This Cause came on regularly for hearing before the Utah Board of Oil, Gas and Mining (the “Board”) on Wednesday, August 28, 2013, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. in the Auditorium of the Department of Natural Resources, 1594 West North Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah. The following Board members were present at the August 28, 2013 hearing: Ruland J. Gill, Jr., Chairman, Kelly L. Payne, Carl F. Kendell, Chris D. Hansen, Michael R. Brown, and Susan S. Davis. John Roberts, Associate Director—Oil and Gas; Brad Hill, Oil and Gas Permitting Manager; Dustin Doucet, Petroleum Engineer; and Clinton Dworshak, Compliance and Public Outreach Manager, were present for the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (the “Division”). The Board was represented by Michael S. Johnson, Assistant Attorney General, and the Division was represented by Steven F. Alder, Assistant Attorney General. The petitioner, Crescent Point Energy U.S. Corp. (“Crescent Point”) was represented by Thomas W. Clawson of Van Cott, Bagley, Cornwall &
McCarthy, and Ryan Waller, Crescent Point’s Landman, Chris Sutton, Crescent Point’s Geological and Exploration Manager, and Katie Matthews, Crescent Point’s Development Engineer, testified on behalf of the petitioner.

On August 26, 2013, the Vernal Field Office, Green River District Office, United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”) acting in its Trust capacity on behalf of the Ute Indian Tribe, filed a letter with the Board in support of the Board granting Crescent Point’s Request for Agency Action ("Request"). Jerry Kenczka, Assistant Field Manager for Lands and Minerals, BLM, was present at the August 28, 2013 hearing, but did not otherwise participate. Also on August 26, 2013, Newfield Production Company ("Newfield"), a working interest owner in lands directly offsetting the “Subject Lands” (as defined herein), filed a letter with the Board supporting the granting of Crescent Point’s Request. Newfield did not make an appearance at the hearing.

No other person or party filed a response to Crescent Point’s Request and no other person or party appeared at or participated in the hearing.

The Board, having fully considered the testimony adduced and exhibits received into evidence at the August 28, 2013 hearing, being fully advised, and good cause appearing, hereby makes the following findings of fact, conclusions of law, and order in this Cause:

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. Notices of the time, place, and purposes of the Board’s regularly scheduled August 28, 2013 hearing were mailed to all interested parties by first-class mail, postage prepaid, and were duly published in the Salt Lake Tribune, Deseret Morning News, Uintah Basin Standard, and Vernal Express pursuant to the requirements of Utah Administrative Code ("U.A.C.") Rule
R641-106-100. Copies of the Request for Agency Action were mailed to all interested parties pursuant to U.A.C. Rule R641-104-135.

2. Other than the letters from the BLM and Newfield, no written responses, protests, or objections to Crescent Point’s Request were filed with or received by the Division or the Board, and no persons or parties appeared at, or participated in, the August 28, 2013 hearing in opposition to Crescent Point’s Request in this matter.

3. Crescent Point Energy U.S. Corp. is a Delaware corporation in good standing, having its principal place of business in Denver, Colorado. Crescent Point is qualified to and is doing business in Utah.

4. The proposed Randlett Waterflood Project embraces the following described lands in the Leland Bench Field, Uintah County, Utah (the “Subject Lands”):

   Township 4 South, Range 2 East, U.S.M.
   
   Section 7: All
   Section 8: All
   Section 17: All
   Section 18: All

   (containing 2,556.16 acres, more or less).

5. The formations to be approved by the Board for enhanced and secondary recovery in the Randlett Waterflood Project are the Green River and Wasatch formations, more particularly defined as follows:

   The Green River and Wasatch formations as identified by the logs run on the Crescent Point Coleman Tribal #5-7-4-2E Well located in the SW¼NW¼ of Section 7, Township 4 South, Range 2 East, U.S.M., Uintah County, Utah, with the top of the stratigraphic interval being found at a depth of 5,304 feet below the surface (-140' subsea) and the base of the interval being found at a depth of 9,362 feet below the surface (-4,198' subsea) or stratigraphic equivalent thereof.
6. The minerals in the lands embraced within the proposed Randlett Waterflood Project are subject to Agreement Leases Nos. 14-20-H62-6406, 14-20-H62-6407, 14-20-H62-6408, and 14-20-H62-6427, and the oil and gas and other hydrocarbon minerals covered by such lease are held in trust by the United States of America for and on behalf of the Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah and Ouray Reservation, Utah, and the Ute Distribution Corporation. There are no other royalty interest owners, including overriding royalty interest owners.

