0028

Canyon Fuel Company, LLC
SUFCO Mine
397 South 800 West
Salina, UT 84654
(435) 286-4880 Fax: (435) 286-4499

June 18, 2001

Incoming
C/041008

Utah Coal Regulatory Program
Division of Oil, Gas and Mining
1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
P. O. Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801

Dear Permit Supervisor:

Enclosed are copies of Canyon Fuel Company's - SUFCO Mine Quarterly Sediment Pond and Waste Rock Disposal inspection reports conducted on June 14, 2001.

Sincerely,
CANYON FUEL COMPANY, LLC
SUFCO Mine

Michael L. Davis

Michael L. Davis
Environmental & Construction Supervisor

MLD:kb

Encl.

cc: DOGM - Sediment Pond Inspection File

SUFPUB\GOVT2001\DOGMCORR\SEDPNDRW2QT.DOC

2-27-92 MLD

CANYON FUEL COMPANY - SUFCO MINE

Coal Refuse Piles Quarterly Inspection Report

Inspector Mike Davis Title Environ + Const. Supervisor

Date June 14, 2001 Permit # ACT/041/002

1. Foundation Preparation (vegetation, topsoil removal?) X Yes ___ No

2. Lift Thickness (inches) 16-20"

3. Compaction X Yes ___ No

4. Burning (specify extent and location) ___ Yes X No

5. Angle of Slope (degrees) 21-22°

6. Seepage (specify location, color, & appr. volume) ___ Yes X No

None observed

7. Cracks or Scarps (location and size) ___ Yes X No

None observed

8. Major Erosion Problems (location and extent) ___ Yes X No

1-2" minor erosion rills on coal slope

9. Water Impounding Against Toe ___ Yes X No

10. Any appearance of instability, structural weakness or other hazardous conditions ___ Yes X No

No appearance of instability or hazardous conditions were observed.

Lift about 50% complete with piles of coal dumped on pad, some trash needs to be removed from pile when leveled out

Clear - warm - 55°F

Wesley K. Sorensen

Wesley K. Sorensen, P.E.

Registration No. 159457

State of Utah

P:\WP1999\MLD\GEN\SEDPOND.FRM

2/27/92 MLD

CANYON FUEL COMPANY - SUFCO MINE

Rock Waste Sediment Pond Quarterly Inspection Report

Inspector Mike Davis Date 6-14-01

1. Dam Structural Weakness

A. Cracks or scarps on crest ___ Yes X No

None observed

B. Cracks or scarps on slope ___ Yes X No

None observed

C. Sloughing or bulging on slope ___ Yes X No

None observed

2. Major Erosion Problems ___ Yes X No

None observed

3. Surface Movements of Surrounding Slopes ___ Yes X No

None observed

4. Visible Sumps or Sinkholes in Slurry Surface ___ Yes X No

Sediment pond was dry and no sumps or sinkholes were observed in bottom of pond

5. Clogging

A. Spillway channels and pipes ___ Yes X No

None observed - channels clear

B. Decant System ___ Yes X No

System closed and locked

C. Diversion ditches ___ Yes X No

None observed

6. Seepage (Specify Location, Color and Approx. Volume)

___ Yes X No

None observed

7. Any appearance of instability, structural weakness, or other hazardous conditions ___ Yes X No

No appearance of instability, structural weakness, or other hazardous conditions were observed

8. Other Comments

Small delta of coal waste were coal disturbed drainage runs into sediment pond

Clear - warm - temp 55°F

P:\WP1999\MLD\GEN\SEDPOND.FRM

2/27/92 MLD

CANYON FUEL COMPANY - SUFCO MINE

Minesite Sediment Pond Quarterly Inspection Report

Inspector Mike Davis Date 6-18-01

1. Dam Structural Weakness

A. Cracks or scarps on crest ___ Yes X No

None observed

B. Cracks or scarps on slope ___ Yes X No

None observed

C. Sloughing or bulging on slope ___ Yes X No

None observed

2. Major Erosion Problems ___ Yes X No

No major erosion problems were observed, some minor erosion on slope above sediment pond

3. Surface Movements of Surrounding Slopes ___ Yes X No

No new movement observed

4. Visible Sumps or Sinkholes in Slurry Surface ___ Yes X No

Pond water is not clear enough to see bottom of pond

5. Clogging

A. Spillway channels and pipes ___ Yes X No

None observed

B. Decant System ___ Yes X No

System closed and locked

C. Diversion ditches ___ Yes X No

None observed - diversion ditches clear

6. Seepage (Specify Location, Color and Approx. Volume) ___ Yes X No

None observed

7. Any appearance of instability, structural weakness, or other hazardous conditions ___ Yes X No

No appearance of instability, structural weakness, or other hazardous conditions were observed

8. Weir level needs some adjustment ___ Yes X No

9. Other Comments

Clear - warm - temp 55°F

P:\WP1999\MLD\GEN\SEDPOND.FRM