal of waste on preexisting benches is planned

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

10/12/2009

The excess spoil structure and fill above approximate original contour are the only alternative specifications proposed. A geotechnical analysis has been completed for this proposal and can be viewed in Appendix 5-1. All other mined areas will be restored to approximate original contour.

735 Coal Mine Waste

Areas designated for disposal of coal mine waste and coal mine waste structures will be constructed and maintained to comply with R645-301-746.

No structures for the disposal of coal mine waste are planned.

736 Noncoal Mine Waste

Noncoal mine waste will be stored and final disposal of noncoal mine waste will comply with R645-301-747

Noncoal mine waste, including but not limited to grease, lubricants, paints, flammable liquids, garbage, machinery, lumber and other combustible materials generated during coal mining and reclamation operations will be temporarily stored in a controlled manner. Final disposal of noncoal mine wastes will consist of removal from the project area and transportation to a State-approved solid waste disposal area.

Only sizing of the coal is proposed. This process will not produce any waste.

At no time will any noncoal mine waste be deposited in a refuse pile or impounding structure, nor will any excavation for a noncoal mine waste disposal site be located within eight feet of any coal outcrop or coal storage area.

Notwithstanding any other provision to the R645 Rules, any noncoal mine waste defined as "hazardous" under 3001 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) (Pub. L. 94-580, as amended) and 40 CFR Part 261 will be handled in accordance with the requirements of Subtitle C of RCRA and any implementing regulations.

Debris, acid-forming, toxic-forming materials and materials constituting a fire hazard will be identified and disposed of in accordance with R645-301-528.330, R645-301-537.200, R645-301-542.740, R645-301-553.100 through R645-301-553.600, R645-301-553.900, and R645-301-747. Appropriate measures will be implemented to preclude sustained combustion of such materials.

Plans do not include using dams, embankments or other impoundments for disposal of coal, overburden, excess spoil or coal mine waste.

DEC 20 2012

DEC 20 2012

Wells constructed for monitoring groundwater conditions in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area, including exploration holes and boreholes used for water wells or monitoring wells, will be designed to prevent contamination of groundwater and surface-water resources and to protect the hydrologic balance. A diagram depicting typical monitoring well construction methods is shown in Drawing 7-11. Monitoring wells will include a protective hydraulic seal immediately above the screened interval, an annular seal plugging the borehole above the hydraulic seal to near the ground surface, and a concrete surface seal extending from the top of the hydraulic seal to the ground surface which is sloped away from the well casing to prevent the entrance of surface flows into the borehole area. Well casings will protrude above the ground surface a sufficient height so as to minimize the potential for the entrance of surface water or other material into the well. A steel surface protector with a locking cover will be installed at monitoring wells to prevent access by unauthorized personnel. Where there is potential for damage to monitoring wells, the wells will be protected through the use of barricades, fences, or other protective devices. These protective devices will be periodically inspected and maintained in good operating conditions. Monitoring wells will be locked in a closed position between uses.

When no longer needed for monitoring or other use approved by the Division upon a finding of no adverse environmental or health and safety effects, or unless approved for transfer as a water well under R645-301-731.100 through R645-301-731.522 and R645-301-731.800, each well will be capped, sealed, backfilled, or otherwise properly managed, as required by the Division in accordance with R645-301-529.400, R645-301-631.100, and R645-301-748. Permanent closure measures will be designed to prevent access to the mine workings by people, livestock, fish and wildlife, machinery and to keep acid or other toxic drainage from entering ground or surface waters.

If a water well is exposed by coal mining and reclamation operations, it will be permanently closed unless otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

Permanent closure and abandonment of water wells greater than 30 feet in depth will be in accordance with the requirements of "Administrative Rules for Water Well Drillers", State of Utah, Division of Water Rights or other applicable state regulations. Abandonment of wells will be performed by a licensed water well driller. The wells to be abandoned will be completely filled using neat cement grout, sand cement grout, unhydrated bentonite, or bentonite grout, or other materials approved by the Utah State Engineer's office.

Alternatively, the well may be abandoned using a different procedure upon approval from the Utah State Engineer's office.

Abandonment materials will be introduced at the bottom of the well or required sealing interval and placed progressively upward to the top of the well. The casing will be severed a minimum of 2 feet below the ground surface. A minimum of 2 feet of compacted native material will be placed above the abandoned well upon completion.

Within 30 days of the completion of well abandonment procedures, a report will be submitted to the State Engineer by the responsible licensed driller giving data related to the abandonment of the well. This shall include the name of the licensed driller or other person(s) performing abandonment procedures, name of well owner at the time of abandonment, the address or location of the well by section, township, and range, abandonment materials and equipment used, water right or file number covering the well, the final disposition of the well, and the date of completion.

Exploration holes and boreholes will be backfilled, plugged, cased, capped, sealed, or otherwise managed to prevent acid or toxic contamination of water resources and to minimize disturbance to the prevailing hydrologic balance. Exploration holes and boreholes will be managed to ensure the safety of people, livestock, fish and wildlife, and machinery.

If any exploration boreholes are to be used as monitoring wells or water wells, these will meet the provisions of R645-301-731

Boreholes will be backfilled to within 1 foot of the land surface with concrete or other materials approved by the Division as necessary to prevent contamination of groundwater or surface-water resources or to protect the prevailing hydrologic balance. The upper approximately 1 foot will be backfilled with native materials to facilitate reclamation (see Drawing 6-11). Exploration holes and boreholes that may be uncovered during mining and reclamation activities will be permanently closed unless approved for water monitoring or otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

State of Co., Civil Engineering

740 **DESIGN CRITERIA AND PLANS**

741 **GENERAL REQUIREMENTS**

742 **SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES**

742.100 General Requirements

742.110 Design

Appropriate sediment control measures will be designed, constructed and maintained using best technology currently available to prevent to the extent possible, contributions of sediment to stream flow or to runoff outside the permit area; meet the effluent limitations under R645-301-751; and minimize erosion to the extent possible.

Four diversion ditches along with five sediment impoundments are proposed for the permit area. In addition, miscellaneous controls such as silt fence and berms are also proposed for specific areas. The proposed locations for these structures are shown on Drawing 5-3. Details associated with these structures can be viewed on Drawings 5-25 through 5-34 and Appendix 5-2. These impoundments in combination with the ditches will be the primary method that will be used to control sediment resulting from disturbed areas. In addition to the drawings and Appendix 5-2, the following is a description of the structures:

A professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of impoundments with assistance from a geotechnical expert has used current, prudent, engineering practices to design the proposed impoundments.

The plans have been certified and a detailed geotechnical analysis has been provided in Appendix 5-1. The certifications, drawings and cross sections can be viewed in Drawings 5-25 through 5-31 and Appendices 5-1 and 5-2.

Five impoundments are proposed to control storm water runoff and sediment from disturbed areas. Each impoundment is designed to contain the run off from a 100 year, 24 hour duration storm event. The locations of the impoundments and the associated watersheds can be viewed on Drawing 5-26. The following table summarizes the final capacity results for each impoundment:

INCOMPETENT

DEC 20 2012

Dir. of CL. Sec. 8.2.1.1.1

Sedimentation Impoundment Capacities				
Structure	Storage Required (ac/ft)	Design Storage* (ac/ft)	Percent of requirement	Additional Storage (ac/ft)
1	2.6	3.1	119	0.5
2	1.7	2.3	135	0.6
3	6.3	10.9	173	4.6
4	5.7	7.5	132	1.8
1B	0.5	0.8	160	0.3

Structure 1 is a rectangular impoundment approximately 136 feet long by 81 feet wide and 9 feet in depth. This impoundment will control storm water run off from the facilities area. The impoundment will be constructed with a 24" drop pipe spillway in order to prevent any oil sheens that may occur from discharging. This impoundment will be incised into the existing ground. Part of the excavated material will be utilized to construct an embankment on the down grade side to provide a minimum of 4 feet freeboard. This pond will control storm water from a watershed of approximately 27 acres. The cleanout and spillway elevation are 6911' and 6920', respectively. The top of the embankment is at elevation 6924'. Details for the design can be viewed on Drawing 5-28.

Structure 1B is a small rectangular impoundment that is approximately 40 feet long by 20 feet wide. This impoundment will control storm water run off from the facilities access road system. The impoundment will be constructed with a 24" drop pipe spillway in order to prevent any oil sheens that may occur from discharging. This impoundment will be incised into the existing ground. Part of the excavated material will be utilized to construct an embankment on the down grade side to provide a minimum of 2 feet freeboard. This pond will control storm water from a watershed of approximately 5 acres. The cleanout and spillway elevation are 6894' and 6906', respectively. The top of the embankment is at elevation 6908'. Details for the design can be viewed on Drawing 5-28B.

Structure 2 is a rectangular impoundment approximately 188 feet long by 36 feet wide and 9 feet in depth. This impoundment will control storm water runoff from the disturbed areas immediately south of Lower Robinson Creek. The impoundment will be constructed with a 24" drop pipe spillway. Part of the excavated material will be utilized to construct an embankment on the down grade side to provide a minimum 3 feet freeboard. This pond will control storm water runoff from a watershed of approximately 74 acres. The cleanout and spillway elevation are 6891' and 6900', respectively. Top of the embankment is at elevation 6903'. Details for the design can be viewed on Drawing 5-29.

Structure 3 is a valley fill impoundment that will impound an area approximately 484 feet long by 229 feet wide and 9 feet deep. The fill for the impoundment will be constructed from an excavation 198 feet wide by 229 feet long and 8 feet deep. The embankment will be constructed in 2 foot lifts utilizing a dozer. The top of the embankment will be a minimum 12 feet wide. The spillway will be an open channel that will have vegetated

slopes. This pond will control storm water runoff from a watershed of approximately 300 acres. The cleanout and spillway elevation are 6802' and 6810', respectively. Top of the embankment is at 6814'. Details for the design can be viewed on Drawing 5-30.

Structure 4 is a rectangular pond located at the south end of the permit area that is approximately 92 feet wide by 628 feet long and 11 feet deep. This impoundment will be incised into the existing ground. Part of the excavation will be used to construct a 12 foot wide embankment. The spillway will be an open channel that will have vegetated slopes. This pond will control storm water runoff from a watershed of approximately 256 acres. The cleanout and spillway elevation are 6823' and 6834', respectively. Top of the embankment is at elevation 6838'. Details for the design can be viewed on Drawing 5-31.

Open channel spillway details for impoundments 3 and 4 are provided in Drawing 5-32. These spillways are designed for emergencies and are not expected to be used during normal operations.

The outer slopes of the impoundments will be sloped to a maximum grade of 3h:1v. Inside slopes will be graded to a maximum 2h:1v. The slopes will be graded and revegetated for erosion control.

No underground mine workings exist near or under the impoundment structures; therefore subsidence surveys are not provided.

Geologic data for the area where impoundments will be located consists of mainly fine grained alluvium with high clay content. Seepage from the impoundments is expected to be minimal based on the high clay content of the existing materials. Characterization of the soils is contained in Chapter 2. Acid and Toxic analysis of the soils indicates that water seeping through the alluvium layer will not result in reducing water quality. The acid and toxic analysis for the alluvium can be viewed in Appendix 6-2.

Hydrologic data for the permit area is provided in Appendix 7-1. This data indicates that there will be some seepage through the subsurface that may travel to adjacent drainages. The quantities for this seepage are expected to be minimal and will have minimal impact to the overall hydrologic balance. Even though seepage may occur, analysis of the soils indicates that water quality will not be diminished.

Sedimentation ponds have been designed in compliance with the requirements of R645-301-356.300, R645-301-356.400, R645-301-513.200, R645-301-742.200 through R645-301-742.240, and R645-301-763.

DEC 20 2012

No sedimentation ponds or earthen structures that will remain open are planned.

The sedimentation plan has been designed to comply with the MSHA requirements given under R645-301-513.100 and R645-301-513.200.

The diversions ditches will be utilized to direct runoff from disturbed areas to the sediment impoundments. The channel sizing for the four proposed diversion ditches has been evaluated using the TR-55 method to determine peak flows and the Manning's Equation (ME) to determine appropriate dimensions. The TR-55 method of analysis is the same method used to size impoundments and was utilized in this case to provide a peak flow for each diversion during a 100 year, 24 hour storm event. This peak flow was then input into the ME to determine an appropriate open channel design for minimizing the effects of erosion during peak flows. Similar to the impoundment sizing, the Carlson Software Hydrology module was utilized to perform these calculations. The ditch locations, designs and cross sections can be viewed on Drawings 5-33 and 5-34.

The following table summarizes the inputs and results for each diversion based on flows during a 100 year, 24 hour storm event:

Diversion Ditch Summary							
Ditch	*Base (ft)	Manning's n	Average Slope (%)	Peak Flow (cfs)	Flow Depth (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Freeboard (ft)
1	3.0	0.020	2.8	14.8	0.5	6.8	0.3
2	2.5	0.020	3.5	6.9	0.4	6.0	0.3
3	4.5	0.020	2.4	16.7	0.5	6.3	0.3
4	5.0	0.020	1.8	19.8	0.6	5.4	0.3

*All side slopes are 2h:1v

The sedimentation plan has been designed to comply with the MSHA requirements given under R645-301-513.100 and R645-301-513.200.

These structures will retain sediment within the disturbed area. The diversion ditches are designed in manner that will minimize erosion of the channels and will divert runoff from disturbed areas to the impoundments. These sediment control measures are designed to meet the effluent limitations under R645-301-751.

742.200 Siltation Structures

Siltation structures have been designed in compliance with the requirements of R645-301-742.

Miscellaneous controls such as silt fence and berms are proposed for specific areas. The proposed locations for these structures are shown on Drawing 5-26. Details associated with these structures can be viewed on Drawings 5-25 through 5-34 and Appendix 5-2.

742.210 General Requirements

Additional contributions of suspended solids and sediment to streamflow or runoff outside the permit area will be prevented to the extent possible using the best technology currently available. Siltation structures for an area will be constructed before beginning any coal mining and reclamation operations in that area and, upon construction, will be

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

certified by a qualified registered professional engineer to be constructed as designed and as approved in the reclamation plan. Any siltation structures which impounds water will be designed, constructed and maintained in accordance with R645-301-512.240, R645-301-514.300, R645-301-515.200, R645-301-533.100 through R645-301-533.600, R645-301-733.220 through R645-301-733.224, and R645-301-743.

The primary controls for limiting suspended solids and sediment to stream flow and runoff outside the permit area is sediment impoundments and diversions ditches. The proposed system described in section 742.110 is designed to control storm water/runoff discharges from the disturbed areas. Discharges from this system are expected to be minimal and infrequent. Discharges that may occur will comply with R645-301-751.

The impoundment and ditch system will be inspected regularly and discharges will be sampled for water quality purposes.

742.220 Sedimentation Ponds.

742.221.1 The proposed sediment ponds are designed to be used individually

742.221.2 The locations for the sediment ponds were selected to be as near as possible to the disturbed areas and are not located in perennial streams

742.221.3 The ponds are designed and will be constructed and maintained to:

742.221.31 The ponds have been designed with excess capacity by at least 15% to allow for adequate sediment storage volume. The following table provides the design capacities in relation to a 24 hour duration, 100 year storm event:

Sedimentation Impoundment Capacities				
Structure	Storage Required (ac/ft)	Design Storage* (ac/ft)	Percent of requirement	Additional Storage (ac/ft)
1	2.6	3.1	119	0.5
2	1.7	2.3	135	0.6
3	6.3	10.9	173	4.6
4	5.7	7.5	132	1.8
1B	0.5	0.8	160	0.3

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012

These sedimentation ponds will be surveyed at least annually to ensure that sufficient sediment storage is available in the impoundment. Sediment will be removed from the ponds as required based on results from the surveys. Calculations related to these design capacities can be viewed in Appendix 5-2. Stage-Storage curves for each pond can be viewed on Drawings 5-28 through 5-31.

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

742.221.32 The sedimentation ponds are designed to provide detention for a 100 year, 24 hour duration storm event. Calculations for this design can be viewed in Appendix 5-2. This design standard is expected to keep discharges from the structure at a minimum and allow adequate settlement time to meet Utah and federal effluent limitations. In the event it becomes necessary to decant water to satisfy the required storage volumes, ACD will use a 4" gasoline driven pump to decant excess water. Water will be required to remain in the pond for a minimum of 24 hours prior to the beginning of decant operations and be discharged through the discharge point approved under UPEDES permit No. UTG04027 following all applicable monitoring protocol under this permit.

742.221.33 The sedimentation ponds are designed for a 100 year, 24 hour storm event which significantly exceeds a 10 year, 24 hour precipitation event. The 100 year, 24 hour event in the Alton area is 3.1 inches of precipitation. The 10 year, 24 hour precipitation event in this same location is approximately 2.0 inches of precipitation. The design standard used for the Coal Hollow project is 155% of the precipitation for the required "design event".

742.221.34 Each pond will be constructed with an emergency spillway, should the capacities of the ponds ever be exceeded. These spillways will provide a nondestructive route for storm water discharge, though the capacities of the ponds are not expected to be exceeded. The design capacities of the ponds are expected to contain each storm event and therefore will provide sufficient detention time to meet Utah and federal effluent limitations. The following is a description of each spillway:

Impoundments 3 and 4 will be constructed with open channel spillways. These spillways are designed to discharge a 24 hour duration, 100 year storm event even though they are not expected to be used during normal operations. They will have rip-rap min. 6" to minimize erosion and spillway slopes will not exceed 3h:1v. Drawing 5-32 provides the details for the open channel spillways.

Impoundments 1, 1B and 2 will be constructed with a drop pipe spillway system. Storm water and snow melt that occurs within the associated watersheds will be routed to these impoundments to contain sediment. These impoundments will have the drop-pipe spillways installed which will allow removal of any oil sheens that may result from parking lots, primary roads or maintenance activities by using absorbent materials to remove the sheen. The drop-pipe spillways are 24" diameter pipes that are vertical in the impoundment. These pipes have a metal cover over the end. This cover is recessed over the pipe by at least an inch, with a gap between the cover and the pipe. This leaves a route for water to

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
DEPT. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

discharge once the impoundment is full but prevents debris or pollutants located on the water surface from discharging. This system was chosen for these two impoundments based on their locations in relation to the facilities and primary roads. This discharge system will be constructed for precautionary measures only since pollutants are not expected in the impoundments during normal operations.

742.221.35 Regular inspections of the sediment pond system during construction and operations will identify any deficiencies that could cause short circuiting. Design standards for the system will ensure proper functioning during extreme storm events which makes it highly unlikely that issues related to short circuiting could occur during normal operations.

742.221.36 Surveys of the pond system will be conducted at least annually. These surveys will be compared against the required "design event" capacity for each pond. Sediment removal will occur as needed to maintain the required capacity.

742.221.37 Geologic conditions in the areas where sediment ponds will be constructed are suitable to the proposed use. Excessive settling of the ponds is not expected based on the high clay content of the soils. Embankments will be constructed in maximum two foot lifts to promote compaction during the construction process, reducing settling during operations. Supporting data for compaction can be viewed in Appendix 5-1.

742.221.38 Any sod, large roots, and/or frozen soil will be removed from sedimentation ponds. No coal processing will be conducted as part of the Coal Hollow Project; therefore wastes from this type of process will not be present.

742.221.39 Embankments will be constructed in maximum two foot lifts to promote compaction during the construction process, reducing settling during operations. Supporting data for this compaction method can be viewed in Appendix 5-1.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

742.222 Sedimentation ponds for the Coal Hollow Project do not meet the size of other qualifying standard for MSHA, 30 CFR 77.216(a). Dir. of Oil, Gas & Mining

742.223 Each sedimentation pond will be constructed with a spillway that will function as both the emergency and principle spillway. Each of these spillways will safely discharge a 25 year, 6 hour precipitation event. The following table summarizes the spillway discharge designs in relation to the 25 year, 6 hour precipitation event:

Sediment Impoundment – Spillway Flow Capacities		
Impoundment	Required Spillway Discharge (cfs)	Designed Spillway Discharge (cfs)
1	30.4	37.4
2	0.8	30.5
3	2.8	11.5
4	2.4	11.5
1B	6.06	23.9

The drop pipe spillways for impoundments 1, 1B and 2 will be of nonerodible construction. The open channel spillways for impoundments 3 and 4 will be rip-rap min. 6" and are designed to carry short-term, infrequent flows at non erosive velocities where sustained flows are not expected.

742.224 Either the requirements of 742.223.1 or 742.223.2 will be met for each sediment impoundment.

742.225 No exceptions to the sediment pond location guidance are requested

742.230 Other Treatment Facilities

If other treatment facilities become necessary, they will be designed to treat the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event unless a lesser design event is approved by the Division based on terrain, climate, other site-specific conditions and a demonstration by the operator that the effluent limitations of R645-301-751 will be met.