7. Crescent Point owns 100% of the working interests in the acreage sought to be approved for enhanced and secondary recovery operations.

8. The surface of the Subject Lands embraced within the Randlett Waterflood Project Area is owned in fee, by private parties.

9. Drilling has proceeded under state-wide location and density patterns for the location and siting of wells established by U.A.C. Rule R649-3-2, and predecessor rules.

10. The wells that have been proposed and/or drilled and/or completed in the acreage embraced within the Randlett Waterflood Project Area are listed in attached Exhibit “A.”

11. Most of the wells described above have produced (or are expected to produce) oil and gas from the Green River and Wasatch formations.

12. The reservoir underlying the Randlett Waterflood Project area is a solution-gas drive reservoir that is normally pressured. As is characteristic of such reservoirs, there is a relatively rapid loss of reservoir energy as primary production occurs, resulting in a rapid decline in production and low primary recovery.

13. As of July 1, 2013, the estimated remaining gross proved developed producing reserves for the producing and proposed wells drilled on the basis of 40-acre spacing within the Randlett Waterflood Project are approximately 3.59 million barrels of oil and 1.797...
MMCF of gas. If enhanced recovery operations based on a 40-acre, 5-spot injection pattern and 20-acre well spacing as outlined in petitioner’s Request and as presented at the August 28, 2013 hearing are implemented in the Randlett Waterflood Project, the gross proved developed producing reserves are estimated to be approximately 6.34 million barrels of oil and 3.17 MMCF of gas.

14. A water flood enhanced and secondary recovery project in the Green River and Wasatch formations in the Randlett Waterflood Project area based on a 40-acre, 5-spot injection pattern and 20-acre well spacing could increase ultimate recovery by approximately 2.75 million barrels of oil and 1.37 MMCF of gas. The net present value of these reserves is estimated to be $17,200,000 after accounting for the additional costs associated with implementing the enhanced and secondary recovery operations. The value of the estimated additional recovery of oil and gas substantially exceeds the estimated additional cost incident to conducting enhanced and secondary recovery operations.

15. Crescent Point is the operator of other water flood projects located in Canada. Crescent Point is experienced in the operation of water flood projects.

16. Applications for approval of injection wells within the Randlett Waterflood Project area as Class II injection wells will be submitted to the Division to be approved on an administrative basis.

17. Under the proposed enhanced and secondary recovery plan, the average injection rate will be approximately 200-300 barrels of water per day per injection well. The maximum pressure will vary by well, but will be less than parting pressure as determined by step-rate tests.
18. The water to be used as the injection medium for the water flood project will come from producing wells, which be treated as necessary to render it compatible with the formation water, and will be transported by truck and/or pipeline to the Randlett Waterflood Project.

19. Crescent Point, the Ute Indian Tribe, and the Ute Distribution Corporation, the owners of all of the working interests and royalty interests in the Randlett Waterflood Project area, have entered into that certain Cooperative Plan of Development and Operation for the Randlett Enhanced Recovery Project dated effective March 1, 2013 (the “Randlett Waterflood Development Agreement”), as introduced and admitted to the record as evidence at the August 28, 2013 hearing, which is of the form of the voluntary agreement contemplated by Section 40-6-7(1) of the Utah Code, and the plan of development and operation of the pool described in Finding of Fact No. 5, is of the form contemplated by Section 40-6-7(2) of the Utah Code.

20. One hundred percent of all owners of working interests and non-cost bearing interests, such as royalty and overriding royalty interests, are agreeable to the plan of cooperative development and enhanced and secondary recovery operations, as adduced at the August 28, 2013 hearing and as provided in petitioner’s Request and the Exhibit Binder as introduced and admitted as evidence at the August 28, 2013 hearing, and have expressed, in writing, their approval of said plan of operations.

21. The United States of America, acting through the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the BLM, has provided its written support of the proposed cooperative development and enhanced and secondary recovery operations.

