0003

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Ted Stewart
Executive Director
James W. Carter
Division Director

355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-5319 (TDD)

INSPECTION REPORT

Partial: X Complete:___ Exploration:___
Inspection Date & Time: 2/05/95/7:30am-1:30pm
Date of Last Inspection: 1/30/95

Mine Name: Convulsion Canyon County: Sevier Permit Number: **ACT/041/002**
Permittee and/or Operator's Name: Southern Utah Fuel Company
Business Address: P. O. Box P, Salina, Utah 84654
Type of Mining Activity: Underground XXX Surface___ Prep. Plant___ Other___
Company Official(s): Mike Davis
State Officials(s): Peter Hess Federal Official(s):
Weather Conditions: Sunny/Cold-Teens
Existing Acreage: Permitted-17301 Disturbed-67.8 Regraded-0.5 Seeded-0.5 Bonded-0.5
Increased/Decreased: Permitted-___ Disturbed-___ Regraded-___ Seeded-___ Bonded-___
Status: __Exploration/ XXX Active/__Inactive/__Temporary Cessation/__Bond Forfeiture
Reclamation (__Phase I/__Phase II/__Final Bond Release/__Liability_____ Year)

REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS & PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

Instructions

1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
   a. For complete inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check N/A.
   b. For partial inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by referencing the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments.

	EVALUATED	N/A	COMMENTS	NOV/ENF
1. PERMITS, CHANGE, TRANSFER, RENEWAL, SALE	[X]	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
2. SIGNS AND MARKERS	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
3. TOPSOIL	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE:				
a. DIVERSIONS	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
b. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
c. OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
d. WATER MONITORING	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
e. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
5. EXPLOSIVES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
6. DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL/FILLS/BENCHES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
7. COAL MINE WASTE/REFUSE PILES/IMPOUNDMENTS	[X]	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
8. NONCOAL WASTE	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
9. PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
10. SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
11. CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
13. REVEGETATION	[X]	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
15. CESSATION OF OPERATIONS	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
16. ROADS:				
a. CONSTRUCTION/MAINTENANCE/SURFACING	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
b. DRAINAGE CONTROLS	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
17. OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
18. SUPPORT FACILITIES/UTILITY INSTALLATIONS	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]

INSPECTION REPORT
(Continuation sheet)

PERMIT NUMBER: **ACT/041/002** DATE OF INSPECTION: 2/05/95

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

1. PERMITS, CHANGE, TRANSFER, RENEWAL, SALE

Based upon the instructions received from the SLC office, this inspector discussed the updating process necessary to bring the PFO SUFCO MRP up to date. Mr. Wes Sorensen, P.E., stated that it would be no problem to get this done, as it was necessary for him to update his copy at the mine. It would be a straightforward process to make two copies of any recent amendments for the necessary insertion rather than just one. The fact that the PFO now has the potential to review/approve amendments (if a current MRP is available to the reviewer) was emphasized.

7. COAL MINE WASTE/REFUSE PILES/IMPOUNDMENTS

SUFCO has been cleaning an underground entry in order to install a new high voltage cable. The material is stored on the surface in an area which has been approved for the storage of underground development waste, (area is immediately northeast of the trash pit, See Plate 7-6). The material is stored here until it can be hauled to the roadside waste rock disposal site for permanent deposition.

During the inspection, it was noted that the area has, more than likely, reached maximum capacity. Mr. Davis stated that they were indeed running out of room for storage and that the possibility had been discussed of hauling this material off during the graveyard shift, while the ground at the disposal site is somewhat frozen.

13. REVEGETATION

The slope which was revegetated below the hillside substation during October was observed. No new growth was evident at this time. No erosion was observed.

Note: This inspection report does not constitute an affidavit of compliance with the regulatory program of the Division of Oil, Gas , and Mining.

Copy of this Report:

Mailed to: Mike Davis (SUFCO) Donna Griffin (OSM)
Given to: Joe Helfrich (DOGM) Filed to: Price Field Office
Date: February 28, 1995

Inspector's Signature: Peter Hess #46
Peter Hess