No other treatment facilities are planned for the Coal Hollow Project.

742.240 Exemptions

Not Applicable

INCCP-40-001020

DEC 20 2012

742.300 Diversions

Site of Diversion Drawing

742.310 General Requirements

742.311 There are no flows from mined areas that have been abandoned prior to May 3, 1978 at the Coal Hollow Project. Diversions at the Coal Hollow Project are planned to minimize water from disturbed areas from directly discharging into drainages without first being treated and to also prevent water from upland, adjacent areas from entering the project area. Four temporary diversion ditches are planned and one temporary diversion of Lower Robinson Creek. Two diversions will be primarily used to route water from upland, undisturbed areas away from the planned disturbed areas. Diversion ditch 2 has been split to minimize the amount of water from upland routed to Pond 2 (see drawing 5-34), 2B will route water

from upland to Lower Robinson Creek and 2A will route water from disturbed area to Pond 2. Diversion ditch 4 is planned to direct water from disturbed areas into sediment impoundment Pond 4. The temporary diversion of Lower Robinson Creek is for maximum recovery of coal and will route flows around the mining area. Each temporary diversion has been designed to only carry runoff from areas that will or potentially could be affected by the mining operations, except Lower Robinson Creek diversion which will carry intermittent flows from the upstream watershed. Diversion locations were selected to generally carry runoff to the drainage paths that the precipitation would originally follow. These parameters were followed in the designs to minimize impacts to the overall hydrological balance within the permit and adjacent areas. Diversions will not be used to route water into underground mines. Specific design parameters are discussed in the following sections (R645-301-742.312.1 to 742.314).

The construction of and the operational activities at the proposed alluvial groundwater interceptor trench systems will be performed according to good engineering practices and in compliance with all applicable State and Federal rules. To ensure the safety of construction personnel during construction of the drain systems, work will be performed primarily by the equipment operators from within the operator compartments of the employed equipment. Equipment operators will be adequately trained on the hazards associated with the excavation work at the drain sites. Construction personnel will not be allowed to enter excavated trench areas during the drain construction operations other than as allowed by applicable State and Federal laws and regulations. Where necessary, work outside of equipment operator compartments will be performed in a prudent and safe manner. The excavated drain areas will be promptly backfilled after the drain construction materials have been emplaced.

A physical barrier will be constructed and maintained at alluvial groundwater interceptor drain discharge structures to prevent mine personnel from falling into the discharge structure.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

- 742.312 Each diversion was designed to ensure stability and to minimize erosion. In order to accomplish this standard, the diversions were each designed for peak flows during a 100 year, 24 hour storm event. The following summarizes the steps used:

The channel sizing for the four proposed temporary diversion ditches has been evaluated using the TR-55 method to determine peak flows and the Manning's Equation (ME) to determine appropriate dimensions. The TR-55 method of analysis is the same method used to size impoundments and was utilized in this case to provide a peak flow for

each diversion during a 100 year, 24 hour storm event. This peak flow was then input into the ME to determine an appropriate open channel design for minimizing the effects of erosion during peak flows. Similar to the impoundment sizing, the Carlson Software Hydrology module was utilized to perform these calculations. The ditch locations, designs and cross sections can be viewed on Drawings 5-33 and 5-34.

The following table summarizes the inputs and results for each diversion based on flows during a 100 year, 24 hour storm event:

Diversion Ditch Summary							
Ditch	*Base (ft)	Manning's n	Average Slope (%)	Peak Flow (cfs)	Flow Depth (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Freeboard (ft)
1	3.0	0.020	2.8	14.8	0.5	6.8	0.3
2	2.5	0.020	3.5	6.9	0.4	6.0	0.3
3	4.5	0.020	2.4	16.7	0.5	6.3	0.3
4	5.0	0.020	1.8	19.8	0.6	5.4	0.3

*All side slopes are 2h:1v

As shown in the above table, flow depths will be shallow, flow velocity will be manageable for temporary flow conditions and sufficient freeboard will be present during a flood event. These conditions will provide diversion stability, protection against flooding and prevent to the extent possible additional contributions of suspended solids to streamflow outside the permit area. These diversions are designed to comply with all applicable local, Utah and federal laws and regulations. Further details related to the temporary diversion designs can be viewed in Appendix 5-2.

Based on the size of the watershed for Lower Robinson Creek, a different method of analysis was used than the method used for the other diversions. The HEC-1 program was used for this analysis and extra erosion protection has been included as part of the design. The channel was designed to safely handle the flows from a 100 year, 6 hour storm event. This diversion will be further discussed in section 742.320 Diversion of Perennial and Intermittent Streams.

742.313 The four temporary diversions will be reclaimed when they are no longer necessary. This will occur once final reclamation is determined to be sufficient within the project area and the sediment impoundments are no longer needed. This is anticipated to occur in the fourth year of operations.

The Lower Robinson Creek temporary diversion will be constructed in a responsible manner. This diversion will experience some erosion during flood events but erosion rates are expected to be generally less than

those in the original channel above and below the diversion. The detailed design for this diversion can be viewed in Drawings 5-20 and 21. Calculations related to this diversion design can be viewed in Appendix 5-3.

742.320 Diversion of Perennial and Intermittent Streams.

742.321 Temporary diversion of one intermittent stream is planned for the Coal Hollow Project. The planned diversion is in a length of the stream that appreciable flows only occur during storm events and snow melt periods. This diversion is necessary to recover coal located in the northwest corner of the project area. The diversion would provide mining in an area that is 22 acres and contains approximately 400,000 tons of recoverable coal. Without this diversion, most of this area could not be mined.

742.322 The original unmodified channel immediately upstream and downstream from the Lower Robinson Creek diversion has excessive erosion and is not in stable condition. The channel has incised deeply and has developed into a channel that has a capacity significantly greater than any anticipated storm events. Since these conditions are not desirable for the area, the diversion design instead has dimensions that are suitable to pass a 100 year, 6 hour storm event in compliance with R645-301-742.323.

742.323 The temporary Lower Robinson Creek diversion has been designed to safely pass a 100 year, 6 hour storm event. The watershed for this drainage is 3.64 square miles and has a peak flow of 83.5 cubic feet per second during a 100 year, 6 hour event. Minimum dimensions for carrying this flow was found to be a channel that has the following dimensions:

Bottom width: 2 feet

Side slopes: 3h:1v

Minimum slope height: 3 feet (1 foot freeboard added)

Details related to the design calculations are provided in Appendix 5-3. Rip-rap will be appropriately placed to minimize erosion of the channel.

Cross sections of the channel design are shown in Drawing 5-21. As shown in the drawing, all sections of the diversions exceed the minimum design standard. A plan view of the diversion design can be viewed in Drawing 5-20.

742.324 Design of the Lower Robinson Creek Diversion has been certified by a qualified registered professional engineer.

742.330 Diversion of Miscellaneous Flows.

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
[Signature]

742.323

As part of the reclamation process, Lower Robinson Creek will be reconstructed to its approximate original location. The design for this reconstruction is shown on Drawings 5-20A and 5-21A. This design includes considerable improvements to the channel compared to the channel's current condition. The current condition is such that less than 25% of the channel within the disturbed area has a flood plain present and most of the slopes are near the angle of repose with fair to poor vegetative cover. The reconstructed sides of the channel for the entire length reconstructed. Sharp corners in the original alignment have been rounded to sinuous curve shapes and rip-rap will be installed in the bottom section of the channel to minimize erosion. The flood plain will be seeded and covered with erosion matting to control erosion until natural vegetative condition can be attained.

742.331 Diversion of miscellaneous flows is planned using four diversion ditches. Two diversions will be primarily used to route runoff from upland, undisturbed areas away from the planned disturbed areas. Diversion ditch 2 has been split to minimize the amount of water from upland routed to Pond 2 (see drawing 5-34), 2B will route water from upland to Lower Robinson Creek and 2A will route water from disturbed area to Pond 2. Diversion ditch 4 is planned to direct water from disturbed areas into sediment impoundment Pond 4. The locations of these diversions along with the associated watersheds can be viewed on Drawings 5-27, 5-33 and 5-34. Calculations related to the diversions can be viewed in Appendix 5-2.

742.332 Each diversion was designed for stability and to minimize erosion. In order to accomplish this standard, the diversions were each designed for peak flows during a 100 year, 24 hour storm event. The following summarizes the steps used:

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

The channel sizing for the four proposed temporary diversion ditches has been evaluated using the TR-55 method to determine peak flows and the Manning's Equation (ME) to determine appropriate dimensions. The TR-55 method of analysis is the same method used to size impoundments and was utilized in this case to provide a peak flow for each diversion during a 100 year, 24 hour storm event. This peak flow was then input into the ME to determine an appropriate open channel design for minimizing the effects of erosion during peak flows. Similar to the impoundment sizing, the Carlson Software Hydrology module was utilized to perform these calculations. The ditch locations, designs and cross sections can be viewed on Drawings 5-33 and 5-34.

Oil, Gas & Mining

The following table summarizes the inputs and results for each diversion based on peak flows during a 100 year, 24 hour storm event:

Diversion Ditch Summary							
Ditch	*Base (ft)	Manning's n	Average Slope (%)	Peak Flow (cfs)	Flow Depth (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Freeboard (ft)
1	3.0	0.020	2.8	14.8	0.5	6.8	0.3
2	2.5	0.020	3.5	6.9	0.4	6.0	0.3
3	4.5	0.020	2.4	16.7	0.5	6.3	0.3
4	5.0	0.020	1.8	19.8	0.6	5.4	0.3

*All side slopes are 2h:1v

As shown in the above table, flow depths will be shallow, flow velocity will be manageable for temporary flow conditions and sufficient freeboard will be present during a flood event. These conditions will provide diversion stability, protection against flooding and prevent to the extent possible additional contributions of suspended solids to stream flow outside the permit area. These diversions are designed to comply with all applicable local, Utah and federal laws and regulations. Further details related to the temporary diversion designs can be viewed in Appendix 5-2.

742.333 All four miscellaneous flow diversions planned for the project are temporary and will be reclaimed when no longer necessary for sediment and storm water control. Therefore, the channels must safely pass the peak runoff from a 2 year, 6 hour event. As previously described, these diversions have been designed to pass a 100 year, 24 hour storm event which significantly exceeds this required design standard. Precipitation from a 100 year, 24 hour storm event for this area is 3.1 inches while precipitation for the 2 year, 6 hour event is less than 1 inch.

742.400 Road Drainage

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

742.410 All Roads

INCORPORATED

742.411 To ensure environmental protection and safety appropriate for the planned duration and use, limits have been incorporated in the road designs for the Coal Hollow Project. These limits are applied to drainage control and culvert placement/sizing. These limits take into consideration the type and size of equipment planned for the operation. The following is a description of roads along with the design limits and standards that will be incorporated into construction:

Two primary Mine Haul roads are planned within the permit area. The first road extends from the coal unloading area to the first series of pits

along the west side of the property. This road will be utilized for access to pits 1 through 15 (pits shown on Drawing 5-10). This road will be approximately 2,600 feet in length and will be utilized mainly during the first two years of mining. There will be three culverts installed along this road all sized for a 100 year, 6 hour storm event. The first culvert will be across a tributary of Lower Robinson Creek and will be a 36 inch corrugated steel pipe. The second culvert is the main crossing over Lower Robinson Creek and is a 96 inch corrugated steel pipe. Both of these culverts have been sized based on analysis of the Lower Robinson Creek watershed. This analysis can be viewed in Appendix 5-3. The third culvert is a crossing over a diversion ditch that will route water mainly from disturbed areas along the south side of Lower Robinson Creek to a sediment impoundment. This culvert will be a 24 inch corrugated steel pipe.

The second road extends from an intersection with the first road, located just south of the Lower Robinson Creek crossing, and proceeds south to approximately pit 25. This road is approximately 2,500 feet in length and will be used for the south pits 16 through 30. There is one culvert crossing along this road to cross a diversion ditch. This culvert will be a 24 inch culvert.

The following specifications apply to these two Primary Mine Haul roads:

- 1) Roads will be approximately 80' in width
- 2) Approximately a 2% crown
- 3) Approximately one foot deep cut ditches along shoulders for controlling storm water
- 4) 18" of crushed rock or gravel for road surfacing
- 5) Cut and fill slopes of 1.5h:1v
- 6) Minimum fill over each culvert will be 2 times diameter of culvert
- 7) Berms placed as necessary along fills

DEC 20 2012

The ancillary roads will have similar specifications except surfacing will occur only as needed and may be narrowed to a 40 foot road width.

The location and details for all these roads can be viewed on Drawings 5-3 and 5-22 through 5-24.

In addition to the two primary Mine Haul roads, the road located within the facilities area is also classified as a primary road. This road is planned to be 24 feet wide with 24 inches of compacted sub base and 8 inches of compacted 1 inch minus gravel as surfacing. This road system will have six culverts and selectively located berms to appropriately route water to the two sediment impoundments for the facilities area.

The location of these culverts and berms is shown on Drawing 5-3. This road is referred to as "Facilities Roadway" and more details are described in 527.200 along with Drawings 5-22A and 5-22B.

The ramps, benches and equipment travel paths within the active surface mining area are temporary in nature and will be relocated frequently as mining progresses. These temporary travelways are considered part of the pit due to their short term use, and are not individually designed nor engineered. They will be built and maintained to facilitate safe and efficient mine and reclamation operations.

All roads will be maintained on an as needed basis using motor graders, water trucks for dust suppression, and other equipment as necessary. Crushed stone and/or gravel will be used as a surface course for primary roads outside the active mining area, and may be used as needed for ramps and travelways within the pit. Should the roads be damaged by a catastrophic event, such as an earthquake or a flood, repairs will be made as soon as possible after the damage has occurred or the road will be closed and reclaimed.

Cut and fill slopes along the primary roads will be minimal and are not expected to cause significant erosion. The water from roads in the project area will not directly discharge to drainages outside the project area without first being treated by flowing through a sediment impoundment. In locations where there are culvert crossings (i.e. Lower Robinson Creek), the fills slopes will be stabilized by utilizing standard methods such as grass matting or straw wattles.

742.412 No roads will be located in the channel of an intermittent or perennial stream.

742.413 Primary roads constructed utilized during mining operations have been designed and located to route runoff from the roads to the sediment impoundment system. By routing the runoff to this system, sedimentation and flooding downstream resulting from the roads will be minimized. All other roads located within the active mining area will also follow this standard and runoff from the roads will not be directly discharged to drainages outside the permit area.

742.420 Primary Roads

742.421 To minimize erosion, primary roads will be constructed with a rock surface with minimal cut and fill slopes. These roads are located in the most practicable, stable areas within the permit boundary and mostly outside of the designed pits. These locations can be reviewed on Drawing 5-22 through 5-22G. Further descriptions of these roads can be viewed in Section 742.423.1 and 742.111.

DEC 20 2012

Dir. of En. & Mining

742.422 There are no stream fords by primary roads at the Coal Hollow Project.

742.423 Drainage Control

- 742.423.1 Two primary Mine Haul roads are planned within the permit area. The first road extends from the coal unloading area to the first series of pits along the west side of the property. This road will be utilized for access to pits 1 through 15 (pits shown on Drawing 5-10). This road will be approximately 2,600 feet in length and will be utilized mainly during the first two years of mining. There will be three culverts installed along this road all sized for a 100 year, 24 hour storm event. The first culvert will be across a tributary of Lower Robinson Creek and will be a 36 inch corrugated steel pipe. The second culvert is the main crossing over Lower Robinson Creek and is a 96 inch corrugated steel pipe. Both of these culverts have been sized based on analysis of the Lower Robinson Creek watershed. This analysis can be viewed in Appendix A5-3. The third culvert is crossing over a diversion ditch that will route water mainly from disturbed areas along the south side of Lower Robinson Creek to a sediment impoundment. This culvert will be a 24 inch corrugated steel pipe.

The second road extends from an intersection with the first road, located just south of the Lower Robinson Creek crossing, and proceeds south to approximately pit 25. This road is approximately 2,500 feet in length and will be used for the south pits 16 through 30. There is one culvert crossing along this road to cross a diversion ditch. This culvert will be a 24 inch culvert sized for maximum anticipated flows in the diversion.

The following specifications apply to these Primary mine haul roads:

- 1) Roads will be approximately 80' in width
- 2) Approximately a 2% crown
- 3) Approximately one foot deep cut ditches along shoulders for controlling storm water
- 4) 18" of crushed rock or gravel for road surfacing
- 5) Cut and fill slopes of 1.5 h:1 v
- 6) Minimum fill over each culvert will be 2 times diameter of culvert
- 7) Berms placed as necessary along fills

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

10/12/2009

The location and details for Primary Mine Haul roads can be viewed on Drawings 5-3 and 5-22 and 5-23.

In addition to the two roads primary Mine Haul roads, the road located within the facilities area is also classified as a primary road. This road is planned to be 24 feet wide with 24 inches of compacted sub base and 8 inches of compacted 1 inch minus gravel as surfacing. This road system will have four culverts and selectively located berms appropriately placed to route water to the two sediment impoundments for the facilities area. The location of these culverts and berms is shown on Drawing 5-3.

This road is referred to as "Facilities Roadway" and more details are described in 527.200 along with Drawings 5-22A and 5-22B.

In addition to the primary roads that will be present during active mining, four additional roads are planned to exist postmining and are also classified as primary roads for this reason.

Roads that will remain postmining are the following:

- Road to Water Well with details shown on Drawing 5-22D
- Road to east C. Burton Pugh property with details shown on Drawing 5-22C
- County Road 136 (K3900) with details on Drawing 5-22E, 5-22F and 5-22G. This County road will be reconstructed within the permit area by Kane County. This reconstruction will occur concurrently with the final stage of reclamation as scheduled on Drawing 5-38 and is expected to be completed by the end of Year 4.
- Road to Swapp Ranch (same specification as the Water Well Road)

The location of these roads is shown on Drawings 5-35 and 5-37 along with the post mining topography. With the exception of the County Road, each road will be graded to complement the surrounding topography and drainages. Details for these roads are provided in the above referenced drawings.

County Road 136 will have a cut ditch on the up gradient side of the road as appropriate. The culvert located at the crossing of Lower Robinson Creek will remain. One culvert will be added at Station 21+66 as shown on Drawing 5-22E. For further details related to reestablishment of County Road 136, refer Drawings 5-22 through 5-22G and 5-35.

742.423.2 Drainage pipes and culverts will be constructed on a minimum 2% grade to avoid plugging. Minimum fill over culverts will be 2 times the diameter of the culvert itself to avoid collapsing. Grades going in and out of each culvert will be similar to the grade of the culvert itself to avoid erosion at the inlet and outlet.

742.423.3 Drainage ditches have been designed to pass a 100 year 24 hour storm event which will prevent uncontrolled drainage over the road surface and embankment. The watersheds associated with drainage in the project area are each relatively small (less than 400 acres) and are not expected to sustain flows that would carry significant debris through the project area. Therefore, trash racks and debris basins are not expected to be necessary at the Coal Hollow Project.

742.423.4 One natural intermittent stream channel is planned to be diverted. This channel is referred to as Lower Robinson Creek and this diversion will be temporary. A section of this stream runs across an area that is planned for mining.

The Lower Robinson Creek diversion has been designed to safely pass a 100 year, 6 hour storm event. The watershed for this drainage is 3.64 square miles and has a peak flow of 83.5 cubic feet per second during a 100 year, 6 hour event. Minimum dimensions for carrying this flow were found to be a channel that has the following dimensions:

Bottom width: 2 feet

Side slopes: 3h:1v

Minimum slope height: 3 feet (1 foot freeboard added)

Details related for the design calculations are provided in Appendix 5-3. Rip-rap will be appropriately placed to minimize erosion of the channel.

Cross sections of the channel design are shown in Drawing 5-21. As shown in the drawing, all sections of the diversions exceed the minimum design standard. A plan view of the diversion design can be viewed in Drawing 5-20. This diversion design is in accordance with R645-301-731.100 through R645-301-731.522, R645-301.600, R645-301-731.800, R645-301-742.300, and R645-301-751.

Design of the Lower Robinson Creek Diversion has been certified by a qualified registered professional engineer.

742.423.5 All stream crossings are planned to be culverts designed to pass the 100 year, 6 hour storm event. There are no plans to use fords as stream crossings.

743 IMPOUNDMENTS

743.100 General Requirements

Five temporary impoundments are planned at the Coal Hollow Project. Design for these structures are shown in Drawings 5-28 through 5-32. These impoundments do not meet the criteria for Class B or C dams as specified in the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service Technical Release 60.

743.110 None of the impoundments meet the criteria of MSHA, 30 CFR 77.216(a).

743.120 A professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of impoundments with assistance from a geotechnical expert has used current, prudent, engineering practices to design the proposed impoundments.