22. Crescent Point will be the designated operator.

23. The allocation of costs and production for the Randlett Waterflood Project as contained in the Randlett Waterflood Development Agreement is acceptable to the Board and the
24. The Randlett Waterflood Development Agreement as introduced and admitted at the August 28, 2013 hearing as materials contained in the Exhibit Binder are acceptable to the Division and the Board.

25. The geology and engineering data for the Randlett Waterflood Project, as introduced, adduced, and admitted as evidence at the August 28, 2013 hearing, including the materials contained in the Exhibit Binder, are acceptable to the Division and the Board.

26. The plan of development contained in the Randlett Waterflood Development Agreement and the geology and engineering data for the Randlett Waterflood Project operations, as introduced, adduced, and admitted at the August 28, 2013 hearing, will satisfy the requirements of the Utah Code, and will accomplish the goals of the enhanced and secondary recovery project.

27. The proposed cooperative development and enhanced and secondary recovery operations in the Randlett Waterflood Project area are necessary to fulfill the purposes of Chapter 6 of Title 40 of the Utah Code.

28. The proposed water-injection operations based on a 40-acre, five-spot injection pattern using well grids utilizing 20-acre spacing will satisfy the purposes of the well location and siting rules (U.C.A. Rules R649-3-2 and R649-3-3) with respect to the proposed operations.

29. Petitioner Crescent Point and the Division’s attorney and staff were the only persons or parties who attended or participated in the August 28, 2013 hearing.

30. Section 59-5-102(7) of the Utah Code provides that a 50% reduction in the severance tax rate is imposed upon the incremental production achieved from an enhanced recovery project. Section 59-5-101(7) provides, in pertinent part, that:
"Enhanced recovery project" means: (a) the injection of liquids... directly into a reservoir for the purpose of: (i) augmenting reservoir energy; (ii) modifying the properties of the fluids or gases in a reservoir; or (iii) changing the reservoir conditions to increase the recoverable oil, gas, or oil and gas through the joint use of two or more well bores; and (b) a project initially approved by the board as a new or expanded recovery project on or after January 1, 1996.

Section 59-5-101(9) provides that:

"Incremental production" means that part of production, certified by the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining, which is achieved from an enhanced recovery project that would not have economically occurred under the reservoir conditions existing before the project and that has been approved by the division as incremental production.

31. The enhanced and secondary operations for the Randlett Waterflood Project qualify as operations of an enhanced recovery project for purposes of Section 59-5-102(7) of the Utah Code.

32. The Board voted unanimously to approve Crescent Point’s Request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Due and regular notice of the time, place, and purposes of the Board’s regularly scheduled August 28, 2013 hearing was given to all interested parties in the form and manner and within the time required by law and the Rules and Regulations of the Board. Due and regular notice of the filing of the Request was given to all interested parties in the form and manner and within the time required by law and the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

2. The Board has jurisdiction of the parties and subject matter of this Request pursuant to Sections 40-6-5, 40-6-7, and 59-5-102 of the Utah Code and has power and authority to make and promulgate the order herein set forth.

3. Crescent Point’s proposed cooperative development and enhanced and secondary recovery operations in the Green River and Wasatch formations as contemplated by the
Randlett Waterflood Development Agreement, as introduced, adduced, and admitted as evidence at the August 28, 2013 hearing, are in the public interest and will promote conservation, will increase ultimate recovery, will prevent waste, and will protect correlative rights.

4. Crescent Point's proposed cooperative development and enhanced and secondary recovery operations for the Randlett Waterflood Project are reasonably necessary to fulfill the purposes of Chapter 6 of Title 40 of the Utah Code.

5. The proposed water-injection operations based on a 40-acre, five-spot injection pattern using a well grid utilizing 20-acre spacing are reasonable and satisfy the purposes of the well location and siting rules (U.C.A. Rules R649-3-2 and R649-3-3) with respect to the proposed operations.

6. The plan of cooperative development, as adduced at the hearing and as contained in the Randlett Waterflood Development Agreement and the geology and engineering data contained in the Exhibit Binder introduced and admitted as evidence at the August 28, 2013 hearing, will accomplish the goals of the Randlett Waterflood Project.

7. Crescent Point has sustained its burden of proof, demonstrated good cause, and satisfied all legal requirements for granting the Request.

8. Good cause appears to certify the Randlett Waterflood Project as an enhanced recovery project for the purposes of Section 59-5-102(7) of the Utah Code.

