

0006



State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

INSPECTION REPORT

Handwritten initials/signature

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Ted Stewart
Executive Director
James W. Carter
Division Director

355 West North Temple
3 Triad Center, Suite 350
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-5319 (TDD)

Partial: X Complete: Exploration:
Inspection Date/Time: Aug. 25, 1993 / 11:45 a.m.-12:45 p.m.
Date of Last Inspection: July 27, 1993

Mine Name: Summit #1 County: Summit Permit Number: INA/043/001
Permittee and/or Operator's Name: Summit Minerals, Inc.
Business Address: 221 W. 2100 So., Salt Lake City, Utah 84115
Type of Mining Activity: Underground x Surface Prep. Plant Other
State Officials(s): Daron Haddock, Lance Lawson
Company Official(s): None Federal Official(s): None
Weather Conditions: Sunny and warm
Existing Acreage: Permitted-0 Disturbed-16 Regraded-0 Seeded-0 Bonded- 16
Increased/Decreased: Permitted- Disturbed- Regraded- Seeded- Bonded-
Status: Exploration/ Active/ Inactive/ Temporary Cessation/ x Bond Forfeiture
Reclamation (Phase I/ Phase II/ Final Bond Release/ Liability Year)

REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS & PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

Instructions

- 1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
a. For complete inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check N/A.
b. For partial inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by referencing the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments.

Table with 5 columns: EVALUATED, N/A, COMMENTS, NOV/ENF. Rows include: 1. PERMITS, CHANGE, TRANSFER, RENEWAL, SALE; 2. SIGNS AND MARKERS; 3. TOPSOIL; 4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE; 5. EXPLOSIVES; 6. DISPOSAL OF EXCESS SPOIL/FILLS/BENCHES; 7. COAL MINE WASTE/REFUSE PILES/IMPOUNDMENTS; 8. NONCOAL WASTE; 9. PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES; 10. SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE; 11. CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION; 12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING; 13. REVEGETATION; 14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL; 15. CESSATION OF OPERATIONS; 16. ROADS; 17. OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES; 18. SUPPORT FACILITIES/UTILITY INSTALLATIONS; 19. AVS CHECK (4th Quarter-April, May, June) (date); 20. AIR QUALITY PERMIT; 21. BONDING & INSURANCE.

INSPECTION REPORT

(Continuation sheet)

Page 2 of 2

PERMIT NUMBER: INA/041/008

DATE OF INSPECTION: August 25, 1993

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

1. PERMITS, CHANGE, OWNERSHIP:

By coincidence one of the Land owners (Beverly Dawes) was on site during the inspection. We met with her briefly and discussed the status of reclamation at the site. She expressed her desire to see the site reclaimed as quickly as possible. We discussed problems encountered while trying to sell the property which was put up as bond collateral and then forfeited.

4b. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS:

The impoundments were dry and showed no signs of recently containing water.

12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING:

The last inspection noted a piping hole that occurred at the site of the portals. We investigated this area. It appears that runoff from above the site has piped into the old workings. A funnel shaped hole has opened up on the steep slope above the portal area. The hole is approximately 15 feet in diameter and extends down about 20 feet to where it then drifts horizontally into the old portal. This hole is on a very steep slope and is difficult to climb to, so the likelihood of someone entering this portal is remote, however, a hazard does exist and the portal should be resealed. It may also be necessary to route the drainage away from the portal area to preclude additional piping into the mine.

16. ROADS:

The bridge crossing chalk creek has become a hazard as well. The abutments have washed out and part of the road fill is washing out. The bridge can no longer be used for motorized vehicles. Ms. Dawes expressed her desire that the bridge be removed in order to reduce the possibility of the bridge blocking the stream and causing flooding to her property.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

There are two areas at this site which need hazard mitigation. The portal area and the bridge crossing chalk creek. The site is posted with no trespassing signs and there is a fence around the property with a closed gate which limit access to the site, however remediation of the two problem areas should be done as quickly as possible.

Copy of this Report:

Mailed to: Bernie Freeman (OSM)

Given to: Joe Helfrich and Daron Haddock (DOGM)

Inspector's Signature: Daron A. Haddock # 28 Date: Aug. 31, 1993