The plans have been certified and a detailed geotechnical analysis has been provided in Appendix 5-1. The certifications, drawings and cross sections can be viewed in Drawings 5-25 through 5-31 and Appendices 5-1 and 5-2.

Each impoundment is designed with a minimum freeboard of 2 feet. Based on the size of the impoundments and the relatively small size of the associated watersheds, this amount of freeboard will be sufficient to prevent overtopping from waves and/or storm events. These impoundments do not meet the criteria for Class B or C dams.

743.130

Each impoundment will be constructed with a spillway that will function as both the emergency and principle spillway. Each of these spillways will safely discharge a 25 year, 6 hour precipitation event. The following table summarizes the spillway discharge designs in relation to the 25 year, 6 hour precipitation event:

Sediment Impoundment – Spillway Flow Capacities		
Impoundment	Required Spillway Discharge (cfs)	Designed Spillway Discharge (cfs)
1	30.4	37.4
2	0.8	30.5
3	2.8	11.5
4	2.4	11.5
1B	6.06	23.9

The drop pipe spillways for impoundments 1, 1B and 2 will be of nonerodible construction. The open channel spillways for impoundments 3 and 4 will be grass lined and are designed to carry short-term, infrequent flows at non erosive velocities where sustained flows are not expected.

The impoundments at the Coal Hollow project do not meet the criteria for either Class B or C dams or MSHA CFR 77.216 (a).

743.140

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

A professional engineer or specialist experienced in the construction of impoundments will inspect impoundments. Inspections will be made regularly during construction, upon completion of construction, and at least yearly until removal of the structure or release of the performance bond. The qualified registered professional engineer will promptly, after each inspection, provide to the Division, a certified report that the impoundment has been constructed and maintained as designed and in accordance with the approved plan and the R645 Rules. The report will include discussion of any appearances of instability, structural weakness or other hazardous conditions, depth and elevation of any impounded waters, existing storage capacity, any existing or required monitoring procedures and instrumentation and any other aspects of the structure affecting stability. A copy of the report will be retained at or near the mine site.

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

The MRP does not contemplate construction of any impoundments meeting the NRCS Class B or C criteria for dams in TR-60, or the size or other criteria of 30 CFR Sec. 77.216.

743.200

No permanent impoundments are planned.

743.300

Design capacities for spillways exceed the 25 year, 6 hour event. The design capacities are provided in the table located in section R645-301-743.130.

744 DISCHARGE STRUCTURES

744.100

Each pond will be constructed with an emergency spillway, should the capacities of the ponds ever be exceeded. These spillways will provide a nondestructive route for storm water discharge, though the capacities of the ponds are not expected to be exceeded. The design capacities of the ponds are expected to contain each storm event and therefore will provide sufficient detention time to meet Utah and federal effluent limitations. The following is a description of each spillway:

Impoundments 3 and 4 will be constructed with open channel spillways. These spillways are designed to discharge a 24 hour duration, 100 year storm event even though they are not expected to be used during normal operations. They will have rip-rap min. 6" to minimize erosion and spillway slopes will not exceed 3h:1v. Drawing 5-32 provides the details for the open channel spillways.

Impoundments 1, 1B and 2 will be constructed with a drop pipe spillway system. Storm water and snow melt that occurs within the associated watersheds will be routed to these impoundments to contain sediment. These impoundments will have the drop-pipe spillways installed which will allow removal of any oil sheens that may result from parking lots, primary roads or maintenance activities by using absorbent materials to remove the sheen. The drop-pipe spillways are 24" diameter pipes that are vertical in the impoundment. These pipes have a metal cover over the end. This cover is recessed over the pipe by at least an inch, with a gap between the cover and the pipe. This leaves a route for water to discharge once the impoundment is full but prevents debris or pollutants located on the water surface from discharging. This system was chosen for these two impoundments based on their locations in relation to the facilities and primary roads. This discharge system will be constructed for precautionary measures only since pollutants are not expected in the impoundments during normal operations.

The drop pipe spillways for impoundments 1, 1B and 2 will be of nonerodible construction. The open channel spillways for impoundments 3 and 4 will be grass lined and are designed to carry short-term, infrequent flows at non erosive velocities where

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Gas & Mining

sustained flows are not expected. These designs will minimize erosion and disturbance to the hydrologic balance.

Details related to these designs can be viewed in Drawings 5-28 through 5-32.

744.200

Standard engineering design procedures have been used in the design of the discharge structures along with standard mining industry best management practices that are commonly used at surface mining operations.

745 Disposal of Excess Spoil

745.100 General Requirements

Excess spoil will be placed in designated disposal areas within the permit area, in a controlled manner to minimize the adverse effects of leachate and surface water runoff from the fill on surface and ground waters; ensure permanent impoundments are not located on the completed fill. Small depressions may be created if approved by the Division if they are needed to retain moisture or minimize erosion, create and enhance wildlife habitat or assist revegetation, and if they are not incompatible with the stability of the fill; and adequately cover or treat excess spoil that is acid- and toxic-forming with nonacid nontoxic material to control the impact on surface and ground water in accordance with R645-301-731.300 and to minimize adverse effects on plant growth and the approved postmining land use.

If the disposal area contains springs, natural or manmade water courses or wet weather seeps, the fill design will include diversions and underdrains as necessary to control erosion, prevent water infiltration into the fill and ensure stability.

Details of proposed excess spoil disposal plans are presented in Chapter 5, Section 535 of this MRP and are summarized below.

A geotechnical analysis has been completed for the proposed excess spoil structure. This analysis estimates the long-term safety factor to be 1.6 to 1.7 based on the proposed design. Following proper construction practices of building the structure in maximum four foot lifts and meeting 85% compaction based on the standard Procter will ensure that the structure will be stable under all conditions of construction. This construction will occur only in the designated excess spoil area as shown on Drawing 5-3 and 5-35. The fill will be placed with end dump haul trucks and lifts will be constructed using dozers. High precision GPS systems will be regularly utilized to check grades and appropriate lift thickness. The geotechnical analysis for this structure can be viewed in Appendix 5-1.

The excess spoil is planned to be placed in an area where natural grades range from 0 to 5%. This is one of the most moderately sloping locations in the Permit Area. Stability of this structure is estimated to be 1.6 to 1.7 based on the Appendix 5-1.

Geotechnical borings were completed in the foundation of the proposed disposal area. Laboratory analysis of these borings has also been completed. Details of this analysis can be viewed in Appendix 5-1.

Permanent slopes for the proposed excess spoil will not exceed 3h:1v (33 percent), therefore no keyway cuts have been proposed in the design. Appendix 5-1 details the stability analysis for the proposed structure.

Excess spoil will not be disposed of in underground mine workings.

Horizontal lifts will not exceed four feet in thickness unless otherwise approved by the Division. The lifts will be concurrently compacted to meet 85% of the standard Procter. The geotechnical analysis (Appendix 5-1), provides information showing that these construction standards will provide mass stability and will prevent mass movement during and after construction. The excess spoil will be graded to provide drainage similar to original flow patterns. Topsoil and subsoil as designated in Chapter 2 will be removed and separated from other materials prior to placement of spoil.

A description of the character of the bedrock and any adverse geologic conditions in presented in Appendix 5-1.

Spring and seep survey information is provided on Drawing 7-1. There are no springs or seeps identified in the excess spoil area.

There are no historical underground mining operations in the proposed excess spoil area. There are also no future underground operations proposed.

There are no rock chimneys or drainage blankets proposed.

A stability analysis including strength parameters, pore pressures and long-term seepage conditions is presented together with all supporting data in Appendix 5-1.

Neither rock-toe buttresses nor key-way cuts are required under R645-301-535.112 or R645-301-535.113.

No valley fills or head-of-hollow fills are proposed.

No durable rock fills are proposed.

No disposal of waste on preexisting benches is planned

The excess spoil structure and fill above approximate original contour are the only alternative specifications proposed. A geotechnical analysis has been completed for this proposal and can be viewed in Appendix 5-1. All other mined areas will be restored to approximate original contour.

INDEXED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Geology

745.200 Valley Fills and Head-of-Hollow Fills

Valley fills and head-of-hollow fills are not anticipated in the Coal Hollow Mine permit area.

745.300. Durable Rock Fills.

Durable rock fills are not anticipated in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area.

745.400. Preexisting Benches.

The disposal of excess spoil through placement on preexisting benches is not anticipated in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit area.

746. **COAL MINE WASTE**

746.100. General Requirements.

No coal mine waste is anticipated.

746.200. Refuse Piles.

No refuse piles associated with coal mine waste are anticipated.

746.300. Impounding structures.

No impounding structures associated with coal mine waste are anticipated.

746.330. Drainage control.

No coal mine waste and associated drainage control is anticipated.

746.400. Return of Coal Processing Waste to Abandoned Underground Workings.

No coal mine waste is anticipated, nor are any underground workings planned.

RECEIVED

DEC 20 2012

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT

747. **DISPOSAL OF NONCOAL WASTE**

747.100

Noncoal mine waste, including but not limited to grease, lubricants, paints, flammable liquids, garbage, machinery, lumber and other non combustible materials generated during coal mining and reclamation operations will be temporarily placed in covered dumpsters. This waste will be regularly removed from the project area and disposed of at a state approved solid waste disposal site outside the project area.

747.200

Noncoal mine waste will be stored in a metal, covered dumpster which will prevent storm precipitation or runoff from coming in contact with the waste.

747.300

No noncoal mine waste will be disposed of within the permit area with the exception perforated piping used in the construction of Alluvial Ground Water Drains. This will be left in place as mining advances. This perforated piping will be covered in place approximately 20' to 30' below the final reclaimed surface. All other waste materials (ie. metal culvert) associated with the Alluvial Ground Water Drains will be removed and disposed of in a State-approved solid waste disposal site.

748. Casing and Sealing of Wells.

Wells constructed for monitoring groundwater conditions in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area, including exploration holes and boreholes used for water wells or monitoring wells, will be designed to prevent contamination of groundwater and surface-water resources and to protect the hydrologic balance. A diagram depicting typical monitoring well construction methods is shown in Drawing 7-11. Monitoring wells will include a protective hydraulic seal immediately above the screened interval, an annular seal plugging the borehole above the hydraulic seal to near the ground surface, and a concrete surface seal extending from the top of the hydraulic seal to the ground surface which is sloped away from the well casing to prevent the entrance of surface flows into the borehole area. Well casings will protrude above the ground surface a sufficient height so as to minimize the potential for the entrance of surface water or other material into the well. A steel surface protector with a locking cover will be installed at monitoring wells to prevent access by unauthorized personnel. Where there is potential for damage to monitoring wells, the wells will be protected through the use of barricades, fences, or other protective devices. These protective devices will be periodically inspected and maintained in good operating conditions. Monitoring wells will be locked in a closed position between uses.

When no longer needed for monitoring or other use approved by the Division upon a finding of no adverse environmental or health and safety effects, or unless approved for transfer as a water well under R645-301-731.100 through R645-301-731.522 and R645-301-731.800,

each well will be capped, sealed, backfilled, or otherwise properly managed, as required by the Division in accordance with R645-301-529.400, R645-301-631.100, and R645-301-748. Permanent closure measures will be designed to prevent access to the mine workings by people, livestock, fish and wildlife, machinery and to keep acid or other toxic drainage from entering ground or surface waters.

If a water well is exposed by coal mining and reclamation operations, it will be permanently closed unless otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

Permanent closure and abandonment of water wells greater than 30 feet in depth will be in accordance with the requirements of "Administrative Rules for Water Well Drillers", State of Utah, Division of Water Rights or other applicable state regulations. Abandonment of wells will be performed by a licensed water well driller. The wells to be abandoned will be completely filled using neat cement grout, sand cement grout, unhydrated bentonite, or bentonite grout, or other materials approved by the Utah State Engineer's office. Alternatively, the well may be abandoned using a different procedure upon approval from the Utah State Engineer's office.

Abandonment materials will be introduced at the bottom of the well or required sealing interval and placed progressively upward to the top of the well. The casing will be severed a minimum of 2 feet below the ground surface. A minimum of 2 feet of compacted native material will be placed above the abandoned well upon completion.

Within 30 days of the completion of well abandonment procedures, a report will be submitted to the State Engineer by the responsible licensed driller giving data related to the abandonment of the well. This shall include the name of the licensed driller or other person(s) performing abandonment procedures, name of well owner at the time of abandonment, the address or location of the well by section, township, and range, abandonment materials and equipment used, water right or file number covering the well, the final disposition of the well, and the date of completion.

Exploration holes and boreholes will be backfilled, plugged, cased, capped, sealed, or otherwise managed to prevent acid or toxic contamination of water resources and to minimize disturbance to the prevailing hydrologic balance. Exploration holes and boreholes will be managed to ensure the safety of people, livestock, fish and wildlife, and machinery.

If a water well is exposed by coal mining and reclamation operations, it will be permanently closed unless otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

If any exploration boreholes are to be used as monitoring wells or water wells, these will meet the provisions of R645-301-731

Boreholes will be backfilled to within 1 foot of the land surface with concrete or other materials approved by the Division as necessary to prevent contamination of groundwater or surface-water resources or to protect the prevailing hydrologic balance. The upper approximately 1 foot will be backfilled with native materials to facilitate reclamation (see

Drawing 6-11). Exploration holes and boreholes that may be uncovered during mining and reclamation activities will be permanently closed unless approved for water monitoring or otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

750 PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

All coal mining and reclamation operations will be conducted to minimize disturbance to the hydrologic balance within the permit and adjacent areas, to prevent material damage to the hydrologic balance outside the permit area and support approved postmining land uses in accordance with the terms and conditions of the approved permit and the performance standards of R645-301 and R645-302. Mining operations will be conducted to assure the protection or replacement of water rights in accordance with the terms and conditions of the approved permit and the performance standards of R645-301 and R645-302.

751. Water Quality Standards and Effluent Limitations.

Discharges of water from areas disturbed by coal mining and reclamation operations will be made in compliance with all Utah and federal water quality laws and regulations and with effluent limitations for coal mining promulgated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency set forth in 40 CFR Part 434.

Discharges from the Coal Hollow project are expected to be minimal based on the storm water and runoff controls that are described in R645-301-740. These structures are designed to contain large storm events without discharging runoff. Any runoff that does discharge will be treated through the sediment pond system.

Discharges from the proposed alluvial groundwater interceptor drain systems will be made in compliance with all applicable Utah and federal water quality laws and regulations. The proposed drain systems have been designed to intercept and discharge natural, uncontaminated up-gradient alluvial groundwater. The water from the alluvial groundwater intercept drain system will be collected in a gravel-packed underground drainage collection system and conveyed through pipes to a steel/concrete discharge structure from which the water will be discharged via pumping through a discharge hose to the discharge location. By managing the water in this manner, the potential for contamination of the water will be minimized. Prior to the initial discharge of water from newly constructed alluvial groundwater interceptor trench systems to receiving waters, the system will be adequately developed/pumped to remove residual fine-grained sediments that might be present in the system prior to discharge to receiving waters. Only suitable, uncontaminated groundwater will be discharged to the outfall location. The water quality and discharge rates from the alluvial groundwater intercept system will be monitored as per the requirements of the UPDES permit.

DEC 20 2012

752. Sediment Control Measures

Sediment control measures will be located, maintained, constructed and reclaimed according to the plans and designs given under sections R645-301-732, R645-301-742 and R645-301-760. Plans and designs are described in these sections.

752.100

Siltation structures and diversions will be located, maintained, constructed and reclaimed according to plans and designs given under R645-301-732, R645-301-742 and R645-301-763. Plans and designs are described in these sections.

752.200. Road Drainage

Roads will be located, designed, constructed, reconstructed, used, maintained and reclaimed according to R645-301-732.400, R645-301-742.400 and R645-301-762 and to achieve the following:

Control or prevent erosion, siltation and the air pollution attendant to erosion by vegetating or otherwise stabilizing all exposed surfaces in accordance with current, prudent engineering practices;

Control or prevent additional contributions of suspended solids to stream flow or runoff outside the permit area;

Neither cause nor contribute to, directly or indirectly, the violation of effluent standards given under R645-301-751;

Minimize the diminution to or degradation of the quality or quantity of surface- and ground-water systems; and

Refrain from significantly altering the normal flow of water in streambeds or drainage channels.

All plans and designs to meet these standards are described in the above referenced sections and on Drawings 5-22 through 5-24.

753. Impoundments and Discharge Structures

DEC 20 2012

Impoundments and discharge structures will be located, maintained, constructed and reclaimed to comply with R645-301-733, R645-301-734, R645-301-743, R645-301-745 and R645-301-760. Plans and designs are described in these sections.

754. Disposal of Excess Spoil, Coal Mine Waste and Noncoal Mine Waste.

Disposal areas for excess spoil, coal mine waste and noncoal mine waste will be located, maintained, constructed and reclaimed to comply with R645-301-735, R645-301-736, R645-301-745, R645-301-746, R645-301-747 and R645-301-760. Plans and designs are described in these sections.

755. Casing and Sealing of Wells

All wells will be managed to comply with R645-301-748 and R645-301-765. Water monitoring wells will be managed on a temporary basis according to R645-301-738.

Wells constructed for monitoring groundwater conditions in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area, including exploration holes and boreholes used for water wells or monitoring wells, will be designed to prevent contamination of groundwater and surface-water resources and to protect the hydrologic balance. A diagram depicting typical monitoring well construction methods is shown in Drawing 7-11. Monitoring wells will include a protective hydraulic seal immediately above the screened interval, an annular seal plugging the borehole above the hydraulic seal to near the ground surface, and a concrete surface seal extending from the top of the hydraulic seal to the ground surface which is sloped away from the well casing to prevent the entrance of surface flows into the borehole area. Well casings will protrude above the ground surface a sufficient height so as to minimize the potential for the entrance of surface water or other material into the well. A steel surface protector with a locking cover will be installed at monitoring wells to prevent access by unauthorized personnel. Where there is potential for damage to monitoring wells, the wells will be protected through the use of barricades, fences, or other protective devices. These protective devices will be periodically inspected and maintained in good operating conditions. Monitoring wells will be locked in a closed position between uses.

When no longer needed for monitoring or other use approved by the Division upon a finding of no adverse environmental or health and safety effects, or unless approved for transfer as a water well under R645-301-731.100 through R645-301-731.522 and R645-301-731.800, each well will be capped, sealed, backfilled, or otherwise properly managed, as required by the Division in accordance with R645-301-529.400, R645-301-631.100, and R645-301-748. Permanent closure measures will be designed to prevent access to the mine workings by people, livestock, fish and wildlife, machinery and to keep acid or other toxic drainage from entering ground or surface waters.

Water wells less than thirty feet deep are not regulated by the Utah Division of Water Rights. The permanent closure and abandonment of water wells less than 30 feet deep will be accomplished by filling the well casing with neat cement grout, sand cement grout, unhydrated bentonite, or bentonite grout, or other appropriate materials. The well casing will then be cut off below the ground surface and native materials placed over the abandoned well site.

If a water well is exposed by coal mining and reclamation operations, it will be permanently closed unless otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

Permanent closure and abandonment of water wells greater than 30 feet in depth will be in accordance with the requirements of "Administrative Rules for Water Well Drillers", State of Utah, Division of Water Rights or other applicable state regulations. Abandonment of wells will be performed by a licensed water well driller. The wells to be abandoned will be completely filled using neat cement grout, sand cement grout, unhydrated bentonite, or bentonite grout, or other materials approved by the Utah State Engineer's office. Alternatively, the well may be abandoned using a different procedure upon approval from the Utah State Engineer's office.

Abandonment materials will be introduced at the bottom of the well or required sealing interval and placed progressively upward to the top of the well. The casing will be severed a minimum of 2 feet below the ground surface. A minimum of 2 feet of compacted native material will be placed above the abandoned well upon completion.

Within 30 days of the completion of well abandonment procedures, a report will be submitted to the State Engineer by the responsible licensed driller giving data related to the abandonment of the well. This shall include the name of the licensed driller or other person(s) performing abandonment procedures, name of well owner at the time of abandonment, the address or location of the well by section, township, and range, abandonment materials and equipment used, water right or file number covering the well, the final disposition of the well, and the date of completion.

Exploration holes and boreholes will be backfilled, plugged, cased, capped, sealed, or otherwise managed to prevent acid or toxic contamination of water resources and to minimize disturbance to the prevailing hydrologic balance. Exploration holes and boreholes will be managed to ensure the safety of people, livestock, fish and wildlife, and machinery.