ORDER

Based upon the Request, the testimony and evidence submitted and entered at the August 28, 2013 hearing and the findings of fact and conclusions of law as stated above, it is therefore ordered that:
1. Crescent Point’s Request seeking approval of the Randlett Waterflood Project is granted.

2. The Green River and Wasatch formations, defined as follows:

The Green River and Wasatch formations as identified by the logs run on the Crescent Point Coleman Tribal #5-7-4-2E Well located in the SW¼NW¼ of Section 7, Township 4 South, Range 2 East, U.S.M., Uintah County, Utah, with the top of the stratigraphic interval being found at a depth of 5,304 feet below the surface (-140' subsea) and the base of the interval being found at a depth of 9,362 feet below the surface (-4,198' subsea) or stratigraphic equivalent thereof underlying the lands in Uintah County, Utah, collectively named the Randlett Waterflood Project described as follows:

**Township 4 South, Range 2 East, U.S.M.**

Section 7: All  
Section 8: All  
Section 17: All  
Section 18: All

(Containing 2,556.16 acres, more or less)

constitutes a pool for the operation of the Randlett Waterflood Project and the Board hereby establishes the same as a pool to be operated cooperatively for the continued operation of all wells thereon. The lands and pool shall be known as the Randlett Waterflood Project Area.

3. The cooperative development hereby established shall be known as the Randlett Waterflood Project.

4. The Green River and Wasatch formations, as defined in paragraph 2 of this Order, constitute a pool for the operation of a waterflood enhanced and secondary recovery project, and the Board hereby establishes the same to be operated as a cooperative pool for enhanced and secondary recovery operations.
5. The plan of development and operations, as set forth in the Randlett Waterflood Development Agreement and the geology and engineering data contained in the Exhibit Binder introduced, adduced, and admitted as evidence at the August 22, 2013 hearing, is hereby approved.

6. The Randlett Waterflood Project is certified as an enhanced recovery project for purposes of the severance tax rate reduction pursuant to Section 59-5-102(7) of the Utah Code.

7. The 40-acre, five-spot injection pattern using well grids utilizing 20-acre spacing as approved by this Order satisfies the purposes of the general well location and siting rules U.C.A. Rules R649-3-2 and R649-3-3 affecting the Subject Lands and formations, and such rules are suspended to the extent they are inconsistent with the operations approved by this Order. Subject to Paragraph 9 of this Order and a showing that a well location will protect correlative rights and prevent waste, the Division is authorized to approve well locations within the project area where such locations promote conservation and increase the ultimate recovery of oil or gas; provided however, no future well shall be located closer than 460 feet from the boundary of the Randlett Waterflood Project Area without administrative approval of the Division in accordance with the well exception location requirements of U.A.C. Rule R649-3-3. In the event that the project area is contracted or terminated, that land shall be once again subject to well location requirements.

8. The underground injection program proposed by Crescent Point in connection with the enhanced and secondary recovery project is hereby approved.

9. This Order does not waive jurisdiction of the Board over the Underground Injection Control (UIC) program with respect to the injection wells contemplated for the Randlett
Waterflood Project Area, and expressly directs Crescent Point to comply with all requirements and procedures of the UIC program administered by the Division.

10. Pursuant to Utah Administrative Code R641 and Utah Code Ann. § 63-46b-6 to -10 (1953, as amended), the Board has considered and decided this matter as a formal adjudication.

11. This Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order ("Order") is based exclusively on evidence of record in the adjudicative proceeding or on facts officially noted, and constitutes the signed written order stating the Board's decision and the reasons for the decision, all as required by the Utah Administrative Procedures Act, Utah Code Ann. § 63-46b-10 and Utah Administrative Code R641-109.