If a water well is exposed by coal mining and reclamation operations, it will be permanently closed unless otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

If any exploration boreholes are to be used as monitoring wells or water wells, these will meet the provisions of R645-301-731

APPROVED

DEC 20 2012

Boreholes will be backfilled to within 1 foot of the land surface with concrete or other materials approved by the Division as necessary to prevent contamination of groundwater or surface-water resources or to protect the prevailing hydrologic balance. The upper approximately 1 foot will be backfilled with native materials to facilitate reclamation (see Drawing 6-11). Exploration holes and boreholes that may be uncovered during mining and reclamation activities will be permanently closed unless approved for water monitoring or otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

760. **RECLAMATION**

761. **GENERAL REQUIREMENTS**

Before abandoning a permit area or seeking bond release, the mine will ensure that all temporary structures are removed and reclaimed, and that all permanent sedimentation ponds, diversions, impoundments and treatment facilities meet the requirements of R645-301 and R645-302 for permanent structures, have been maintained properly and meet the requirements of the approved reclamation plan for permanent structures and impoundments. The mine will renovate such structures if necessary to meet the requirements of R645-301 and R645-302 and to conform to the approved reclamation plan.

762. **ROADS**

A road not to be retained for use under an approved postmining land use will be reclaimed immediately after it is no longer needed for coal mining and reclamation operations, including restoring the natural drainage patterns, and reshaping all cut and fill slopes to be compatible with the postmining land use and to complement the drainage pattern of the surrounding terrain.

The post mining land configuration is shown on 5-35 along with postmining road locations. Cuts and fills for the reclaimed roads will be minimal which allows for minor construction to grade roads to the approximate landform that existed prior to disturbance.

763. **SILTATION STRUCTURES**

763.100.

Siltation structures will be maintained until removal is authorized by the Division and the disturbed area has been stabilized and revegetated. In no case will the structure be removed sooner than two years after the last augmented seeding.

All impoundments will be reclaimed at the end of operations. The estimated timeline for removal of these structures are shown on Drawing 5-38. Expected removal is year four of the mining and reclamation process. In areas where soils are not stabilized following the removal of these sediment impoundments, silt fence will be appropriately installed and maintained to provide sediment control until stable conditions are met.

INCORPORATED

763.200.

DEC 20 2012

When the siltation structure is removed, the land on which the siltation structure was located will be regraded and revegetated in accordance with the reclamation plan and R645-301-358, R645-301-356, and R645-301-357.

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

No permanent sedimentation impoundments are planned.

764. **STRUCTURE REMOVAL**

The application will include the timetable and plans to remove each structure, if appropriate. All impoundments will be reclaimed at the end of operations. The estimated timeline for removal of these structures are shown on Drawing 5-38. Expected removal is year four of the mining and reclamation process. In areas where soils are not stabilized following the removal of these sediment impoundments, silt fence will be appropriately installed and maintained to provide sediment control until stable conditions are met.

The facilities will be fully reclaimed at the end of mining operations with the exception of the water well shown on Drawing 5- 8B. The final contour for this area can be viewed on Drawing 5-35.

The reclamation sequence and final landform can be viewed on Drawings 5-35 and 5-38.

765. **PERMANENT CASING AND SEALING OF WELLS**

Wells constructed for monitoring groundwater conditions in the proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area, including exploration holes and boreholes used for water wells or monitoring wells, will be designed to prevent contamination of groundwater and surface-water resources and to protect the hydrologic balance. A diagram depicting typical monitoring well construction methods is shown in Drawing 7-11. Monitoring wells will include a protective hydraulic seal immediately above the screened interval, an annular seal plugging the borehole above the hydraulic seal to near the ground surface, and a concrete surface seal extending from the top of the hydraulic seal to the ground surface which is sloped away from the well casing to prevent the entrance of surface flows into the borehole area. Well casings will protrude above the ground surface a sufficient height so as to minimize the potential for the entrance of surface water or other material into the well. A steel surface protector with a locking cover will be installed at monitoring wells to prevent access by unauthorized personnel. Where there is potential for damage to monitoring wells, the wells will be protected through the use of barricades, fences, or other protective devices. These protective devices will be periodically inspected and maintained in good operating conditions. Monitoring wells will be locked in a closed position between uses.

DEC 20 2012

When no longer needed for monitoring or other use approved by the Division upon a finding of no adverse environmental or health and safety effects, or unless approved for transfer as a water well under R645-301-731.100 through R645-301-731.522 and R645-301-731.800, each well will be capped, sealed, backfilled, or otherwise properly managed, as required by the Division in accordance with R645-301-529.400, R645-301-631.100, and R645-301-748. Permanent closure measures will be designed to prevent access to the mine workings by people, livestock, fish and wildlife, machinery and to keep acid or other toxic drainage from entering ground or surface waters.

Water wells less than thirty feet deep are not regulated by the Utah Division of Water Rights. The permanent closure and abandonment of water wells less than 30 feet deep will be accomplished by filling the well casing with neat cement grout, sand cement grout, unhydrated bentonite, or bentonite grout, or other appropriate materials. The well casing will then be cut off below the ground surface and native materials placed over the abandoned well site.

If a water well is exposed by coal mining and reclamation operations, it will be permanently closed unless otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

Permanent closure and abandonment of water wells greater than 30 feet in depth will be in accordance with the requirements of "Administrative Rules for Water Well Drillers", State of Utah, Division of Water Rights or other applicable state regulations. Abandonment of wells will be performed by a licensed water well driller. The wells to be abandoned will be completely filled using neat cement grout, sand cement grout, unhydrated bentonite, or bentonite grout, or other materials approved by the Utah State Engineer's office. Alternatively, the well may be abandoned using a different procedure upon approval from the Utah State Engineer's office.

Abandonment materials will be introduced at the bottom of the well or required sealing interval and placed progressively upward to the top of the well. The casing will be severed a minimum of 2 feet below the ground surface. A minimum of 2 feet of compacted native material will be placed above the abandoned well upon completion.

Within 30 days of the completion of well abandonment procedures, a report will be submitted to the State Engineer by the responsible licensed driller giving data related to the abandonment of the well. This shall include the name of the licensed driller or other person(s) performing abandonment procedures, name of well owner at the time of abandonment, the address or location of the well by section, township, and range, abandonment materials and equipment used, water right or file number covering the well, the final disposition of the well, and the date of completion.

Exploration holes and boreholes will be backfilled, plugged, cased, capped, sealed, or otherwise managed to prevent acid or toxic contamination of water resources and to minimize disturbance to the prevailing hydrologic balance. Exploration holes and boreholes will be managed to ensure the safety of people, livestock, fish and wildlife, and machinery.

If a water well is exposed by coal mining and reclamation operations, it will be permanently closed unless otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

If any exploration boreholes are to be used as monitoring wells or water wells, these will meet the provisions of R645-301-731

Boreholes will be backfilled to within 1 foot of the land surface with concrete or other materials approved by the Division as necessary to prevent contamination of groundwater or surface-water resources or to protect the prevailing hydrologic balance. The upper

approximately 1 foot will be backfilled with native materials to facilitate reclamation (see Drawing 6-11). Exploration holes and boreholes that may be uncovered during mining and reclamation activities will be permanently closed unless approved for water monitoring or otherwise managed in a manner approved by the Division.

RECEIVED

DEC 20 2012

MINING DIVISION

REFERENCES

- Cordova, R. M., 1981, Ground-water conditions in the upper Virgin River and Kanab Creek basins area, Utah, with emphasis on the Navajo Sandstone: Utah Department of Natural Resources Technical Publication No. 70, 97p.
- Goode, H. D., 1964, Reconnaissance of water resources of a part of western Kane County, Utah: Utah Geological and Mineralogical Survey Water Resources Bulletin 5, 63 p.
- _____, 1966, Second reconnaissance of water resources in western Kane County, Utah” Utah Geological and Mineralogical Survey Water Resources Bulletin 8, 44 p.
- Gregory, H. E., 1917, Geology of the Navajo country, a reconnaissance of parts of Arizona, New Mexico and Utah, United States Geological Survey Professional Paper 93.
- Hereford, R., 2002, Valley-fill alluviation during the Little Ice Age (ca. AD 1400-1880), Paria River Basin and southern Colorado Plateau, United States, Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 114, no. 12, p. 1550-1563.
- OSM, 1998, A Handbook of Technologies for Avoidance and Remediation of Acid Mine Drainage, prepared by Acid Drainage Technology Initiative (ADTI) group, published by the National Mine Land Reclamation Center (NMLRC) at West Virginia University,
<http://www.techtransfer.osmre.gov/NTTMainSite/Library/hbmanual/hbtechavoid.htm>
- Petersen Hydrologic, 2007, Investigation of groundwater and surface-water systems in the 630-acre proposed Coal Hollow Mine permit and adjacent area; potential hydrologic consequences of coal mining; recommended monitoring plan, and alluvial valley floor determination; Kane County, Utah, unpublished consulting report for Alton Coal Development, LLC.
- Petersen Hydrologic, 2011, Investigation of pit mine-water inflows at the Alton Coal Development, LLC Coal Hollow Mine; Evaluation of sediment pond design criteria, consulting report to Kirk Nicholes, Alton Coal Development, Cedar City, Utah.
- Plantz, G. G., 1983, Selected hydrologic data, Kolob-Alton-Kaiparowits coal-fields area, south-central Utah, U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 83-871. **INCORPORATED**
- Sandberg, G. W., 1979, Hydrologic evaluation of the Alton coal field, Utah” U.S. **DEC 20 2012**
Geological Survey Open-File Report 79-346, 53 p.

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Table 7-4 Hydrologic monitoring protocols.

Discharge and water level measurements

Protocol	Applies to	Parameter	Frequency
A	Streams	Discharge	Quarterly
B	Springs	Discharge	Quarterly
C	Monitoring wells	Water elevation	Quarterly

Water quality

Protocol	Applies to	Parameters	Table	Frequency
1	Streams	Operational field and laboratory water quality measurements	7-6A*	Quarterly
2	Streams	Field water quality measurements only	7-6A*	Quarterly
3	Springs	Operational field and laboratory water quality measurements	7-7A*	Quarterly
4	Springs	Field water quality measurements only	7-7A*	Quarterly
5	Monitoring wells	operational field and laboratory water quality measurements	7-7A*	Quarterly
6	Monitoring wells	Field water quality measurements only	7-7A*	Quarterly
7	Monitoring wells	Laboratory acidity measurements for a period of two years	---	Quarterly
8	Streams	Laboratory total and dissolved selenium measurements	---	Quarterly

*Note: Every 5 years for the third or fourth quarter monitoring event, laboratory analysis will be performed according to the baseline parameter lists specified in Tables 7-6B and 7-7B for surface waters and groundwaters, respectively.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Table 7-5 Hydrologic monitoring locations and protocols for operational and reclamation phase monitoring.

Site	Protocols	Comments
<u>Streams</u>		
BLM-1	A, 1	Lower Robinson Creek adjacent to mined areas
RID-1	A, 2	Irrigation ditch in Robinson Creek
SW-2	A, 1	Kanab Creek below Robinson Creek
SW-3	A, 1	Kanab Creek above permit area
SW-4	A, 1	Lower Robinson Creek above permit area
SW-5	A, 1, 8	Lower Robinson Creek above Kanab Creek
SW-6	A, 1, 8	Sink Valley Wash at permit boundary
SW-8	A, 1	Swapp Hollow Creek above permit area
SW-9	A, 1, 8	Sink Valley Wash below permit area
SW-101	A, 2	Lower Robinson Creek in permit area
<u>Springs</u>		
Sorensen Spring	B,4	Developed alluvial spring in Sink Valley at Sorensen ranch
SP-3	B, 4	Spring in upland pediment alluvium south of permit area (developed and piped down canyon in Sink Valley Wash)
SP-4	B, 3	Developed spring in Sink Valley Wash 1 mile below permit area
SP-6	B, 3	Seep in Sink Valley below permit area
SP-8	B, 3	Developed alluvial spring in Sink Valley at Dames ranch
SP-14	B, 3	Alluvial spring in Sink Valley
SP-16	B, 4	Alluvial spring in Sink Valley
SP-19	B, 4	Alluvial spring in Sink Valley
SP-20	B, 3	Alluvial spring in Sink Valley
SP-22	B, 4	Alluvial spring in Sink Valley
SP-23	B, 4	Alluvial spring in Sink Valley
SP-33	B, 3	Developed spring in lower Sink Valley alluvium
<u>Wells</u>		
Y-36	C	Coal well in Sink Valley above permit area
Y-38	C, 7	Coal well in Sink Valley in permit area
Y-45	C	Coal seam well in Swapp Hollow above permit area
Y-61	C, 5, 7	Water well in Sink Valley artesian alluvial groundwater system above permit area
Y-63	C	Monitoring well in lower Sink Valley Alluvium below mining areas
Y-98	C	Alluvial well in Robinson Creek above permit area
Y-102	C	Alluvial well in upper Sink Valley in permit area
C0-18	C	Alluvial monitoring well in Lower Robinson Creek drainage
C0-54	C	Monitoring well in Lower Robinson Creek drainage near coal seam
C1-24	C	Alluvial monitoring well in Lower Robinson Creek drainage

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Site	Protocols	Comments
C2-28	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
C2-40	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
C3-15	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
C3-30	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
C3-40	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
C4-15	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
C4-30	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
C4-50	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
C5-130	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley artesian alluvial groundwater system above permit area
C7-20	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
C9-15	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
C9-25	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
C9-40	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley alluvium
LR-45	C, 5	Monitoring well in Lower Robinson Creek alluvium below mine area
LS-28	C, 5	Monitoring well in Sink Valley Alluvium below mining areas
LS-60	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley Alluvium below mining areas
LS-85	C, 5	Monitoring well in artesian Sink Valley Alluvium below mining areas
SS-15	C	Monitoring well in Sink Valley Alluvium below mining areas
SS-30	C, 5	Monitoring well in Sink Valley Alluvium below mining areas
SS-75	C	Monitoring well in burned coal area material
UR-70	C, 5	Monitoring well in Lower Robinson Creek alluvium above mine area

UNCLASSIFIED

DEC 20 2012

Office of the State Auditor

Table 7-6A Surface water operational and reclamation phase water quality monitoring.

<u>FIELD MEASUREMENTS</u>	<u>REPORTED AS</u>
pH	pH units
Specific Conductivity	µs/cm @ 25°C
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L
Temperature	°C

LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS

Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L:
Bicarbonate	mg/L
Carbonate	mg/L
Calcium (dissolved)	mg/L
Chloride	mg/L
Iron (total)	mg/L
Iron (dissolved)	mg/L
Magnesium (dissolved)	mg/L
Manganese (total)	mg/L
Manganese (dissolved)	mg/L
Potassium (dissolved)	mg/L
Sodium (dissolved)	mg/L
Sulfate	mg/L
Oil and grease	mg/L
Cations	meq/l
Anions	meq/l
Cation/Anion Balance	%

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

City of Chicago

Table 7-7A Groundwater operational and reclamation phase water quality monitoring.

FIELD MEASUREMENTS

REPORTED AS

pH	pH units
Specific Conductivity	µs/cm @ 25°C
Temperature	°C

LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS

Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L
Carbonate	mg/L
Bicarbonate	mg/L
Calcium (dissolved)	mg/L
Chloride	mg/L
Iron (total)	mg/L
Iron (dissolved)	mg/L
Magnesium (dissolved)	mg/L
Manganese (total)	mg/L
Manganese (dissolved)	mg/L
Potassium (dissolved)	mg/L
Sodium (dissolved)	mg/L
Sulfate	mg/L
Cations	meq/L
Anions	meq/L
Cation/Anion Balance	%

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Table 7-7B Groundwater baseline water quality monitoring.

FIELD MEASUREMENTS

pH
Specific Conductivity
Temperature

REPORTED AS

pH units
µs/cm @ 25°C
°C

LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS

Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L
Total Alkalinity	mg/L
Total Hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L
Acidity	mg/L
Aluminum (dissolved)	mg/L
Arsenic (dissolved)	mg/L
Bicarbonate	mg/L
Boron (dissolved)	mg/L
Cadmium (dissolved)	mg/L
Carbonate	mg/L
Calcium (dissolved)	mg/L
Chloride	mg/L
Copper (dissolved)	mg/L
Iron (total)	mg/L
Iron (dissolved)	mg/L
Lead (dissolved)	mg/L
Magnesium (dissolved)	mg/L
Manganese (total)	mg/L
Manganese (dissolved)	mg/L
Molybdenum (dissolved)	mg/L
Ammonia	mg/L
Nitrate+Nitrite	mg/L
Phosphate (total)	mg/L
Potassium (dissolved)	mg/L
Selenium (dissolved)	mg/L
Sodium (dissolved)	mg/L
Sulfate	mg/L
Zinc (dissolved)	mg/L
Cations	meq/l
Anions	meq/l
Cation/Anion Balance	%

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Appendix 7-9

Hydrology Resource Contingency Plan
and
Coal Hollow Mine - Alluvial Groundwater
Management Plan

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Coal Hollow Mine

Alluvial Groundwater Management Plan

From a water quality standpoint, it is preferable to allow naturally occurring, uncontaminated alluvial groundwater that would otherwise flow into the mine pit areas to be rerouted and subsequently discharged in its natural, uncontaminated state rather than allowing that groundwater to flow into the mine pit areas. A conceptual diagram depicting the relationship between up-gradient alluvial groundwater systems and the mine pit openings is shown in Figure 2. It is apparent in Figure 2 that in the absence of a mechanism to intercept and reroute up-gradient alluvial groundwater, alluvial groundwaters would naturally flow into the mine pit areas.

As described in the approved Coal Hollow Mine MRP (See Sections 301-742.728.332 and 301.742.728.333), the plan indicates that, “*where possible, groundwater that will be encountered in alluvial sediments along the margins of mine pit areas will be routed through pipes, ditches or other conveyance methods away from mining areas via gravity drainage so as to prevent or minimize the potential for interaction with sediments disturbed by mining operations (including contact with the mined coal seam)*”. Because under this plan the alluvial groundwater (which constitutes the great majority of the groundwater in the mine area) will be routed away from the mining disturbed area, discharge of large quantities of mine water from the mine pits should not be necessary. Minor quantities of groundwater that could potentially be encountered within the Smirl coal seam or from the overlying Tropic Shale bedrock may be managed within the mine

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

pits (i.e. utilized as dust suppression water, buried in the mine pits with the backfill material, or when necessary pumped from the pit areas and discharged through sediment ponds in compliance with the mine's UPDES permit). The UPDES permit for the Coal Hollow Mine allows for the discharge of mine waters through Pond #3 and Pond #4.

Shallow alluvial groundwater flow in the Coal Hollow Mine generally occurs from upland recharge areas located to the east and north toward lower lying areas in the west and south. In order to intercept up-gradient alluvial groundwater, alluvial groundwater interceptor drains will be installed in selected hydraulically up-gradient locations oriented roughly perpendicular to the prevailing alluvial groundwater flow directions. Prior to construction of alluvial groundwater interceptor drains, a proceed for construction notification will be given to the Division to give the Division the opportunity to observe the construction and installation.

The alluvial groundwater interceptor drains will be appropriately sized to adequately pass the encountered quantities of intercepted alluvial groundwater. The specific design of individual alluvial intercept trenches will likely be variable from location to location based largely on the nature of the alluvial materials encountered. In every case, the interceptor drains will be constructed according to good engineering practices and in compliance with all applicable State and Federal rules to ensure their safe and effective operation.

It should be noted that the alluvial groundwater intercept drains are not intended for or designed to convey surface runoff waters. The finished grade of the land surface above the drains will

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

match adjacent areas such that alterations of existing surface-water drainage patterns will not occur.

Hydrogeologic and operational considerations will dictate the need (or lack thereof) for the placement of alluvial groundwater interceptor drains. The standard construction and operation of the drainage systems will be as follows. See Figure 1 and Drawing 5-40 for design details.

- 1) Alluvial groundwater intercept drains will be constructed in the alluvial sediments up-gradient of mining areas. In all cases, within the disturbance of the pit currently being mined or foot print of the area to-be-mined, in order to dewater ahead of mining. During construction, the excavation of the alluvial sediments will typically be performed using a track-hoe or similar piece of equipment. The depths of the drains will be determined based on the stratigraphy present and the hydrogeologic properties of the alluvial sediments encountered at the site. In some locations, the drain may be placed at the base of the alluvium near the contact with the underlying tropic shale formation. In other locations, the drain system may be placed at shallower depths in the alluvium (i.e. where the deeper alluvium is relatively impermeable or where perched-water conditions exist). Due to the operational limitations of the excavating equipment, and to the observed alluvial thicknesses in most of the proposed mining area, intercept drains with depths of greater than 30 feet are not anticipated at this time.

- 2) The interceptor drains will be constructed with a slight gradient (typically less than 2%).

One or more perforated, fabric-wrapped 6-inch plastic pipes will be placed in a bed of

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2012
DIV. OF CO, GAS & UTILITIES

drain gravel in the excavated trench. The pipe(s) will then be covered with additional drain gravel within the targeted alluvial stratum.

- 3) A geotextile filter fabric will be emplaced to cover the drain rock, which will minimize the potential for movement of fine-grained sediments into the drain field.
- 4) The upper portion of the trench will then be backfilled with native materials.
- 5) At the interceptor drain discharge location, a nominal 36-inch diameter steel culvert will be installed vertically. Where sustained groundwater inflow rates are substantial (greater than a few tens of gallons per minute), a larger diameter steel culvert may be utilized.
- 6) The interceptor drain system will be designed such that the maximum anticipated hydraulic head that could occur in the system will be less than the elevation of the top of the culvert discharge structure such that gravity free-flow from the system will not occur. Using this technique, the rate of dewatering may be controlled by controlling the rate of pumping from the discharge structure (up to the maximum rate of natural inflow into the drain system).
- 7) The intercepted alluvial groundwater from the drain field will flow through the plastic pipe(s) into the discharge structure through one or more inlet ports in the steel culvert.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012 4

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

The base of the vertically-installed steel culvert will include a cemented bottom plug or a steel bottom plug to prevent the intrusion of surrounding sediments into the culvert.

- 8) A control valve will be installed at the outlet structure, through which the rate of discharge from the drain system may be controlled (or stopped). When the valve is closed and discharge from the drain ceases, alluvial groundwater migration across the drain field to down-gradient locations in the alluvial groundwater system may continue.
- 9) The finished ground surface above the drain system will be contoured to match the surrounding topography. It should be noted that the interceptor drains will be placed in permitted disturbance areas (with soils removed) only.
- 10) A pump will be placed at the outlet structure to pump the water from the steel structure through a pipe to the outfall location. The discharge location in Lower Robinson Creek is at UPDES discharge permit outfall 005.
- 11) After construction of the drain system is completed, the system will be developed and flushed by pumping until residual fine-grained sediments that may remain in the system from construction are cleared and the water quality is acceptable for discharge. Prior to the discharge of water from the system to the UPDES discharge point, it will be demonstrated that the discharge water meets the effluent limitations for the UPDES permit. At a minimum, the mine will collect and analyze a sample for UPDES effluent limitation parameters and receive these results prior to discharging. These results will

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

also be provided to the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining. Development water not suitable for direct discharge at UPDES 005 will be routed to a sediment pond for treatment or used as mine process water.

12) During the period of the drain system's operation, a discharge pump will be placed at the steel discharge structure that has sufficient capacity to discharge the desired quantity of water. (As noted previously, it will not be necessary to pump water from the system at the maximum inflow rate or in a continuous manner unless desired). The pump will be connected to a float or similar system to control the pump operation.

13) Because the water enters the discharge structure through the plastic pipe and the discharge structure will be a closed steel/concrete system, the potential for disturbing and picking up suspended sediments as a result of pumping-induced turbulence will be minimized.

14) Only suitable, uncontaminated groundwater will be discharged to the outfall location. Water from the interceptor drains may also be used for dust suppression or mine process water.

15) Based on the locations of the current mining areas, alluvial groundwaters intercepted in these areas will be routed (piped) to the proposed outfall location on Lower Robinson Creek (UPDES 005). As mining progresses to the south in the Sink Valley drainage,

DEC 20 2012

DEC 20 2012

DEC 20 2012

intercepted alluvial groundwaters from these areas may be pumped to a suitable approved location on Sink Valley Wash.

16) The water quality and discharge rates from the alluvial groundwater intercept system will be monitored as per the requirements of the UPDES permit with the addition of dissolved Selenium required in accordance with MRP Permit Condition No.4.

17) When an alluvial groundwater interceptor drain is no longer needed, pumping from the drain will cease. Generally, the interceptor drains will be located in disturbed areas that will eventually be mined out. Accordingly, these drains will eventually be mined through and removed by the mining process. If any of the interceptor drains are constructed in disturbed areas that are not to be mined, these drains will be closed by removing the discharge structure, plugging the discharge end(s) of the plastic pipe(s) and backfilling with native low-permeability clayey materials.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

City of CL, Doc 7-111712

Alluvial Groundwater Pumping Protocol

Alluvial groundwaters that may be captured in the alluvial groundwater intercept drains at the Coal Hollow Mine will be routed to a steel/concrete discharge and then discharged to receiving waters under an approved UPDES permit. Currently, there are no operating alluvial groundwater interceptor drains at the Coal Hollow Mine. Where necessary, alluvial interceptor drains that may be installed in the vicinity of the current mining operations will discharge water to the Lower Robinson Creek drainage under UPDES permit UTG040027-005. When mining occurs in other portions of the permit area in the future, discharge to the Sink Valley Wash drainage may occur under an approved UPDES permit.

In order to minimize the potential for erosion of the stream channel of the receiving drainage, a protocol for the pumping of water from the discharge structure has been established. It should be emphasized that this Alluvial Groundwater Pumping Protocol may need to be modified in the future as mining conditions and mining locations change over time.

The protocol is summarized below.

- Alluvial groundwaters intercepted in the alluvial groundwater intercept drain(s) will be routed to the steel/concrete discharge structure.
- Waters will be intermittently pumped as necessary from the steel/concrete discharge structure to the receiving water at a UPDES discharge point (either Lower Robinson Creek or Sink Valley Wash).

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
8
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

- The Coal Hollow Mine's existing pumping system is designed to intermittently pump water through a mobile overland pipe system from the discharge structure to the receiving water at a rate of approximately 40 gpm.
- The end of the pump outlet hose will be fitted with an energy dissipating device to minimize the potential for erosion of the stream channel at the pump outlet.
- Water that is pumped to the Lower Robinson Creek diversion channel will be routed to the UPDES 005 discharge point as shown on MRP Drawing 5-3. This is an engineered channel that has been designed to promote stability and resistance to erosion. The channel is armored with rip-rap at the location of the discharge, which should minimize the potential for erosion. When discharge to the Sink Valley Wash drainage becomes necessary, this discharge will occur under a UPDES permit and the stream drainage at the discharge location will be similarly armored with rip-rap to minimize the potential for erosion.
- Where discharge is to be routed to the Lower Robinson Creek stream channel at UPDES 005, the discharged waters will flow intermittently down the engineered diversion channel for a distance of approximately 2,000 feet to the confluence with the natural Lower Robinson Creek stream channel (see MRP Drawing 5-3). It should be noted that natural seepage of water is generally present in the natural Lower Robinson Creek stream channel at this location. This reach of Lower Robinson Creek has previously been considered as intermittent in nature.

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

It should be noted here that the Lower Robinson Creek stream channel is not currently in a stable condition (see Coal Hollow Mine MRP, Section 728.333). This condition (which long pre-dates mining activity in the region) has been documented in the Coal Hollow Mine MRP. The mining and reclamation plan for the Coal Hollow Mine has been designed to minimize the potential for sediment yield and erosion in the mine permit area. Specifically, the mining and reclamation plan for the Lower Robinson Creek has been designed to leave the drainage in a condition at final bond release that is at least as stable as it was in the pre-mining condition.

Accordingly, while reasonable efforts will be made to minimize the potential for erosion of the stream channel, some erosion of the stream channel may occur.

Alton Coal Development, LLC has obtained a valid water right from the Utah Division of Water Rights to utilize alluvial groundwater for dust suppression and industrial use at the Coal Hollow Mine area and facilities (Water Right a36784). The point of diversion for this water right is from well ID# 434305, located in Section 29, T39S, R5W, SLBM. This well produces groundwater from the alluvial groundwater system in Sink Valley. The proposed alluvial groundwater interceptor drains will also intercept groundwater exclusively from the alluvial groundwater systems. Where necessary, Alton Coal Development, LLC will pursue an appropriate modification to the point of diversion for this water right to reflect the potential uses of alluvial groundwater derived from the proposed interceptor drains for dust suppression and industrial use at the Coal Hollow Mine.

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining
10

At this time, no alluvial groundwater interceptor drains are proposed for construction at the Coal Hollow Mine. It is anticipated that alluvial groundwater interceptor drains will be needed at a future time if large alluvial groundwater inflows are encountered in the mine pit areas. It is likely that alluvial groundwater intercept drains would be placed along the eastern edge of the active mine pit areas. If appreciable groundwater inflows to the mine pit areas from other directions occur, alluvial groundwater interceptor drains adjacent to these alluvial groundwater systems may also be constructed. Alton Coal Development, LLC will provide to the Division a map showing the area where interceptor drains are proposed for construction at such a time as these become necessary. Alton Coal Development, LLC will provide to the division an updated copy of this map within 15 days of the construction of any alluvial groundwater interceptor drains. The map will include a legend with details on construction specifications in relation to the standard design.

Standard design specifications for alluvial groundwater interceptor drains are described below. The drains will be constructed within previously permitted disturbed areas that are hydraulically upgradient of mine pit areas where such drains are necessary. If alluvial groundwater intercept drains are required in other areas, these will be constructed upon approval from the Division. The length of a drain will be approximately equal to the length of the pit margin adjacent to the alluvial groundwater system that is to be intercepted. In some locations, the length of the trench may exceed the width of the mine pit by up to 30 percent in order to facilitate adequate interception of the alluvial groundwater system. The width of the drain excavation will be

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining
11

proportional to the depth of the excavated trench and engineered to ensure trench wall stability and the safety of construction personnel.

Engineering drawings showing the design specifications for the alluvial groundwater intercept trenches are shown in Figure 1 and in Drawing 5-40. As shown on Drawing 5-40, the drains will be constructed with 6-inch perforated poly-pipe. The pipe will be placed from the surface and where necessary individual rolls of pipe will also be joined at the surface. The flow capacity of the system will be determined based on the magnitude of the intercepted alluvial groundwater inflow. The flow capacity of the system can be increased as needed by placing additional 6-inch perforated poly-pipe in the trench.

Subsequent to the commencement of mining operations at the Coal Hollow Mine, the rates of groundwater inflow into the mine pit areas have generally been low. Discharge of mine water through the UPDES points has occurred on only a few occasions and in modest quantities. Most of the water that has been encountered has been utilized for dust suppression at the mine site. Records of the amount of mine water utilized for this purpose have routinely been kept by mine personnel. Additionally, flow rates at the UPDES discharge points are also monitored and reported as required in the UPDES discharge permit. Table 1 presents a summary of alluvial groundwater encountered at the Coal Hollow Mine for the 12-month period from July 2011 to June 2012. Sources of alluvial water presented in Table 1 include alluvial groundwater pumped from previously existing alluvial groundwater interceptor trenches for use in dust suppression,

DEC 20 2012
12
Div. of En. Cons. & Int'l Aff.

water pumped from the floor of the mine pits for use in dust suppression, discharge of water from Pond 3 to Lower Robinson Creek at the UPDES 003 outfall, and discharge of alluvial groundwater to Lower Robinson Creek at the UPDES 005 outfall. It should be noted that most of these measured sources of water also include accumulated precipitation waters. As shown in Table 1, it is apparent that the total alluvial groundwater from all sources was measured at approximately 19.1 gpm. Based on an analysis of precipitation rates measured during this 12-month period, Alton Coal Development has estimated that the average alluvial-groundwater-derived groundwater interception rate was likely less than 10 gpm during this period. This analysis indicates that the total rate of alluvial groundwater interception during this period was modest. It has been the experience at the Coal Hollow Mine that alluvial groundwater inflows of this magnitude are manageable under the existing design of the Coal Hollow Mine. Alton Coal Development will continue to monitor the amount of water utilized for dust control and other industrial uses as well as the water potentially discharged through the UPDES discharge points. This information will be made available to the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining as requested.

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining


Table 1 Monthly alluvial groundwater quantities, July 2011 - June 2012.

	Alluvial trench water pumped for dust suppression (gallons)	Mine pit water pumped for dust suppression* (gallons)	Pond 3 water pumped for dust suppression* (gallons)	Pond 3 discharge to creek - UPDES 003 outfall* (gallons)	UPDES 005 outfall to creek (gallons)	Total alluvial groundwater* (gallons)	Total alluvial groundwater* (gpm)
July 2011	773,500	212,500	42,500	0	0	1,028,500	23.0
August 2011	1,504,500	416,500	0	0	0	1,921,000	43.0
September 2011	391,000	357,000	0	0	0	748,000	17.3
October 2011	0	153,000	0	0	178,560	331,560	7.4
November 2011	0	297,500	0	216,000	216,000	729,500	16.9
December 2011	0	323,000	0	0	0	323,000	7.2
January 2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
February 2012	0	1,113,500	0	0	0	1,113,500	26.7
March 2012	0	824,500	0	0	0	824,500	18.5
April 2012	0	850,000	0	0	0	850,000	19.7
May 2012	0	1,105,000	34,000	0	0	1,139,000	25.5
June 2012	0	748,000	297,500	0	0	1,045,500	24.2
Average						837,838	19.1

*Total includes precipitation water


INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

		DE-WATERING SYSTEM DETAILS PROJECT ALTON, UTAH [Figure 1]	REVISIONS DATE: BY:		CHECKED BY:
			DRAWN BY:	K. NICHOLS LMA	DRAWING:
				12/12/11	Figure 1
				Not to Scale	SHEET



NOT TO SCALE

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining



INCORPORATED
 DEC 20 2012
 Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

GREG BELL
Lieutenant Governor

Department of
Environmental Quality

Amanda Smith
Executive Director

DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY
Walter L. Baker, P.E.
Director

Water Quality Board
Paula Doughty, *Chair*
Steven P. Simpson, *Vice-Chair*
Myron E. Bateman
Clyde L. Bunker
Merritt K. Frey
Darrell H. Mensel
Leland J. Myers
Neal L. Peacock
Gregory L. Rowley
Amanda Smith
Daniel C. Snarr
Jeffery L. Tucker
Walter L. Baker
Executive Secretary

September 26, 2011

CERTIFIED MAIL
(Return Receipt requested)

Mr. Kirk Nicholes, Environmental Specialist
Alton Coal Development, LLC
463 North 100 West, Suite 1
Cedar City, UT 84721

Dear Mr. Nicholes:

Subject: Addition of Outfall 005, UPDES Coal Mine General Permit Coverage No. UTG040027
for the Alton Coal Development – Coal Hollow Mine Site near Alton, Utah.

As per your request of August 23, 2011, an additional outfall known as 005 located at 37°24'5.04" North Latitude and 112°27'20.91" West Longitude, WGS84 Datum has been added to your permit. Enclosed is a signed copy of the modified Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (UPDES) General Permit No. UTG040000 for the above referenced facility. Coverage under this general permit for your facility is referred to as application number UTG040027. The conditions and requirements of the modified permit are effective as of October 1, 2011. Copies of EPA form 3320-1, Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR) forms, for reporting and self-monitoring requirements as specified in the permit, will be sent to you for Outfall 005 by email. As a reminder, DMR forms are due in our office by the 28th of each month following each monthly monitoring period.

A fee schedule was included in the Utah Department of Environmental Quality Budget appropriation request at the direction of the Legislature and in accordance with Utah Code annotated 19-1-201. The fee schedule, as approved by the legislature, includes a prescribed fee for permit coverage modification. The prescribed fee for this general permit coverage modification is \$90.00 per hour. It took four hours to amend this permit therefore the permit fee is 4 hrs X \$90.00/hour = \$360.00. Therefore, please remit \$360.00 within 30 days from receipt of this letter to:

Department of Environmental Quality
Division of Water Quality
Attn: Jaclyn Knudsen
P.O. Box 144870
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

Please be sure to include the invoice number with you remittance.

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Page 2

If you have any questions with regards to this matter, please contact Mike Herkimer of this office at (801) 536-4386 or by e-mail at mherkimer@utah.gov.

Sincerely,

UTAH WATER QUALITY BOARD

Walter L. Baker, P.E.
Executive Secretary

WLB:MDH:mc

Enclosure

cc: Colleen Gillespie, EPA Region VIII (w/ Encl.)
Robert R. Beers, SW Utah Public Health (w/ Encl.)
John Chartier, SW District Engineer (w/ Encl.)
Daron Haddock, Utah Division of Oil Gas & Mining (w/ Encl.)
Jim Karpowitz, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
Nathan Darnall, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Services

F:\MHWp\Alton Coal Development, LLC\Cover ltr to permit med for Alton Coal 8-21-2011.doc

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining



STATE OF UTAH
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY

INVOICE NUMBER: 27-204

BILL TO: Alton Coal Development, LLC
Mr. Kirk Nicholes, Environmental Specialist
463 North 100 West, Suite 1
Cedar City, UT 84721

INVOICE DATE: 9/20/2011

REMIT TO: JALYNN KNUDSEN
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY
P.O. BOX 144870
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84114-4870

PERMIT NO: UTG040027

PAYMENT DUE DATE 10/20/2011

QTY	DESCRIPTION	PRICE	AMOUNT
4	UPDES Coal Mine General Permit Coverage No. UTG040027 Alton Coal Development-Coal Hollow Mine Site near Alton, Utah Staff Time Preparing Permit Amendment	\$ 90.00	\$ 360.00
TOTAL			\$ 360.00

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF INVOICE WITH PAYMENT

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

TELEPHONE 801-536-4300

FAX 801-536-4301

PLEASE REFERENCE INVOICE NUMBER ON CHECK

STATE OF UTAH
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE
UTAH POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM
(UPDES)
GENERAL PERMIT FOR COAL MINING

In compliance with provisions of the *Utah Water Quality Act, Title 19, Chapter 5, Utah Code Annotated ("UCA") 1953, as amended (the "Act")*,

Alton Coal Development, LLC – Coal Hollow Project

as identified in the application No. UTG040027 and request for modification on August 23, 2011, is authorized to discharge from the Coal Hollow Project outfalls to receiving waters named:


Lower Robinson Creek and Sink Valley Wash, tributaries to Kanab Creek and the Colorado River

in accordance with discharge points, effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions as set forth herein.

This modified permit coverage shall become effective on October 1, 2011.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, April 30, 2013.

Signed this 26th day of September, 2011



Walter L. Baker, P.E.
Executive Secretary
Utah Water Quality Board

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Cover Sheet--Issuance and Expiration Dates</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
I.	EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	3
A.	Criteria for Inclusion in the General Permit for Coal Mining.....	3
B.	Notice of Intent for General Permit for Coal Mining.....	3
C.	Description of Discharge Point(s).....	4
D.	Narrative Standard.....	4
E.	Specific Limitations and Self-monitoring Requirements.....	5
F.	Storm Water Requirements.....	6
II.	MONITORING, RECORDING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	17
A.	Representative Sampling.....	17
B.	Monitoring Procedures.....	17
C.	Penalties for Tampering.....	17
D.	Reporting of Monitoring Results.....	17
E.	Compliance Schedules.....	17
F.	Additional Monitoring by the Permittee.....	17
G.	Records Contents.....	17
H.	Retention of Records.....	18
I.	Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting.....	18
J.	Other Noncompliance Reporting.....	19
K.	Inspection and Entry.....	19
III.	COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES	20
A.	Duty to Comply.....	20
B.	Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions.....	20
C.	Need to Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense.....	20
D.	Duty to Mitigate.....	20
E.	Proper Operation and Maintenance.....	20
F.	Removed Substances.....	20
G.	Bypass of Treatment Facilities.....	20
H.	Upset Conditions.....	22
I.	Toxic Pollutants.....	22
J.	Changes in Discharge of Toxic Substances.....	22
K.	Industrial Pretreatment.....	23
IV.	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.....	24
A.	Planned Changes.....	24
B.	Anticipated Noncompliance.....	24
C.	Permit Actions.....	24
D.	Duty to Reapply.....	24
E.	Duty to Provide Information.....	24
F.	Other Information.....	24
G.	Signatory Requirements.....	24
H.	Penalties for Falsification of Reports.....	25
I.	Availability of Reports.....	25
J.	Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability.....	25
K.	Property Rights.....	25
L.	Severability.....	25
M.	Transfers.....	25
N.	State Laws.....	26
O.	Water Quality-Reopener Provision.....	26
P.	Toxicity Limitation-Reopener Provision.....	26
V.	GLOSSARY OF TERMS	27
A.	Definitions.....	27

INCORPORATED

I. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A. Criteria for Inclusion in the General Permit for Coal Mining

This General permit shall apply only to the discharge of treated wastewater from:

Coal mining operations either new or existing in Utah which include or will include in part, or in whole, alkaline mine water drainage, storm water runoff from coal preparation plant associated areas, active mining areas, and post mining areas until the performance bond is released. The total dissolved solids (TDS) are limited to a concentration of 500 mg/L at all discharge points, or one ton per day as a sum from all discharges.

B. Notice of Intent for a General Permit for Coal Mining

Any facility which desires coverage under this general permit for coal mining and meets the requirements of Part I.A. may be issued general permit coverage by submitting a notice of intent (NOI) to the Division of Water Quality.