12. Notice re Right to Seek Judicial Review by the Utah Supreme Court or to Request Board Reconsideration: As required by Utah Code Ann. § 63-46b-10(e) to -10(g) (1953, as amended), the Board hereby notifies all parties in interest that they have the right to seek judicial review of this final Board Order in this formal adjudication by filing a timely appeal with the Utah Supreme Court within 30 days after the date that this Order is issued. Utah Code Ann. § 63-46b-14(3)(a) and -16 (1953, as amended). As an alternative to seeking immediate judicial review, and not as a prerequisite to seeking judicial review, the Board also hereby notifies parties that they may elect to request that the Board reconsider this Order, which constitutes a final agency action of the Board. Utah Code Ann. § 63-46b-13, entitled, "Agency review - Reconsideration," states:

(1)(a) Within 20 days after the date that an order is issued for which review by the agency or by a superior agency under Section 63-46b-12 is unavailable, and if the order would otherwise constitute final agency action, any party may file a written request for reconsideration with the agency, stating the specific grounds upon which relief is requested.
(b) Unless otherwise provided by statute, the filing of the request is not a prerequisite for seeking judicial review of the order.
(2) The request for reconsideration shall be filed with the agency and one copy shall be sent by mail to each party by the person making the request.
(3)(a) The agency head, or a person designated for that purpose, shall issue a written order granting the request or denying the request.
(b) If the agency head or the person designated for that purpose does not issue an order within 20 days after the filing of the request, the request for reconsideration shall be considered to be denied.

Id. The Board also hereby notifies the parties that Utah Administrative Code R641-110-100, which is part of a group of Board rules entitled, “Rehearing and Modification of Existing Orders,” states:

Any person affected by a final order or decision of the Board may file a petition for rehearing. Unless otherwise provided, a petition for rehearing must be filed no later than the 10th day of the month following the date of signing of the final order or decision for which the rehearing is sought. A copy of such petition will be served on each other party to the proceeding no later than the 15th day of that month.

Id. See Utah Administrative Code R641-110-200 for the required contents of a petition for rehearing. If there is any conflict between the deadline in Utah Code Ann § 63-46b-13 (1953, as amended) and the deadline in Utah Administrative Code R641-110-100 for moving to rehear this matter, the Board hereby rules that the later of the two deadlines shall be available to any party moving to rehear this matter. If the Board later denies a timely petition for rehearing, the party may still seek judicial review of the Order by perfecting a timely appeal with the Utah Supreme Court within 30 days thereafter.

13. The Board retains continuing jurisdiction over all the parties and over the subject matter of this Cause, except to the extent said jurisdiction may be divested by the filing of a timely appeal to seek judicial review of this Order by the Utah Supreme Court.

14. For all purposes, the Chairman’s signature on a faxed copy of this Order shall be deemed the equivalent of a signed original.
ISSUED this 25th day of September, 2013.

STATE OF UTAH
BOARD OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

By
Ruland J. Gill, Jr., Chairman
EXHIBIT "A"
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AP &amp; Well Name</th>
<th>Inside Project Area</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Manual County (G/P) Section Range</th>
<th>Township</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approved Permit (G/P)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1204</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1206</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1207</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township</td>
<td>NE40</td>
<td>N40</td>
<td>W40</td>
<td>E40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>4th</td>
<td>4th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>5th</td>
<td>5th</td>
<td>5th</td>
<td>5th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>6th</td>
<td>6th</td>
<td>6th</td>
<td>6th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>7th</td>
<td>7th</td>
<td>7th</td>
<td>7th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>8th</td>
<td>8th</td>
<td>8th</td>
<td>8th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>9th</td>
<td>9th</td>
<td>9th</td>
<td>9th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outside Project Area (2 mile radius)
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Successor Trustee of the Utah Land Trust
dated April 27, 1927
230 Park Avenue
Satellite Beach, FL 32937

Kera K. Townsend
2700 F Street
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Patricia C. Gilson
Successor trustees of the Fawn B. Coltharp
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Ogden, UT 84403-5359
H. Craig Hall and Robyn Hall, joint tenants
11607 Roselawn Way
South Jordan, UT 84095

Lowell Hall
Trustee under the Hall Family Living Trust
dated October 6, 2004
20 N. Mountain Road
Fruit Heights, UT 84037

Eliason Eight, L.L.C.
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Jess C. Cheney
7923 Danish Ridge Way
Salt Lake City, UT 84121-5772
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Krause estate trusts
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and Edwin C. Hall
Trustees of the Thomas Edwin Hall
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Adrienne Larson
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University of Utah
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Ralph Olsen
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Provo, UT 84606
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Emma Jean Murray
218 West Rangely Avenue
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Lake Orion, MI 48360

Kenny Ashby and Anita S. Ashby
Trustees of the Ashby Family Trust dated
October 25, 2000
#1 North Ridge Way
Sandy, UT 84107

Howard Rex Carroll and Lola Tamson
Carroll
Trustees of the Howard Rex Carroll Trust
876 West 1200 South
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Howard Rex Carroll and Lola Tamson
Carroll
Trustees of the Lola Tamson Carroll Trust
876 West 1200 South
Vernal, UT 84078

Lola Tamson Carroll and Howard Rex
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[Address updated 8/14/2013]

Nolan G. Massey  
1398 West 400 South  
Vernal, UT 84078

Nicole Massey  
1398 West 400 South  
Vernal, UT 84078

Julie Deppe  
P.O. Box 790395  
Vernal, UT 84079

Michael D. Pierson  
1012 Ridge Road  
Lewiston, NY 14092

Jacqueline Peters  
20838 144th Ave NE  
Kent, WA 98042

David Christian Pierson  
13757 Aspen Leaf Lane  
East Vale, CA 92880

Heirs and/or Devisees of John Thomas Pierson  
8173 South 535 East  
Sandy, UT 84070

Michael F.D. Massey  
162 North 130 East  
Orem, UT 84057

Loretta Obom  
212 Beldwin Ave.  
Roseville, CA 95678

Lorraine Nelson  
2036 West 1600 North  
Provo, UT 84601

Sherwin Massey  
953 South 930 West  
Payson, UT 84651

Adrian Massey  
1131 South 730 West  
Payson, UT 84651

Lanore Whiting  
1787 South 40 West  
Orem, UT 84058

Floyd L. Massey  
Box 93  
Jensen, UT 84035

Valda D. Massey  
3079 West 500 South  
Vernal, UT 84078  
[Address updated 8/6/2013]

Illela McKinlay  
Box 39  
Teton City, ID 83451
Croff Oil Company  
621 17th Street, #830  
Denver, CO  80293-0621

Argo Energy Partners, Ltd.  
P.O. Box 1808  
Corsicana, TX  75151

Paul L. McCullis  
P.O. Box 3248  
Littleton, CO  80161  

1001 Louisiana Street  
Houston, TX  77002

Daniel S. Sam and Penny B. Sam, joint tenants  
319 W. 200 S. Ste. A  
Vernal, UT  84078  
Pittencrief America, Inc.  
3005 South Treadway  
Abilene, TX  79608

George G. Vaught, Jr.  
P.O. Box 13557  
Denver, CO  80201

Westport Oil and Gas Company, L.P.  
1670 Broadway, Ste 2800  
Denver, CO  80202  
[Undeliverable]

Covey Minerals, Inc.  
2079 E. Sierra Ridge Court  
Salt Lake City, UT  84109  
[Undeliverable]

Deep Creek Investments  
2400 Sunnyside  
Salt Lake City, Utah  84108

Harry E. Carleson  
535 South Main Street  
Salt Lake City, Utah  84111  
[Undeliverable]

Coleman Mountain Holdings, LLC  
Mary Jo Coleman Adamson  
P.O. Box 610  
Roosevelt, UT  84066

Shane Pierson  
4359 Sawgrass Court  
Chino Hills, CA  91709

Salradius, L.L.C.  
Bonnie Coleman  
148 West Center St.  
Heber City, UT  84032

Slover Minerals, L.P.  
3614 Royal Road  
Amarillo, TX  79109

Joseph N Coleman  
Trustee of the Coleman Family Trust dated  
June 7, 1991  
393 E Center Street  
Heber City, UT  84032

Mark A. Chapman  
P.O. Box 450  
Sealy, TX  79109  

Leila Coleman  
Trustee of the Coleman Family Trust dated  
June 28, 1991  
950 South 400 East, Apt 112  
St. George, UT  84770  
[Undeliverable]

Dusty Sanderson  
6405 Kingsbury  
Amarillo, TX  79109  
[Undeliverable]
Gilbert E Maggs, III  
Successor Trustee of the Utah Land Trust  
dated April 27, 1927  
230 Park Avenue  
Satellite Beach, FL  32937

Finley Resources, Inc.  
P.O. Box 2200  
Fort Worth, TX  76113

Newfield Exploration Company  
1001 17th St., Suite 2000  
Denver, CO  80202

[Signature]