The NOI shall include:

1. A completed Environmental Protection Agency Application (EPA Form 3510-1) or equivalent information.
2. Location and identification number (such as 001, 002, etc.) of each existing discharge and/or proposed discharge point(s). This includes the latitude and longitude to the nearest 15 seconds and the name of the receiving water(s).
3. A description of the source of the wastewater for each discharge point.
4. A description of the treatment given or proposed for the wastewater at each discharge point and if necessary a justification of why no treatment is required.
5. Flow characteristics for each discharge point such as whether flow is or will be continuous or intermittent and indicate projected and/or actual average and maximum flows in gallons per day (gpd), or million gallons per day (MGD).
6. Data for each discharge point for the following parameters:
 - a. Biochemical demand (BOD₅).
 - b. Chemical oxygen demand (COD).
 - c. Total organic carbon (TOC).
 - d. Total suspended solids (TSS).
 - e. Flow.
 - f. Ammonia (as N).
 - g. Oil and grease.
 - h. Temperature.
 - i. pH.
 - j. Total dissolved solids (TDS).
 - k. Total iron and metals, cyanide, phenols located in *Table III of UAC R317-8-3.12*.
 - l. For discharge(s) of mine water or mine water and mine water mixed with surface runoff one acute whole efficiency toxicity test (WET) using two species and full dilution series

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

(five dilutions plus a control). Sediment pond discharges which have only surface runoff do not require WET tests.

- m. Date and time of sampling for each parameter.
- n. Date and time of analysis for each parameter.
- o. Utah certified laboratory which has completed the analysis for each parameter.

For each discharge point the presence or absence of any toxic and/or priority pollutants as listed in *Table II, UAC R317-8-3.13*.

C. Description of Discharge Point(s).

The authorization to discharge provided under this permit is limited to those outfalls specifically designated below as discharge locations. Discharges at any location not authorized under a UPDES permit is a violation of the *Act* and may be subject to penalties under the *Act*. Knowingly discharging from an unauthorized location or failing to report an unauthorized discharge may be subject to criminal penalties as provided under the *Act*.

<u>Outfall Number</u>	<u>Location of Discharge Point(s)</u>
001	Storm water runoff from sediment pond #1 to Lower Robinson Creek, Latitude 37° 24' 13" N, Longitude 112°27'13"W.
001B	Storm water runoff from sediment pond #1B to Lower Robinson Creek, Latitude 37° 24' 11" N, Longitude 112°27'16"W.
002	Storm water runoff from sediment pond #2 to Lower Robinson Creek, Latitude 37° 24' 10" N, Longitude 112°27'16"W.
003	Ground water and storm water runoff from sediment pond #3 to Lower Robinson Creek, Latitude 37° 23' 51" N, Longitude 112°27'53"W.
004	Ground water and storm water runoff from sediment pond #4 to Sink Valley Wash, Latitude 37° 23' 01" N, Longitude 112°27'03"W.
005	Up-gradient alluvial groundwater discharged from a collection sump to Lower Robinson Creek, Latitude 37°24'5.04 N, and Longitude 112°27'20.91 W.

D. Narrative Standard.

It shall be unlawful, and a violation of this permit, for the permittee to discharge or place any waste or other substance in such a way as will be or may become offensive such as unnatural deposits, floating debris, oil, scum or other nuisances such as color, odor or taste, or cause conditions which produce undesirable aquatic life or which produce objectionable tastes in edible aquatic organisms; or result in concentrations or combinations of substances which produce undesirable physiological responses in desirable resident fish, or other desirable aquatic life, or undesirable human health effects, as determined by bioassay or other tests performed in accordance with standard procedures.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

E. Specific Limitations and Self-monitoring Requirements.

1. Effective immediately and lasting the duration of this permit, the permittee is authorized to discharge from Outfalls 001, 001B, 002, 003, and 004. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

Effluent Characteristics	Discharge Limitations <u>a/</u>			Monitoring Requirements	
	Average 30-Day	7-Day	Daily Maximum	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Flow, gpd or MGD	N/A	N/A	NA	Monthly	Measured <u>b/</u>
Oil & Grease, mg/L	N/A	N/A	10 <u>c/</u>	Monthly	Visual/Grab
Total Iron, mg/L	N/A	N/A	1.0	Monthly	Grab <u>e/</u>
Total Suspended Solids, mg/L	25	35	70	Monthly	Grab <u>e/</u>
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L	500 <u>d/</u>	N/A	NA	Monthly	Grab <u>e/</u>

The pH shall not be less than 6.5 standard units nor greater than 9.0 standard units in any sample and shall be monitored monthly by a grab sample.

There shall be no visible sheen or floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts.

There shall be no discharge of sanitary wastes or process water from coal preparation plants.

N.A. - Not Applicable.

a/ See Definitions, *Part V.A* for definition of terms.

b/ For intermittent discharge, the duration of the discharge shall also be reported.

c/ If a visual sheen for oil and/or grease is observed, or there is another reason to believe oil and/or grease may be present in the discharge, then a grab sample must be taken immediately and the results shall not exceed 10 mg/L.

d/ If each outfall cannot achieve a 30-day average of 500 mg/L, then the permittee is limited to one ton (2000 lbs) per day as a sum from all outfalls.

e/ These samples may also be a composite sample.

2. Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements specified above shall be taken at the following location(s): in the final effluent before mixing with any receiving waters.
3. Any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24 hour period less than or equal to the 10-year, 24-hour precipitation event (or snowmelt of equivalent volume) at outfall(s) (from approved decant procedures only) may comply with the following limitations instead of the otherwise applicable limitations for TSS in Part I.E.1:

Effluent Characteristics

Daily Maximum

Settleable Solids

0.5 ml/L

In addition to the monitoring requirements specified under Part I.E.1 all effluent samples collected during storm water discharge events shall also be analyzed for settleable solids. Such analyses shall be conducted monthly by grab samples.

4. Any discharge or increase in the volume of a discharge caused by precipitation within any 24 hour period greater than the 10-year, 24 hour precipitation event (or snowmelt of equivalent volume) at outfall(s) from sedimentation ponds may comply with the following limitations instead of the otherwise applicable limitations:

The pH shall not be less than 6.5 standard units nor greater than 9.0 standard units. However as stated in Part I.E.3, all effluent samples collected during storm-water discharge events shall be analyzed for settleable solids and parameters identified under Part I.E.1.

5. The operator shall have the burden of proof that the discharge or increase in discharge was caused by the applicable precipitation event described in Parts I.E.3 and 4. The alternate limitations in Parts I.E.3 and 4 shall not apply to treatment systems that treat underground mine water only.
6. Additional monitoring shall be required for facilities that discharge into waters or watersheds on the Utah 303(d) list of impaired waters. These facilities shall be required to monitor for the pollutant(s) that cause the impairment for these waters. The Division of Water Quality will incorporate any additional sampling requirements for parameters of concern.

F. Storm Water Requirements. It has been determined that the aforementioned permittee has a regulated storm water discharge as per UAC R317-8-3.9., therefore, the following permit conditions governing storm water discharges apply.

1. Coverage of This Section.

a. Discharges Covered Under This Section. The requirements listed under this section shall apply to storm water discharges from this permitted facility, subject to effluent limitations listed in Part I.E. of this permit.

- 1) Site Coverage. Storm water discharges from the following portions of this permitted facility may be eligible for this permit: haul roads (nonpublic roads on which coal or coal refuse is conveyed), access roads (nonpublic roads providing light vehicular traffic within the facility property and to public roadways), railroad spurs, sidings, and internal haulage lines (rail lines used for hauling coal within the facility property and to offsite commercial railroad lines or loading areas), conveyor belts, chutes, and aerial tramway haulage areas (areas under and around coal or refuse conveyor areas, including transfer stations), equipment storage and maintenance yards, coal handling buildings and structures, and inactive coal mines and related areas (abandoned and other inactive mines, refuse disposal sites and other mining-related areas on private lands).

2. Prohibition of Non-storm Water Discharges.

a. The following non-storm water discharges may be authorized by this permit provided the non-storm water component of the discharge is in compliance with this section; fire fighting activities; fire hydrant flushings; potable water sources including waterline flushings; drinking fountain water; irrigation drainage, lawn watering, routine external

building washdown water where detergents or other compounds have not been used in the process; pavement washwaters where spills or leaks of toxic or hazardous materials (including oils and fuels) have not occurred (unless all spilled material has been removed) and where detergents are not used; air conditioning condensate; uncontaminated compressor condensate; uncontaminated springs; uncontaminated ground water; and foundation or footing drains where flows are not contaminated with process materials such as solvents.

3. Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan Requirements. Most of the active coal mining-related areas, described in paragraph 1. above, are subject to sediment and erosion control regulations of the U.S. Office of Surface Mining (OSM) that enforces the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act (SMCRA). OSM has granted authority to the Utah Division of Oil Gas and Mining (DOG M) to implement SMCRA through State SMCRA regulations. All SMCRA requirements regarding control of erosion, siltation and other pollutants resulting from storm water runoff, including road dust resulting from erosion, shall be primary requirements of the pollution prevention plan and shall be included in the contents of the plan directly, or by reference. Where determined to be appropriate for protection of water quality, additional sedimentation and erosion controls may be warranted.

a. Contents of Plan. The plan shall include at a minimum, the following items:

- 1) Pollution Prevention Team. Each plan shall identify a specific individual or individuals within the facility organization as members of a storm water Pollution Prevention Team that are responsible for developing the storm water pollution prevention plan and assisting the facility manager in its implementation, maintenance, and revision. The plan shall clearly identify the responsibilities of each team member. The activities and responsibilities of the team shall address all aspects of the facility's storm water pollution prevention plan.
- 2) Description of Potential Pollutant Sources. Each plan shall provide a description of potential sources that may reasonably be expected to add significant amounts of pollutants to storm water discharges or that may result in the discharge of pollutants during dry weather from separate storm sewers draining the facility. Each plan shall identify all activities and significant materials that may potentially be significant pollutant sources. Each plan shall include, at a minimum:

a) Deadlines for Plan Preparation and Compliance

The permittee shall prepare, implement and/or update a plan in compliance with the provisions of this section within 270 days of the effective date of this permit.

b) Keeping Plans Current

The permittee shall amend the plan whenever there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance, that has a significant effect on the potential for the discharge of pollutants to the waters of the State or if the storm water pollution prevention plan proves to be ineffective in eliminating or significantly minimizing pollutants from sources identified by the plan, or in otherwise achieving the general objectives of controlling pollutants in storm water discharges associated with the

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

activities at the mine.

c) Drainage.

-
- (1) A site map, such as a drainage map required for SMCRA permit applications, that indicate drainage areas and storm water outfalls. These shall include but not be limited to the following:
- (a) Drainage direction and discharge points from all applicable mining-related areas described in paragraph 1.a(1). (Site Coverage) above, including culvert and sump discharges from roads and rail beds and also from equipment and maintenance areas subject to storm runoff of fuel, lubricants and other potentially harmful liquids.
 - (b) Location of each existing erosion and sedimentation control structure or other control measures for reducing pollutants in storm water runoff.
 - (c) Receiving streams or other surface water bodies.
 - (d) Locations exposed to precipitation that contain acidic spoil, refuse or unreclaimed disturbed areas.
 - (e) Locations where major spills or leaks of toxic or hazardous pollutants have occurred.
 - (f) Locations where liquid storage tanks containing potential pollutants, such as caustics, hydraulic fluids and lubricants, are exposed to precipitation.
 - (g) Locations where fueling stations, vehicle and equipment maintenance areas are exposed to precipitation.
 - (h) Locations of outfalls and the types of discharges contained in the drainage areas of the outfalls.
- (2) For each area of the facility that generates storm water discharges associated with the mining-related activity with a reasonable potential for containing significant amounts of pollutants, a prediction of the direction of flow, and an identification of the types of pollutants that are likely to be present in storm water discharges associated with the activity. Factors to consider include the toxicity of the pollutant; quantity of chemicals used, produced or discharged; the likelihood of contact with storm water; and history of significant leaks or spills of toxic or hazardous pollutants. Flows with a significant potential for causing erosion shall be identified.

- d) Inventory of Exposed Materials. An inventory of the types of materials handled at the site that potentially may be exposed to precipitation. Such inventory shall include a narrative description of significant materials

that have been handled, treated, stored or disposed in a manner to allow exposure to storm water method and location of onsite storage or disposal; materials management practices employed to minimize contact of materials with storm water runoff a description of existing structural and nonstructural control measures to reduce pollutants in storm water runoff; and a description of any treatment the storm water receives.

- e) Spills and Leaks. A list of significant spills and leaks of toxic or hazardous pollutants that occurred at areas that are exposed to precipitation or that otherwise drain to a storm water conveyance at the facility beginning 3 years prior to the effective date of this permit. Such list shall be updated as appropriate during the term of the permit.
 - f) Sampling Data. A summary of any existing discharge sampling data describing pollutants in storm water discharges from the portions of the facility covered by this permit, including a summary of any sampling data collected during the term of this permit.
 - g) Risk Identification and Summary of Potential Pollutant Sources. A narrative description of the potential pollutant sources from the following activities: truck traffic on haul roads and resulting generation of sediment subject to runoff and dust generation; fuel or other liquid storage; pressure lines containing slurry, hydraulic fluid or other potential harmful liquids; and loading or temporary storage of acidic refuse or spoil. Specific potential pollutants shall be identified where known.
- 3) Measures and Controls. The permittee shall develop a description of storm water management controls appropriate for the facility and implement such controls. The appropriateness and priorities of controls in a plan shall reflect identified potential sources of pollutants at the permitted facility. The description of storm water management controls shall address the following minimum components, including a schedule for implementing such controls.
- a) Good Housekeeping. Good housekeeping requires the maintenance of areas that may contribute pollutants to storm water discharges in a clean, orderly manner. These are practices that would minimize the generation of pollutants at the source or before it would be necessary to employ sediment ponds or other control measures at the discharge outlets. Where applicable, such measures or other equivalent measures would include the following: sweepers and covered storage to minimize dust generation and storm runoff; conservation of vegetation where possible to minimize erosion; watering of haul roads to minimize dust generation; collection, removal, and proper disposal of waste oils and other fluids resulting from vehicle and equipment maintenance; or other equivalent measures.
 - b) Preventive Maintenance. A preventive maintenance program shall involve timely inspection and maintenance of storm water management devices as well as inspecting and testing facility equipment and systems to uncover conditions that could cause breakdowns or failures resulting in discharges of pollutants to surface waters, and ensuring appropriate

maintenance of such equipment and systems. Where applicable, such measures would include the following: removal and proper disposal of settled solids in catch basins to allow sufficient retention capacity; periodic replacement of siltation control measures subject to deterioration such as straw bales; inspections of storage tanks and pressure lines for fuels, lubricants, hydraulic fluid or slurry to prevent leaks due to deterioration or faulty connections; or other equivalent measures.

- c) Spill Prevention and Response Procedures. Areas where potential spills that can contribute pollutants to storm water discharges can occur, and their accompanying drainage points shall be identified clearly in the storm water pollution prevention plan. Where appropriate, specifying material handling procedures, storage requirements, and use of equipment such as diversion valves in the plan should be considered. Procedures for cleaning up spills shall be identified in the plan and made available to the appropriate personnel. The necessary equipment to implement a clean up shall be available to personnel.
- d) Inspections. In addition to or as part of the comprehensive site evaluation required under paragraph 3.a.(4) of this section, qualified facility personnel shall be identified to inspect designated areas of the facility at appropriate intervals specified in the plan. The following shall be included in the plan:
- (1) Active Mining-Related Areas and Those Inactive Areas Under SMCRA Bond Authority. The plan shall require quarterly inspections by the facility personnel for areas of the facility covered by pollution prevention plan requirements. This inspection interval corresponds with the quarterly inspections for the entire facility required to be provided by SMCRA authority inspectors for all mining-related areas under SMCRA authority, including sediment and erosion control measures. Inspections by the facility representative may be done at the same time as the mandatory inspections performed by SMCRA inspectors. Records of inspections of the SMCRA authority facility representative shall be maintained.
 - (2) Inactive Mining-Related Areas Not Under SMCRA Bond. The plan shall require annual inspections by the facility representative except in situations referred to in paragraph 3.a.(4)(d) below.
 - (3) Inspection Records. The plan shall require that inspection records of the facility representative and those of the SMCRA authority inspector shall be maintained. A set of tracking or follow-up procedures shall be used to ensure that appropriate actions are taken in response to the inspections.
- e) Employee Training. Employee training programs shall inform personnel responsible for implementing activities identified in the storm water pollution prevention plan or otherwise responsible for storm water

management at all levels of responsibility of the components and goals of the storm water pollution prevention plan. Training should address topics such as spill response, good housekeeping and material management practices. The pollution prevention plan shall identify periodic dates for such training.

- f) Record keeping and Internal Reporting Procedures. A description of incidents (such as spills, or other discharges) along with other information describing the quality and quantity of storm water discharges shall be included in the plan required under this part. Inspections and maintenance activities shall be documented and records of such activities shall be incorporated into the plan.
- g) Non-storm Water Discharges.
- (1) Certification. The plan shall include a certification that the discharge has been tested or evaluated for the presence of non-storm water discharges such as drainage from underground portions of inactive mines or floor drains from maintenance or coal handling buildings. The certification shall include the identification of potential significant sources of non-storm water discharges at the site, a description of the results of any test and/or evaluation, a description of the evaluation criteria or testing method used, the date of any testing and/or evaluation, and the onsite drainage points that were directly observed during the test. Certifications shall be signed in accordance with Part IV.G.4. of this permit.
 - (2) Exceptions. Except for flows from fire fighting activities, authorized sources of non-storm water listed in Part I.F.2.a. that are combined with storm water discharges associated with industrial activity must be identified in the plan. The plan shall identify and ensure the implementation of appropriate pollution prevention measures for the non-storm water component(s) of the discharge.
 - (3) Failure to Certify. If the permittee is unable to provide the certification required (testing or other evaluation for non-storm water discharges), the Executive Secretary must be notified within 180 days after the effective date of this permit. If the failure to certify is caused by the inability to perform adequate tests or evaluations, such notification shall describe: the procedure of any test conducted for the presence of non-storm water discharges; the results of such test or other relevant observations; potential sources of non-storm water to the storm discharge lines; and why adequate tests for such storm discharge lines were not feasible. Non-storm water discharges to waters of the State that are not authorized by a UPDES permit are unlawful, and must be terminated.
- b) Sediment and Erosion Control. The plan shall identify areas that, due to topography, activities, or other factors, have a high potential for

significant soil erosion, and identify structural, vegetative, and/or stabilization measures to be used to limit erosion and reduce sediment concentrations in storm water discharges. As indicated in paragraph I.F.3. above, SMCRA requirements regarding sediment and erosion control measures are primary requirements of the pollution prevention plan for mining-related areas subject to SMCRA authority. The following sediment and erosion control measures or other equivalent measures, should be included in the plan where reasonable and appropriate for all areas subject to storm water runoff:

- (1) Stabilization Measures. Interim and permanent stabilization measures to minimize erosion and lessen amount of structural sediment control measures needed, including: mature vegetation preservation; temporary seeding; permanent seeding and planting; temporary mulching, matting, and netting; sod stabilization; vegetative buffer strips; temporary chemical mulch, soil binders, and soil palliatives; nonacidic road surfacing material; and protective trees.
 - (2) Structural Measures. Structural measures to lessen erosion and reduce sediment discharges, including: silt fences; earth dikes; straw dikes; gradient terraces; drainage swales; sediment traps; pipe slope drains; porous rock check dams; sedimentation ponds; riprap channel protection; capping of contaminated sources; and physical/chemical treatment of storm water.
- i) Management of Flow. The plan shall contain a narrative consideration of the appropriateness of traditional storm water management practices (other than those as sediment and erosion control measures listed above) used to manage storm water runoff in a manner that reduces pollutants in storm water runoff from the site. The plan shall provide that the measures, which the permittee determines to be reasonable and appropriate, shall be implemented and maintained. Appropriate measures may include: discharge diversions; drainage/storm water conveyances; runoff dispersion; sediment control and collection; vegetation/soil stabilization; capping of contaminated sources; treatment; or other equivalent measures.
- 4) Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation. Qualified personnel shall conduct site compliance evaluations at intervals specified in the plan, but in no case less than once a year. Such evaluations shall provide:
- a) Areas contributing to a storm water discharge associated with coal mining-related areas shall be visually inspected for evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system. These areas include haul and access roads; railroad spurs, sidings, and internal haulage lines; conveyor belts, chutes and aerial tramways; equipment storage and maintenance yards; coal handling buildings and structures; and inactive mines and related areas. Measures to reduce pollutant loadings shall be evaluated to determine whether they are adequate and properly implemented in accordance with the terms of the permit or whether additional control measures are needed. Structural storm water

management measures, sediment and erosion control measures, and other structural pollution prevention measures, as indicated in paragraphs 3.a.(3)(h) and 3.a.(3)(i) above and where identified in the plan, shall be observed to ensure that they are operating correctly. A visual evaluation of any equipment needed to implement the plan, such as spill response equipment, shall be made.

- b) Based on the results of the evaluation, the description of potential pollutant sources identified in the plan, in accordance with paragraph 3.a.(2) of this section, and pollution prevention measures and controls identified in the plan, in accordance with paragraph 3.a.(3) of this section, shall be revised as appropriate within 2 weeks of such evaluation and shall provide for implementation of any changes to the plan in a timely manner. For inactive mines, such revisions may be extended to a maximum of 12 weeks after the evaluation.
- c) A report summarizing the scope of the evaluation, personnel making the evaluation, the date(s) of the evaluation, major observations relating to the implementation of the storm water pollution prevention plan, and actions taken in accordance with paragraph 3.a.(4)(b) above shall be made and retained as part of the storm water pollution prevention plan for at least 3 years after the date of the evaluation. The report shall identify any incidents of noncompliance. Where a report does not identify any incidents of noncompliance, the report shall contain a certification that the facility is in compliance with the storm water pollution prevention plan and this permit. The report shall be signed in accordance with Part IV.G.4. (Signatory Requirements) of this permit.
- d) Where compliance evaluation schedules overlap with inspections required under 3.a.(3)(d), the compliance evaluation may be conducted in place of one such inspection. Where annual site compliance evaluations are shown in the plan to be impractical for inactive mining sites due to the remote location and inaccessibility of the site, site inspections required under this part shall be conducted at appropriate intervals specified in the plan, but, in no case less than once in 3 years.
4. Numeric Effluent Limitations. There are no additional numeric effluent limitations beyond those described in Part I.E. of this permit.
5. Monitoring and Reporting Requirements.
- a. Benchmark Analytical Monitoring Requirements. The permittee must monitor their storm water discharges associated with industrial activity at least quarterly (4 times per year) during years 2 and 4 of the permit cycle except as provided in paragraphs 5.a.(3) (Sampling Waiver), 5.a.(4) (Representative Discharge), and 5.a.(5) (Alternative Certification). The permittee is required to monitor their storm water discharges for the pollutants of concern listed in Table E, below. Reports must be made in accordance with 5.b. (Reporting). In addition to the parameters listed in Table E, below, the permittee must provide the date and duration (in hours) of the storm event(s) sampled; rainfall measurements or estimates (in inches) of the storm event that generated the sampled runoff; the duration between the storm event sampled and the end of the previous measurable (greater than 0.1 inch rainfall) storm event; and an estimate of the total

volume (in gallons) of the discharge sampled.

The results of benchmark monitoring are primarily for the permittee's use to determine the overall effectiveness of the SWPPP in controlling the discharge of pollutants to receiving waters. Benchmark values are not viewed as permit limitations. An exceedence of a benchmark value does not, in and of itself, constitute a violation of this permit. While exceedences of a benchmark value does not automatically indicate a violation of a water quality standard has occurred, it does signal that modifications to the SWPPP or more specific pollution prevention controls may be necessary.

Table E.
Monitoring Requirements for Coal Mining Facilities

Pollutants of Concern	Cut-Off Concentration
Total Recoverable Aluminum	0.75 mg/L
Total Recoverable Iron	1.0 mg/L
Total Suspended Solids	100 mg/L

- 1) Monitoring Periods. The permittee shall monitor samples collected during the sampling periods of: January through March, April through June, July through September, and October through December during the second and fourth years of this permit cycle.
- 2) Sample Type. A minimum of one grab sample shall be taken. All such samples shall be collected from the discharge resulting from a storm event that is greater than 0.1 inches in magnitude and that occurs at least 72 hours from the previously measurable (greater than 0.1 inch rainfall) storm event. The required 72-hour storm event interval is waived where the preceding measurable storm event did not result in a measurable discharge from the facility. The required 72-hour storm event interval may also be waived where the permittee documents that less than a 72-hour interval is representative for local storm events during the season when sampling is being conducted. The grab sample shall be taken during the first 30 minutes of the discharge. If the collection of a grab sample during the first 30 minutes is impracticable, a grab sample can be taken during the first hour of the discharge, and the discharger shall submit with the monitoring report a description of why a grab sample during the first 30 minutes was impracticable. If storm water discharges associated with industrial activity commingle with process or nonprocess water, then where practicable permittees must attempt to sample the storm water discharge before it mixes with the non-storm water discharge.
- 3) Sampling Waiver.
 - a) Adverse Conditions. If the permittee is unable to collect samples within a specified sampling period due to adverse climatic conditions, thus a substitute sample shall be collected from a separate qualifying event in the next monitoring period and the data submitted along with the data for the routine sample in that period. Adverse weather conditions that may prohibit the collection of samples include weather conditions that create dangerous conditions for personnel (such as local flooding, high winds, hurricanes, tornadoes, electrical storms, etc.) or otherwise make the collection of a sample impracticable (drought, extended frozen

INCORPORATED

conditions, etc.).

- b) Low Concentration Waiver. When the average concentration for a pollutant calculated from all monitoring data collected from an outfall during the second year monitoring is less than the corresponding value for that pollutant listed in Table E, under the column Monitoring Cut-Off Concentration, the permittee may waive monitoring and reporting requirements for the fourth year monitoring period. The permittee must submit to the Executive Secretary, in lieu of the monitoring data, a certification that there has not been a significant change in industrial activity or the pollution prevention measures in area of the facility that drains to the outfall for which sampling was waived.
- c) Inactive and Unstaffed Site. If the permittee is unable to conduct quarterly chemical storm water sampling at an inactive and unstaffed site, the operator of the facility may exercise a waiver of the monitoring requirements as long as the facility remains inactive and unstaffed. The permittee must submit to the Executive Secretary, in lieu of monitoring data, a certification statement on the Storm Water Discharge Monitoring Report (SWDMR) stating that the site is inactive and unstaffed so that collecting a sample during a qualifying event is not possible.
- 4) Representative Discharge. If the permittee has two or more outfalls that, based on a consideration of industrial activity, significant materials, and management practices and activities within the area drained by the outfall, discharge substantially identical effluents, the permittee may test the effluent of one of such outfalls and report that the quantitative data also applies to the substantially identical outfall(s) provided that the permittee includes in the storm water pollution prevention plan a description of the location of the outfalls and explains in detail why the outfalls are expected to discharge substantially identical effluents. In addition, for each outfall that the permittee believes is representative, an estimate of the size of the drainage area (in square feet) and an estimate of the runoff coefficient of the drainage area [e.g., low (under 40 percent), medium (40 to 65 percent), or high (above 65 percent)] shall be provided in the plan. The permittee shall include the description of the location of the outfalls, explanation of why outfalls are expected to discharge substantially identical effluents, and estimate of the size of the drainage area and runoff coefficient with the SWDMR.
- 5) Alternative Certification. The Permittee is not subject to the monitoring requirements of this section provided that certification is made for a given outfall or on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis in lieu of monitoring reports required under paragraph b. below, under penalty of law, signed in accordance with Part IV.G.4. (Signatory Requirements). The Certification shall state that material handling equipment or activities, raw materials, intermediate products, final products, waste materials, by-products, industrial machinery or operations, or significant materials from past industrial activity that are located in areas of the facility within the drainage area of the outfall are not presently exposed to storm water and are not expected to be exposed to storm water for the certification period. Such certification must be retained in the storm water pollution prevention plan, and submitted to DWQ in accordance with Part II.D. of this permit. In the case

of certifying that a pollutant is not present, the permittee must submit the certification along with the monitoring reports required under paragraph b. below. If the permittee cannot certify for an entire period, they must submit the date exposure was eliminated and any monitoring required up until that date.

~~This certification option is not applicable to compliance monitoring requirements associated with effluent limitations.~~

- b. Reporting. The permittee shall submit monitoring results for each outfall associated with industrial activity [or a certification in accordance with Sections (3), (4), or (5) above] obtained during the second year reporting period, on Storm Water Discharge Monitoring Report (SWDMR) form(s) postmarked no later than the 31st day of the following March. Monitoring results [or a certification in accordance with Sections (3), (4), or (5) above] obtained during the fourth year reporting period shall be submitted on SWDMR form(s) postmarked no later than the 31st day of the following March. For each outfall, one signed SWDMR form must be submitted to the Executive Secretary per storm event sampled. Signed copies of SWDMRs, or said certifications, shall be submitted to the Executive Secretary at the address listed in Part II.D. of the permit.
- c. Visual Examination of Storm Water Quality. The permittee shall perform and document a visual examination of a representative storm water discharge at the following frequencies: quarterly for active areas under SMCRA bond located in areas with average annual precipitation over 20 inches; semi-annually for inactive areas under SMCRA bond, and active areas under SMCRA bond located in areas with average annual precipitation of 20 inches or less; visual examinations are not required at inactive areas not under SMCRA bond.
- 1) Visual Monitoring Periods. Examinations shall be conducted in each of the following periods for the purposes of visually inspecting storm water runoff or snow melt: Quarterly—January through March; April through June; July through September; and October through December. Semi-annually—January through June and July through December.
 - 2) Sample and Data Collection. Examinations shall be made of samples collected within the first 60 minutes (or as soon thereafter as practical, but not to exceed two hours) of when the runoff or snowmelt begins discharging. The examinations shall document observations of color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen, and other obvious indicators of storm water pollution. The examination must be conducted in a well-lit area. No analytical tests are required to be performed on the samples. All such samples shall be collected from the discharge resulting from a storm event that is greater than 0.1 inches in magnitude and that occurs at least 72 hours from the previously measurable (greater than 0.1 inch rainfall) storm event. Where practicable, the same individual will carry out the collection and examination of discharges for the life of the permit.
 - 3) Visual Storm Water Discharge Examination Reports. Visual examination reports must be maintained onsite in the pollution prevention plan. The report shall include the examination date and time, examination personnel, the nature of the discharge (i.e., runoff or snow melt), visual quality of the storm water discharge (including observations of color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen, and other obvious indicators of storm water pollution), and probable sources of any observed storm water contamination.

II. MONITORING, RECORDING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- A. Representative Sampling. Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements established under *Part I* shall be collected from the effluent stream prior to discharge into the receiving waters. Samples and measurements shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge. Sludge samples shall be collected at a location representative of the quality of sludge immediately prior to the use-disposal practice.
- B. Monitoring Procedures. Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under *Utah Administrative Code ("UAC") R317-2-10*, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit.
- C. Penalties for Tampering. The *Act* provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate, any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six months per violation, or by both.
- D. Reporting of Monitoring Results. Monitoring results obtained during the previous month shall be summarized for each month and reported monthly on a Discharge Monitoring Report Form (EPA No. 3320-1), post-marked no later than the 28th day of the month following the completed reporting period. The first report for the May 2009 monitoring period is due on June 28, 2009. If no discharge occurs during the reporting period, "no discharge" shall be reported. Legible copies of these, and all other reports including whole effluent toxicity (WET) test reports required herein, shall be signed and certified in accordance with the requirements of *Signatory Requirements (see Part IV.G)*, and submitted to the Director, Division of Water Quality at the following address:
- original to: Department of Environmental Quality
Division of Water Quality
288 North 1460 West
PO Box 144870
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4870
- E. Compliance Schedules. Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any Compliance Schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date.
- F. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee. If the permittee monitors any parameter more frequently than required by this permit, using test procedures approved under *UAC R317-2-10* or as otherwise specified in this permit, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR. Such increased frequency shall also be indicated. Only those parameters required by the permit need to be reported.
- G. Records Contents. Records of monitoring information shall include:
1. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 2. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 3. The date(s) and time(s) analyses were performed;
 4. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 5. The analytical techniques or methods used; and,
 6. The results of such analyses.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

- H. Retention of Records. The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Executive Secretary at any time. A copy of this UPDES permit must be maintained on site during the duration of activity at the permitted location.
- I. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting.
1. The permittee shall (orally) report any noncompliance which may seriously endanger health or environment as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours from the time the permittee first became aware of circumstances. The report shall be made to the Division of Water Quality, (801) 538-6146, or 24 hour answering service (801) 536-4123.
 2. The following occurrences of noncompliance shall be reported by telephone (801) 536-4123 as soon as possible but no later than 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances:
 - a. Any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment;
 - b. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit (See *Part III.G, Bypass of Treatment Facilities.*);
 - c. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit (See *Part III.H, Upset Conditions.*); or,
 - d. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed in the permit.
 3. A written submission shall also be provided within five days of the time that the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain:
 - a. A description of the noncompliance and its cause;
 - b. The period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times;
 - c. The estimated time noncompliance is expected to continue if it has not been corrected; and,
 - d. Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.
 - e. Steps taken, if any, to mitigate the adverse impacts on the environment and human health during the noncompliance period.
 4. The Executive Secretary may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours by the Division of Water Quality, (801) 538-6146.
 5. Reports shall be submitted to the addresses in *Part II.D, Reporting of Monitoring Results.*

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

J. Other Noncompliance Reporting. Instances of noncompliance not required to be reported within 24 hours shall be reported at the time that monitoring reports for *Part II.D* are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in *Part II.I.3.*

K. Inspection and Entry. The permittee shall allow the Executive Secretary, or an authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

1. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
3. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and,
4. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purpose of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the *Act*, any substances or parameters at any location.

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

III. COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES

- A. Duty to Comply. The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit ~~termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification, or for denial of a permit renewal application.~~ The permittee shall give advance notice to the Executive Secretary of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.
- B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions. The Act provides that any person who violates a permit condition implementing provisions of the Act is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day of such violation. Any person who willfully or negligently violates permit conditions of the Act is subject to a fine not exceeding \$25,000 per day of violation; Any person convicted under UCA 19-5-115(2) a second time shall be punished by a fine not exceeding \$50,000 per day. Except as provided at Part III.G, Bypass of Treatment Facilities and Part III.H, Upset Conditions, nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permittee of the civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance.
- C. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense. It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.
- D. Duty to Mitigate. The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.
- E. Proper Operation and Maintenance. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
- F. Removed Substances. Collected screening, grit, solids, sludges, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment shall be buried or disposed of in such a manner so as to prevent any pollutant from entering any waters of the state or creating a health hazard. Sludge/digester supernatant and filter backwash shall not directly enter either the final effluent or waters of the state by any other direct route.
- G. Bypass of Treatment Facilities.
1. Bypass Not Exceeding Limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to parts 2. and 3. of this section.
 2. Prohibition of Bypass.
 - a. Bypass is prohibited, and the Executive Secretary may taken enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 - (1) Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of human life, personal injury, or severe property damage;

INDEXED

DEC 20 2012

- (2) There were no feasible alternatives to bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate backup equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgement to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance, and
-
- (3) The permittee submitted notices as required under section G.3.
- b. The executive Secretary may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Executive Secretary determines that it will meet the three conditions listed in sections G.2a. (1), (2) and (3).
3. Notice.
- a. Anticipated bypass. Except as provided above in section G.2. and below in section G. 3.b, if the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, at least ninety days before the date of bypass. The prior notice shall include the following unless otherwise waived by the Executive Secretary:
- (1) Evaluation of alternative to bypass, including cost-benefit analysis containing an assessment of anticipated resource damages;
 - (2) A specific bypass plan describing the work to be performed including scheduled dates and times. The permittee must notify the Executive Secretary in advance of any changes to the bypass schedule;
 - (3) Description of specific measures to be taken to minimize environmental and public health impacts;
 - (4) A notification plan sufficient to alert all downstream users, the public and others reasonably expected to be impacted by the bypass;
 - (5) A water quality assessment plan to include sufficient monitoring of the receiving water before, during and following the bypass to enable evaluation of public health risks and environmental impacts; and
 - (6) Any additional information requested by the Executive Secretary.
- b. Emergency Bypass. Where ninety days advance notice is not possible, the permittee must notify the Executive Secretary, and the Director of the Department of Natural Resources, as soon as it becomes aware of the need to bypass and provide to the Executive Secretary the information in section G.3.a.(1) through (6) to the extent practicable.
- c. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass to the Executive Secretary as required under Part II.I., Twenty Four Hour Reporting. The permittee shall also immediately notify the Director of the Department of Natural Resources, the public and downstream users and shall implement measures to minimize impacts to public health and environment to the extent practicable.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

H. Upset Conditions.

1. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph 2. of this section are met. Executive Secretary's administrative determination regarding a claim of upset cannot be judiciously challenged by the permittee until such time as an action is initiated for noncompliance.
2. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - a. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - b. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated;
 - c. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required under Part II.I, Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting; and,
 - d. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under Part III.D, Duty to Mitigate.
3. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

I. Toxic Pollutants. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of *The Water Quality Act of 1987* for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

J. Changes in Discharge of Toxic Substances. Notification shall be provided to the Executive Secretary as soon as the permittee knows of, or has reason to believe:

1. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
 - a. One hundred micrograms per liter (100 ug/L);
 - b. Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 ug/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 ug/L) for 2,4-dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - c. Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with *UAC R317-8-3.4(7)* or (10); or,
 - d. The level established by the Executive Secretary in accordance with *UAC R317-8-4.2(6)*.
2. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge, on a non-routine or infrequent basis, of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

- a. Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 ug/L);
- b. One milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
- c. ~~Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with UAC R317-8-3.4(9); or,~~
- d. The level established by the Executive Secretary in accordance with UAC R317-8-4.2(6).

K. Industrial Pretreatment. Any wastewaters discharged to the sanitary sewer, either as a direct discharge or as a hauled waste, are subject to Federal, State and local pretreatment regulations. Pursuant to Section 307 of *The Water Quality Act of 1987*, the permittee shall comply with all applicable federal General Pretreatment Regulations promulgated at 40 CFR 403, the State Pretreatment Requirements at UAC R317-8-8, and any specific local discharge limitations developed by the Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) accepting the wastewaters.

In addition, in accordance with 40 CFR 403.12(p)(1), the permittee must notify the POTW, the EPA Regional Waste Management Director, and the State hazardous waste authorities, in writing, if they discharge any substance into a POTW which if otherwise disposed of would be considered a hazardous waste under 40 CFR 261. This notification must include the name of the hazardous waste, the EPA hazardous waste number, and the type of discharge (continuous or batch).

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

IV. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- A. Planned Changes. The permittee shall give notice to the Executive Secretary as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required only when the alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are not subject to effluent limitations in the permit. In addition, if there are any planned substantial changes to the permittee's existing sludge facilities or their manner of operation or to current sludge management practices of storage and disposal, the permittee shall give notice to the Executive Secretary of any planned changes at least 30 days prior to their implementation.
- B. Anticipated Noncompliance. The permittee shall give advance notice to the Executive Secretary of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.
- C. Permit Actions. This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.
- D. Duty to Reapply. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee shall apply for and obtain a new permit. The application shall be submitted at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit.
- E. Duty to Provide Information. The permittee shall furnish to the Executive Secretary, within a reasonable time, any information which the Executive Secretary may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Executive Secretary, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
- F. Other Information. When the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or any report to the Executive Secretary, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.
- G. Signatory Requirements. All applications, reports or information submitted to the Executive Secretary shall be signed and certified.
1. All permit applications shall be signed by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official
 2. All reports required by the permit and other information requested by the Executive Secretary shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
 - a. The authorization is made in writing by a person described above and submitted to the Executive Secretary, and,
 - b. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility, such as the position of plant manager, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.)

3. Changes to authorization. If an authorization under paragraph IV.G.2 is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of paragraph IV.G.2 must be submitted to the Executive Secretary prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.

4. Certification. Any person signing a document under this section shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

- H. Penalties for Falsification of Reports. The *Act* provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or noncompliance shall, upon conviction be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000.00 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six months per violation, or by both.
- I. Availability of Reports. Except for data determined to be confidential under *UAC R317-8-3.2*, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the office of Executive Secretary. As required by the *Act*, permit applications, permits and effluent data shall not be considered confidential
- J. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability. Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the permittee of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject under the *Act*.
- K. Property Rights. The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state or local laws or regulations.
- L. Severability. The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provisions of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.
- M. Transfers. This permit may be automatically transferred to a new permittee if:
- i. The current permittee notifies the Executive Secretary at least 20 days in advance of the proposed transfer date;

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

2. The notice includes a written agreement between the existing and new permittees containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage, and liability between them; and,
3. The Executive Secretary does not notify the existing permittee and the proposed new permittee of his or her intent to modify, or revoke and reissue the permit. ~~If this notice is not received, the transfer is effective on the date specified in the agreement mentioned in paragraph 2 above.~~

N. State Laws. Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable state law or regulation under authority preserved by *UCA 19-5-117*.

O. Water Quality-Reopener Provision. This permit may be reopened and modified (following proper administrative procedures) to include the appropriate effluent limitations and compliance schedule, if necessary, if one or more of the following events occurs:

1. Water Quality Standards for the receiving water(s) to which the permittee discharges are modified in such a manner as to require different effluent limits than contained in this permit.
2. A final wasteload allocation is developed and approved by the State and/or EPA for incorporation in this permit.
3. A revision to the current Water Quality Management Plan is approved and adopted which calls for different effluent limitations than contained in this permit.

P. Toxicity Limitation-Reopener Provision. This permit may be reopened and modified (following proper administrative procedures) to include whole effluent toxicity (WET) testing, a WET limitation, a compliance schedule, a compliance date, additional or modified numerical limitations, or any other conditions related to the control of toxicants if toxicity is detected during the life of this permit.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

A. Definitions.

1. The "30-day (and monthly) average" is the arithmetic average of all samples collected during a consecutive 30-day period or calendar month, whichever is applicable. The calendar month shall be used for purposes of reporting self-monitoring data on discharge monitoring report forms.
2. The "7-day (and weekly) average" is the arithmetic average of all samples collected during a consecutive 7-day period or calendar week, whichever is applicable. The 7-day and weekly averages are applicable only to those effluent characteristics for which there are 7-day average effluent limitations. The calendar week which begins on Sunday and ends on Saturday, shall be used for purposes of reporting self-monitoring data on discharge monitoring report forms. Weekly averages shall be calculated for all calendar weeks with Saturdays in the month. If a calendar week overlaps two months (i.e., the Sunday is in one month and the Saturday in the following month), the weekly average calculated for that calendar week shall be included in the data for the month that contains the Saturday.
3. "Daily Maximum" ("Daily Max.") is the maximum value allowable in any single sample or instantaneous measurement.
4. "Composite samples" shall be flow proportioned. The composite sample shall, as a minimum, contain at least four (4) samples collected over the composite sample period. Unless otherwise specified, the time between the collection of the first sample and the last sample shall not be less than six (6) hours nor more than 24 hours. Acceptable methods for preparation of composite samples are as follows:
 - a. Constant time interval between samples, sample volume proportional to flow rate at time of sampling;
 - b. Constant time interval between samples, sample volume proportional to total flow (volume) since last sample. For the first sample, the flow rate at the time the sample was collected may be used;
 - c. Constant sample volume, time interval between samples proportional to flow (i.e., sample taken every "X" gallons of flow); and,
 - d. Continuous collection of sample, with sample collection rate proportional to flow rate.
5. A "grab" sample, for monitoring requirements, is defined as a single "dip and take" sample collected at a representative point in the discharge stream.
6. An "instantaneous" measurement, for monitoring requirements, is defined as a single reading, observation, or measurement.
7. "Upset" means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

8. "Bypass" means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.
9. "Severe property damage" means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
10. "Executive Secretary" means Executive Secretary of the Utah Water Quality Board.
11. "EPA" means the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
12. "Act" means the "*Utah Water Quality Act*".
13. "Best Management Practices" ("*BMPs*") means schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the State. *BMPs* also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.
14. "Coal pile runoff" means the rainfall runoff from or through any coal storage pile.
15. "*CWA*" means *The Federal Water Pollution Control Act*, as amended, by *The Clean Water Act of 1987*.
16. "Point Source" means any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to, any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, landfill leachate collection system, vessel or other floating craft from which pollutants are or may be discharged. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture or agriculture storm water runoff.
17. "Significant spills" includes, but is not limited to: releases of oil or hazardous substances in excess of reportable quantities under *Section 311* of the *Clean Water Act* (see *40 CFR 110.10* and *40 CFR 117.21*) or *Section 102* of the *CERCLA* (see *40 CFR 302.4*).
18. "Storm water" means storm water runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage.
19. "Waste pile" means any noncontainerized accumulation of solid, nonflowing waste that is used for treatment or storage.
20. "10-year, 24-hour precipitation event" means the maximum 24-hour precipitation event with a probable reoccurrence interval of once in 10 years. This information is available in *Weather Bureau Technical Paper no. 40*, May 1961 and *NOAA Atlas 2*, 1973 for the 11 Western States, and may be obtained from the National Climatic center of the Environmental Data Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce
21. The term "coal preparation plant" means a facility where coal is crushed, screened, sized and cleaned, dried, or otherwise prepared and loaded for transit to a consuming facility.
22. The term "coal preparation plant associated areas" means the coal preparation plant yards, immediate access roads, coal refuse piles, and coal storage piles and facilities.

INCORPORATED

- c) Subsoil - Truck/Shovel, Fleet Production and Cost Analysis (FPC)
- d) Topsoil - Truck/Shovel, Fleet Production and Cost Analysis (FPC)

All material volume and surface area calculations were performed utilizing Carlson Civil and Mining software.

Cost data sources include:

- RSMeans Heavy Cost Construction 2009
- RSMeans Construction Cost Data 2009
- CostMine Coal Cost Guide 2009
- CostMine Mine and Mill Equipment Cost Data, 2008 (latest version available)

These sources are applied where appropriate in each of the cost estimates. Each line item in the estimate lists specifies which source is utilized for cost data.

Summary

In agreement with the Division's assessment, Alton Coal Development has developed this cost estimate in an incremental phase approach. The facilities reclamation cost, including building demolition/disposal, earthwork and seeding/mulching, is calculated separately and then added to each phase to provide an overall total. In addition, reclamation of the ponds and Lower Robinson Creek are also separated in the calculations and applied to the total costs for each phase as appropriate. The main categories for the cost estimate are:

- Mine Facilities
- Specialized Reclamation Areas
- Phase 1 Mine Development
- Phase 2 Mine Development
- Phase 3 Mine Development

The following is a brief summary of the information and methods used to calculate the costs for each category:

Mine Facilities

This section includes demolition, disposal, earthwork and land reclamation costs for the entire facilities area, including ponds and ditches. The calculations for this section is based on the facilities and pond drawings in the current version of the Mining and Reclamation Plan. These drawings are all provided in Chapter 5 as Drawings 5-3 through 5-8C. The RSMeans Cost data is applied to this estimate. The overall cost estimate for the facilities reclamation is approximately \$1,403,000.



INCORPORATED

DEC 20 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining



Required Storage for 100 year, 24 event = 6.72 acre-ft

Spillway at 6811'



STORAGE VOLUME COMPUTATIONS
Sediment Control Structure No. 3

ELEV. (ft)	WIDTH (ft)	LENGTH (ft)	AREA (ac)	AVG. AREA (ac)	INTERVAL (ft)	STORAGE (ac-ft)	ACC. STORAGE (ac-ft)	STAGE INTERVAL (ft)
6801.00	NA	NA	0.0150	0.1250	1.00	0.1250	0.1250	1.00
6802.00	NA	0.2280	0.4850	1.00	0.4850	0.6100	2.00	
6803.00	NA	0.7350	0.7350	1.00	0.7345	1.4045	3.00	
6804.00	NA	0.8110	1.0403	1.00	1.0403	2.4448	4.00	
6805.00	NA	1.2987	1.2931	1.00	1.2931	3.7380	5.00	
6806.00	NA	1.3166	1.3410	1.00	1.3410	5.0789	6.00	
6807.00	NA	1.3654	1.3603	1.00	1.3603	6.4692	7.00	
6808.00	NA	1.4153	1.4410	1.00	1.4410	7.9102	8.00	
6809.00	NA	1.4666	1.4625	1.00	1.4625	9.4027	9.00	
6810.00	NA	1.5184	1.4625	1.00	1.4625	10.9462	10.00	
6811.00	NA	1.5687	1.5436	1.00	1.5436			



<p>RECEIVED</p> <p>2012 MAY 7</p> <p>Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining</p> <p>DEC 20 2012</p> <p>INCORPORATED</p>	<p>DRAWN BY: JPL</p> <p>DRAWING: 5-30</p> <p>JOB NUMBER: 0594-01-01</p>	<p>CHECKED BY: LWJ</p> <p>DATE: 12/18/06</p> <p>SCALE: 1" = 40'</p> <p>SHEET</p>	<p>REVISIONS</p> <table border="1"> <tr><th>DATE:</th><th>BY:</th></tr> <tr><td>5/17/2012</td><td>BKN</td></tr> </table>	DATE:	BY:	5/17/2012	BKN	<p>SEDIMENT IMPOUNDMENT POND 3 DETAILS</p> <p>COAL HOLLOW PROJECT ALTON, UTAH</p> <p>DRAWING: 5-30</p>		<p>463 North 100 West, Suite 1 Cedar City, Utah 84720 Phone (435)867-5331 Fax (435)867-1192</p>
	DATE:	BY:								
5/17/2012	BKN									

Facilities Reclamation Cost Estimate

Structure Demolition & Disposal

Item	*Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost (\$)	Cost	**Cost Data Reference
Office	ft ³	150,000	\$0.33	\$49,500	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 41 16.13 0100
Office (Sewage Tank)	Ea.	1	\$3,775.00	\$3,775	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 65 10.30 1233 & 1213
Dewatering Trench Sump Removal	Ea.	2	\$3,775.00	\$7,550	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 65 10.30 1233 & 1213
Shop	ft ³	1,000,000	\$0.31	\$310,000	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 41 16.13 0020
Wash Bay	ft ³	150,000	\$0.31	\$46,500	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 41 16.13 0020
Fuel Storage (3 tanks)	Ea.	3	\$2,776.00	\$8,328	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 65 10.30 0130 & 1029
Coal Hopper/Feeder Breaker (Demolition)	Ton	64	\$328.00	\$20,992	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 05 05 05.10 0260
Coal Hopper/Feeder Breaker (Disposal)	yd ³	570	\$16.80	\$9,576	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 41 19.23 5000
Crusher (structure)	ft ³	9,200	\$0.31	\$2,852	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 41 16.13 0020
Crusher (equipment demolition)	Ton	60	\$328.00	\$19,680	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 05 05 05.10 0260
Crusher (equipment disposal)	yd ³	150	\$16.80	\$2,520	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 41 19.23 5000
Coal Reclaim System (demolition)	Ton	50	\$328.00	\$16,400	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 05 05 05.10 0260
Coal Reclaim System (disposal)	yd ³	233	\$16.80	\$3,914	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 41 19.23 5000
Loadout (structure)	ft ³	19,000	\$0.31	\$5,890	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 41 16.13 0020
Loadout (equipment demolition)	Ton	68	\$328.00	\$22,304	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 05 05 05.10 0260
Loadout (equipment disposal)	yd ³	185	\$16.80	\$3,108	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 41 19.23 5000
Conveyors	ft	545	\$141.00	\$76,845	CostMine - Mine and Mill Equipment Costs (Estimated as 25% of Construction Cost)
Water System (tanks)	Ea.	2	\$1,276.00	\$2,552	RSMeans Heavy Constr., 02 65 10.30 1029
Subtotal Structure Demolition & Disposal				\$612,286	

Exact makes and models of equipment are not currently known, therefore estimates are included for weights and yardages of equipment

** RS Means does not have direct cost data references for some specific items. Where needed, reasonable substitutes are utilized.

All cost data is from the 2009 additions of either the RS Means Heavy Construction or Building Construction Cost Data Manuals except where specifically noted

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Facilities Reclamation Cost Estimate

Facilities Earthwork and Land Reclamation

Item	*Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost (\$)	Cost	**Cost Data Reference
Pond 1 backfill from embankment	yd ³	1,156	\$1.88	\$2,173	RSMMeans Heavy Constr., 31 23 23.17 0020
Pond 1 backfill from subsoil pile	yd ³	3,200	\$5.87	\$18,784	RSMMeans Heavy Constr., 31 23 23.20 3014 & 31 23 16.42 1300 & 31 23 23.17 0020
Pond 1B backfill from embankment	yd ³	146	\$1.88	\$274	RSMMeans Heavy Constr., 31 23 23.17 0020
Pond 1B backfill from subsoil pile	yd ³	794	\$5.87	\$4,661	RSMMeans Heavy Constr., 31 23 23.20 3014 & 31 23 16.42 1300 & 31 23 23.17 0020
Ditch 3 recontouring	yd ³	11,556	\$1.88	\$21,725	RSMMeans Heavy Constr., 31 23 23.17 0020
Ripping of roads and compacted surfaces	yd ³	9,600	\$2.17	\$20,832	RSMMeans Heavy Constr., 31 23 16.32 2310
Grading of reclamation surface	yd ²	164,000	\$0.17	\$27,880	RSMMeans Heavy Constr., 31 22 16.10 3300
Topsoil reclamation surface	yd ³	36,000	\$5.87	\$211,320	RSMMeans Heavy Constr., 31 23 23.20 3014 & 31 23 16.42 1300 & 31 23 23.17 0020
Seeding	M.S.F	1,481	\$39.00	\$57,759	RS Means Heavy Constr., 32 92 19.14 3700
Mulching	M.S.F	1,481	\$60.00	\$88,860	RS Means Heavy Constr., 32 91 13.16 0350
Subtotal Facilities Earthwork and Land Reclamation				\$454,269	

Total Facilities Reclamation Cost Estimate

\$1,402,785

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

5-21A Robinson Creek Reconstruction Design and Details

Transportation (5-22 to 5-24)

5-22 Primary Mine Haul Roads Plan View
5-22A Primary Roadways – Facilities Roadways
5-22B Primary Roadways – Facilities Roadways
5-22C Postmining Roadways – Roadway to Pugh Property
5-22D Postmining Roadways – Roadway to Water Well
5-22E Postmining Roadways – Route 136 Reconstruction Details Option B
5-22F Postmining Roadways – Route 136 Reconstruction Details
5-22G Postmining Roadways – Route 136 Reconstruction Details
5-22H Postmining Roadways – Route 136 Reconstruction Details Option A
5-23 Primary Mine Haul Roads Cross Sections/Detail
5-24 Ancillary Roads Typical Cross Section

Sedimentation Diversions/Impoundments (5-25 to 5-34)

5-25 Diversion Ditch and Sediment Impoundment Plan View
5-26 Sediment Impoundment Watersheds
5-27 Diversion Ditch Watersheds
5-28 Sediment Impoundment 1 Details
5-28B Sediment Impoundment 1B Details
5-29 Sediment Impoundment 2 Details
5-30 Sediment Impoundment 3 Details
5-31 Sediment Impoundment 4 Details
5-32 Impoundment Spillway Detail
5-33 Diversion Ditch 1 Details
5-34 Diversion Ditch 2, 3 and 4 Details

Reclamation/Regrading (5-35 to 5-38)

5-35 Post Mining Topography Preferred Scenario
5-36 Post Mining Topography Preferred Scenario Cross Sections
5-37 Post Mining Topography Alternate Scenario
5-37A Post Mining Topography Alternate Scenario Cross Sections
5-38 Reclamation Sequence

Geotechnical (5-39)

5-39 Geotechnical Samples and Boring Locations
5-40 Dewatering Trench Details

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

and removed from the permit area and will be properly disposed of according to applicable State and Federal regulations.

528.332.

Final disposal of noncoal mine wastes will be in a State-approved solid waste disposal site not located within the permit area. One exception to the removal of all noncoal mine waste from the permit area is perforated piping used in the construction of Alluvial Ground Water Drains will be left in place as mining advances. This perforated piping will be covered in place approximately 20' to 30' below the final reclaimed surface. All other waste materials (ie. metal culvert) associated with the Alluvial Ground Water Drains will be removed and disposed of in a State-approved solid waste disposal site.

528.333.

At no time will any noncoal mine waste be deposited in a refuse pile or impounding structure, nor will any excavation for a noncoal mine waste disposal site be located within eight feet of any coal outcrop or coal storage area.

528.334.

Notwithstanding any other provision to the R645 Rules, any noncoal mine waste defined as "hazardous" under 3001 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) (Pub. L. 94-580, as amended) and 40 CFR Part 261 will be handled in accordance with the requirements of Subtitle C of RCRA and any implementing regulations.

528.350. Acid-Forming and Toxic Materials

If coal, having qualities that make it unmarketable, are to be left in the pit backfill in quantities greater than 5,000 tons: a minimum of 1 composite sample per 5,000 Tons of coal will be analyzed for the parameters list in Table 3 and 7 of the "Soil and Overburden Guidelines". A record of the volume of coal remaining and laboratory analytical results will be kept onsite. Debris, acid-forming, toxic-forming materials and materials constituting a fire hazard will be identified and disposed of in accordance with R645-301-528.330, R645-301-537.200, R645-301-542.740, R645-301-553.100 through R645-301-553.600, R645-301-553.900, and R645-301-747. Appropriate measures will be implemented to preclude sustained combustion of such materials; and

528.400. Dams, embankments and other impoundments.

Plans do not include using dams, embankments or other impoundments for disposal of coal, overburden, excess spoil or coal mine waste

529. **MANAGEMENT OF MINE OPENINGS.**

All wells will be managed to comply with R645-301-748 and R645-301-765. Water monitoring wells will be managed on a temporary basis according to R645-301-738.

INCORPORATED
DEC 20 2012
Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

four foot lifts and meeting 85% compaction based on the standard Procter will ensure that the structure will be stable under all conditions of construction. This construction will occur only in the designated excess spoil area as shown on Drawing 5-3 and 5-35. The fill will be placed with end dump haul trucks and lifts will be constructed using dozers. High precision GPS systems will be regularly utilized to check grades and appropriate lift thickness. The geotechnical analysis for this structure can be viewed in Appendix 5-1.

Excess spoil that is combustible will be adequately covered with noncombustible material to prevent sustained combustion.

542.730. Disposal of Coal Mine Waste.

The MRP does not contemplate processing of coal that would produce coal mine waste.

542.740. Disposal of Noncoal Mine Wastes.

Noncoal mine waste including, but not limited to grease, lubricants, paints, flammable liquids, garbage, abandoned mining machinery, lumber and other combustible materials generated during mining activities will be placed and temporarily stored in a controlled manner in a designated portion of the permit area and hauled offsite to a state approved recycling or solid waste disposal site. Final disposal of noncoal mine waste will not take place within the permit area. With the exception of removal of perforated piping used in the construction of Alluvial Ground Water Drains that will be left in place as mining advances. This perforated piping will be covered in place approximately 20' to 30' below the final reclaimed surface. All other waste materials (ie. metal culvert) associated with the Alluvial Ground Water Drains will be removed and disposed of in a State-approved solid waste disposal site.

542.800. Reclamation Cost.

The amount of the bond will depend upon the requirements of the *approved* permit and reclamation plan (R645-830.120).

A preliminary estimate of reclamation costs is included in Appendix 8-1. This estimate is based upon the proposed plan. A final bond estimate will be provided by the applicant to the Division upon completion of the approved permit and reclamation plan.

INCORPORATED


550. **RECLAMATION DESIGN CRITERIA AND PLANS**

DEC 20 2012

551. **SEALING AND CASING OF UNDERGROUND OPENINGS**

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining


When no longer needed for monitoring or other use approved by the Division upon a finding of no adverse environmental or health and safety effects, or unless approved for transfer as a water well under R645-301-731.100 through R645-301-731.522 and R645-301-731.800, each well will be capped, sealed, backfilled, or otherwise properly managed, as required by the Division in accordance with R645-301-529.400, R645-301-631.100, and R645-301-748.



Backfilled Native Material
 Filter Fabric
 2" minus drain rock
 100' rolls of 6" perf. poly-pipe
 (May be more than one depending on flows encountered, assembled and placed from surface)

PIT FLOOR

COAL



Note: .

DRAWN BY: K.NICHOLAS
 CHECKED BY: LWJ


DRAWING: 5-40
 DATE: 07/31/12
 SCALE: 1" = 30'

JOB NUMBER: SHEET

**ALLUVIAL DE-WATERING TRENCH
 TYPICAL CROSS SECTION**


COAL HOLLOW
 PROJECT
 ALTON, UTAH

DRAWING: 5-40




463 North 100 West, Suite 1
 Cedar City, Utah 84721
 Phone (435)867-5331
 Fax (435)867-1192

INCORPORATED
 DEC 20 2012
 Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining



Backfilled Native Material
 Filter Fabric
 2" minus drain rock
 100' rolls of 6" perf. poly-pipe
 (May be more than one depending on flows encountered, assembled and placed from surface)

PIT FLOOR
 COAL



DETAIL
 SURFACE
 Range from 10' - 30' Determined by Excavation,
 2:1 Slope Ratio

OVERBURDEN

INCORPORATED

DEC 2 0 2012

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

Note: .

DRAWN BY: K.NICHOLAS	CHECKED BY: LWJ
DRAWING: 5 - 40	DATE: 07/31/12
	SCALE: 1" = 30'
JOB NUMBER: SHEET	

ALLUVIAL DE-WATERING TRENCH
 TYPICAL CROSS SECTION

COAL HOLLOW
 PROJECT
 ALTON, UTAH

DRAWING: 5-40



463 North 100 West, Suite 101
 Cedar City, Utah 84721
 Phone (435)567-5331
 Fax (435)567-1192